



ON RANK SUBTRACTIVITY BETWEEN NORMAL MATRICES

JORMA K. MERIKOSKI AND XIAOJI LIU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
FI-33014 UNIVERSITY OF TAMPERE, FINLAND
jorma.merikoski@uta.fi

COLLEGE OF COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCES
GUANGXI UNIVERSITY FOR NATIONALITIES
NANNING 530006, CHINA
xiaojiliu72@yahoo.com.cn

Received 13 July, 2007; accepted 05 February, 2008

Communicated by F. Zhang

ABSTRACT. The rank subtractivity partial ordering is defined on $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ($n \geq 2$) by $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B} \Leftrightarrow \text{rank}(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}) = \text{rank } \mathbf{B} - \text{rank } \mathbf{A}$, and the star partial ordering by $\mathbf{A} \leq^* \mathbf{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^*$. If \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are normal, we characterize $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$. We also show that then $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} \leq^* \mathbf{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{A}^2 \leq^- \mathbf{B}^2$. Finally, we remark that some of our results follow from well-known results on EP matrices.

Key words and phrases: Rank subtractivity, Minus partial ordering, Star partial ordering, Sharp partial ordering, Normal matrices, EP matrices.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A45, 15A18.

1. INTRODUCTION

The rank subtractivity partial ordering (also called the minus partial ordering) is defined on $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ($n \geq 2$) by

$$\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B} \Leftrightarrow \text{rank}(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}) = \text{rank } \mathbf{B} - \text{rank } \mathbf{A}.$$

The star partial ordering is defined by

$$\mathbf{A} \leq^* \mathbf{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^*.$$

(Actually these partial orderings can also be defined on $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $m \neq n$, but square matrices are enough for us.)

There is a great deal of research about characterizations of \leq^* and \leq^- , see, e.g., [8] and its references. Hartwig and Styan [8] applied singular value decompositions to this purpose. In the case of normal matrices, the present authors [10] did some parallel work and further developments by applying spectral decompositions in characterizing \leq^* . As a sequel to [10], we will now do similar work with \leq^- .

We thank one referee for alerting us to the results presented in the remark. We thank also the other referee for his/her suggestions.

In Section 2, we will present two well-known results. The first is a lemma about a matrix whose rank is equal to the rank of its submatrix. The second is a characterization of \leq^- for general matrices from [8].

In Section 3, we will characterize \leq^- for normal matrices.

Since \leq^* implies \leq^- , it is natural to ask for an additional condition, which, together with \leq^- , is equivalent to \leq^* . Hartwig and Styan ([8, Theorem 2c]), presented ten such conditions for general matrices. In Sections 4 and 5, we will find two such conditions for normal matrices.

Finally, in Section 6, we will remark that some of our results follow from well-known results on EP matrices.

In [10], we proved characterizations of \leq^* for normal matrices independently of general results from [8]. In dealing with the characterization of \leq^- for normal matrices, an independent approach seems too complicated, and so we will apply [8].

2. PRELIMINARIES

If $1 \leq \text{rank } \mathbf{A} = r < n$, then \mathbf{A} can be constructed by starting from a nonsingular $r \times r$ submatrix according to the following lemma. Since this lemma is of independent interest, we present it more broadly than we would actually need.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $1 \leq r < n$, $s = n - r$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} = r$.
- (b) *If $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ is a nonsingular submatrix of \mathbf{A} , then there are permutation matrices $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and matrices $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times r}$, $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times s}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{S} & \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{E}\mathbf{S} & \mathbf{E} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}.$$

Proof. If (a) holds, then proceeding as Ben-Israel and Greville ([3, p. 178]) gives (b). Conversely, if (b) holds, then

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{Q}$$

(cf. (22) on [3, p. 178]), and (a) follows. □

Next, we recall a characterization of \leq^- for general matrices, due to Hartwig and Styan [8] (and actually stated also for non-square matrices).

Theorem 2.2 ([8, Theorem 1]). *Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. If $a = \text{rank } \mathbf{A}$, $b = \text{rank } \mathbf{B}$, $1 \leq a < b \leq n$, and $p = b - a$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$.
- (b) *There are unitary matrices $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{S} & \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{E}\mathbf{S} & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times a}$, $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ are diagonal matrices with positive diagonal elements, $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times p}$, and $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times a}$.

In fact, $\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}$ is a singular value decomposition of \mathbf{A} . (If $b = n$, then omit the zero blocks in the representation of $\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{V}$.)

3. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $A \leq^- B$

Now we characterize \leq^- for normal matrices.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be normal. If $a = \text{rank } A$, $b = \text{rank } B$, $1 \leq a < b \leq n$, and $p = b - a$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $A \leq^- B$.
 (b) *There is a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that*

$$U^*AU = \begin{pmatrix} D & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$U^*BU = \begin{pmatrix} D + RES & RE & O \\ ES & E & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix},$$

where $D \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$, $E \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ are nonsingular diagonal matrices, $R \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times p}$, and $S \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times a}$.

- (c) *There is a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that*

$$U^*AU = \begin{pmatrix} G & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$U^*BU = \begin{pmatrix} G + RFS & RF & O \\ FS & F & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix},$$

where $G \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$, $F \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ are nonsingular matrices, $R \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times p}$, and $S \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times a}$.

(If $b = n$, then omit the zero blocks in the representations of U^*BU .)

Proof. We proceed via (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (a) \Rightarrow (b).

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Trivial.

(c) \Rightarrow (a). Assume (c). Then

$$B - A = UCU^*,$$

where

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} RFS & RF & O \\ FS & F & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies

$$\text{rank } C = \text{rank}(B - A).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\text{rank } C = \text{rank } F = p = b - a = \text{rank } B - \text{rank } A,$$

and (a) follows.

(a) \Rightarrow (b). Assume that A and B satisfy (a). Then, with the notations of Theorem 2.2,

$$U^*AV = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} = \Sigma_0$$

and

$$U^*BV = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma + RES & RE & O \\ ES & E & O \\ O & O & O \end{pmatrix}.$$

The singular values of a normal matrix are absolute values of its eigenvalues. Therefore the diagonal matrix of (appropriately ordered) eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} is $\mathbf{D}_0 = \Sigma_0 \mathbf{J}$, where \mathbf{J} is a diagonal matrix of elements with absolute value 1. Furthermore, $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{J}^{-1}$, and

$$\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{D}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{D} is the diagonal matrix of nonzero eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} . For details, see, e.g., [9, p. 417].

To study $\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{V}$, let us denote

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix},$$

partitioned as $\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{V}$ above. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} &= \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma + \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By (a),

$$b - a = \text{rank}(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}) = \text{rank} \mathbf{U}^* (\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{U} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote $\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L}$. Because \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{L} are nonsingular, $\text{rank} \mathbf{E}' = b - a$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, there are matrices $\mathbf{R}' \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times p}$ and $\mathbf{S}' \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times a}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}' \mathbf{E}' \mathbf{S}' & \mathbf{R}' \mathbf{E}' \\ \mathbf{E}' \mathbf{S}' & \mathbf{E}' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{R}' \mathbf{E}' \mathbf{S}' & \mathbf{R}' \mathbf{E}' & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{E}' \mathbf{S}' & \mathbf{E}' & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix},$$

and (b) follows. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. If \mathbf{A} is normal, \mathbf{B} is Hermitian, and $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$, then \mathbf{A} is Hermitian.*

Proof. If $\text{rank} \mathbf{A} = 0$ or $\text{rank} \mathbf{A} = \text{rank} \mathbf{B}$, the claim is trivial. Otherwise, with the notations of Theorem 3.1,

$$\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since \mathbf{B} is Hermitian, \mathbf{B}' is also Hermitian. Therefore $\mathbf{E}^* = \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{R} \mathbf{E})^* = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{R}^*$, which implies $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}^*$, since \mathbf{E} is nonsingular. Now

$$\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{B}' - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{R}^* & \mathbf{R} \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{E} \mathbf{R}^* & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a difference of Hermitian matrices and so Hermitian. Hence also \mathbf{A} is Hermitian. \square

4. $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{BA} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} \leq^* \mathbf{B}$

The partial ordering \leq^* implies \leq^- . For the proof, apply Theorem 2.2 and the corresponding characterization of \leq^* ([8, Theorem 2]). In fact, this implication originates with Hartwig ([7, p. 4, (iii)]) on general star-semigroups.

We are therefore motivated to look for an additional condition, which, together with \leq^- , is equivalent to \leq^* . First we recall a characterization of \leq^* from [10] but formulate it slightly differently.

Theorem 4.1 ([10, Theorem 2.1ab], cf. also [8, Theorem 2ab]). *Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be normal. If $a = \text{rank } \mathbf{A}$, $b = \text{rank } \mathbf{B}$, $1 \leq a < b \leq n$, and $p = b - a$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathbf{A} \leq^* \mathbf{B}$.
- (b) *There is a unitary matrix $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ and $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$ are nonsingular diagonal matrices. (If $b = n$, then omit the third block-row and block-column of zeros in the expression of \mathbf{B} .)

Hartwig and Styan [8] proved the following theorem assuming that \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are Hermitian. We assume only normality.

Theorem 4.2 (cf. [8, Corollary 1ac]). *Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be normal. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathbf{A} \leq^* \mathbf{B}$,
- (b) $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{BA}$.

Proof. If $a = \text{rank } \mathbf{A}$ and $b = \text{rank } \mathbf{B}$ satisfy $a = 0$ or $a = b$, then the claim is trivial. So we assume $1 \leq a < b \leq n$.

(a) \Rightarrow (b). This follows immediately from Theorems 4.1 and 3.1.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Assume (b). Since $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$, we have with the notations of Theorem 3.1

$$\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{RES} & \mathbf{RE} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{ES} & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}^2 + \mathbf{DRES} & \mathbf{DRE} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}^2 + \mathbf{RESD} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{ESD} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{BA}$, also $\mathbf{U}^*\mathbf{ABU} = \mathbf{U}^*\mathbf{BAU}$, which implies $\mathbf{DRE} = \mathbf{O}$ and $\mathbf{ESD} = \mathbf{O}$. Because \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{E} are nonsingular, we therefore have $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{O}$ and $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{O}$. So

$$\mathbf{U}^*\mathbf{BU} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix},$$

and (a) follows from Theorem 4.1. □

$$5. \mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{A}^2 \leq^- \mathbf{B}^2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} \leq^* \mathbf{B}$$

We first note that the conditions $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A}^2 \leq^- \mathbf{B}^2$ are independent, even if \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are Hermitian.

Example 5.1. If

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1, \quad \text{rank } \mathbf{B} - \text{rank } \mathbf{A} = 2 - 1 = 1,$$

and so $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$. However, $\mathbf{A}^2 \leq^- \mathbf{B}^2$ does not hold, since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \mathbf{B}^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 29 & 12 \\ 12 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, & \mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{A}^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 28 & 12 \\ 12 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{rank}(\mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{A}^2) &= 2, & \text{rank } \mathbf{B}^2 - \text{rank } \mathbf{A}^2 &= 2 - 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Example 5.2. If

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\mathbf{A}^2 \leq^- \mathbf{B}^2$ holds but $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$ does not hold.

Gross ([5, Theorem 5]) proved that, in the case of Hermitian nonnegative definite matrices, the conditions $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A}^2 \leq^- \mathbf{B}^2$ together are equivalent to $\mathbf{A} \leq^* \mathbf{B}$. Baksalary and Hauke ([1, Theorem 4]) proved it for all Hermitian matrices. We generalize this result.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be normal. Assume that

(i) \mathbf{B} is Hermitian

or

(ii) $\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}$ is Hermitian.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $\mathbf{A} \leq^* \mathbf{B}$,

(b) $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{A}^2 \leq^- \mathbf{B}^2$.

Proof. First, assume (i). If $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$, then \mathbf{A} is Hermitian by Corollary 3.2. If $\mathbf{A} \leq^* \mathbf{B}$, then $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$, and so \mathbf{A} is Hermitian also in this case. Therefore, both (a) and (b) imply that \mathbf{A} is actually Hermitian, and hence (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) follows from [1, Theorem 4]. The following proof applies to an alternative.

Second, assume (ii). If $a = \text{rank } \mathbf{A}$ and $b = \text{rank } \mathbf{B}$ satisfy $a = 0$ or $a = b$, then the claim is trivial. So we let $1 \leq a < b \leq n$.

(a) \Rightarrow (b). This is an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.1 and 3.1.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Assume (b). Since $\mathbf{A} \leq^- \mathbf{B}$, we have with the notations of Theorem 3.1

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*, \quad \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{S} & \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{E}\mathbf{S} & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*.$$

Since $\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}$ is Hermitian, $\mathbf{U}^*(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{U}$ is also Hermitian. Therefore \mathbf{E} is Hermitian and $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{R}^*$, and so

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^* & \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^* & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}^2 & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}^2 = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*)^2 + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}^2\mathbf{R}^* & (\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*)\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}^2 & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*) + \mathbf{E}^2\mathbf{R}^* & \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}^2 & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*.$$

Now

$$\mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*,$$

where

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^* + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{D} + (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*)^2 + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}^2\mathbf{R}^* & \mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}^2 \\ \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^* + \mathbf{E}^2\mathbf{R}^* & \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplying the second block-row of \mathbf{H} by $-\mathbf{R}$ from the right and adding the result to the first block-row is a set of elementary row operations and so does not change the rank. Thus

$$\text{rank } \mathbf{H} = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^* & \mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^* + \mathbf{E}^2\mathbf{R}^* & \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank } \mathbf{H}'.$$

Furthermore, multiplying the second block-column of \mathbf{H}' by $-\mathbf{R}^*$ from the right and adding the result to the first block-column is a set of elementary column operations, and so

$$\text{rank } \mathbf{H}' = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{D} & \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank } \mathbf{H}''.$$

Since $\mathbf{A}^2 \leq^- \mathbf{B}^2$, we therefore have

$$\text{rank } \mathbf{H}'' = \text{rank}(\mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{A}^2) = \text{rank } \mathbf{B}^2 - \text{rank } \mathbf{A}^2 = b - a = p.$$

Because $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}$ is Hermitian nonnegative definite and \mathbf{E} is Hermitian positive definite, their sum $\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}^*\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}^2$ is Hermitian positive definite and hence nonsingular. Applying Lemma 2.1 to \mathbf{H}'' , we see that there is a matrix $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times a}$ such that (1) $\mathbf{S}^*\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}$ and (2) $\mathbf{S}^*\mathbf{E}'\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{O}$. Since \mathbf{E}' is positive definite, then (2) implies $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{O}$, and so (1) reduces to $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{O}$, which, in turn, implies $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{O}$ by the nonsingularity of \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{E} . Consequently,

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*,$$

and (a) follows from Theorem 4.1. \square

6. REMARKS

A matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a group matrix if it belongs to a subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ which is a group under matrix multiplication. This happens if and only if $\text{rank } \mathbf{A}^2 = \text{rank } \mathbf{A}$ (see, e.g., [3, Theorem 4.2] or [11, Theorem 9.4.2]). A matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is an EP matrix if $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}^*) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ where \mathcal{R} denotes the column space. There are plenty of characterizations for EP matrices, see Cheng and Tian [4] and its references. A normal matrix is EP, and an EP matrix is a group matrix (see, e.g., [3, p. 159]). The sharp partial ordering between group matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} is defined by

$$\mathbf{A} \leq^{\#} \mathbf{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}.$$

Three of our results follow from well-known results on EP matrices.

First, Corollary 3.2 is a special case of Lemma 3.1 of Baksalary et al [2], where \mathbf{A} is assumed only EP.

Second, let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} be group matrices. Then

$$\mathbf{A} \leq^{\#} \mathbf{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} \leq^{-} \mathbf{B} \wedge \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A},$$

by Mitra ([12, Theorem 2.5]). On the other hand, if \mathbf{A} is EP, then

$$\mathbf{A} \leq^{\#} \mathbf{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} \leq^{*} \mathbf{B},$$

by Gross ([6, Remark 1]). Hence Theorem 4.2 follows assuming only that \mathbf{A} is EP and \mathbf{B} is a group matrix.

Third, Theorem 5.1 with assumption (i) is a special case of [2, Corollary 3.2], where \mathbf{A} is assumed only EP.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.K. BAKSALARY AND J. HAUKE, Characterizations of minus and star orders between the squares of Hermitian matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **388** (2004), 53–59.
- [2] J.K. BAKSALARY, J. HAUKE, X. LIU AND S. LIU, Relationships between partial orders of matrices and their powers, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **379** (2004), 277–287.
- [3] A. BEN-ISRAEL AND T.N.E. GREVILLE, *Generalized Inverses. Theory and Applications*, Second Edition. Springer, 2003.
- [4] S. CHENG AND Y. TIAN, Two sets of new characterizations for normal and EP matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **375** (2003), 181–195.
- [5] J. GROSS, Löwner partial ordering and space preordering of Hermitian non-negative definite matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **326** (2001), 215–223.
- [6] J. GROSS, Remarks on the sharp partial order and the ordering of squares of matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **417** (2006), 87–93.
- [7] R.E. HARTWIG, How to partially order regular elements, *Math. Japonica*, **25** (1980), 1–13.
- [8] R.E. HARTWIG AND G.P.H. STYAN, On some characterizations of the “star” partial ordering for matrices and rank subtractivity, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **82** (1986), 145–161.
- [9] R.A. HORN AND C.R. JOHNSON, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [10] J.K. MERIKOSKI AND X. LIU, On the star partial ordering of normal matrices, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.*, **7**(1) (2006), Art. 17. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=647>].
- [11] L. MIRSKY, *An Introduction to Linear Algebra*, Clarendon Press, 1955. Reprinted by Dover Publications, 1990.
- [12] S.K. MITRA, On group inverses and their sharp order, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **92** (1987), 17–37.