

ON ABSOLUTE CESÀRO SUMMABILITY FACTORS OF INFINITE SERIES

HIKMET SEYHAN ÖZARSLAN*

Department of Mathematics, Erciyes University, Kayseri 38039, TURKEY

(Communicated by Hüseyin Bor)

Abstract

In this paper, a general theorem concerning the $\varphi - |C, 1|_k$ summability factors of infinite series has been proved.

AMS Subject Classification: 40F05; 40D15; 40D25.

Keywords: Absolute Cesàro summability, infinite series, summability factors.

1 Introduction

Let (φ_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers and let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums (s_n) . By (t_n) , we denote the n -th $(C, 1)$ means of the sequence (na_n) . The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|C, 1|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [1])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n|^k < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

and it is said to be summable $\varphi - |C, 1|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |t_n|^k < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

If we take $\varphi = n$, then $\varphi - |C, 1|_k$ summability reduces to $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

2 The Known Result.

Concerning the $|C, 1|_k$ summability factors, Mazhar [2] has proved the following theorem.

*E-mail address: seyhan@erciyes.edu.tr

Theorem 2.1. *If*

$$\lambda_m = o(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m n \log n |\Delta^2 \lambda_n| = O(1), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} = O(\log m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.3)$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|C, 1|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

3 The Main Result.

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 2.1 for the $\phi - |C, 1|_k$ summability. Now we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (ϕ_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers and the conditions (2.1)-(2.2) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. If*

$$\sum_{v=1}^m \frac{\phi_v^{k-1}}{v^k} |t_v|^k = O(\log m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.1)$$

$$\sum_{n=v}^m \frac{\phi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k+1}} = O\left(\frac{\phi_v^{k-1}}{v^k}\right), \quad (3.2)$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $\phi - |C, 1|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

It should be noted that if we take $\phi_n = n$ in Theorem 3.1, then we get Theorem 2.1. Because in this case condition (3.1) reduces to condition (2.3) and condition (3.2) reduces to

$$\sum_{n=v}^m \frac{1}{n^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{v}\right), \quad (3.3)$$

but this always holds.

4 Proof of the Theorem 3.1.

Let T_n be the n -th $(C, 1)$ means of the sequence $(na_n \lambda_n)$. Applying Abel's transformation, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^n v a_v \lambda_v = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta \lambda_v \sum_{r=0}^v r a_r + \frac{\lambda_n}{n+1} \sum_{r=0}^n r a_r \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} (v+1) \Delta \lambda_v t_v + \lambda_n t_n \\ &= T_{n,1} + T_{n,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|T_{n,1} + T_{n,2}|^k < 2^k(|T_{n,1}|^k + |T_{n,2}|^k)$, in order to complete the proof of the Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2. \quad (4.1)$$

Now, when $k > 1$, applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k' , where $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |T_{n,1}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} \left| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta \lambda_v (v+1) t_v \right|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^{2k}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v| \right\}^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^{2k}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v |\Delta \lambda_v| \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^n v |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \right\} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \left\{ \sum_{n=v}^m \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k+1}} \right\} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v |\Delta \lambda_v| \frac{\Phi_v^{k-1}}{v^k} |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(v|\Delta \lambda_v)| \left| \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{\Phi_r^{k-1}}{r^k} |t_r|^k + m |\Delta \lambda_m| \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{\Phi_r^{k-1}}{r^k} |t_r|^k \right| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| \log v + \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta^2 \lambda_v| \log v + m |\Delta \lambda_m| \log m \\ &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the Theorem 3.1. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |T_{n,2}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |\lambda_n t_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |t_n|^k \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} \Delta \lambda_v \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \lambda_v| \sum_{n=1}^v \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |t_n|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \lambda_v| \log v \\ &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the Theorem 3.1. Therefore we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |T_{n,r}|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

References

- [1] T. M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **7** (1957), pp. 113-141.
- [2] S. M. Mazhar, On $|C, 1|_k$ summability factors of infinite series. *Indian J. Math.* **14** (1972), pp. 45-48.
- [3] H. Seyhan, *The absolute summability methods* . Ph.D. Thesis, Kayseri (1995), pp. 1-57.