中国医学影像技术

CHINESE JOURNAL OF MEDICAL IMAGING TECHNOLOGY

设为首页 | 加入收藏 | 联系我们

2014-05-21 早期二

首页 | 本刊简介 | 编委会 | 收录情况 | 投稿须知 | 期刊订阅 | 稿件查询 | 广告招商 | 会议

张雯杰,吴宁,周纯武,郑容,刘瑛,梁颖,赵平.18FDG PET/CT与增强CT对胰腺癌分期的价值[J].中国医学影像技术,2012,28(4):727~730

18FDG PET/CT与增强CT对胰腺癌分期的价值

Value of ¹⁸FDG PET/CT and contrast-enhanced CT in staging of pancreatic cancer

投稿时间: 2011-10-16 最后修改时间: 2011-11-04

DOI.

中文关键词: 胰腺肿瘤 $\frac{18}{1}$ F 氟脱氧葡萄糖 正电子发射型体层摄影术 体层摄影术,X线计算机 肿瘤分期

英文关键词:Pancreatic neoplasms Fluorodeoxyglucose F18 Positron-emission tomography Tomography, X-ray computed Neoplasm staging

基金项目:

作者 单位 E-mail

张雯杰 北京协和医学院 中国医学科学院肿瘤医院PET/CT中心, 北京 100021

吴宁 北京协和医学院 中国医学科学院肿瘤医院PET/CT中心, 北京 100021; 北京协和医学院 中国医学科学院肿瘤医院影像诊断科, 北京 100021 cjr.wuning@vip.163.cc

周纯武 北京协和医学院 中国医学科学院肿瘤医院影像诊断科,北京 100021

郑容 北京协和医学院 中国医学科学院肿瘤医院PET/CT中心, 北京 100021

刘瑛 北京协和医学院 中国医学科学院肿瘤医院PET/CT中心,北京 100021

梁颖 北京协和医学院 中国医学科学院肿瘤医院PET/CT中心, 北京 100021

赵平 北京协和医学院 中国医学科学院肿瘤医院PET/CT中心, 北京 100021

摘要点击次数:457

全文下载次数:109

中文摘要:

目的 比较PET/CT与增强CT(CECT)对胰腺瘤T和N分期的准确性;探讨PET/CT对胰腺瘤治疗前M分期的影响以及最大标准摄取(SUV_{max})值与远处转移的关系。 方法 收集经病理或床、影像学随诊证实的胰腺癌患者46例,术前全部患者接受PET/CT检查43例并接受CECT检查,中位间隔时间为6天;对接受手术切除或探查的19例患者以手术所见为参照,比较PET/CT与(检查对胰腺癌T和N分期的准确率。 结果 19例胰腺癌患者术中发现肿瘤与周围血管粘连或侵犯周围血管/器官,术前CECT明确诊断17例(17/18,94.44%),PET/CT明确诊断3例(3/19,15.79% CT对T分期的准确率高于PET/CT。对于N分期,CECT和PET/CT的敏感度、特异度和准确率分别为66.67%(8/12)、100%(7/7)、78.95%(15/19)和75.00%(9/12)、100%(7/7)和84.21%(16/19)、诊断区域淋巴结转移差异无统计学意义(P=1.00)。46例胰腺癌患者中,PET/CT发现28例血行转移及远隔淋巴结转移,常规影像学分期检出其中的15例。PET/CT明确诊断了5例CT不能肯定脏病灶,并发现2例患者同时存在第二原发癌;ROC曲线分析表明,SUV_{max}越高,发生血行转移的可能越大,其曲线下面积为0.68,诊断界值为4.80。 结论 PET/CT对胰腺癌T分期的准确率远ECT;PET/CT和CECT对N分期的敏感度、特异度、准确率相似;PET/CT对M分期和远隔淋巴结转移具有明显优势,并可同时发现第二原发癌灶,SUV_{max}越高,发生血行转移的可能越大。

英文摘要:

Objective To compare the accuracy of ¹⁸FDG PET/CT and contrast-enhanced CT (CECT) in T and N staging of pancreatic cancer, and to explore the value of PET/CT in pre-operation M staging pancreatic cancer and the correlation between SUV_{max} and distant metastasis. Methods Forty-six patients with pancreatic cancer confirmed with pathology, clinical or radiological follow-up were enrolled. All patients underwent PET/CT, while 43 of them underwent CECT before surgical operation, the median time interval was 6 days. For patients underwent surgical resection or exploratory operation, the operational findings were used to assess the accuracy of PET/CT and CECT in T and regional N staging of pancreatic cancer. Results Surgical resection or exploratory operation was performed in 19 patients, and peripancreatic organic involvement and (or) vascular invasion were found in all the patients. Among them 18 underwent both PET/CT and CECT. The diagnostic accuracy CECT and PET/CT for preoperative T staging was 94.44% (17/18) and 15.79% (3/19), respectively. For the staging of regional lymph nodes, the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of CECT was 75.00% (9/12), 100% (7/7) and 84.21% (16/19), respectively. There was no significant difference between the two methods (P=PET/CT detected hematogenous metastases and distant lymph node metastases in 28 patients, while routine imaging staging detected only 15 of them. PET/CT proved or excluded malignancy when C was equivocal in 5 patients, PET/CT detected additional synchronous rectal cancer and lung cancer in 2 patients. ROC curve analysis showed that distant metastasis had positive correlation with high SUV_{max}. When the cut-off value of SUV_{max} was 4.80, the area under the curve of ROC was 0.68. Conclusion The accuracy of PET/CT is far lower than that of CECT in T staging for pancreatic cancer petition with higher SUV_{max}.

查看全文 查看/发表评论 下载PDF阅读器