Evaluation of Universal Preenrichment Broth for the Recovery of Foodborne Pathogens from Milk and Cheese

J. JIANG,* C. LARKIN,* M. STEELE,*
C. POPPE,† and J. A. ODUMERU*,1

*Laboratory Services Division, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada N1H 8J7 †Health of Animals Laboratory, Health Canada, Guelph, ON, Canada N1G 3W4

ABSTRACT

The use of universal preenrichment broth for the recovery of verotoxigenic Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp., and Listeria monocytogenes from milk and cheese was examined. Universal preenrichment broth supported the growth of low inoculum levels (10 cfu/ ml) of these organisms in pure cultures and in mixed cultures containing higher levels of other pathogens or bacterial flora from raw milk. This medium also supported the recovery and growth of heat-injured Salmonella spp., L. monocytogenes, and verotoxigenic E. coli at inoculum levels of 10² cfu/ml to vield cell levels of 108 cfu/ml in pure cultures and at least 105 cfu/ml in the presence of high levels of known competitive pathogens or microflora of cheese samples after 24 h of incubation. Universal preenrichment broth performed better than Listeria enrichment broth in supporting the recovery and growth of heatinjured L. monocytogenes and equally as well as buffered peptone water or trypticase soy broth in supporting the growth of uninjured *L. monocytogenes*, Salmonella spp., and verotoxigenic E. coli. Coenrichment of these pathogens in universal preenrichment broth reduced the quantity of milk or cheese samples that were required for analysis and also reduced the cost and labor involved in preparing and processing separate preenrichment media.

(**Key words**: universal preenrichment broth, foodborne pathogens, milk, cheese)

Abbreviation key: **BHI** = brain-heart infusion, **BPW** = buffered peptone water, **LEB** = *Listeria* enrichment broth, **NAL** = nalidixic acid, **NB** = nutrient broth, **TSB** = trypticase soy broth, **UPB** = universal preenrichment broth, **VTEC** = verotoxigenic *E. coli*.

INTRODUCTION

The recovery of bacterial pathogens from foods, including raw milk and dairy products, is often complicated by the presence of high numbers of indigenous microflora and other pathogens and because the pathogens of interest may be sublethally injured at the time of testing (5, 12). Indigenous microflora in food can interfere with the isolation of pathogens by multiplying exponentially, causing a decrease in the pH of isolation media, which may inhibit the growth and recovery of pathogens (1, 2, 10). Sequential enrichment in nonselective (preenrichment) and selective media is usually required for the detection and identification of foodborne pathogens. Currently, the commonly used preenrichment media include buffered peptone water (BPW), trypticase soy broth (\mathbf{TSB}) , and nutrient broth (\mathbf{NB}) (3, 10, 11). The recovery of Listeria spp. from foods, as currently performed, requires the use of selective enrichment media, such as *Listeria* enrichment broth (**LEB**), containing antibiotics that are inhibitory to competitive microorganisms (1, 6). However, these antibiotics may inhibit the recovery of sublethally injured Listeria spp. (9). A nonselective medium, which allows simultaneous enrichment of these pathogens from foods, including milk and dairy products, would offer savings in media, processing time, incubator space, and sample size required for analysis.

Universal preenrichment broth (**UPB**) was recently developed for use as a common preenrichment step for both *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* spp. from foods (1). This medium is strongly buffered to prevent the decrease in the pH of the medium that can occur as a result of heavy microbial growth. A reduction in pH has been shown to interfere with the recovery of *L. monocytogenes* from foods (1), and media such as LEB do not contain a sufficient amount of buffers to prevent a rapid decline in the pH of the broth (7). A study on the recovery of *L. monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* spp. from chicken, hot

Received January 16, 1998. Accepted July 6, 1998.

¹Corresponding author. Joseph A. Odumeru, Laboratory Services Division, University of Guelph, 95 Stone Road West, Box 3650, Guelph, Ontario N1H 8J7.

dogs, and Brie cheese showed that UPB can be used as an effective preenrichment medium for these organisms in foods, despite high levels of competing microorganisms (1). Universal preenrichment broth supplemented with Oxyrase has been shown to support rapid growth of pure cultures of Salmonella spp. and L. monocytogenes (8). The present study examined the ability of UPB to support the growth of healthy and heat-injured strains of *L. monocytogenes*, Salmonella spp., and verotoxigenic Escherichia coli (VTEC) in pure cultures and in samples of raw milk and cheese containing competing pathogens microflora. The effects of the competitive microflora from raw milk and cheese and of the presence of high levels of other known pathogens on the growth of these organisms in UPB were also examined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Broth Media

Universal preenrichment broth (Difco, Detroit, MI), LEB (BBL, Cockeysville, MD), BPW (Oxoid, Hampshire, England), TSB (Difco), and NB (BBL, Cockeysville, MD) media were prepared according to the instructions of the manufacturer and were stored at 4°C until use.

Bacterial Strains

Four strains each of Salmonella spp., L. monocytogenes, and VTEC were selected for this study. Two strains per pathogen were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). These strains included *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19115 and ATCC 19116, Salmonella enteritidis ATCC 13076, Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 14028, and E. coli O157:H7 ATCC 43889 and ATCC 43894. The other two strains were isolates that were obtained from raw milk in this laboratory. Two nalidixic acid (NAL)-resistant strains of Salmonella spp. (provided by the Health of Animals Laboratory, Health Canada, Guelph, ON, Canada) were used for inoculations of raw milk and cheese to allow differentiation of test strains of Salmonella spp. from microflora in milk and cheese. The cultures were transferred from frozen storage tubes to brain-heart infusion (BHI) broth (BBL) and were incubated at 35°C for 18 to 24 h. A loop of broth culture was inoculated onto BHI agar. The agar was incubated at 35°C for 18 to 24 h, and the resulting cultures were kept at 4°C for use in individual experiments.

Preparation of Bacterial Cell Suspension

Selected strains were grown on BHI agar for 18 h at 35°C. The cultures were harvested with a cotton swab and were suspended in 0.85% physiological saline to obtain a cell suspension with an optical density reading of 0.1 using a turbidity meter (MicroScanTM; Baxter Diagnostics Inc., Deerfield, IL). The bacterial concentration of this cell suspension in saline solution was approximately 10⁸ cfu/ml. The colony-forming units per milliliter of the cell suspension were confirmed by plating an aliquot of the suspension on BHI agar and incubating the agar for 18 h at 35°C.

Heat Treatment of Bacterial Strains in Pure Culture

One milliliter (10⁸ cfu) of the culture suspension in saline, prepared as described previously, was added to a 99-ml bottle of preheated TSB (Difco) and transferred to a circulating water bath for heat treatments. Salmonella spp. were heated at 48°C for 30 min (1), and strains of L. monocytogenes and VTEC were heated at 55°C for 20 min (1, 12). After the heat treatment was complete, the bottle was removed from the water bath and was immediately cooled in an ice water bath to prevent additional injury to the bacteria. The proportion of the bacterial cells that were injured by the heat treatment was determined by the difference in growth of the cells on BHI agar and on brilliant green sulfa agar plus 2% NaCl for Salmonella spp., or BHI agar plus 4% NaCl for L. monocytogenes, or BHI agar plus 2% NaCl for VTEC.

Growth in the Presence of High Levels of Competing Pathogenic Bacteria in Pure Culture

Healthy cells suspended in saline solution (10⁸ cfu/ml) and heat-treated cells suspended in TSB were prepared as described previously and were inoculated into 2% UHT-sterilized milk (Beatrice Foods Inc., Toronto, ON) at 10² cells/ml. Two-log higher concentrations of one of the other pathogens included in this study were added to the UHT milk as competing organisms. One milliliter of each UHT milk sample containing competing pathogens was inoculated into tubes containing 9 ml of the different preenrichment medium or enrichment broth medium to final concentrations of 10 cells/ml of the test strains and 10³ cells/ml of the competing bacteria. Aliquots of the inoculated preenrichment medium or enrichment broth medium were spiral plated on selective media before

2800 JIANG ET AL.

TABLE 1. Growth of unstressed organisms in pure cultures in different broth media incubated at 35° C for 18 and 24 h.

		UPB ¹		BPW ²		TSB		NB	
Organism	Inoculum	18 h	24 h	18 h	24 h	18 h	24 h	18 h	24 h
	(cfu/ml)								
Salmonella spp.	10^{1}	108	108	108	108	10^{9}	10^{9}	108	108
Salmonella spp.	10^{3}	10^{8}	108	108	108	10^{9}	10^{9}	108	108
Listeria monocytogenes	10^{1}	108	108	10 ⁵	107	108	109	107	107
L. monocytogenes	10^{3}	108	108	10^{7}	10^{7}	10^{9}	10^{9}	10^{7}	10^{7}
VTEC3	10^{1}	10^{8}	108	10^{8}	108	108	108	108	108
VTEC	10^{3}	108	108	108	108	108	108	10^{8}	108

 ^1UPB = Universal preenrichment broth, BPW = buffered peptone water, TSB = trypticase soy broth, and NB = nutrient broth.

and after incubation at 35°C for 24 h to determine the increase in colony-forming units per milliliter of the pathogens of interest. The selective media used were bismuth sulfite agar for *Salmonella* spp., Oxford agar for *L. monocytogenes*, and sorbitol-MacConkey's agar for VTEC.

Growth of Unstressed Bacterial Pathogens in the Presence of Raw Milk Flora

Saline cell suspensions (10⁸ cfu/ml) of healthy untreated cells of NAL-resistant Salmonella spp., L. monocytogenes, and E. coli O157:H7 were prepared as described in previous sections and were inoculated into raw milk at 10² cells/ml. The raw milk used in these experiments was pooled milk of test aliquots; milk was 2 to 3 d old and was obtained from Laboratory Services Division (University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada). One milliliter of raw milk was inoculated with competing pathogens and was inoculated into preenrichment broth, enrichment broth, or both media to final concentrations of 10 cells/ml of the test strains. The concentration of the microflora in raw milk was determined by spiral plating of an aliquot of the raw milk on BHI agar followed by incubation at 35°C for 18 h. Aliquots of the inoculated preenrichment broth, enrichment broth, or both media were spiral plated on selective media before and after incubation at 35°C for 24 h. The selective media used were brilliant green sulfa agar plus 200 ppm of NAL for NAL-resistant Salmonella spp. and modified Oxford agar for L. monocytogenes. The Petrifilm Test Kit-HEC (3M Health Care, St. Paul, MN) was used to detect and count E. coli O157:H7.

Growth of Heat-Injured Pathogenic Bacteria in Cheese Samples

Cheese samples (sliced extra aged Cheddar cheese and double cream Brie cheese) were purchased from a local grocery store. Test strains of NAL-resistant Salmonella spp., L. monocytogenes, and E. coli O157: H7 were suspended in saline solution and heat treated as described in previous sections. Heatinjured cultures were inoculated into different broth media in stomacher bags containing cheese slurries (1 part cheese to 9 parts broth) at a final concentration of 10² cfu/ml of each test pathogen. Total aerobic plate counts of the cheese samples were determined. An aliquot of the cheese slurries was plated and was incubated for 18 h at 35°C. The selective media used for quantifying the growth and recovery of heatinjured organisms from cheese were the same as those used for raw milk.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth of Pure Cultures of Test Strains in Different Broth Media

Ultra-high temperature milk that had been inoculated with competing pathogens at concentrations of 10^2 and 10^4 cfu/ml of each pathogen was used to inoculate UPB, BPW, TSB, and NB (LEB in place of BPW for *L. monocytogenes*) media to initial concentrations of 10^1 and 10^3 cfu/ml. The growth results for *Salmonella* spp., *L. monocytogenes* and VTEC strains after 18 and 24 h of incubation at 35° C are summarized in Table 1.

The *Salmonella* strains multiplied to at least 10⁸ cfu/ml after 18 h of preenrichment at 35°C. Among

²The Listeria enrichment broth, was used in place of BPW for L. monocytogenes strains only.

³Verotoxigenic Escherichia coli.

TABLE 2. Growth of pure cultures of heat injured organisms in different broth media incubated at $35^{\circ}C$ for 24 h.

Organism	Inoculum	UPB ¹	BPW ²	TSB		
	(cfu/ml)					
Salmonella spp. Listeria	$\frac{10^2}{10^2}$	10 ⁸ 10 ⁸	10 ⁸ 10 ⁵	$\frac{10^8}{10^8}$		
monocytogenes VTEC ³	10^2	10^{9}	10^{9}	10^{9}		

 $^{^{1}\}text{UPB}$ = Universal preenrichment broth, BPW = buffered peptone water, and TSB = trypticase soy broth.

the four different broth media, TSB best supported the growth of all four Salmonella strains; cell concentrations reached 10^9 cfu/ml after 18 or 24 h incubation. The UPB, BPW, and NB had similar abilities to support the growth of Salmonella pure cultures. No growth difference was observed between the 18- and 24-h incubations.

All VTEC strains multiplied to 10^8 cfu/ml after 18 h of preenrichment at 35° C in each of the four broth media. There was no difference between the colony-forming units per milliliter at 18 and 24 h of incubation.

Strains of *L. monocytogenes* were able to reach concentrations of at least 107 cfu/ml in UPB, TSB, and NB after 18 h of incubation at 35°C from initial inoculum levels of 10 cfu/ml. The resulting concentrations of *L. monocytogenes* strains were lower in LEB than in the other three broth media, particularly at the low initial level (101 cfu/ml) and short incubation time (18 h) (Table 1). Inhibitory agents in the LEB interfered with the growth probably monocytogenes. In addition, the bacterial counts after 24 h of incubation in LEB were higher than after 18 h of incubation for most L. monocytogenes strains. Listeria monocytogenes exhibited slower growth in LEB than in UPB or TSB, especially at the inoculum concentration of 10¹ cfu/ml.

Recovery of Heat-Injured Pure Cultures in Different Broth Media

The UHT milk that was contaminated with heatinjured pathogens was inoculated into three different types of media, UPB, BPW, or TSB (LEB in place of BPW for *L. monocytogenes*) at inoculum concentrations of 10² cfu/ml. The bacterial counts for all three pathogens after 24 h of incubation at 35°C are summarized in Table 2.

Pure cultures of heat-injured Salmonella spp. and VTEC multiplied to at least 10^8 cfu/ml in the UPB, BPW, and TSB media. Pure cultures of heat-injured L. monocytogenes multiplied to 10^5 cfu/ml in LEB and to 10^8 cfu/ml in UPB or TSB. Inhibitors in LEB may have affected the recovery of the heat-injured cells of L. monocytogenes.

Effect of Raw Milk Microflora on the Growth of Unstressed Test Pathogens

The growth of pure cultures of all three test organisms in the presence of raw milk flora are summarized in Table 3. Two cultures of NAL-resistant Salmonella spp. that were unstressed were used to evaluate the effect of the competitive microflora in raw milk on the growth of Salmonella spp. Cultures were plated on media containing NAL to distinguish the test strains from raw milk flora. The total aerobic plate count of 3-d old samples of raw milk was 8.3×10^2 cfu/ml. Both NAL-resistant Salmonella strains reached a concentration of 10^8 cfu/ml in all three broth media within the 24-h incubation, showing that the growth of Salmonella spp. was not inhibited by the microflora of raw milk.

The Petrifilm kit that was specific to *E. coli* O157: H7 was used to test the effect of the flora of raw milk on VTEC strains. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains ATCC 43889 and 43894 grew to a concentration of 10⁸ cfu/ml in all three broth media from an initial level of 10 cfu/ml in the presence of raw milk flora. Therefore, the flora of raw milk did not affect the growth of VTEC cultures.

Unlike *Salmonella* spp. and VTEC, the growth of *L. monocytogenes* was inhibited by the flora of raw milk in all preenrichment or enrichment broths.

TABLE 3. Growth of unstressed organisms in the presence of raw milk microflora in different broth media incubated at 35° C for 24 h.

Organism	Inoculum	UPB ¹	BPW ²	TSB		
	(cfu/ml)					
Salmonella spp.	10^{1}	108	10 ⁸	108		
Listeria monocytogenes	10 ¹	10^4	10^{6}	10^{4}		
monocytogenes VTEC ³	10^{1}	108	108	108		

 $^{1}\text{UPB} = \text{Universal}$ preenrichment broth, BPW = buffered peptone water, and TSB = trypticase soy broth.

 2 The *Listeria* enrichment broth, was used in place of BPW for *L. monocytogenes* strains only.

³Verotoxigenic Escherichia coli.

 $^{^2{\}rm The}\ Listeria$ enrichment broth, was used in place of BPW for L. monocytogenes strains only.

³Verotoxigenic Escherichia coli.

2802 JIANG ET AL.

TABLE 4. Growth of heat injured organisms in the presence of cheese microflora in different broth media incubated at 35°C for 24 h.

Organism	Inoculum	UPB ¹	BPW ²	TSB		
	(cfu/ml)					
Salmonella spp.	10^{2}	108	108	108		
Listeria	10^{2}	10^{5}	10^{3}	10^{4}		
monocytogenes VTEC ³	10^2	108	108	108		

 ^1UPB = Universal preenrichment broth, BPW = buffered peptone water, and TSB = trypticase soy broth.

 2 The *Listeria* enrichment broth, was used in place of BPW for *L. monocytogenes* strains only.

³Verotoxigenic Escherichia coli.

Listeria monocytogenes attained 10^6 cfu/ml in LEB but only 10^4 cfu/ml in UPB and TSB in the presence of raw milk flora. The growth of raw milk flora appeared to be inhibited in LEB, allowing the growth of L. monocytogenes to reach a level that was 2 logs higher in the LEB broth than in the UPB and TSB broths.

Effect of Microflora from Cheese Samples on the Recovery of Heat-Injured Test Pathogens

Heat-injured *Salmonella* spp. and VTEC strains attained levels of 10⁸ cfu/ml in the presence of normal flora from both Brie Cheese and extra aged Cheddar cheese. The recovery of heat-injured *Salmonella* spp. and VTEC strains was not affected by the microflora of the cheese sample, and the three different broth media that were tested showed a similar ability to support the growth and recovery of heat-injured *Salmonella* spp. and VTEC (Table 4).

The recovery of heat-injured *L. monocytogenes* was strongly inhibited by the microflora in the cheese samples. The *L. monocytogenes* reached a level of 10⁵ cfu/ml in UPB and 104 cfu/ml in TSB, but we recovered substantially less L. monocytogenes from the LEB (Table 4). Because cheese manufacturing usually involves thermal processing, exposure to this kind of sublethal environmental stress may result in the loss of the ability by microorganisms to grow under conditions that are satisfactory for unstressed cells (4). Selective agents in a medium, such as LEB, have been shown to inhibit the growth of sublethally damaged microorganisms compared with growth of cells that have not been exposed to environmental stress (9). The UPB broth medium, however, is a nonselective preenrichment broth that does not contain inhibiting agents. In addition, UPB is strongly

buffered to prevent the drop in media pH that occurs as a result of heavy microbial growth and that can interfere with the recovery of *L. monocytogenes* cells.

Growth of Pathogens in Broth Media in the Presence of High Levels of Other Competing Pathogens

Unstressed strains of *Salmonella* spp. in pure cultures multiplied to 10^8 cfu/ml in all three broth media within 24 h in the presence of 2 log higher initial inoculum levels of *L. monocytogenes* (Table 5), suggesting that the growth of *Salmonella* spp. was not affected by competing *L. monocytogenes*. In the presence of a high level of competitive VTEC, however, the level of *Salmonella* spp. attained was only 10^6 cfu/ml in BPW and TSB and 10^7 cfu/ml in UPB under the same conditions, a reduction of about 1 to 2 log caused by the presence of VTEC.

The growth of L. monocytogenes in broth media was inhibited by the presence of either Salmonella spp. or VTEC for UPB and TSB (10^6 cfu/ml) compared with results for LEB (10^8 cfu/ml) (Table 5). The inhibitory agents in LEB appear to limit the growth of these competing pathogens.

The growth of VTEC in enrichment or preenrichment media was not significantly affected by the presence of *Salmonella* spp. or *L. monocytogenes*. A pure culture of VTEC multiplied to 10^8 cfu/ml in UPB and 10^7 cfu/ml in BPW or TSB in the presence of high levels of *Salmonella* spp. In the presence of *L. monocytogenes*, VTEC reached 10^8 cfu/ml in UPB and TSB and 10^7 cfu/ml in BPW (Table 5).

TABLE 5. Growth of unstressed organisms in the presence of high levels of other competing pathogens in different broth media incubated at 35°C for 24 h.

Organism	Inoculum	UPB ¹	BPW^2	TSB	
	(cfu/ml)				
Salmonella spp. with					
Listeria monocytogenes	10^{1}	10^{8}	10^{8}	10^{8}	
Salmonella spp.					
with VTEC ³	10^{1}	10^{7}	10^{6}	10^{6}	
L. monocytogenes					
with Salmonella spp.	10^{1}	10^{6}	10^{8}	10^{6}	
L. monocytogenes	4.01	4.00	400	400	
with VTEC	10^{1}	10^{6}	10^{8}	10^{6}	
VTEC with	4.01	4.09	407	4.07	
Salmonella spp.	10^{1}	108	10^{7}	10^{7}	
VTEC with	101	108	107	108	
L. monocytogenes	10 ¹	108	10^{7}	108	

 $^{1}\text{UPB} = \text{Universal preenrichment broth, BPW} = \text{buffered peptone water, TSB} = \text{trypticase soy broth.}$

 ${}^2\text{The }\textit{Listeria}$ enrichment broth, was used in place of BPW for L. monocytogenes strains only.

³Verotoxigenic Escherichia coli.

CONCLUSIONS

Universal preenrichment broth can be an effective preenrichment medium for the recovery Salmonella, L. monocytogenes, or VTEC from samples of raw milk or cheese. The UPB performed better than did LEB for recovery of heat-injured L. monocytogenes in the presence of cheese microflora. Therefore, UPB might offer an advantage when heat injury is a factor. However, LEB is better when a high background of flora is expected and the target organisms are not injured.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the Enhanced Food Quality and Safety Program administered by the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (OMAFRA Guelph, ON, Canada), and Difco (Detroit, MI) for providing the UPB media used in this study. The authors thank Stan Bailey, United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service (Athens, GA) for reviewing this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1 Bailey, J. S., and N. A. Cox. 1992. Universal preenrichment broth for the simultaneous detection of Salmonella and Listeria in foods. J. Food Prot. 55:256-259.

- 2 Bailey, J. S., D. L. Fletcher, and N. A. Cox. 1990. Efficacy of enrichment media for recovery of heat-injured Listeria monocytogenes. J. Food Prot. 53:473-477.
- 3 Bis, F., H. Becker, and G. Terplan. 1995. Culture methods for the detection of salmonellae in raw milk. Part 2: investigations. Arch. Lebensmittelhyg. 46:51-60.
- 4 Busta, F. F. 1978. Introduction to injury and repair of microbial cells. Pages 195-201 in Advances in Applied Microbiology. Vol. 23. Acad. Press, New York, NY.
- 5 Edel, W., and E. H. Kampelmacher. 1973. Comparative studies on the isolation of "sublethally injured" salmonellae in nine European laboratories (minced meat contamination). Bull. WHO 48:167-174.
- 6 Hitchins, A. D. 1992. Listeria monocytogenes. FDA Bacteriologi-
- cal Analytical Manual 7th ed. AOAC Int., Arlington, VA. 7 Juven, B. J., N. A. Cox, J. S. Bailey, J. E. Thomson, O. W. Charles, and J. V. Shutze. 1984. Recovery of *Salmonella* from artificially contaminated poultry feeds in non-selective and selective broth media. J. Food Prot. 47:299–302. 8 Niroomand, F., and D.Y.C. Fung. 1992. Effect of Oxyrase on
- growth of Salmonella spp. and Listeria monocytogenes in the Universal Preenrichment medium". J. Rapid Methods Automation Microbiol. 1:241-247.
- 9 Ralovich, B., A. Forray, E. Mero, H. Malovics, and I. Szazados. 1971. New selective medium for isolation of L. monocytogenes. Zentralbl. Bakteriol. Abt. I Orig. 216:88-91.
- 10 Schiemann, D. A., and G. Wauters. 1992. Yersinia. Pages 433-450 in Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods. C. E. Vanderzant and D. F. Splitt-stoesser, ed. Am. Publ. Health Assoc., Washington, DC.
- 11 Sheridan, J. J., G. Duffy, R. L. Buchanan, D. A. McDowell, and I. S. Blair. 1994. The use of selective and non-selective enrichment broths for the isolation of Listeria species from meat. Food Microbiol. 11:439-446.
- 12 Thippareddi, H., R. K. Phebus, D.Y.C. Fung, and C. L. Kastner. 1995. Use of universal preenrichment medium supplemented with oxyrase for the simultaneous recovery of Escherichia coli O157:H7 and Yersinia enterocolitica. J. Rapid Methods Automation Microbiol. 4:37-50.