

Building hyper Dirichlet processes for graphical models

Daniel S. Heinz, *Carnegie Mellon University*

Abstract

Graphical models are used to describe the conditional independence relations in multivariate data. They have been used for a variety of problems, including log-linear models (Liu and Massam, 2006), network analysis (Holland and Leinhardt, 1981; Strauss and Ikeda, 1990; Wasserman and Pattison, 1996; Pattison and Wasserman, 1999; Robins et al., 1999), graphical Gaussian models (Roverato and Whittaker, 1998; Giudici and Green, 1999; Marrelec and Benali, 2006), and genetics (Dobra et al., 2004). A distribution that satisfies the conditional independence structure of a graph is Markov. A graphical model is a family of distributions that is restricted to be Markov with respect to a certain graph. In a Bayesian problem, one may specify a prior over the graphical model. Such a prior is called a hyper Markov law if the random marginals also satisfy the independence constraints. Previous work in this area includes (Dempster, 1972; Dawid and Lauritzen, 1993; Giudici and Green, 1999; Letac and Massam, 2007). We explore graphical models based on a non-parametric family of distributions, developed from Dirichlet processes.

AMS 2000 subject classifications: Primary 36E05; secondary 62G99.

Keywords: Hyper Markov law, stick-breaking measure, non-parametric prior, decomposable graphical distribution, covariance selection.



Full Text: [PDF](#)

Heinz, Daniel S., Building hyper Dirichlet processes for graphical models, *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, 3, (2009), 290-315 (electronic). DOI: 10.1214/08-EJS269.

References

Bush, C.A. and MacEachern, S.N. (1996). A semiparametric Bayesian model for randomized block designs. *Biometrika*, 83 275–285.

Carvalho, C., Massam, H. and West, M. (2007). Simulation of hyper-inverse Wishart distributions in graphical models. *Biometrika*, 94 647–659.
<http://ftp.stat.duke.edu/WorkingPapers/05-03.html>. [MR2410014](#)

Dawid, A.P. and Lauritzen, S.L. (1993). Hyper Markov laws in the statistical analysis of decomposable graphical models. *The Annals of Statistics*, 21 1272–1317. [MR1241267](#)

Dempster, A. (1972). Covariance selection. *Biometrics*, 28 157–175.

Dobra, A., Hans, C., Jones, B., Nevins, J., Yao, G. and West, M. (2004). Sparse graphical models for exploring gene expression data. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 90 196–212.
[MR2064941](#)

Escobar, M.D. and West, M. (1995). Bayesian density estimation and inference using mixtures. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90 577–588. [MR1340510](#)

Ferguson, T.S. (1973). A Bayesian analysis of some nonparametric problems. *The Annals of Statistics*, 1 209–230. [MR0350949](#)

Ghosh, J.K. and Ramamoorthi, R. (1995). Consistency of Bayesian inference for survival analysis with or without censoring. In *Analysis of Censored Data* (H. Koul and J. Deshpande, eds.). 95–104. [MR1483342](#)

Giudici, P. and Green, P. (1999). Decomposable graphical gaussian model determination. *Biometrika*, 86 785–801. [MR1741977](#)

Griffin, J.E. and Steel, M.F.J. (2006). Order-based dependent Dirichlet processes. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 101. [MR2268037](#)

Holland, P.W. and Leinhardt, S. (1981). An exponential family of probability distributions. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 76 33–50. [MR0608176](#)

Kim, Y. and Lee, J. (2001). On posterior consistency of survival models. *The Annals of Statistics*, 29 668–686. [MR1865336](#)

Letac, G. and Massam, H. (2007). Wishart distributions for decomposable graphs. *The Annals of Statistics*, 35 1278–1323. [MR2341706](#)

Liu, J. and Massam, H. (2006). The conjugate prior for discrete hierarchical log-linear models. <http://www.citebase.org/abstract?id=oai:arXiv.org:math/0609100>.

Marrelec, G. and Benali, H. (2006). Asymptotic Bayesian structure learning using graph supports for Gaussian graphical models. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 97 1451–1466. [MR2256161](#)

Pattison, P. and Wasserman, S. (1999). Logit models and logistic regression for social networks: II. multivariate relations. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 52 169–193.

Pievatolo, A. and Rotondi, R. (2000). Analysing the interevent time distribution to identify seismicity phases: A Bayesian nonparametric approach to the multiple changepoint problem. *Applied Statistics*, 49 543–562. [MR1824558](#)

Robins, G., Pattison, P. and Wasserman, S. (1999). Logit models and logistic regressions for social networks: III. valued relations. *Psychometrika*, 64 371–394. [MR1720089](#)

Roverato, A. and Whittaker, J. (1998). The Isserlis matrix and its application to non-decomposable graphical Gaussian models. *Biometrika*, 85 711–725. [MR1665842](#)

Schervish, M.J. (1995). *Theory of Statistics*. Springer-Verlag, New York. [MR1354146](#)

Sethuraman, J. (1994). A constructive definition of Dirichlet measures. *Statistica Sinica*.

Speed, T. and Kiiveri, H. (1986). Gaussian Markov distributions over finite graphs. *The Annals of Statistics*, 14 138–150. [MR0829559](#)

Strauss, D. and Ikeda, M. (1990). Pseudolikelihood estimation for social networks. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 85 204–212. [MR1137368](#)

Susarla, V. and Ryzin, J.V. (1976). Nonparametric Bayesian estimation of survival curves from incomplete observations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 71 897–902. [MR0436445](#)

Wasserman, S. and Pattison, P. (1996). Logit models and logistic regressions for social networks: I. An introduction to Markov graphs and p^* . *Psychometrika*, 61 401–425. [MR1424909](#)