

POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF THE SEMIPOSITONE STURM-LIOUVILLE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

ERIC R. KAUFMANN* AND NICKOLAI KOSMATOV†

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Arkansas at Little Rock
Little Rock, Arkansas 72204-1099, USA

(Communicated by Toka Diagana)

Abstract

Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale. We study the nonlinear Sturm-Liouville problem

$$\begin{aligned} -u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) &= \lambda f(t, u(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u^{\Delta}(0) &= 0, \quad \gamma u(\sigma(1)) + \delta u^{\Delta}(\sigma(1)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$, $\gamma\beta + \alpha\delta + \alpha\gamma\sigma(1) > 0$. The inhomogeneous term $f: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sign-changing continuous function. Using Krasnosel'skiĭ's cone-theoretic theorem, we obtain existence theorems for at least one or two positive solutions.

AMS Subject Classification: 34B10, 34B15, 34G20.

Keywords: fixed point theorem, Green's function, positive solutions, semipositone boundary value problem.

1 Introduction

We study

$$-u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = \lambda f(t, u(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (1.1)$$

$$\alpha u(0) - \beta u^{\Delta}(0) = 0, \quad \gamma u(\sigma(1)) + \delta u^{\Delta}(\sigma(1)) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ and $\gamma\beta + \alpha\delta + \alpha\gamma\sigma(1) > 0$, and $f: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sign-changing continuous function.

This manuscript is partially motivated by the results in the papers [1, 10, 12, 14]. In [1] Agarwal *et al.* showed the existence of positive solutions to semipositone (n, p) conjugate

*E-mail address: erkaufmann@ualr.edu

†E-mail address: nxkosmatov@ualr.edu

boundary value problems. In [10], Kosmatov showed the existence of at least one positive solution to the m -point eigenvalue problem $-[p(t)u'(t)]' = \lambda f(t, u(t)), 0 < t < 1, u'(0) = 0, \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\eta_i) = u(1)$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i < 1$. Sun and Sun, [12], established the existence of at least one positive solution to the singular semipositone boundary value problem $-u'' + m^2 u = \lambda f(t, u) + g(t, u), 0 < t < 1, u'(0) = 0, u'(1) = 0$. Yu *et al.*, in [14], gave sufficient conditions for the existence of multiple positive solutions of the boundary value problem $x''' - \lambda f(t, x) = 0, 0 < t < 1, x(0) = x'(\eta) = x''(1) = 0$ where f is allowed to be singular at $t = 0$ or $t = 1$.

We present some basic definitions which can be found in Atici and Guseinov [2], Bohner and Peterson [3, 4], Hilger [7], and Kaymakçalan *et al.* [9].

A *time scale* \mathbb{T} is a closed nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} . For $t < \sup \mathbb{T}$ and $r > \inf \mathbb{T}$, we define the *forward jump operator*, σ , and the *backward jump operator*, ρ , respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(t) &= \inf\{\tau \in \mathbb{T} \mid \tau > t\} \in \mathbb{T}, \\ \rho(r) &= \sup\{\tau \in \mathbb{T} \mid \tau < r\} \in \mathbb{T},\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. If $\sigma(t) > t$, t is said to be *right scattered*, and if $\sigma(t) = t$, t is said to be *right dense* (rd). If $\rho(t) < t$, t is said to be *left scattered*, and if $\rho(t) = t$, t is said to be *left dense* (ld). A function f is left-dense continuous, ld-continuous, if f is continuous at each left dense point in \mathbb{T} and its right-sided limits exist at each right dense points in \mathbb{T} .

For $u: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$, (assume t is not left scattered if $t = \sup \mathbb{T}$), we define the *delta derivative* of $u(t)$, $u^\Delta(t)$, to be the number (when it exists), with the property that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood, U , of t such that

$$|u(\sigma(t)) - u(s) - u^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|,$$

for all $s \in U$.

In [7], Hilger established the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $t \in \mathbb{T}$, (if \mathbb{T} has a left-scattered maximum at m , let $t \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{m\}$).*

- (i) *If f is differential at t , then f is continuous at t .*
- (ii) *If f is continuous at t and t is right-scattered, then f is differential at t with*

$$f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(\sigma(t)) - f(t)}{\sigma(t) - t}.$$

- (iii) *If f is differentiable at t and t is right-dense, then*

$$f^\Delta(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}.$$

The solution of the homogeneous dynamic equation (1.1) with the boundary conditions (1.2) is the Green's function

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\rho} \begin{cases} (\alpha + \beta)(\gamma(\sigma(1) - \sigma(s)) + \delta), & t \leq s, \\ (\alpha\sigma(s) + \beta)(\gamma(\sigma(1) - t) + \delta), & \sigma(s) \leq t, \end{cases}$$

where $\rho = \gamma\beta + \alpha\delta + \alpha\gamma\sigma(1) > 0$.

For the sake of completeness we present some properties of Green's function $G(t, s)$, which can be found in [4, 5, 6].

Lemma 1.2. *The Green's function satisfies*

$$(i) \quad G(t, s) \geq 0, \quad (t, s) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times [0, 1];$$

$$(ii) \quad G(t, s) = G(\sigma(s), \rho(t)), \quad t, s \in [\sigma(0), 1];$$

$$(iii) \quad G(t, s) \leq G(\sigma(s), s), \quad (t, s) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times [0, 1];$$

$$(iv) \quad G(t, s) \geq \kappa_1 G(\sigma(s), s), \quad (t, s) \in \left[\frac{\sigma(1)}{4}, \frac{3\sigma(1)}{4} \right] \times [0, 1], \text{ where}$$

$$\kappa_1 = \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha\sigma(1) + 4\beta}{4(\alpha\sigma(1) + \beta)}, \frac{\gamma\sigma(1) + 4\delta}{4(\gamma\sigma(1) + \delta)} \right\};$$

$$(v) \quad G(t, s) \leq \Gamma, \quad (t, s) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times [0, 1], \text{ where}$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{4} \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\delta}{\gamma} + \sigma(1), & \alpha\gamma \neq 0, \\ \frac{\delta}{\gamma} + \sigma(1), & \alpha = 0, \\ \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \sigma(1), & \gamma = 0. \end{cases}$$

We assume that the set $[0, \sigma(1)]$ is such that

$$\zeta = \min \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{T} : \tau \geq \frac{\sigma(1)}{4} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \max \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{T} : \tau \leq \frac{3\sigma(1)}{4} \right\}$$

exist and satisfy

$$\frac{\sigma(1)}{4} \leq \zeta < \omega \leq \frac{3\sigma(1)}{4}.$$

We assume also that if $\sigma(\omega) = 1$, then $\sigma(\omega) < \sigma(1)$.

Define

$$\kappa = \min \left\{ \kappa_1, \min_{s \in [\zeta, \omega]} \frac{G(\sigma(\omega), s)}{G(\sigma(s), s)} \right\}.$$

Consider the equation

$$-u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = g(\sigma(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \tag{1.3}$$

subject to the boundary conditions (1.2). The following result is obvious.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $g \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^+)$. Then*

$$u(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) g(\sigma(s)) \Delta s, \quad t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)],$$

is the unique nonnegative solution of (1.3), (1.2).

Let $g \equiv 1$ and define, for $t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]$,

$$u_1(t) = \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) \Delta s. \quad (1.4)$$

For the solution (1.4) we define the constants

$$A = \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} u_1(t) = \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) \Delta s$$

and

$$B = \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \int_{\zeta}^{\omega} G(t, s) \Delta s.$$

Definition 1.4. Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach space and let $C \subset \mathcal{B}$ be closed and nonempty. Then C is said to be a cone if

1. $\alpha u + \beta v \in C$ for all $u, v \in C$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, and
2. $u, -u \in C$ implies $u \equiv 0$.

We introduce the Banach space $\mathcal{B} = C([0, \sigma^2(1)], \mathbb{R})$ with the norm $\|u\| = \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} |u(t)|$. In the Banach space, as in [4], we define a cone $C \subset \mathcal{B}$ by

$$C = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : u(t) \geq 0 \text{ on } [0, \sigma^2(1)] \text{ and } \min_{t \in [\zeta, \sigma(\omega)]} |u(t)| \geq \kappa \|u\|\}.$$

Based on the construction of the cone C , the following fixed point theorem due to Krasnosel'skiĭ [11] is central in obtaining our main results.

Theorem 1.5. *Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach space and let $C \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a cone in \mathcal{B} . Assume that Ω_1, Ω_2 are open with $0 \in \Omega_1, \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, and let*

$$T : C \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow C$$

be a completely continuous operator such that either

- (i) $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in C \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in C \cap \partial\Omega_2$, or
- (ii) $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$, $u \in C \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$, $u \in C \cap \partial\Omega_2$.

Then T has a fixed point in $C \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

At this point the standing assumptions of the inhomogeneous term of (1.1) are introduced. Let

$$(A_1) \quad f \in C(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R});$$

$$(A_2) \quad \text{there exists } M > 0 \text{ such that } f(t, z) + M \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{T} \times [0, \infty);$$

Furthermore, using (A_2) , we define the function $f_p: C([0, \sigma^2(1)] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ by

$$f_p(t, z) = \begin{cases} f(t, z) + M, & (t, z) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times [0, \infty), \\ f(t, 0) + M, & (t, z) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times (-\infty, 0). \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Consider the dynamic equation

$$-u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = \lambda f_p(t, u(\sigma(t)) - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (1.6)$$

subject to the boundary conditions (1.2).

Definition 1.6. By a positive solution of the boundary problem (1.1), (1.2) we understand a function $u \in C^2([0, \sigma^2(1)], \mathbb{R}^+)$, which satisfies (1.1) on the interval $[0, 1]$ and the boundary conditions (1.2).

Lemma 1.7. *The function u is a positive solution of the boundary problem (1.1), (1.2) if and only if the function $v = u + \lambda M u_1$ is a solution of the boundary value problem (1.6), (1.2) satisfying*

$$v(\sigma(t)) > \lambda M u_1(\sigma(t)), \quad (1.7)$$

for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Suppose function v a solution of the boundary problem (1.6), (1.2) with $v(\sigma(t)) > \lambda M u_1(\sigma(t))$, $t \in (0, 1)$. Note that function u_1 satisfies, for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$-u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = 1.$$

Then, for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} -u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) &= -(v - \lambda M u_1)^{\Delta\Delta}(t) \\ &= -v^{\Delta\Delta}(t) - \lambda M \\ &= \lambda f_p(t, v(\sigma(t))) - \lambda M \\ &= \lambda(f(t, v(\sigma(t)) - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(t))) + M) - \lambda M \\ &= \lambda f(t, u(\sigma(t))), \end{aligned}$$

that is, u is a positive solution of (1.1).

Conversely, if u is a positive solution of (1.1), (1.2), then $v(\sigma(t)) > \lambda M u_1(\sigma(t))$, $t \in (0, 1)$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} -v^{\Delta\Delta}(t) &= -(u + \lambda M u_1)^{\Delta\Delta}(t) \\ &= -u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) + \lambda M \\ &= \lambda f(t, u(\sigma(t))) + \lambda M \\ &= \lambda(f_p(t, v(\sigma(t)) - \lambda u_1(\sigma(t))) - M) + \lambda M \\ &= \lambda(f_p(t, v(\sigma(t)) - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(t))), \end{aligned}$$

that is, v satisfies (1.6).

It is clear v satisfies (1.2) if and only if u satisfies (1.2). \square

An integral operator $T: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ associated with the boundary value problem (1.6), (1.2) is defined by

$$T_\lambda u(t) = \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t,s) f_p(s, u(\sigma(s)) - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(s))) \Delta s, \quad t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)].$$

It is not difficult to establish the following two results.

Lemma 1.8. *The operator $T_\lambda: C \rightarrow C$ is completely continuous.*

Lemma 1.9. *A function $u \in \mathcal{B}$ is a positive solution of the boundary value problem (1.6), (1.2) if and only if $T_\lambda|_C(u) = u$.*

The idea is obtain a positive (by Lemma 1.9) solution of the auxiliary boundary value problem (1.6), (1.2). By virtue of Lemma 1.7, it will follow that the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) has a positive solution.

2 Positive solutions

We present our main results.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume the hypotheses (A_1) and (A_2) . Assume*

$$(H_1) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t,z)}{z} = \infty \text{ holds uniformly on the interval } [\zeta, \omega].$$

Then, for a sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$, the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. Let $R_1 > 0$ be fixed. Define

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < R_1\}.$$

Let

$$K = \max_{(t,z) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times [0, R_1]} f_p(t, z).$$

Define $\Omega_1 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < R_1\}$, then, for $u \in C \cap \partial\Omega_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda u\| &= \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t,s) f_p(s, u(\sigma(s)) - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \lambda K \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t,s) \Delta s \\ &= \lambda K A \\ &\leq R_1, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\lambda \in (0, \frac{R_1}{KA}]$. That is,

$$\|T_\lambda u\| \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in C \cap \partial\Omega_1, \tag{2.1}$$

for a sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$.

Fix λ from the interval above. It follows from (1.5) and (H_1) that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_p(t, z)}{z} = \infty$$

uniformly on the interval $[\zeta, \omega]$. Hence there exists an $R^* > \max\left\{\frac{\lambda MA}{\kappa}, R_1\right\}$ such that

$$f_p(t, z) \geq \frac{2R^*}{\lambda \kappa B},$$

for all $t \in [\zeta, \omega]$ and all $z \geq R^*$.

Set $R_2 = \frac{2R^*}{\kappa}$ and define

$$\Omega_2 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < R_2\}.$$

Then, for $u \in \mathcal{C} \cap \partial\Omega_2$, we have

$$u(\sigma(s)) - u_1(\sigma(s)) \geq \kappa \|u\| - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(s)) \geq 2R^* - \lambda MA > R^*$$

for all $s \in [\zeta, \omega]$. Hence

$$f_p(s, u(\sigma(s)) - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(s))) \geq \frac{2R^*}{\lambda \kappa B} = \frac{R_2}{\lambda B},$$

for all $s \in [\zeta, \omega]$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{C} \cap \Omega_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda u\| &= \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) f_p(s, u(\sigma(s)) - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \int_\zeta^\omega G(t, s) f_p(s, u(\sigma(s)) - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \int_\zeta^\omega G(t, s) \frac{R_2}{\lambda B} \Delta s \\ &= R_2, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\|T_\lambda u\| \geq \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{C} \cap \partial\Omega_2. \quad (2.2)$$

By Lemma (1.8), the operator T_λ is completely continuous and preserves the cone \mathcal{C} . By Theorem 1.5, it follows from the inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) that T_λ has a fixed point $u_p \in \mathcal{C} \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$. By Lemma 1.9, u_p is a positive solution of the boundary value problem (1.6), (1.2).

Suppose $u_p(0) > 0$ and $u_p(\sigma(1)) > 0$ and setting $m = \min\{u_p(\sigma(0)), u_p(\sigma(1))\}$. Then we obtain, for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$u_p(\sigma(t)) - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(t)) \geq m - \lambda MA > 0$$

provided $\lambda < \frac{m}{MA}$. Thus the inequality (1.7) is fulfilled.

Suppose $u_p(0) = 0$ and $u_p(\sigma(1)) > 0$. Then, clearly, $u_p^\Delta(0) > 0$. If $t = 0$ is right-scattered, then $u_p(\sigma(0)) > \sigma(0) > 0$. With the choice of $\lambda > 0$ above, we can ensure (1.7) for all $t \in (0, 1)$. If $t = 0$ is right-dense, then there exists constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and a neighborhood $(0, r]$ such that for all $t \in (0, r)$ we have, respectively, $u_p(t) > c_1 t$ and $u_1(\sigma(t)) < c_2 t$. Choosing $\lambda < \frac{c_1}{Mc_2}$, we obtain

$$u_p(\sigma(t)) - \lambda M u_1(\sigma(t)) > (c_1 - \lambda M c_2) \sigma(t) > 0$$

for $t \in (0, \sigma(r)]$. Setting, in addition, $\lambda < (\min\{u_p(\sigma(r)), u_p(\sigma(1))\})/MA$ yields (1.7) for all $t \in [r, 1)$, and thus (1.7) holds for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

The cases of $u_p(0) > 0$ and $u_p(\sigma(1)) = 0$ and $u_p(0) = u_p(\sigma(1)) = 0$ are treated in a similar fashion.

Recall that we already have $\lambda > 0$ satisfy $\lambda \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{MA}$. In addition, from the considerations above we obtain, that in each case of boundary conditions (1.2), we can find a sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$ such that Lemma 1.7 applies to yield that $u = u_p - \lambda M u_1$ is a positive solution of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2). \square

Example 2.2. Let $\mathbb{T} = (-\infty, 0] \cup \{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}\} \cup \{1 - \frac{1}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cup [1, \infty)$ and consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) &= \lambda f(u(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad u^\Delta(\sigma(1)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(z) = z^{3/2} - z^{1/2}$. The Green function $G(t, s)$ is

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} t, & t \leq s \\ \sigma(s), & \sigma(s) \leq t \end{cases}.$$

Note that $\sigma(1) = 1$ and $\kappa_1 = \frac{1}{4}$, $\zeta = \frac{1}{4}$, and $\omega = \frac{3}{4}$. Also, $\sigma(\omega) = \frac{4}{5} < 1$. Since, $G(\sigma(\omega), s) = \sigma(s)$, then $\kappa = \kappa_1 = \frac{1}{4}$. Finally, $A = \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) \Delta s = \frac{24\pi^2 - 155}{6}$.

The function f satisfies $f(z) \geq -\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{9}$ for all $z \geq 0$ and it is easy to check that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(z)}{z} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence f satisfies conditions (A1), (A2) and (H1). By Theorem 2.1 the boundary value problem has at least one positive solution for small values of λ .

Our next theorem is a multiplicity result.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume the hypotheses (A₁) and (A₂). Assume, in addition to (H₁),*

(H₂) $f(t, 0) \not\equiv 0$ on $[0, \sigma(1)]$, and there exists $l > 0$ such that $f(t, z) \geq 0$ on $[0, \sigma(1)] \times [0, l]$.

Then, for a sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$, the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) has at least two positive solutions.

Proof. Let, without loss of generality, the solutions u_p and u in the proof of Theorem 2.1 satisfy, for all $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$u(\sigma(t)) - \frac{1}{2} u_p(\sigma(t)) > 0 \tag{2.3}$$

and set $r = \|u_p\|$. Then $\|u\| \geq \frac{r}{2}$.

It follows from (H_2) that there exist constants $l, L > 0$ such that $0 \leq f(t, z) \leq L$, for all $(t, z) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times [0, l]$. Define

$$g_p(t, z) = \begin{cases} f(t, z), & (t, z) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times [0, l], \\ f(t, r), & (t, z) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times (l, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

which satisfies

$$0 \leq g_p(t, z) \leq L,$$

for all $(t, z) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times [0, \infty)$.

We introduce the dynamic equation

$$-u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) = \lambda g_p(t, z), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (2.5)$$

subject to the boundary condition (1.2). We use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 to introduce an integral operator $T_\lambda: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ defined by

$$T_\lambda u(t) = \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) g_p(s, u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s, \quad t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)].$$

The operator $T_\lambda: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is completely continuous.

Let $r_1 = \min\{\frac{r}{2}, l\}$ and introduce

$$K' = \max_{(t, z) \in [0, \sigma(1)] \times [0, r_1]} g_p(t, z).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we define $\Omega'_1 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < R_1\}$, and, for $u \in \mathcal{C} \cap \partial\Omega'_1$, obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda u\| &= \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) g_p(s, u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \\ &= \lambda K' A \\ &\leq r_1, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\lambda \in (0, \frac{R_1}{K'A}]$. That is,

$$\|T_\lambda u\| \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{C} \cap \partial\Omega'_1, \quad (2.6)$$

if $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently small.

It follows from (2.4) and (H_2) that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_p(t, z)}{z} = \infty$$

uniformly on the interval $[\zeta, \omega]$. Hence there exists an $0 < r_2 < r_1$ such that

$$g_p(t, z) \geq \frac{z}{\lambda \kappa B}, \quad (2.7)$$

for all $t \in [\zeta, \omega]$ and all $z \leq r_2$.

Define

$$\Omega'_2 = \{u \in \mathcal{B} : \|u\| < r_2\}.$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{C} \cap \Omega_2$. Then, $u(\sigma(s)) \geq \kappa r_2$, $s \in [\zeta, \omega]$, and, by (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda u\| &= \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) g_p(s, u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \int_\zeta^\omega G(t, s) g_p(s, u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s \\ &\geq \lambda \sup_{t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)]} \int_\zeta^\omega G(t, s) \frac{u(\sigma(s))}{\lambda \kappa B} \Delta s \\ &= r_2, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\|T_\lambda u\| \geq \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{C} \cap \partial \Omega'_2. \quad (2.8)$$

By Theorem 1.5, it follows from the inequalities (2.6) and (2.8) that T_λ has a fixed point $u' \in \mathcal{C} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_1 \setminus \Omega'_2)$. We conclude that u' is a positive solution of the boundary value problem (1.6), (1.2). Since $\|u'\| \leq r_1 \leq \min\{\frac{r}{2}, l\} \leq \|u\|$, then u' is also a (different from u) positive solution of (1.1), (1.2). In fact, by the first part of (H_2) , $f(t, 0) \neq 0$ on the interval $[0, \sigma(1)]$, and since $G(t, s) > 0$, $(t, s) \in (0, \sigma(1)) \times (0, 1)$, we see that

$$u(t) = \lambda \int_0^{\sigma(1)} G(t, s) f(s, u(\sigma(s))) \Delta s, \quad t \in [0, \sigma^2(1)],$$

is a positive solution of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2). □

Example 2.4. Let $\mathbb{T} = (-\infty, 0] \cup \{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}\} \cup \{1 - \frac{1}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cup [1, \infty)$ and consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -u^{\Delta\Delta}(t) &= \lambda f(u(\sigma(t))), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad u^\Delta(\sigma(1)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(z) = z^{3/2} - 5z^{1/2} + 4$.

The function f satisfies $f(z) > 0$ for all $z \geq [0, 1)$ and for all $z \geq 0$, we have $f(z) + M \geq 0$, where $M = \frac{10\sqrt{15}}{9}$. Finally, $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(z)}{z} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence f satisfies conditions (A1), (A2), (H1), and (H2). By Theorem 2.3 the boundary value problem has at least two positive solutions for small values of λ .

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace and D. O'Regan, Semipositone higher-order differential equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **17** (2004), 201-207.
- [2] F. M. Atici and G. Sh. Guseinov, On Green's functions and positive solutions for boundary-value problems on time scales, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **141** (2002), 75-99.

-
- [3] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, An introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [4] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, ed. *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [5] L. Erbe and A. C. Peterson, Positive solutions of a nonlinear differential equation on a measure chain, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **32** (2000), no. 5-6, 571-585.
- [6] J. Henderson, Multiple solutions for $2m$ -th order Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems on a measure chain *J. Differential Equations Appl.*, **6** (2000), no. 4, 417-429.
- [7] S. Hilger, Analysis on measure chains - a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results Math.* **18**(1990), 18-56.
- [8] E. R. Kaufmann and N. Kosmatov, Singular Conjugate Boundary Value Problems on a Time Scales, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **10** (2004), no. 2, 119-127.
- [9] B. Kaymakçalan, V. Lakshmikantham, and S. Sivasundaram, *Dynamical Systems on Measure Chains*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1996.
- [10] N. Kosmatov, Semipositone m -point boundary-value problems, *Electron. J. of Differential Equations* **2004** (2004), No. 119, 1-7.
- [11] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ, "Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations", (English) Translated by A.H. Armstrong; A Pergamon Press Book, MacMillan, New York, 1964.
- [12] Y.P. Sun and Y. Sun, Positive Solutions for Singular Semi-Positone Neumann Boundary-Value Problems, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2004** (2004), No. 133, 1-8.
- [13] C. C. Tisdell, P. Drábek, and J. Henderson, Multiple solutions to dynamic equations on time scales, *Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **11** (2004), no. 4, 25-42.
- [14] H. Yu, L. Haiyan, and Y. Liu, Multiple positive solutions to third-order three-point singular semi-positone boundary value problems, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* **114** (2004), No. 4, 409-422.