

[Home](#) > [Journal](#) > [Earth & Environmental Sciences](#) > [JWARP](#)
[Indexing](#) | [View Papers](#) | [Aims & Scope](#) | [Editorial Board](#) | [Guideline](#) | [Article Processing Charges](#)
[JWARP](#) > Vol.2 No.3, March 2010



## Simulation of Runoff and Sediment Yield for a Himalayan Watershed Using SWAT Model

PDF (Size: 7012KB) PP. 267-281 DOI: 10.4236/jwarp.2010.23031

### Author(s)

Sanjay K. Jain, Jaivir Tyagi, Vishal Singh

### ABSTRACT

Watershed is considered to be the ideal unit for management of the natural resources. Extraction of watershed parameters using Remote Sensing and Geographical Information System (GIS) and use of mathematical models is the current trend for hydrologic evaluation of watersheds. The Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) having an interface with ArcView GIS software (AVSWAT2000/X) was selected for the estimation of runoff and sediment yield from an area of Suni to Kasol, an intermediate watershed of Satluj river, located in Western Himalayan region. The model was calibrated for the years 1993 & 1994 and validated with the observed runoff and sediment yield for the years 1995, 1996 and 1997. The performance of the model was evaluated using statistical and graphical methods to assess the capability of the model in simulating the run-off and sediment yield from the study area. The coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) for the daily and monthly runoff was obtained as 0.53 and 0.90 respectively for the calibration period and 0.33 and 0.62 respectively for the validation period. The R<sup>2</sup> value in estimating the daily and monthly sediment yield during calibration was computed as 0.33 and 0.38 respectively. The R<sup>2</sup> for daily and monthly sediment yield values for 1995 to 1997 was observed to be 0.26 and 0.47.

### KEYWORDS

AVSWATX, Calibration, Validation, Image Processing, Remote Sensing, GIS, Runoff, Sediment Yield

### Cite this paper

S. Jain, J. Tyagi and V. Singh, "Simulation of Runoff and Sediment Yield for a Himalayan Watershed Using SWAT Model," *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, Vol. 2 No. 3, 2010, pp. 267-281. doi: 10.4236/jwarp.2010.23031.

### References

- [1] J. G. Arnold, P. M. Allen, and D. Morgan, " Hydrologic model for design of constructed wetlands," *Wetlands*, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 167– 178, 2001.
- [2] J. G. Arnold, and N. Fohrer, " SWAT2000: Current capabilities and research opportunities in applied watershed modeling," *Hydrology Process*, Vol. 19, pp. 563– 572, 2005.
- [3] J. G. Arnold, R. S. Srinivasan, and J. R. Williams, " Large area hydrologic modeling and assessment: Part 1. Model development," *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, Vol. 34, No. 7389, 1998.
- [4] J. Benaman, C. A. Shoemaker, and D. A. Haith, " Model-ing non-point source pollution using a distributed water-shed model for the Cannonsville Reservoir Basin," *Dela-ware County, New York. Proceedings of the World Wa-ter & Environmental Resources Congress*, May 20-24, 2001.
- [5] D. K. Borah and M. Bera, " SWAT model background and application reviews," Paper Number: 032054, Pre-sented at the ASAE Annual International Meeting, July 27-July 30, 2003, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA.
- [6] K. Eckhardt and J. G. Arnold, " Automatic calibration of a distributed catchment model," *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 251, pp. 103– 109, 2001.

- [Open Special Issues](#)
- [Published Special Issues](#)
- [Special Issues Guideline](#)

[JWARP Subscription](#)
[Most popular papers in JWARP](#)
[About JWARP News](#)
[Frequently Asked Questions](#)
[Recommend to Peers](#)
[Recommend to Library](#)
[Contact Us](#)

Downloads:	402,262
Visits:	1,010,641

[Sponsors, Associates, and Links >>](#)

- [7] N. Fohrer, D. Moller, and N. Steiner, " An interdisciplinary modeling approach to evaluate the effects of land use change," *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, Vol. 27, pp. 655– 662, 2002.
- [8] A. Francos, G. Bidoglio, L. Galbiati, F. Bouraoui, F. J. Elorza, S. Rekolainen, K. Manni, and K. Granlund, " Hydrological and water quality modelling in medium sized coastal basin," *Physics Chemistry Earth (B)*, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 47– 52, 2001.
- [9] N. S. Hsu, J. -T. Kuo, and W. S. Chu, " Proposed daily streamflow-forecasting model for reservoir operation," *Journal of Water Resource Planning and Management*, ASCE, Vol. 121, No. 2, 1995.
- [10] M. Jha, W. Philip Gassman, S. Secchi, R. Gu, and J. Arnold, " Effect of watershed subdivision on SWAT flow, sediment and nutrient predictions," *Journal of American Water Resources Association*, Vol. 40, No. 3, pp. 811– 825, 2004.
- [11] K. W. King, J. G. Arnold, and R. L. Bingner, " Comparison of Green-Ampt and curve number methods on Goodwin Creek watershed using SWAT," *Transactions of the ASAE*, Vol. 42, No. 4, pp. 919– 925, 1999.
- [12] J. E. Nash and J. V. Sutcliffe, " River flow forecasting through conceptual models Part I-a discussion of principles," *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 10, pp. 282– 290, 1970.
- [13] S. L. Neitsch, J. G. Arnold, J. R. Kiniry, J. R. Williams, and K. W. King, " Soil and water assessment tool theoretical documentation - version 2000," *Soil and Water Research Laboratory, Agricultural Research Service, Grassland, 808 East Blackland Road, Temple, Texas.*
- [14] S. L. Neitsch, J. G. Arnold, J. R. Kiniry, R. Srinivasan, and J. R. Williams, " Soil and water assessment tool user' s manual - version 2000," *Soil and Water Research Laboratory, Agricultural Research Service, Grassland, 808 East Blackland Road, Temple, Texas.*
- [15] J. R. Peterson and J. M. Hamlett, " Hydrologic calibration of the SWAT model in a watershed containing fragipan soils," *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, Vol. 37, No. 2, pp. 295– 303, 1998.
- [16] J. Singh, K. H. Verman, and M. Demissie, " Hydrologic modelling of the iroquois river watershed using