

# A Pinching Theorem for Riemannian Foliations with Parallel Mean Curvature in a Local-Symmetric Riemannian Manifold

PENG Hui Chun<sup>1</sup>, LI Zhi Bo<sup>2</sup>

(1. Department of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University,  
Beijing 102206, China;

2. Department of Mathematics, Zhengzhou University, Henan 450052, China)

(E-mail: penghuichun@sina.com)

**Abstract** We discuss the Riemannian foliations with parallel mean curvature in a local-symmetric Riemannian manifold, and obtain a pinching theorem about it.

**Keywords** Riemannian foliations; local-symmetric Riemannian manifold; mean curvature; divergence.

**Document code** A

**MR(2000) Subject Classification** 53C42

**Chinese Library Classification** O186.16

## 0. Introduction

Geometric notions in the theory of Riemannian submanifolds have their counterparts for foliations on Riemannian manifolds. The harmonic foliations on Riemannian manifolds have been extensively studied in recent years<sup>[1,3,5]</sup>, many harmonic foliations which are not totally geodesic are known. It is known that under some geometric restrictions, harmonicity implies total geodesicness. But the geometric property of Riemannian foliations with parallel mean curvature in spaces is still unknown. The purpose of this paper is to study the Riemannian foliations with parallel mean curvature in a local-symmetric Riemannian manifold. Using the method of Nakagawa and Takagi<sup>[5]</sup>, we calculate divergence of the vector field and obtain a pinching theorem about Ricci curvature of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We get the following theorem:

**Theorem** Let  $M^{n+p}(c)$  be a local-symmetric Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature  $c > 0$ . And let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Riemannian foliation in  $M^{n+p}(c)$  with parallel mean curvature  $H (\neq 0)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and for each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  the Ricci curvature  $\text{Ric} \geq (>)(n-1)c$ . Then

$$\int_{M^{n+p}(c)} \left\{ \frac{3}{2}S^2 + \left[ (\sqrt{n} - \frac{2}{n})n^2H^2 - nc \right]S + cn^2H^2 \right\} * 1 \geq 0.$$

**Corollary** With the same conditions as the theorem, for the constant curvature  $c \geq 0$ , if

---

**Received date:** 2006-03-15; **Accepted date:** 2006-08-28

**Foundation item:** the Science Foundation for Young Teachers of North China Electric Power University (No. 20071).

$| -2nH^2 + \sqrt{nn^2H^2 - nc} | \geq \sqrt{6n} | H |$ , and  $-\frac{D}{3} < S < \frac{D}{3}$ , then the second fundamental form for each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is parallel, where  $D = \sqrt{(-2nH^2 + \sqrt{nn^2H^2 - nc})^2 - 6cn^2H^2} - \sqrt{6cn} | H |$ .

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $(n + p)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $p$ -codimension foliation on  $M$  with respect to a bundle-like metric. Considering  $\mathcal{F}$  as an integrable distribution on  $M$ , we denote the orthogonal distribution of  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\mathcal{F}^\perp$ , which is called the normal plane field. For any vector field  $X$  on  $M$ , we decompose it as  $X = X' + X''$ , where  $X'$  (resp.  $X''$ ) is tangent (resp. normal) to  $\mathcal{F}$ . We define two tensors  $A$  and  $h$  of type  $(1,2)$  on  $M$  by

$$A(X, Y) = -(\nabla_{Y''} X'')', \quad h(X, Y) = -(\nabla_{Y'} X')'' \tag{1.1}$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M$ . Where  $\nabla$  denotes the Riemannian connection with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g$  of  $M^{n+p}(c)$ .

The restriction of  $h$  to each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is so-called the second fundamental form of the leaf. We define the second fundamental form  $B$  of the normal field  $\mathcal{F}^\perp$  by Ref. [1]

$$B(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \{A(X, Y) + A(X, Y)\} \tag{1.2}$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M$ . We will use, throughout this paper, the following convention on the range of indices unless otherwise stated.

$$A, B, C, \dots = 1, \dots, n + p;$$

$$i, j, k, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

$$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots = n + 1, \dots, n + p.$$

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_{n+p}$  be a locally defined orthonormal frame field of  $M$  such that, restricting to  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+p}$  are normal to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\{\omega_A\}$  be the dual frame field. The structure equations of  $M$  are given as follows<sup>[1]</sup>

$$d\omega_A = -\omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$d\omega_{AB} + \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} = \Omega_{AB}, \Omega_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum R_{ABCD} \omega_C \wedge \omega_D, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $R_{ABCD}$  is the curvature tensor of  $M$ . Restricting to  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$\omega_\alpha = 0, \omega_{ij} = \omega_{\alpha\beta}, \omega_{\alpha i} = \omega_{i\alpha}, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\omega_{\alpha i} = \sum h_{ij}^\alpha \omega_j + \sum A_{\alpha\beta}^j \omega_\beta, h_{ij}^\alpha = h_{ji}^\alpha = h_{jk}^\beta = h_{ik}^\beta, \tag{1.6}$$

$$d\omega_i = -\omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j, \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0, \tag{1.7}$$

$$d\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} + \frac{1}{2} R_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l, \tag{1.8}$$

$$d\omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} + \frac{1}{2} R_{\alpha\beta kl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l, \tag{1.9}$$

where  $R_{ijkl}$  and  $R_{\alpha\beta kl}$  denote the curvature tensor of tangent connection and normal connection of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If the Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  is given by  $\nabla_{e_A} e_B = \sum \omega_{CB}(e_A)e_C$ , then the components  $h_{BC}^A$  (resp.  $A_{CD}^B$ ) of  $h$  (resp.  $A$ ) with respect to  $\{e_A\}$  and  $\{\omega_A\}$  are given by:

$$h_{ij}^\alpha = \omega_{\alpha i}(e_j), A_{\alpha\beta}^i = \omega_{\alpha i}(e_\beta). \tag{1.10}$$

The second fundamental form  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is:  $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\alpha,i,j} h_{ij}^\alpha \omega_i \omega_j e_\alpha$ . The length square of  $\mathcal{H}$  is:  $S = \sum_{\alpha,i,j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2$ . For each  $\alpha$ ,  $H^\alpha$  denotes the matrix  $(h_{ij}^\alpha)$ .  $\xi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_\alpha (\text{tr} H^\alpha) e_\alpha$  is called the mean curvature vector,  $H = \|\xi\|$  is called the mean curvature, where  $\text{tr}$  denotes the trace of the matrix  $(h_{ij}^\alpha)$ . The foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be harmonic or minimal (resp. totally geodesic) if  $\sum h_{jj}^\alpha = 0$  (resp.  $h_{jj}^\alpha = 0$ ). The normal plane field  $\mathcal{F}^\perp$  is said to be minimal if  $\text{tr} B = \sum A_{\alpha\alpha}^i e_i = 0$ . The normal plane field  $\mathcal{F}^\perp$  is said to be totally geodesic, if  $B=0$ . The metric is bundle-like if and only if  $A_{\alpha\beta}^i = -A_{\beta\alpha}^i$ , which implies  $B = 0$ . The foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  with bundle-like metric is called Riemannian foliations. For a tensor field  $T = (T_{B_1 \dots B_s}^{A_1 \dots A_r})$  on  $M$ , we define its 1-order covariant derivatives by<sup>[1]</sup>

$$T_{B_1 \dots B_s C}^{A_1 \dots A_r} \omega_c = dT_{B_1 \dots B_s}^{A_1 \dots A_r} - \sum T_{B_1 \dots B_s}^{A_1 \dots A_{a-1}, C, A_{a+1} \dots A_r} \omega_{C A_a} - \sum T_{B_1 \dots B_{b-1}, C, B_{b+1} \dots B_s}^{A_1 \dots A_r} \omega_{C B_b}. \tag{1.13}$$

Then we have the definiens of  $(h_{BCD}^A)$ ,  $(A_{BCD}^A)$ . For details see Ref. [1] or [5].

## 2. Calculus of the divergence

A vector field  $v = \sum \nu_A e_A$  on  $M^{n+p}(c)$  is defined by

$$\nu_k = \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ijk}^\alpha, \nu_\alpha = 0. \tag{2.0}$$

By Ref. [1], we know that the divergence of the vector field  $v$  is defined by

$$\delta v = \text{div} v = \sum \nu_{AA} = \sum \nu_{kk} + \sum \nu_{\alpha\alpha}. \tag{2.1}$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Riemannian foliations, i.e.,  $A_{\alpha\beta}^i = -A_{\beta\alpha}^i$ , we have

$$A_{\alpha\alpha}^i = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Taking exterior differentiation of (2.0) and giving attention to (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{kk} &= \sum h_{ijk}^\alpha h_{ijk}^\alpha + \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ijkk}^\alpha + \\ &\quad \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ij}^\beta h_{mk}^\beta h_{mk}^\alpha + \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\alpha h_{lk}^\beta h_{kj}^\beta + \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\alpha h_{lk}^\beta h_{ki}^\beta + \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ij\beta}^\alpha h_{kk}^\beta, \\ \nu_{\alpha\alpha} &= \sum_{k,\alpha} \nu_k A_{\alpha\alpha}^k = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

By similar calculation to Refs. [1], [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ijkk}^\alpha &= \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kkij}^\alpha - 2 \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ij}^\beta h_{lk}^\alpha h_{lk}^\beta + 4 \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\beta h_{lk}^\alpha h_{ki}^\beta - 2 \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\alpha h_{lk}^\beta h_{ki}^\beta - \\ &\quad 2 \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jk}^\beta h_{kl}^\beta h_{li}^\alpha + 2 \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\beta h_{li}^\alpha h_{kk}^\beta - \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ij\beta}^\alpha h_{kk}^\beta + \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kk\beta}^\alpha h_{ij}^\beta - \\ &\quad 4 \sum_\alpha \left( \sum_k h_{kk}^\alpha \right)^2 + 4n \sum_{\alpha,i,j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now assume  $e_\alpha$  is the mean curvature vector. Hence  $\sum_k h_{kk}^\beta = 0$  ( $\beta \neq \alpha$ ). From (1.11) we have

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k,c} h_{kkc}^\alpha \omega_c = ndH, \\ \sum_{k,c} h_{kkc}^\beta \omega_c = nH\omega_{\beta\alpha} \ (\beta \neq \alpha). \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Here  $H$  is the mean curvature. The vector  $e_\alpha$  is parallel in the normal bundle. This is equivalent to<sup>[2]</sup>

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \\ H^\alpha H^\beta = H^\beta H^\alpha. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k,c} h_{kkc}^\alpha \omega_c = ndH, \\ h_{kkc}^\beta = 0 \ (\beta \neq \alpha). \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

We take exterior differentiation of (2.7):

$$\begin{cases} h_{kkij}^\alpha = -\sum h_{kk}^\beta h_{li}^\beta h_{lj}^\alpha - 2\sum h_{kl}^\alpha h_{li}^\beta h_{kj}^\beta - \sum h_{kk\beta}^\alpha h_{ij}^\beta, \\ h_{kkij}^\beta = -\sum h_{kk}^\alpha h_{li}^\alpha h_{lj}^\beta - 2\sum h_{kl}^\beta h_{li}^\alpha h_{kj}^\alpha \ (\beta \neq \alpha). \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.4) and (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ijkk}^\alpha &= -2\sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ij}^\beta h_{lk}^\alpha h_{lk}^\beta + 2\sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\beta h_{lk}^\alpha h_{ki}^\beta - 2\sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\alpha h_{lk}^\beta h_{ki}^\beta - \\ &2\sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jk}^\beta h_{kl}^\beta h_{li}^\alpha + \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\beta h_{li}^\alpha h_{kk}^\beta - \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ij\beta}^\alpha h_{kk}^\beta - \\ &c\sum_\alpha (\sum_k h_{kk}^\alpha)^2 + cn\sum_{\alpha,i,j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Substituting (2.9) into (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{kk} &= \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ijk}^\alpha + 2\sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\beta h_{lk}^\alpha h_{ki}^\beta - 2\sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\alpha h_{lk}^\beta h_{ki}^\beta - \\ &\sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ij}^\beta h_{lk}^\alpha h_{lk}^\beta + \sum h_{ij}^\alpha h_{jl}^\beta h_{li}^\alpha h_{kk}^\beta - \\ &c\sum_\alpha (\sum_k h_{kk}^\alpha)^2 + cn\sum_{\alpha,i,j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Let  $H^\alpha$  denote the matrix  $(h_{ij}^\alpha)$ . From (2.1)–(2.3), (2.10) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta v &= \sum h_{ijk}^\alpha h_{ijk}^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \text{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta - H^\beta H^\alpha)(H^\alpha H^\beta - H^\beta H^\alpha) - \\ &\sum_{\alpha,\beta} [\text{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)]^2 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} [\text{tr}(H^\alpha)^2 H^\beta] \text{tr}(H^\beta) - \\ &c\sum_\alpha (\text{tr}(H^\alpha))^2 + cn\sum_\alpha \text{tr}(H^\alpha)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

### 3. Proof of the main theorems

From Ref. [2], we have:

**Lemma** *Let  $M^n$  be a submanifold in  $N^{n+p}(c)$ . Suppose that the mean curvature of  $M^n$ ,  $H \neq 0$ , and the Ricci curvature  $\text{Ric} \geq (>)(n - 1)c$ . Then the second fundamental form about the mean*

curvature of  $M$  is semi-definite.

**Theorem** Let  $M^{n+p}(c)$  be a local-symmetric Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature  $c > 0$ . And let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Riemannian foliation in  $M^{n+p}(c)$  with parallel mean curvature  $H(\neq 0)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and for each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  the Ricci curvature  $\text{Ric} \geq (>)(n - 1)c$ . Then

$$\int_{M^{n+p}(c)} \left\{ \frac{3}{2}S^2 + [(\sqrt{n} - \frac{2}{n})n^2H^2 - nc]S + cn^2H^2 \right\} * 1 \geq 0.$$

**Proof** Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a foliation with parallel mean curvature  $H(\neq 0)$ . Let  $e_{n+1} = \xi/\|\xi\|$  and denote  $H_\alpha = (h_{ij}^\alpha)$ . Then from (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta v + \sum h_{ijk}^\alpha h_{ijk}^\alpha &= N(H^\alpha H^\beta - H^\beta H^\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} [\text{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)]^2 - \\ &\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \text{tr}[(H^\alpha)^2 H^\beta] \text{tr}(H^\beta) + c \sum_{\alpha} (\text{tr} H^\alpha)^2 - ncS. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Let

$$\Delta_1 = N(H^\alpha H^\beta - H^\beta H^\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} [\text{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)]^2, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\Delta_2 = - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \text{tr}[(H^\alpha)^2 H^\beta] \text{tr}(H^\beta) + c \sum_{\alpha} (\text{tr} H^\alpha)^2 - ncS. \tag{3.3}$$

As  $e_{n+1}$  is the mean curvature vector, we know that in (3.3),  $\beta$  in the first item and  $\alpha$  in the second item must be  $n + 1$  so that the item is not zero. Then, we have

$$\Delta_2 = -nH \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \text{tr}[(H^\alpha)^2 H^{n+1}] + cn^2H^2 - ncS. \tag{3.4}$$

Diagonalizing  $H^\alpha$  for fixed  $\alpha$  gives  $h_{ij}^\alpha = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ . By Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i^2 (h_{ij}^{n+1} - 2H) &\leq \sqrt{\sum_i \lambda_i^4 \sum_j (h_{jj}^{n+1} - 2H)^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{(\sum_i \lambda_i^2)^2 [\sum (h_{jj}^{n+1})^2 - 4 \sum h_{jj}^{n+1} + 4nH^2]} = (\sum_i \lambda_i^2) \sqrt{\sum (h_{jj}^{n+1})^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} -nH \text{tr}[(H^\alpha)^2 H^{n+1}] &= -nH \lambda_i^2 (h_{jj}^{n+1} - 2H) - 2nH^2 \sum_i \lambda_i^2 \\ &\leq n |H| (\sum_i \lambda_i^2) \sqrt{\sum (h_{jj}^{n+1})^2} - 2nH^2 \sum_i \lambda_i^2 \\ &\leq [\sqrt{n} \sum (h_{jj}^{n+1})^2 - 2nH^2] \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

By the Lemma we know that the second fundamental form about the mean curvature for each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is semi-definited, which guarantees that  $\forall j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $h_{jj}^{n+1} \geq 0$ . So we have  $\sum (h_{jj}^{n+1})^2 \leq (\sum h_{jj}^{n+1})^2 = n^2H^2$ . Then (3.6) becomes

$$-nH \text{tr}[(H^\alpha)^2 H^{n+1}] \leq [n^2 \sqrt{n}H^2 - 2nH^2]S, \tag{3.7}$$

where  $S$  is the length square of the second fundamental form of  $\mathcal{F}$ . By (3.7) we know that

$$\Delta_2 \leq [n^2\sqrt{n}H^2 - 2nH^2]S - ncS + cn^2H^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Considering (3.3), and by Ref. [4] we know

$$\Delta_1 \leq \frac{3}{2}S^2. \quad (3.9)$$

Applying (3.1), (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain

$$-\delta v + \sum h_{ijk}^\alpha h_{ijk}^\alpha \leq \frac{3}{2}S^2 + [n^2\sqrt{n}H^2 - 2nH^2 - nc]S + cn^2H^2.$$

Integrating the inequality above, and by Green's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{M^{n+p}(c)} \sum h_{ijk}^\alpha h_{ijk}^\alpha * 1 \\ &\leq \int_{M^{n+p}(c)} \left\{ \frac{3}{2}S^2 + [n^2\sqrt{n}H^2 - 2nH^2 - nc]S + cn^2H^2 \right\} * 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

The Theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Corollary** *With the same conditions as in the theorem, for the constant curvature  $c \geq 0$ , if  $|-2nH^2 + \sqrt{n}n^2H^2 - nc| \geq \sqrt{6}n |H|$ , and  $-\frac{D}{3} < S < \frac{D}{3}$ , then the second fundamental form for each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is parallel, where*

$$D = \sqrt{(-2nH^2 + \sqrt{n}n^2H^2 - nc)^2 - 6cn^2H^2} - \sqrt{6}cn |H|.$$

**Proof** Considering function  $\varphi = \frac{3}{2}S^2 + [n^2\sqrt{n}H^2 - 2nH^2 - nc]S + cn^2H^2$ , discriminant of which is  $\tilde{\Delta} = (-2nH^2 + \sqrt{n}n^2H^2 - nc)^2 - 6cn^2H^2$ , and for the factor  $\tilde{\Delta} \geq 0$ , we know that there are two different real roots for the equation  $\varphi(S) = 0$ :

$$S_1 = \frac{(\sqrt{n} - \frac{2}{n})n^2H^2 - nc + \sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}}}{-3}, \quad S_2 = \frac{-[(\sqrt{n} - \frac{2}{n})n^2H^2 - nc] + \sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}}}{3}.$$

When  $S_1 < S < S_2$ ,  $\varphi \leq 0$ . That is,  $\varphi \leq 0$  when  $-\frac{D}{3} \leq S_1 < S < S_2 \leq \frac{D}{3}$ . By the Theorem, we deduce that  $\sum h_{ijk}^\alpha h_{ijk}^\alpha = 0$  under this condition, i.e.,  $h_{ijk}^\alpha = 0$ . The Corollary obviously holds.

## References

- [1] LI Zhibo. *Harmonic foliations on the sphere* [J]. Tsukuba J. Math., 1991, **15**(2): 397–407.
- [2] YAU S T. *Submanifolds with constant mean curvature, I,II* [J]. Amer. J. Math., 1974, **96**: 346–366; 1975, **97**: 76–100.
- [3] CHERN S S, DO C M, KOBAYASHI S. *Minimal Submanifolds of a Sphere with Second Fundamental form of Constant Length* [M]. Springer, New York, 1970.
- [4] LI Anmin, LI Jimin. *An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere* [J]. Arch. Math. (Basel), 1992, **58**(6): 582–594.
- [5] NAKAGAWA H, TAKAGI R. *Harmonic foliations on a compact Riemannian manifold of nonnegative constant curvature* [J]. Tohoku Math. J. (2), 1988, **40**(3): 465–471.