

易畅,张祥松,史新冲,王晓燕,陈志丰.探讨 ^{13}N -氨水动态心肌灌注显像定量分析方法[J].中国医学影像技术,2012,28(2):273~276

探讨 ^{13}N -氨水动态心肌灌注显像定量分析方法

Assessment of quantification methods with $^{13}\text{N-NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ dynamic myocardial perfusion imaging

投稿时间: 2011-06-01 最后修改时间: 2011-07-05

DOI:

中文关键词: [\$^{13}\text{N}\$ -氨水](#) [心肌灌注显像](#) [定量分析](#)

英文关键词: [\$^{13}\text{N-NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}\$](#) [Myocardial perfusion imaging](#) [Quantification analysis](#)

基金项目:

作者	单位	E-mail
易畅	中山大学附属第一医院核医学科,广东 广州 510080	
张祥松	中山大学附属第一医院核医学科,广东 广州 510080	sd_zh@163.net
史新冲	中山大学附属第一医院核医学科,广东 广州 510080	
王晓燕	中山大学附属第一医院核医学科,广东 广州 510080	
陈志丰	中山大学附属第一医院核医学科,广东 广州 510080	

摘要点击次数: 722

全文下载次数: 177

中文摘要:

目的 从心肌灌注模型角度出发,对 $^{13}\text{N-NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ 动态心肌灌注显像的资料进行定量分析,并对不同模型进行评价。方法 对4名正常体检者行 $^{13}\text{N-NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ 动态心肌灌注显像,用C软件分别通过Duke模型和Michigan模型进行定量分析,比较心肌血流量(MBF)、心肌氨水摄取率(k_1)、心肌氨水洗脱率(k_2)等定量指标。结果 Duke模型测得心肌的整体MBF、 k_1 均为(0.332ml/(g·min)), k_2 为(0.219±0.253)min⁻¹;Michigan模型测得心肌的整体MBF为(0.825±0.401)ml/(g·min)、 k_1 为(0.704±0.256)ml/(g·min)、 k_1/k_2 为(3.134±0.715)ml/g。两模型测得的结果相关且均符合相关文献的报道,但Duke模型测得心尖部位的MBF和 k_1 较Michigan模型均偏大。结论 使用PET/CT $^{13}\text{N-NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ 动态心肌灌注显像定量分析可根据需求选择合适的模型

英文摘要:

Objective To apply $^{13}\text{N-NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ dynamic myocardial perfusion imaging based on myocardial perfusion models, and to quantitatively analyze the normal imaging data and then evaluate the m
Methods $^{13}\text{N-NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ was used as the imaging agent for PET/CT perfusion imaging. Four normal subjects were analyzed quantitatively by Duke model and Michigan model using Carimas softw
and myocardial blood flow (MBF), myocardial ammonia uptake rate (k_1), myocardial ammonia washout rate (k_2) and other quantitative indicators were compared. **Results** In Duke model global MBF
 k_1 was both (0.770±0.332)ml/(g·min), k_2 was (0.219±0.253)min⁻¹. In Michigan model, global MBF was (0.825±0.401)ml/(g·min), k_1 was (0.704±0.256)ml/(g·min), k_1/k_2 was (3.134±0.715).
Though values of MBF and k_1 in apex of Duke model were larger than those of Michigan model, and the results assessed by two models were linearly correlative and consistent with literature reports
Conclusion For quantitative analysis of $^{13}\text{N-NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ dynamic myocardial perfusion imaging with PET/CT, it is necessary to choose proper model.

[查看全文](#) [查看/发表评论](#) [下载PDF阅读器](#)