Internal fixation of fractures of the shaft of the humerus by dynamic compression plate or intramedullary nail: A prospective study

S Raghavendra, Haresh P Bhalodiya

ABSTRACT

Background: The indications for surgical management of fractures of the shaft of the humerus are clear, but selecting the right implant for internal fixation of humeral fractures has been a dilemma.

Materials and Methods: Thirty-six patients (mean age 40.53 years) with fractures of the shaft of the humerus were followed for 12 to 24 months in a prospective study. Eighteen patients each underwent open reduction and internal fixation with compression plating and ante grade interlock nailing. Clinical and radiographic outcome measures included fracture healing, shoulder and elbow functions, need for additional procedures and any complication such as infection and recovery of radial nerve palsy. The results were analyzed statistically using the SPSS 11.5 software, with parametric and nonparametric tests.

Results: Nine of the fractures treated with compression plating and seven of those treated with interlock nailing achieved union within six months. Though there was no significant difference in union time between the treatment groups, patients operated with interlock nailing underwent more number of secondary bone grafting procedures to obtain union (six against two). There were 12 patients (66.6%) with excellent and good results in the plating group compared to four patients (25%) in the nailing group. Interlock nailing was associated with significant reduction in shoulder function (P = 0.03) and in overall results (P = 0.02).

Conclusion: Though there was no significant difference between plating or nailing in terms of time to union, compression plating is the preferred method in the majority of fractures of the shaft of the humerus with better preservation of joint function and lesser need for secondary bone grafting for union.

Key words: Fractures of the shaft of the humerus, intramedullary nailing, plating

ost of the fractures of the shaft of the humerus are best treated nonoperatively. 1-12 Numerous authors 1-12 have highlighted the advantages of conservative, gravity-dependent treatment of these fractures by bracing in ambulatory patients 13 preceded by short period of traction. 13 Operative fracture stabilization carries risk of infection and iatrogenic radial nerve injury. 5.9

Despite this, operative stabilization is warranted in Multipleinjury patients, 1.5.10,13,15-19 segmental humeral fractures, fractures with concomitant ipsilateral forearm fractures, a so-called "floating elbow" 15,19,20 and inability to maintain fracture alignment with nonoperative treatment (either due to angulation or noncompliance in obese or elderly patients). 2,5,6,9,14,18,21,22 Fixation of a fracture of the humeral shaft in the Multiple-injury patient is said to increase the mobility of the patient, simplify the difficult nursing care in the intensive care unit and permit full access to the patient for pulmonary physiotherapy. ¹⁰ Fixation also controls the angulation and length of the fracture in a supine, unconscious patient and allows full, early mobilization of the upper extremity. ^{10,15,23}

Selecting the right implant for internal fixation of humeral fractures remains controversial. Presently, to the best of our knowledge, there are only four published studies in the English language literature with limited number of patients which compare operative results between plating and interlock nailing²⁴⁻²⁷ with contradictory conclusions. We hereby present a prospective comparative study of humerus fracture internally fixed with dynamic compression plate or intramedullary nail.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty-six consecutive patients operated with either compression plating or interlock nailing for acute fractures

Department of Orthopedics, B. J. Medical College and Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad, India

Correspondence: Dr. Raghavendra S,

No. 261, 1st cross, 12th block, Nagarabhavi IInd Stage, Bangalore - 560 072, India. E-mail: drrags_2000@yahoo.com

of shaft humerus during the period of 2000 to 2003 with minimum follow-up of 12 months were included in the present analysis. Eighteen patients each underwent open reduction and internal fixation with compression plating, and ante grade interlock nailing (with open reduction in three patients). All acute diaphyseal fractures included in our study were either closed or open Gustilo Grade I.²⁸ Patients with fractures of the proximal and distal humerus (extraarticular fractures in the proximal and distal 5cm of the bone) and pathological fractures, were not included in our study. Clinical details are presented in Table 1.

The surgeries were performed between six hours to three weeks after the initial injury. Primary bone grafting (n=8) was done when bone loss or comminution was present.¹⁹

During compression plating, we used the posterior approach in 15 patients, with the patient in the lateral position and an antero-lateral approach in a supine position was used in three patients. The choice of the approach was based on fracture position and morphology. A 4.5 mm compression plate (DCP (n = 11) and limited contact DCP (n = 7)) was used in all patients. Interfragmentary compression by means of lag screws was used when required. Generally, a plate that permitted screw fixation to at least six cortices both in the proximal and in the distal fragment was used. 19,29

The ante grade interlock nailing [Figure 1] was used in the study. There are reports to suggest interlock nailing for

Table 1: Clinical details of patients and fracture characteristics

Table 1: Clinical details of patients and fracture characteristics				
	Plating group Nailing group		up Total	
Number	18	18	36	
Sex		0,	7 6	
Male	17	15	32	
Female	1	3	04	
Age (years)		() (5)		
Mean	40.83	40.22	40.53	
Range	22.70	18-70	18-70	
Mechanism of injury				
Road traffic accident	7	· X 9	16	
Fall	9	7	16	
Assault	2	2	4	
Fracture type	, ,	,		
Open grade I	3	2	5	
Closed	15	16	31	
Fracture position				
Lower 1/3	3	5	8	
Middle-lower 1/3	2	2	4	
Middle 1/3	12	8	20	
Middle-upper 1/3	0	2	2	
Upper 1/3	1	1	2	
AO subtype				
A1	2	0	2	
A2	7	8	15	
A3	7	3	10	
B2	2	7	9	
Preoperative radial				
nerve injury	3	1	4	
Associated injury	4	4	8	



Figure 1: Follow up X-ray of a right arm in 9 patient in nailing group shows union of right humerus with ipsilateral olecranon fracture. The union occured in 14 weeks

fractures in any part of the humerus, ¹⁸ but we have used this technique for fractures of the middle 60% of the shaft. ¹⁷

Postoperatively all patients were initiated on active shoulder and elbow mobilization exercises. Periodic radiographic evaluation was carried out to look for union, ^{14,19} to assess the need for additional procedures and to check for complications. All patients were evaluated on the basis of the outcome criteria [Table 2]. When any two different criteria fell into separate categories, the lower category was selected to classify the outcome.

Statistical analysis: The results were analyzed statistically using the SPSS 11.5 software with student's t test and nonparametric tests (Fisher's exact). The value of alpha was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

We have evaluated our patients based on fracture healing, functional restoration of the limb and presence of complications and need for additional procedures. Two patients in the nailing group were lost to follow-up.

All patients in our study achieved union. The majority of our patients (all the 18 patients in the plating group and 13 in the nailing group) achieved union within one year of initiation of treatment; three fractures treated with nailing achieved union after one year. Nine of the fractures treated with compression plating and seven of those treated with interlock nailing achieved union within six months (P=0.744, Fisher's exact test). The average time to union was 25.9 weeks (SD=7.28) in the plating group and 34.6 weeks (SD=20.34) in the nailing group (P=0.12), but the patients operated with interlock nailing underwent more number of secondary bone grafting procedures (six

Table 2: Criteria for evaluation

Criterion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Union	Uneventful	Uneventful	Secondary procedure	Nonunion
Radial nerve palsy	Nil	Transient	No recovery	Disabling, need for addl. procedure
Infection	Nil	Superficial	Deep	Osteomyelitis
Joint movement				
Shoulder	Normal	> 75%	50-75%	< 50%
Elbow	Normal	> 75%	50-75%	< 50%
Occupation	Same	Same	Changed	Left

Table 3: Range of motion of shoulder and elbow

	Shoulder			Elbow				
	Normal	<75%	50-75%	<50%	Normal	>75%	50-75%	<50%
Nailing	8	2	4	2	12	3	1	0
Plating	16	1	0	1	14	3.	1	0

versus two) to obtain union though this difference was not statistically significant. (P= 0.10; Fisher's exact test).

One patient treated with compression plating had an implant failure three months later and underwent implant removal, refixation with interlock nailing and secondary bone grafting and had subsequent union. One patient, who was eventually lost to follow up after 1 month, operated with interlock nailing for a lower third fracture of the shaft had iatrogenic communition of the distal fragment during nailing and required open reduction, encerclage wiring and primary bone grafting and had superficial infection postoperatively. Impingement of the nail at the acromion was noted in two patients and one of them underwent reinsertion of nail.

The range of motion of both the shoulder and elbow joints were compared from the opposite side [Table 3]. In comparison to plating, patients operated with interlock nailing had significant restriction of shoulder movement (P=0.03; Fisher's exact test), the difference in the restriction of the elbow range of motion was not significant among the groups (P=0.72).

Radial nerve palsy [Figures 2 and 3] was present in four patients after injury (11.1% incidence). Of the three patients who had undergone ORIF with compression plating with associated nerve injury, one had full recovery of function and one had partial but useless recovery of motor function and the third didn't recover and did not come for treatment after union of fracture. All these patients were found to have an intact nerve during peroperative exploration. One patient treated with interlock nailing had no recovery of preoperative radial nerve palsy and underwent tendon transfer to improve function; the nerve was found buried in fibrous callus when it was explored at a later date. There were no cases of postoperative radial nerve palsy after interlock nailing, while one patient who had a neuropraxia after plating had full recovery on conservative treatment.





Figures 2 and 3: Pre and post operative radiographs of a patient (plating group) who underwent plating for a 3 week old comminuted fracture of right humerus. The patient had post-operative neuropraxia of the radial nerve which recovered completely on conservative treatment. The patient had union at 21 weeks

The overall results according to the outcome score are given in Table 4. For statistical analysis, we have grouped results considered excellent and good (E+G) and compared them with those considered fair and poor (F+P). Patients operated with plating fared significantly better than those operated with interlock nailing when the overall results were

Table 4: Overall results in various groups

Result	Plating	Nailing
Excellent (E)	8	1
Good (G)	4	3
Fair (F)	5	9
Poor (P)	1	3

analyzed (P=0.02; Fisher's exact test).

Discussion

The indications for surgical management and internal fixation of fractures of the shaft of the humerus are clear. 1,2,5,6,9,10,12-16,18,20-22,30 Compression plating has been regarded as the gold standard for operative treatment with high rates of fracture healing and consolidation 16,19,25,32 and good outcome 16 with no adverse effect of immediate full weight-bearing on fracture union or alignment. 32

Advocates of intramedullary fixation have highlighted various disadvantages of open reduction and internal fixation with compression plating which requires extensive open surgery with stripping of soft tissues from bone,18 a longer operative time⁵ and less secure fixation, especially in the elderly with osteoporotic bone and if crutch walking is required. 5,18 Hall et al., 9 have highlighted three complications associated with plating, namely infection, nonunion and radial nerve injury.^{5,10} Intramedullary fixation is reported to involve a simpler technique with minimal exposure^{8,33,34} and shorter operative time with less blood loss. 5,10,33,35,7,10,21,36 The preservation of fracture hematoma, soft tissue and periosteum around the fracture that occurs with closed unreamed nailing has been proposed for high rates of union and good results, 4,9,10,33 with no risk of iatrogenic radial nerve palsy. 31,37 Locked nailing is said to provide a rotationally stable fixation and avoid the tendency of various unlocked nails to back out. 18,33

Various authors have reported complications associated with intramedullary nailing of the shaft of the humerus. The anatomical configuration of the shaft of the humerus makes it prone for residual fracture site distraction, 7.10,21,36 especially where the sagittal diameter of the distal part is small. 5.10,14 Residual fracture site distraction can lead to increased risk of delayed union /nonunion, 9.10,14,21,36,37 with the need for additional procedures to obtain union. Unlike in more tubular bones like the femur and tibia, interlock nailing has not been recommended as standard method of management for a humeral diaphyseal fracture. 5,24,25 The findings in our study have also demonstrated the same.

Impairment of shoulder function^{4,5,7-10,14,17,18,24-26,34-36} as a consequence of ante grade intramedullary fixation has

been attributed to various reasons. Proximal migration of unlocked or dynamically locked nails with impingement at the acromion^{4,5,8-10,14,17,24,25,35} and consequent impairment of abduction^{8,9} and external rotation⁹ is said to require a secondary procedure for the protruding devices,^{5,9,10,14,17} after which the range of motion increases.¹⁴ Moreover, ante grade nailing has been found to violate the rotator cuff,^{5,7,9,10,14,24} which has been confirmed by sonography of the cuff.⁷ A medial starting point is said to avoid the avascular area of the cuff and give a straight access to the medullary canal, without compromising the rotator cuff healing.³⁸ Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder has also been reported after ante grade nailing.^{5,10,14}

There have been reports of impairment of elbow function after retrograde nailing, 8-10,14 possibly due to myositis ossificans. 8 There is an opinion that retrograde nailing can lead to iatrogenic distal end fracture, especially when attempted in fractures of the distal shaft. 10,18,21

The results of this study demonstrate that though there was no statistically significant difference in the time required for union, patients operated with interlock nail underwent more number of secondary bone grafting procedures than those operated with compression plating. Interlock nailing was associated with significant restriction in shoulder movement (P= 0.03) and a reduction in overall results (P= 0.02). These findings are comparable to other prospective studies. ²⁴⁻²⁷ The overall results were in favor of nailing in a study by Lin²⁴ and in favor of plating in the study by McCormack. ²⁵

We are aware of the fact that we have recruited a fewer numbers of patients, which reduces the power $(1-\beta)$ of the study. A larger randomized trial or may be a multi-center trial can further improve the interpretation of the results.

REFERENCES

- Crenshaw AH Jr. Fractures of shoulder girdle, arm and forearm. *In*: Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics. Canale ST, editor. 9th ed. Mosby: 1998. p. 2296-309.
- 2. Epps CH, Grant RE. Fractures of the shaft of humerus. *In*: Fractures in Adults. Rockwood CA Jr, Green DP, Bucholz RW, editors. JB Lippincott: 1991. p. 843-69.
- 3. Nummi P. Intramedullary fixation with compression for the treatment of fracture in the shaft of the humerus. Fixation with supramid pin and two vitallium screws. Acta Chir Scand 1971;137;71-3.
- Christensen NO. Kuntscher intramedullary reaming and nail fixation for non union of the humerus. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1976;116:222-5.
- 5. Watanabe RS. Intramedullary fixation of complicated fractures of the humeral shaft. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1993;292:255-63.
- 6. Sarmiento A, Latta LL. Closed functional treatment of fractures. Springer: 1981. p. 497.
- 7. Ulrich C. Surgical treatment of humeral diaphyseal fractures.

- *In*: Musculoskeletal trauma series: Humerus. Butterworth Heinemann: 1996. p. 129-43.
- 8. Vander Griend RA, Ward EF, Tomasin J. Closed Kuntscher nailing of humeral shaft fractures. J Trauma 1985;25:1167-9.
- 9. Hall RF, Pankovich AM. Ender nailing of Acute fractures of the humerus. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1987;69:558-67.
- Brumback RJ, Bosse MJ, Burgess AR, Poka A. Intramedullary stabilization of humeral shaft fractures in patients with multiple trauma. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1986;68:960-70.
- 11. Mast JW, Spiegel PG, Harvey JP, Harrison C. Fractures of the humeral shaft: A retrospective study 240 adult fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1975;112:254-62.
- Ruedi T, Moshfegh A, Pfeiffer KM, Allgower M. Fresh fractures of the shaft of the humerus--conservative or operative treatment? Reconstr Surg Traumat 1974;14:65-74.
- Bleeker WA, Nijsten MW, ten Duis HJ. Treatment of humeral shaft fractures related to associated injuries. Acta Orthop Scand 1991;62:148-53.
- 14. Stern PJ, Mattingly DA, Pomeroy DL, Zenni EJ, Kreig JK. Intramedullary fixation of humeral shaft fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1984;66:639-46.
- Lange RH, Foster RJ. Skeletal management of humeral shaft fractures associated with forearm fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1985;195:173-7.
- Paris H, Tropiano P, Clouet D'orval B, Chaudet H, Poitout DG. Fractures of the shaft of the humerus: Systemic plate fixation. (Review), (French). Revue de Chirurgie Orthopedique et Reparatrice de l Appareil Moteur 2000;86:346-59.
- 17. Habernek H, Orthner E. A locking nail for fractures of the humerus. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1991;73:651-3.
- 18. Ingman AM, Waters DA. Locked intramedullary nailing of humeral shaft fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1994;76:23-9.
- Vander Greind RA, Tomasin J, Ward EF. Open reduction and internal fixation of humeral shaft fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1986;68:430-3.
- 20. Roger JF, Benett JB, Tullos HS. Management of concomitant ipsilateral fractures of the humerus and forearm. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1984;66:552-6.
- 21. Durbin RA, Gottesman MJ. Saunders KC. Hackethal stacked nailing of humeral shaft fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1983;179:168-74.
- Foster RJ, Dixon GL, Bach AW, Appleyard RW, Green TM. Internal fixation of fractures and non-unions of the humeral shaft. Indications and results in a multi-center study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1985;67:857-64.
- 23. Pollock FH, Drake D, Bovill EG, Day L, Trafton PG. Treatment of radial neuropathy associated with fractures of the humerus. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1981;63:239-43.
- 24. Lin J. Treatment of humeral shaft fractures with humeral locked nail and comparison with plate fixation. J Trauma 1998;44:859-64.

- 25. McCormack RG, Brein D, Buckley RE, Mckee MD, Powell J, Schemitsch EH. Fixation of fractures of the shaft of the humerus by dynamic compression plate or intramedullary nail. A prospective randomized trial. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2000;82:336-9.
- 26. Chapman JR, Henley MB, Agel J, Benca PJ. Randomized prospective study of humeral shaft fracture fixation: Intramedullary nails versus plates. J Orthop Trauma 2000;14:162-6.
- 27. Rodriguez-Merchan EC. Compression plating versus hackethal nailing in closed humeral shaft fractures failing nonoperative reduction. J Orthop Trauma 1995;9:194-7.
- 28. Gustilo RB, Anderson JT. Prevention of infection in the treatment of one thousand and twenty five open fractures of long bones: Retrospective and prospective analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1976:58:453-8
- 29. Healy WL, White GM, Mick CA, Brooker AF Jr, Weiland AJ. Non-union of the humeral shaft. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1987;219:206-13.
- Robinson CM, Bell KM, Court-Brown CM, McQueen MM. Locked nailing of humeral shaft fractures: Experience in Edinburgh over a two-year period. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1992;74:558-62.
- Mauch J, Renner N, Rikli D. Intramedullary nailing of humeral shaft fractures - initial experiences with an unreamed humerus nail. Swiss Surg 2000;6:299-303.
- Tingstad EM, Wolinsky PR, Shyr Y, Johnson KD. Effect of immediate weight bearing on plated fractures of the humeral shaft. J Trauma 2000;49:278-80.
- 33. Gaullier O, Rebai L, Dunaud JL, Moughabghab M, Benaissa S. Treatment of fresh humeral diaphysis fractures by Seidel intramedullary locked nailing. A study of 23 initial cases after 2.5 years with rotator cuff evaluation. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 1999;85:349-61.
- 34. Dujardin FH, Mazirt N, Tobenas AC, Duparc F, Thomine JM. Failure of locked centro-medullary nailing in pseudoarthrosis of the humeral diaphysis. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 2000;86:773-80.
- 35. Chen CM, Chiu FY, Lo WH. Treatment of acute closed humeral shaft fractures with Ender nails. Injury 2000;31:683-5.
- 36. Flinkkila T, Hyvonen P, Lakovaara M, Linden T, Ristiniemi J. Intramedullary nailing of humeral shaft fractures. A retrospective study of 126 cases. Acta Orthop Scand 1999;70:133-6.
- 37. Dayez J. Internal screwed plate for recent fractures of the humeral diaphysis in adults. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 1999;85:238-44.
- 38. Bauze AJ, Clayer MT. Treatment of pathological fractures of the humerus with a locked intramedullary nail. J Orthop Surg 2003;11:34-7.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.