[Print Version]
[PubMed Citation] [Related Articles in PubMed]

The Angle Orthodontist: Vol. 59, No. 4, pp. 295-298.

Ambiguities of Angle's classification

Donald J. Rinchuse, DMD, MS, MDS, PhD; Daniel J. Rinchuse, DMD, MS, MDS, PhD

^aUniversity of Pittsburgh, School of Dental Medicine, Department of Orthodontics, C-329 Salk Hall, 3501 Terrace Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15261

ABSTRACT

In 1900, Edward H. Angle wrote that all teeth should be considered when classifying cases; in 1907, he emphasized using the maxillary first molars as reference teeth. Arguments are presented to illustrate the confusion in relying solely on Angle's system of classification. The criteria for a discrete versus a continuous variable is discussed.

Donald Rinchuse and Daniel Rinchuse are both Associate professors of Orthodontics at the University of Pittsburgh and are in the private practice of Orthodontics in Greensburg, Pennsylvania. They both hold the following degrees: DMD, MS (Pharmacology), MDS(Orthodontics) and PhD(Education)

KEY WORDS: Angle, Classification.

© Copyright by E. H. Angle Education and Research Foundation, Inc. 1989