

Journal of Andrology, Vol. 25, No. 4, July/August 2004
Copyright © [American Society of Andrology](#)

Quality Control of Laboratory Methods for Semen Evaluation in a Multicenter Research Study

CHARLENE BRAZIL^{*}, SHANNA H. SWAN[†], CHARLENE R. TOLLNER^{*},
CATHY TREECE^{*}, ERMA Z. DROBNIS[‡], CHRISTINA WANG[§], J. BRUCE REDMON^{||} AND
JAMES W. OVERSTREET^{*} THE STUDY FOR FUTURE FAMILIES RESEARCH GROUP

From the ^{} Division of Reproductive Biology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California, Davis, California; the [†] Department of Family and Community Medicine and the [‡] Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, Missouri; the [§] Department of Medicine, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, California; and the ^{||} Departments of Medicine and Urologic Surgery, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota.*

Correspondence to: Dr James W. Overstreet, Center for Health and the Environment, University of California, One Shields Ave, Davis, CA 95616 (e-mail: jwoverstreet@ucdavis.edu).

Rigorously standardized laboratory protocols and strict quality control (QC) are essential for meaningful comparisons between semen quality data from multiple sites. We describe our experience with the Study for Future Families (SFF), a multicenter study of semen quality in the United States. Detailed protocols were developed, and technicians from each study site attended a training session at the central laboratory. Technicians received blinded replicates from diluted semen specimens for counting by MicroCell and hemacytometer. Sperm motility was assessed using videotaped recordings for simple percent motility and categorical assessment of individual sperm progression as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). The mean intertechnician coefficient of variation for individual specimens was 12.6% for MicroCell counts, 15.2% for hemacytometer counts, and 10.5% for percent motility. Intratechnician coefficients of variation averaged 10.3% for MicroCell counts, 12.5% for hemacytometer counts, and 5.2% for percent motility. The average percent differences between the technicians' values and the central standard for individual specimens were 13.5%, 16.6%, and 11.9% for MicroCell counts, hemacytometer counts, and simple percent motility, respectively. We achieved our goal of maintaining mean intratechnician coefficients of variation and mean percent differences from the standard values of 15% or less for measurements of simple percent motility and sperm concentration by MicroCell. Standardization using the Improved Neubauer hemacytometer chamber proved more difficult. We were not successful in standardizing a method for categorical assessment of individual sperm progression.

Key words: Sperm concentration, semem volume, sperm motility, quality control, observer variation, precision

This Article

- ▶ [Full Text](#)
- ▶ [Full Text \(PDF\)](#)
- ▶ [Alert me when this article is cited](#)
- ▶ [Alert me if a correction is posted](#)

Services

- ▶ [Similar articles in this journal](#)
- ▶ [Similar articles in PubMed](#)
- ▶ [Alert me to new issues of the journal](#)
- ▶ [Download to citation manager](#)

Citing Articles

- ▶ [Citing Articles via HighWire](#)
- ▶ [Citing Articles via Google Scholar](#)

Google Scholar

- ▶ [Articles by Brazil, C.](#)
- ▶ [Articles by Overstreet, J. W.](#)
- ▶ [Search for Related Content](#)

PubMed

- ▶ [PubMed Citation](#)
- ▶ [Articles by Brazil, C.](#)
- ▶ [Articles by Overstreet, J. W.](#)

This article has been cited by other articles:



Journal of ANDROLOGY

▶ HOME

A. Stokes-Riner, S. W. Thurston, C. Brazil, D. Guzick, F. Liu, J. W. Overstreet, C. Wang, A. Sparks, J. B. Redmon, and S. H. Swan
One Semen Sample or 2? Insights From a Study of Fertile Men
J Androl, September 1, 2007; 28(5): 638 - 643.

[\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



Journal of ANDROLOGY

▶ HOME

N. S. Prathalingam, W. W. Holt, S. G. Revell, S. Jones, and P. F. Watson
The Precision and Accuracy of Six Different Methods to Determine Sperm Concentration
J Androl, March 1, 2006; 27(2): 257 - 262.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



HUMAN REPRODUCTION

▶ HOME

E. Carlsen, S. H. Swan, J. H. Petersen, and N. E. Skakkebaek
Longitudinal changes in semen parameters in young Danish men from the Copenhagen area
Hum. Reprod., April 1, 2005; 20(4): 942 - 949.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



Journal of ANDROLOGY

▶ HOME

L. BjoRndahl and C. L. R. Barratt
Semen Analysis: Setting Standards for the Measurement of Sperm Numbers
J Androl, January 1, 2005; 26(1): 11 - 11.

[\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



Journal of ANDROLOGY

▶ HOME

L. BjoRndahl, M. Tomlinson, and C. L. R. Barratt
Raising Standards in Semen Analysis: Professional and Personal Responsibility
J Androl, November 1, 2004; 25(6): 862 - 863.

[\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)



Journal of ANDROLOGY

▶ HOME

C. Brazil, S. H. Swan, E. Z. Drobnis, F. Liu, C. Wang, J. B. Redmon, and J. W. Overstreet
Standardized Methods for Semen Evaluation in a Multicenter Research Study
J Androl, July 1, 2004; 25(4): 635 - 644.

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Full Text\]](#) [\[PDF\]](#)