

CHARACTERIZATION OF MDS MAPPINGS

S. M. DEHNAVI*, A. MAHMOODI RISHAKANI, M. R. MIRZAEI SHAMSABAD

ABSTRACT. MDS codes and matrices are closely related to combinatorial objects like orthogonal arrays and multipermutations. Conventional MDS codes and matrices were defined on finite fields, but several generalizations of this concept has been done up to now. In this note, we give a criterion for verifying whether a map is MDS or not.

1. Introduction

MDS (Maximum Distance Separable) codes and MDS matrices [7, 6] are closely related to combinatorial objects like orthogonal arrays [11] and multipermutations [12]. MDS matrices have also applications in cryptography [3, 10, 4]. Conventional MDS codes and matrices were defined on finite fields, but several generalizations of this concept has been done up to now [1, 9, 2]. In [5] some types of MDS mappings were investigated. In this note, we give a criterion for verifying whether a map is MDS or not.

2. MDS mappings

Definition 2.1. *Let A be a nonempty finite set and n be a natural number. For two vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^n$ with*

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n),$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n),$$

we define the distance between them as

$$dist(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = |\{i | a_i \neq b_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}|.$$

Keywords: MDS map, MDS matrix, MDS code, Multipermutation.

* std_dehnavism@khu.ac.ir

Definition 2.2. Let A be a nonempty finite set and k and n be two natural numbers. We define the (differential) branch number of a map

$$f : A^k \rightarrow A^n,$$

as

$$Br(f) = \min\{\text{dist}((\mathbf{a}, f(\mathbf{a})), (\mathbf{b}, f(\mathbf{b}))) \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A^k, \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}\}.$$

Definition 2.3. Let A be a nonempty finite set and k and n be two natural numbers. We call a map

$$f : A^k \rightarrow A^n,$$

(k, n, A) -MDS iff $Br(f) = n + 1$.

Note 2.4. It is not hard to see that we can construct an $(n + k, |A|^k, n + 1)$ -code over A which is an MDS code.

Definition 2.5. Let A and B be two nonempty finite sets, r be a natural number and $f : A^r \rightarrow B$ be a map. Suppose that $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \in A^r$ is the input of f and let $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ be a nonempty subset. We call the arguments of input indexed in I "input variables" and the rest of arguments "parameters". We denote the map f with this separation on input by f_I and we say that f_I is a "parametric map".

Definition 2.6. Let A and B be two nonempty finite sets, r be a natural number and $f : A^r \rightarrow B$ be a map. Suppose that $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ is a nonempty subset. According to Definition 2.5, we say that f_I is parametric invertible iff it is invertible for any permissible values of the parameters.

Definition 2.7. Let A be a nonempty finite set and k and n be two natural numbers. A map $f : A^k \rightarrow A^n$ can be represented as a vector (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) of functions. Here, $f_i : A^k \rightarrow A$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is called the i -th component (projection) function of f .

Definition 2.8. Let A be a nonempty finite set and k and n be two natural numbers. Let $f : A^k \rightarrow A^n$ be a map. For every $1 \leq t \leq \min\{k, n\}$ and for any set $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t \leq k\}$ and $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t \mid 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_t \leq n\}$ we define the parametric maps

$$f_I^J : A^k \rightarrow A^t,$$

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto ((f_{j_1})_I(\mathbf{x}), (f_{j_2})_I(\mathbf{x}), \dots, (f_{j_t})_I(\mathbf{x})).$$

We call these parametric functions "square sub-functions" of f .

Theorem 2.9. *Let A be a nonempty finite set and k and n be two natural numbers. A map $f : A^k \rightarrow A^n$ is (k, n, A) -MDS iff all of its square sub-functions are parametric invertible.*

Proof. At first we suppose that every square sub-function of f is parametric invertible. Suppose that f is not a (k, n, A) -MDS map. So, we have $Br(f) \leq n$. Therefore, there exist vectors $X = (\mathbf{a}, f(\mathbf{a}))$ and $Y = (\mathbf{b}, f(\mathbf{b}))$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{a} &= (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k), \\ \mathbf{b} &= (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k),\end{aligned}$$

and $dist(X, Y) \leq n$. Since

$$dist(X, Y) = dist(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) + dist(f(\mathbf{a}), f(\mathbf{b})),$$

if $dist(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = t$, then $dist(f(\mathbf{a}), f(\mathbf{b})) \leq n - t$. Let $I = \{i | a_i \neq b_i\}$ and $J' = \{j | f_j(\mathbf{a}) = f_j(\mathbf{b})\}$. There exists $J \subseteq J'$ with $|J| = t$. So the square sub-function f_I^J is not parametric invertible, due to the existences of \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} . This is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that f is a (k, n, A) -MDS map; for any $1 \leq t \leq \min\{k, n\}$ and nonempty subsets $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| = |J| = t$, suppose that the square sub-function f_I^J is not parametric invertible. Then, there exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A$ with $f_I^J(\mathbf{a}) = f_I^J(\mathbf{b})$ and $a_i = b_i, i \notin I$. This means that

$$dist(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \leq t,$$

$$dist(f(\mathbf{a}), f(\mathbf{b})) \leq n - t,$$

which is contradiction. \square

Definition 2.10. *Let (G, \star) be a finite group and ϕ be an isomorphism on G such that the mapping ψ on G with $\psi(g) = g^{-1} \star \phi(g)$ is also an isomorphism. Then the isomorphism ϕ is called 'orthomorphic'.*

Note 2.11. *In some cases, the orthomorphicity of ϕ in Definition 2.10, is equivalent to orthomorphicity of $\rho(g) = g \star \phi(g)$. For instance, this is the case for the additive groups of finite fields of characteristic 2.*

Lemma 2.12. *Let (G, \star) be a finite group satisfying the property mentioned in Note 2.11 and ϕ be an orthomorphism on G . Then the following map is $(2, 2, G)$ -MDS:*

$$\begin{aligned}f &: G^2 \rightarrow G^2, \\ f(g_1, g_2) &= (g_1 \star g_2, g_1 \star \phi(g_2)).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We show that if the mappings ϕ and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi &: G \rightarrow G, \\ \psi(g) &= g \star \phi(g),\end{aligned}$$

are both group isomorphisms, then f is a $(2, 2, G)$ -MDS map: by Theorem 2.9, it suffices to show that the square sub-functions of f are parametric invertible. There are five square sub-functions. Suppose that $c \in G$ is fixed. Consider the following parametric functions

$$\begin{aligned}h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 &: G \rightarrow G, \\ h_1(g, c) &= h_2(c, g) = g \star c, \\ h_3(g, c) &= g \star \phi(c), \\ h_4(c, g) &= c \star \phi(g).\end{aligned}$$

The parametric functions h_1 , h_2 and h_3 are invertible because G is a group. The parametric function h_4 is invertible because ϕ is an isomorphism. Now suppose that the function $h_5 = f$ is not invertible. Suppose that for $(g_1, g_2) \neq (g'_1, g'_2)$ we have

$$(g_1 \star g_2, g_1 \star \phi(g_2)) = (g'_1 \star g'_2, g'_1 \star \phi(g'_2)).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}g_1 \star g_2 &= g'_1 \star g'_2, \\ g_1 \star \phi(g_2) &= g'_1 \star \phi(g'_2);\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}g_1 \star (g'_1)^{-1} &= g'_2 \star g_2^{-1}, \\ g_1 \star (g'_1)^{-1} &= \phi(g'_2 \star g_2^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

by isomorphism of ϕ . So, we get

$$\phi(g'_2 \star g_2^{-1}) \star (g_1 \star (g'_1)^{-1})^{-1} = e_G.$$

Since by Note 2.11 the mapping ρ with $\rho(g) = g^{-1} \star \phi(g)$ is an isomorphism, then we have $g_2 = g'_2$. So $g_1 = g'_1$, which is a contradiction. \square

Example 2.13. Let $G = \{e, a, b, c\}$ be the Klein 4-group and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &: G \rightarrow G, \\ \phi(e) &= e, \quad \phi(a) = b, \quad \phi(b) = c, \quad \phi(c) = a.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that ϕ is an orthomorphism on G . So, by Lemma 2.12, the following mapping is a $(2, 2, G)$ -MDS map:

$$\begin{aligned}f &: G^2 \rightarrow G^2, \\ f(g_1, g_2) &= (g_1 \star g_2, g_1 \star \phi(g_2)).\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Daniel Augot, Matthieu Finiasz, "Exhaustive Search for Small Dimension Recursive MDS Diffusion Layers for Block Ciphers and Hash Functions", ISIT 2013: 1551-1555.
- [2] M. Blaum, R. M. Roth: On Lowest Density MDS Codes. IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INFORMATION THEORY, vol. 45(1), pp. 46-59 (January 1999)
- [3] J. Daemen, V. Rijmen, AES proposal: Rijndael. Selected as the Advanced Encryption Standard. Available from <http://nist.gov/aes>
- [4] P. Ekdahl, T. Johansson, SNOW a new stream cipher, Proceedings of first NESSIE Workshop, Heverlee, Belgium, 2000
- [5] A. Klimov, Applications of T-functions in Cryptography, Thesis for the degree of Ph.D., Weizmann Institute of Science, 2005.
- [6] San Ling, Chaoping Xing, Coding Theory: A First Course, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [7] F. J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [8] A. Mahmoodi Rishakani, S. M. Dehnavi, M. R. Mirzaee Shamsabad, Hamidreza Maimani, Einollah Pasha, "New Concepts in Design of Lightweight MDS Diffusion Layers", ISCISC'14, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran, 2014.
- [9] Mahdi Sajadieh, Mohammad Dakhilalian, Hamid Mala, Pouyan Sepehrdad: Recursive Diffusion Layers for Block Ciphers and Hash Functions. FSE 2012: 385-401
- [10] B. Schneier, J. Kelsey, D. Whiting, D. Wagner, C. Hall, N. Ferguson, Twofish: A 128-bit Block Cipher; 15 June, 1998
- [11] Douglas R. Stinson, "Combinatorial Designs: Constructions and Analysis", Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- [12] S. Vaudenay, "On the Need for Multipermutations: Cryptanalysis of MD4 and SAFER", In B. Preenel, editor, Fast Software Encryption. Proceedings, LNCS 1008, (1995), 286-297.
- [13] J. Zhou, A Note on the Constructions of Orthomorphic Permutations, International Journal of Network Security, Vol.10, No.1, PP.57-61, Jan. 2010.