

# Cryptology ePrint Archive: Report 2011/456

## Delegation of Computation without Rejection Problem from Designated Verifier CS-Proofs

*Shafi Goldwasser, Huijia Lin, Aviad Rubinfeld*

**Abstract:** We present a designated verifier CS proof system for polynomial time computations. The proof system can only be verified by a designated verifier: one who has published a public-key for which it knows a matching secret key unknown to the prover. Whereas Micali's CS proofs require the existence of random oracles, we can base soundness on computational assumptions: the existence of leveled fully homomorphic encryption (FHE) schemes, the DDH assumption and a new knowledge of exponent assumption. Using our designated verifier CS proof system, we construct two schemes for delegating (polynomial-time) computation. In such schemes, a delegator outsources the computation of a function  $F$  on input  $x$  to a polynomial time worker, who computes the output  $y=F(x)$  and proves to the delegator the correctness of the output.

Let  $T$  be the complexity of computing  $F$  on inputs of length  $n=|x|$  and let  $k$  be a security parameter. Our first scheme calls for an one-time off-line stage where the delegator sends a message to the worker, and a non-interactive on-line stage where the worker sends the output together with a certificate of correctness to the prover per input  $x$ . The total computational complexity of the delegator during off-line and on-line stages is  $\text{poly}(k, n, \log T)$ . Compared with previous constructions by Gennaro-Gentry-Parno and Chung-Kalai-Vadhan~\cite{GGP10, CKV10} based on FHE, their on-line stage consists of two messages and their off-line stage has (delegator's) complexity of  $\text{poly}(k, n, T)$ . Thus, they achieve delegator complexity  $\text{poly}(k, n, \log T)$  only in an amortized sense. Compared with the construction of \cite{GKR08} based on poly-log PIR, our first construction can handle any polynomial-time computable  $F$  rather than being restricted to  $\text{NC}$  computable  $F$ . Our second scheme requires no off-line stage and has a two-message "on-line" stage with complexity of  $\text{poly}(k, n, \log T)$ . Most importantly, it achieves *robust soundness* that guarantees that it is infeasible for a cheating worker to convince the delegator of an invalid output even if the worker learns whether the delegator accepts or rejects previous outputs and proofs. Previously the only two-round protocol that achieves robust soundness under a computational assumption appeared in \cite{GKR08} and is restricted to only  $\text{NC}$  computations.

**Category / Keywords:** Extractable Collision Resistant Hash Function, Designated Verifier CS Proofs, Delegation, Knowledge of Exponent Assumption

**Date:** received 18 Aug 2011, last revised 18 Aug 2011

**Contact author:** huijia at cs cornell edu, aviadrub@mail tau ac il, shafi@theory csail mit edu

**Available formats:** [PDF](#) | [BibTeX Citation](#)

**Version:** 20110821:003436 ([All versions of this report](#))

**Discussion forum:** [Show discussion](#) | [Start new discussion](#)

---

[ [Cryptology ePrint archive](#) ]