

# New Convergence Results for Nash Equilibria

Jacqueline Morgan

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università di Napoli "Federico II",  
Complesso Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italia.  
e-mail: morgan@matna2.dma.unina.it*

Roberto Raucchi

*Dipartimento di Scienze Economiche, Università di Salerno,  
Via Ponte Don Melillo, 84084 Fisciano (SA), Italia.  
e-mail: raucchi@dise.unisa.it*

Received May 6, 1997

Revised manuscript received March 2, 1999

Painleve-Kuratowski convergence results are obtained, under sufficient conditions of minimal character, for approximate Nash equilibria of two sequences of real valued functions. Moreover, an application to a hierarchical game is given.

## 1. Introduction

Having in mind to obtain existence and stability results for hierarchical games with one leader and two followers playing a non cooperative non zero sum game [10, 3], the aim of this paper is to give new convergence results for a sequence of Nash equilibria. More precisely let  $V_1$  (respectively  $V_2$ ) be a topological space,  $Y_1$  (respectively  $Y_2$ ) be a nonempty closed subset of  $V_1$  (respectively  $V_2$ ) and  $f_1, f_2$  be two real valued functions defined on  $Y_1 \times Y_2$ . The corresponding Nash Equilibrium problem is the following:

$$\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2) \begin{cases} \text{find } (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2 \text{ such that:} \\ f_1(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) = \inf_{y_1 \in Y_1} f_1(y_1, \bar{y}_2) \text{ and } f_2(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) = \inf_{y_2 \in Y_2} f_2(\bar{y}_1, y_2). \end{cases}$$

Let  $N$  be the set of solutions to the problem  $\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2)$ . One is interested, as in optimization [1, 4, 7, 21], to a general scheme of perturbations which can include penalizations and discretizations. Therefore, let  $(f_{1,n})_n$  and  $(f_{2,n})_n$  be two sequences of real valued functions defined on  $Y_1 \times Y_2$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{N}(f_{1,n}, f_{2,n})$  be the corresponding Nash equilibrium problem and let  $N_n$  be the solution set of  $\mathcal{N}(f_{1,n}, f_{2,n})$ . Convergence results for the solutions of  $\mathcal{N}(f_{1,n}, f_{2,n})$  to solutions of  $\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2)$  have been given in [6] and, more precisely, sufficient conditions have been given for the condition:

$$\text{Lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n \subseteq N \tag{1.1}$$

that is: for any sequence  $(y_k)_k$  such that  $y_k = (y_{1,k}, y_{2,k})$  converges to  $y = (y_1, y_2)$  and  $y_k$  is a solution to  $\mathcal{N}(f_{1,n_k}, f_{2,n_k})$ , for a selection of integers  $(n_k)$ , we have that  $y$  is a solution to  $\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2)$ .

Unfortunately, even for nice functions and set of constraints, we have not, in general, (see Example 1.1)

$$N \subseteq \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n \tag{1.2}$$

that is: for any  $y = (y_1, y_2)$  solution to  $\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2)$  there exists a sequence  $(y_n)_n$  such that  $y_n = (y_{1,n}, y_{2,n})$  is a solution to  $\mathcal{N}(f_{1,n}, f_{2,n})$  and  $y_n$  converges to  $y$ .

The aim of this paper is to determine a set of approximate solutions to  $\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}(f_{1,n}, f_{2,n})$ ), called  $N(\epsilon)$  (resp.  $N_n(\epsilon)$ ), such that sufficient conditions of minimal character can be obtained for the condition

$$N(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(\epsilon) \quad \text{for any } \epsilon > 0 \tag{1.3}$$

that is: for any  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in N(\epsilon)$  there exists a sequence  $(y_n)_n$  converging to  $y$  and such that  $y_n = (y_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) \in N_n(\epsilon)$ .

When  $\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(f_{1,n}, f_{2,n})$  are generalized saddle point problems [2, 8], relation (1.3) has been obtained in [18] for approximate saddle points under conditions of minimal character involving new semicontinuity properties for multifunctions. In this paper, firstly, we extend these results to a non zero sum game and, secondly, we slightly weaken the sufficient conditions given for condition (1.1) in [6]. Let us note that we also extend to nonzero sum games the results on lower semicontinuity of  $\epsilon$ -solutions to minimum problems, obtained in a sequential setting in [16] and in a topological one in [15]. Finally, to illustrate the usefulness of condition (1.3), an application to a hierarchical game is given, extending the existence and stability results obtained in [20], in the case of uniqueness of the solutions to the lower level problem, to the case in which the set of solutions is not always reduced to a singleton.

Now, let us give an elementary example in which a sequence of functions  $(f_{i,n})_n$  converges uniformly to a function  $f_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and such that condition (1.1) is satisfied but condition (1.2) is not.

**Example 1.1.** Let  $Y_1 = Y_2 = [0, 1]$  and  $f_1(y_1, y_2) = f_2(y_1, y_2) = 0$ ,  $f_{1,n}(y_1, y_2) = f_{2,n}(y_1, y_2) = \frac{y_1 y_2}{n}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have  $N = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and  $N_n = ([0, 1] \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times [0, 1])$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\text{Lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n \subseteq N$  but we have not  $N \subseteq \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n$ . In fact  $\text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n = \text{Lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n = ([0, 1] \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times [0, 1]) \subset N$ .

Therefore, in the following, we consider approximate solutions and more precisely:

**Definition 1.2.** The pair  $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  is an  $\epsilon$ -approximate Nash equilibrium for the problem  $\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2)$  if  $\bar{y}$  satisfies:

$$\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2)(\epsilon) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{find } (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2 \text{ such that:} \\ f_1(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) + f_2(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \leq v_1(f_1, \bar{y}_2) + v_2(f_2, \bar{y}_1) + \epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

where  $v_1(f_1, y_2) = \inf_{y_1 \in Y_1} f_1(y_1, y_2)$ ,  $v_2(f_2, y_1) = \inf_{y_2 \in Y_2} f_2(y_1, y_2)$ .

Let  $N(\epsilon)$  be the set of  $\epsilon$ -approximate Nash equilibria. We note that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have  $N \subseteq N(\epsilon)$  and for  $\epsilon = 0$ :  $N(0) = N$ .

**Remark 1.3.** In Example 1.1 we have:  $N(\epsilon) = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] = N$ ,  $N_n(\epsilon) = \{(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n}) \in Y_1 \times Y_2 \text{ such that } \bar{y}_{1,n}\bar{y}_{2,n} \leq \frac{n\epsilon}{2}\}$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $N(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(\epsilon)$  is satisfied for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Definition 1.4.** The pair  $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  is a *strict  $\epsilon$ -approximate Nash equilibrium* for the problem  $\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2)$  if  $\bar{y}$  satisfies:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(f_1, f_2)(\epsilon) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{find } (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2 \text{ such that:} \\ f_1(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) + f_2(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) < v_1(f_1, \bar{y}_2) + v_2(f_2, \bar{y}_1) + \epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

$\tilde{N}(\epsilon)$  will be the set of solutions to the problem  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(f_1, f_2)(\epsilon)$ .

**Remark 1.5.** An another well known concept of approximate Nash equilibrium (see for example [3]) for the problem  $\mathcal{N}(f_1, f_2)$  is the following:

$\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  is an  $\epsilon$ -Nash equilibrium if  $\bar{y}$  satisfies:

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}(f_1, f_2)(\epsilon) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{find } (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2 \text{ such that:} \\ f_1(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \leq v_1(f_1, \bar{y}_2) + \epsilon \text{ and } f_2(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \leq v_2(f_2, \bar{y}_1) + \epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

Let  $\hat{N}(\epsilon)$  be the set of  $\epsilon$ -Nash equilibria. The two concepts are not equivalent. However, we have the following inclusions:

$$\hat{N}(\epsilon) \subseteq N(2\epsilon) \text{ and } N(\epsilon) \subseteq \hat{N}(\epsilon) \text{ for any } \epsilon \geq 0.$$

Through the paper we will consider  $\epsilon$ -approximate Nash equilibria and a first result concerning strict approximate solutions is the following:

**Proposition 1.6.** *Assume the following conditions:*

(A1) *for any  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  there exists a sequence  $(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  in  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  such that:*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f_{1,n}(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n}) + f_{2,n}(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n})] \leq f_1(y_1, y_2) + f_2(y_1, y_2);$$

(A2) *for any  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  and any sequence  $(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  in  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  we have:*

$$v_1(f_1, y_2) + v_2(f_2, y_1) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [v_1(f_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) + v_2(f_{2,n}, y_{1,n})].$$

Then, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\tilde{N}(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{N}_n(\epsilon)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(y_1, y_2) \in \tilde{N}(\epsilon)$ . In light of (A1), there exists a sequence  $(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  in  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  such that:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f_{1,n}(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n}) + f_{2,n}(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n})] \leq f_1(y_1, y_2) + f_2(y_1, y_2) \tag{1.4}$$

In light of (A2), we have:

$$v_1(f_1, y_2) + v_2(f_2, y_1) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [v_1(f_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n}) + v_2(f_{2,n}, \bar{y}_{1,n})] \tag{1.5}$$

and, in light of (1.4), (1.5) and the fact that  $(y_1, y_2) \in \tilde{N}(\epsilon)$ , we obtain the result. □

Concerning  $\epsilon$ -approximate solutions we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and assume the following conditions: (A1), (A2) and*

(A3) *the function  $f_1 + f_2$  is convex;*

(A4) *the function  $v_1(f_1, \cdot) + v_2(f_2, \cdot)$  is concave;*

(A5)  $\tilde{N}(\epsilon) \neq \emptyset$ ;

(A6) *let  $V_1$  (respectively  $V_2$ ) be a first countable topological and real vector space and  $Y_1$  (respectively  $Y_2$ ) be a convex subset of  $V_1$  (respectively  $V_2$ ).*

*Then  $N(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(\epsilon)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in N(\epsilon)$  and  $(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2) \in \tilde{N}(\epsilon)$ . We define:  $\bar{y}_{i,n} = \frac{1}{n}\tilde{y}_i + (1 - \frac{1}{n})\bar{y}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Obviously the sequence  $(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n})$  converges to  $(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2)$  and in light of (A3) and (A4) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n}) + f_2(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n}[f_1(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2) + f_2(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)] + (1 - \frac{1}{n})[f_1(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) + f_2(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2)] \\ & < \frac{1}{n}[v_1(f_1, \tilde{y}_2) + v_2(f_2, \tilde{y}_1) + \epsilon] + (1 - \frac{1}{n})[v_1(f_1, \bar{y}_2) + v_2(f_2, \bar{y}_1) + \epsilon] \\ & \leq v_1(f_1, \bar{y}_{2,n}) + v_2(f_2, \bar{y}_{1,n}) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we proved that  $N(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{cl } \tilde{N}(\epsilon)$  where  $\text{cl } A$  is the closure of the set  $A$ . Then, in light of Proposition 1.6, we have:  $N(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{cl } \tilde{N}(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{cl } \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{N}_n(\epsilon)$ . Since  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are first countable topological spaces,  $\text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{N}_n(\epsilon)$  is a sequentially closed subset in  $V_1 \times V_2$  and  $N(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{N}_n(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(\epsilon)$ .  $\square$

Sufficient conditions on the data of the problem for assumptions (A2) and (A4) can be easily obtained:

**Corollary 1.8.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Assume that  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are two sequentially compact subsets and that the following assumptions hold: (A1), (A3), (A5), (A6) and*

(A2') *for any  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  and any sequence  $(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  in  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  we have:*

$$f_1(y_1, y_2) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{1,n}(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) \text{ and } f_2(y_1, y_2) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{2,n}(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n});$$

(A4') *the functions  $f_1(y_1, \cdot)$  and  $f_2(\cdot, y_2)$  are concave, respectively, on  $Y_2$  and  $Y_1$ .*

*Then  $N(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(\epsilon)$ .*

In the following example, conditions (A1) and (A2) are satisfied but condition (A2') is not.

**Example 1.9.** Let  $Y_1 = Y_2 = [0, 1]$ ,  $f_1(y_1, y_2) = -(y_1 + y_2)$ ,  $f_2(y_1, y_2) = y_1 + y_2$  and for  $n > 1$

$$f_{1,n}(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (y_1, y_2) \in \{\frac{1}{n}\} \times [\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}] \cup [\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}] \times \{\frac{1}{n}\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{2,n}(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (y_1, y_2) \in \{1 - \frac{1}{n}\} \times ]\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}] \cup ]\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}] \times \{1 - \frac{1}{n}\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 1.10.** It is easy to determine functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  which are not linear with respect to  $y_1$  and to  $y_2$  but such that conditions (A3) and (A4') are satisfied. For example  $f_1(y_1, y_2) = y_1^2 - y_1y_2$  and  $f_2(y_1, y_2) = y_2^2 + 2y_1y_2$ .

However, if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are supposed to be convex it can be proved that, under condition (A4'),  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  cannot be quadratic functions.

**Remark 1.11.** Clearly assumption (A5) is satisfied for all  $\epsilon > 0$  if  $N \neq \emptyset$ . Note that recent existence results for Nash equilibrium, in topological vector spaces and in reflexive Banach spaces, have been obtained in [22, 5, 11, 12, 23, 24].

**Remark 1.12.** Even if the different statements on  $\epsilon$ -approximate and strict  $\epsilon$ -approximate solutions could be surprising, assumptions (A3) and (A4) are crucial for lower semi-continuity property of the  $\epsilon$ -approximate solutions, as shown by the following example:

Let  $Y_1 = Y_2 = [0, 1]$ ,  $f_2(y_1, y_2) = f_{2,n}(y_1, y_2) = 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_1(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} & \text{if } y_1 \in ]\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}] \\ 0 & \text{if } y_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$f_{1,n}(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{n}(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1}) & \text{if } y_1 \in ]\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}] \\ 0 & \text{if } y_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Assumptions (A1),(A2) and (A4) to (A6) are satisfied but assumption (A3) is not. In fact, let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{k}$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $N(\frac{1}{k}) = [0, \frac{1}{k}] \times Y_2$ ,  $N_n(\frac{1}{k}) = [0, \frac{1}{k+1}] \times Y_2$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and condition (1.3) is not satisfied even if condition  $\tilde{N}(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{Lim inf}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{N}_n(\epsilon)$  is satisfied.

**Proposition 1.13.** *Let  $\epsilon \geq 0$  and assume:*

(A7) *for any  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  and for any sequence  $(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  in  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  we have:*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f_{1,n}(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) + f_{2,n}(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n})] \geq f_1(y_1, y_2) + f_2(y_1, y_2);$$

(A8) *for any  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  and for any sequence  $(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  in  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  we have:*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [v_1(f_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) + v_2(f_{2,n}, y_{1,n})] \leq v_1(f_1, y_2) + v_2(f_2, y_1).$$

*Then,  $\text{Lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(\epsilon) \subseteq N(\epsilon)$  and  $\text{Lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(\epsilon_n) \subseteq N$  for any sequence  $(\epsilon_n)$  converging to 0.*

**Proof.** Let  $(y_1, y_2)$  such that there exists a sequence  $(y_{1,k}, y_{2,k})_k$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  with  $(y_{1,k}, y_{2,k}) \in N_{n_k}(\epsilon)$  for a sequence  $(n_k)$  of integers. Therefore:

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} [f_{1,n_k}(y_{1,k}, y_{2,k}) + f_{2,n_k}(y_{1,k}, y_{2,k})] \leq \epsilon + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [v_1(f_{1,n_k}, y_{2,k}) + v_2(f_{2,n_k}, y_{1,k})]$$

Moreover, in light of (A7) and (A8), we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(y_1, y_2) + f_2(y_1, y_2) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} [f_{1,n_k}(y_{1,k}, y_{2,k}) + f_{2,n_k}(y_{1,k}, y_{2,k})] \\ &\leq \epsilon + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [v_1(f_{1,n_k}, y_{2,k}) + v_2(f_{2,n_k}, y_{1,k})] \\ &\leq \epsilon + v_1(f_1, y_2) + v_2(f_2, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

and the first result follows.

Similarly, we can prove the second part of the thesis. □

**Remark 1.14.** In [6] the following result is obtained: Assume that the following assumptions are satisfied:

- (i) for any  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  and any sequence  $(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  in  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  we have:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{1,n}(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) \geq f_1(y_1, y_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{2,n}(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) \geq f_2(y_1, y_2);$$

- (ii) for any  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  and any sequence  $(y_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $y_2$  in  $Y_2$ , there exists a sequence  $(\bar{y}_{1,n})_n$  converging to  $y_1$  in  $Y_1$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{1,n}(\bar{y}_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) \leq f_1(y_1, y_2);$$

for any  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$ , any sequence  $(y_{1,n})_n$  converging to  $y_1$  in  $Y_1$ , there exists a sequence  $(\bar{y}_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $y_2$  in  $Y_2$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{2,n}(y_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n}) \leq f_2(y_1, y_2).$$

Then,  $\text{Lim sup}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n \subseteq N$ .

We note that assumption (A7) is strictly weaker than condition (i) and that (A8) is strictly weaker than condition (ii). In fact, in light of Proposition 3.1.1 in [14], condition (ii) is equivalent to: for all  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  and for all sequence  $(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  in  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  we have:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_1(f_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) \leq v_1(f_1, y_2)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_2(f_{2,n}, y_{1,n}) \leq v_2(f_2, y_1).$$

As an example satisfying (A7) and (A8) but not (ii), we can consider:  $Y_1 = Y_2 = [0, 1]$ ,  $f_i(y_1, y_2) = 0$  and

$$f_{i,n}(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{y_i}{ny_{3-i}} & \text{if } y_{3-i} \in ]0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{if } y_{3-i} = 0 \end{cases}$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i = 1, 2$ .

In light of Corollary 1.8 and Proposition 1.13, we easily obtain:

**Corollary 1.15.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and assume: (A3), (A4'), (A5), (A6) and*

(A9) *for  $i = 1, 2$ , the sequence  $(f_{i,n})_n$  is continuously convergent to  $f_i$  [19] that is: for any  $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  and for any sequence  $(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n})$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  in  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  we have:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{i,n}(y_{1,n}, y_{2,n}) = f_i(y_1, y_2)$ .*

*Then the sequence  $(N_n(\epsilon))_n$  converges to  $N(\epsilon)$  in the Painlevé-Kuratowski sense [9] that is:  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(\epsilon) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_n(\epsilon) = N(\epsilon)$ .*

## 2. An application

Let  $X$  be a topological sequentially compact space and  $l, f_1, f_2$  be three real valued functions defined on  $X \times Y_1 \times Y_2$  which represent the cost functions of a leader and two followers in a non cooperative and non zero sum game. We consider the following problem:

$$\text{find } \bar{x} \in X \text{ such that: } \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{(y_1, y_2) \in N(x)} l(x, y_1, y_2) = \sup_{(y_1, y_2) \in N(\bar{x})} l(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2) \quad (\mathcal{P})$$

where  $N(x)$  is the set of solutions to the Nash equilibrium problem  $\mathcal{N}(f_1(x, \cdot, \cdot), f_2(x, \cdot, \cdot))$  and is called the reaction set of the followers.

Such a problem, called “weak hierarchical Nash equilibrium problem”, corresponds to the case in which the leader cannot influence the followers and minimizes the worst. Existence of a solution is not always guaranteed, even for nice functions, as shown by the following example:

Let  $X = Y_1 = Y_2 = [0, 1]$  and  $l(x, y_1, y_2) = x - (y_1 + y_2)$ ,  $f_1(x, y_1, y_2) = -f_2(x, y_1, y_2) = -y_1(x + y_2)$ .

$$\text{The set } N(x) \text{ is: } \begin{cases} [0, 1] \times \{0\} & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \{(1, 0)\} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and there exists no solution to the problem  $(\mathcal{P})$ .

Therefore, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we introduce the following regularized problem:

$$\text{find } \bar{x} \in X \text{ such that: } \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{(y_1, y_2) \in N(x, \epsilon)} l(x, y_1, y_2) = \sup_{(y_1, y_2) \in N(\bar{x}, \epsilon)} l(\bar{x}, y_1, y_2) \quad (\mathcal{P}(\epsilon))$$

where  $N(x, \epsilon)$  is the set of  $\epsilon$ -approximate Nash equilibria, that is the set of solutions to the problem:

$$\mathcal{N}(f_1(x, \cdot, \cdot), f_2(x, \cdot, \cdot))(\epsilon) \begin{cases} \text{find } (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2 \text{ such that:} \\ f_1(x, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) + f_2(x, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \leq \\ v_1(f_1(x, \cdot, \cdot), \bar{y}_2) + v_2(f_2(x, \cdot, \cdot), \bar{y}_1) + \epsilon \end{cases}$$

Moreover, let  $\tilde{N}(x, \epsilon)$  be the set of solutions to the problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{find } (\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2 \text{ such that :} \\ f_1(x, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) + f_2(x, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2) < \inf_{y_1 \in Y_1} f_1(x, y_1, \bar{y}_2) + \inf_{y_2 \in Y_2} f_2(x, \bar{y}_1, y_2) + \epsilon \end{cases}$$

For the sake of simplicity we put:

$$u_1(x, y_2) = \inf_{y_1 \in Y_1} f_1(x, y_1, y_2), \quad u_2(x, y_1) = \inf_{y_2 \in Y_2} f_2(x, y_1, y_2).$$

Then, we can obtain the following result:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Assume that:*

*$Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  satisfy assumption (A6);*

*The function  $l$  is sequentially lower semicontinuous on  $X \times Y_1 \times Y_2$ ;*

*For any  $(x, y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$  and any sequence  $(x_n)$  converging to  $x$ , there exists a sequence  $(\bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n})_n$  converging to  $(y_1, y_2)$  in  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  such that:*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f_{1,n}(x_n, \bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n}) + f_{2,n}(x_n, \bar{y}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{2,n})] \leq f_1(x, y_1, y_2) + f_2(x, y_1, y_2);$$

*The function  $u_1 + u_2$  is sequentially lower semicontinuous on  $X \times Y_1 \times Y_2$ ;*

*The function  $f_1(x, \cdot, \cdot) + f_2(x, \cdot, \cdot)$  is convex on  $Y_1 \times Y_2$ , for all  $x \in X$ ;*

*The function  $u_1(x, \cdot) + u_2(x, \cdot)$  is concave on  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  for all  $x \in X$ ;*

*$\tilde{N}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Then there exists a solution to the problem  $(\mathcal{P}(\epsilon))$ .*

**Proof.** In light of Theorem 1.7, the multifunction  $N$  is sequentially lower semicontinuous on  $X$  and, in light of Proposition 3.2.1 in [14], the marginal function  $w$  defined by  $w(x, \epsilon) = \sup_{(y_1, y_2) \in N(x, \epsilon)} l(x, y_1, y_2)$  is sequentially lower semicontinuous.  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Attouch: Variational Convergences for Functions and Operators, Pitman, Boston, 1984.
- [2] J. P. Aubin: Mathematical Methods of Game and Economy Theory, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [3] T. Basar, G. J. Olsder: Dynamic Noncooperative Game Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [4] G. Buttazzo, G. Dal Maso:  $\Gamma$ -convergence and optimal control problems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 17 (1982) 385–407.
- [5] E. Cavazzuti: Esistenza ed approssimazione di equilibri di Nash (1993), working paper.
- [6] E. Cavazzuti, N. Pacchiarotti: Convergence of Nash equilibria, Bollettino U.M.I. 5-B (1986) 247–266.
- [7] E. De Giorgi, T. Franzoni: Su un tipo di convergenza variazionale, Atti Accademia Nazionale Lincei, Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Naturali 58 (1975) 63–101.
- [8] P. T. Harker, J. S. Pang: Finite-dimensional variational inequality and nonlinear complementarity problems: a survey of theory, algorithms and applications, Mathematical Programming 48 (1990) 161–220.
- [9] K Kuratowski: Topology, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [10] G. Leitmann: On generalized Stackelberg strategies, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 26 (1978) 637–643.
- [11] M. B. Lignola: Ky Fan Inequalities and Nash equilibrium points with lack of semicontinuity and compactness, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 94(1) (1997) 137–145.



- [12] M. B. Lignola: Existence of Nash equilibrium and saddle points in infinite dimensional space, *Optimization* 43 (1997) 367–383.
- [13] M. B. Lignola, J. Morgan: Convergence of marginal functions with dependent constraints, *Optimization* 23 (1992) 189–213.
- [14] M. B. Lignola, J. Morgan: Semicontinuity of marginal functions in a sequential setting, *Optimization* 24 (1992) 241–252.
- [15] M. B. Lignola, J. Morgan: Topological existence and stability for Stackelberg problems, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 84(1) (1995) 145–169.
- [16] P. Loridan, J. Morgan: New results on approximate solution in two level optimization, *Optimization* 20 (1989) 819–836.
- [17] P. Loridan, J. Morgan: On strict  $\epsilon$ -solutions for a two level optimization problem, In: W. Buhler, G. Feichtinger, F. Harti, F. J. Radermacher, P. Stahly (eds.): *Proceedings of the International Conference on Operation Research 90 in Vienna*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992) 145–169.
- [18] J. Morgan, R. Raucchi: Continuity properties of  $\epsilon$ -solutions for generalized parametric saddle point problems and application to hierarchical games, *Journal of Mathematics Analysis and Applications* 211 (1997) 30–48.
- [19] H. Poppe: *Compactness in General Function spaces*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1974.
- [20] R. Raucchi: Problemi gerarchici di punti di Nash: esistenza e approssimazione nel caso di unicit  al livello inferiore, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Tomo XLVII* (1998) 113–128.
- [21] R. T. Rockafellar, R. Wets: *Variational Analysis*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [22] K. K. Tan, J. YU: New minimax inequality with applications to existence theorems of equilibrium points, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 82 (1994) 105–120.
- [23] R. J. Williams: Sufficient conditions for Nash equilibria in n-person games over reflexive Banach spaces, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 30 (1980) 383–394.
- [24] J. Yu: On Nash equilibria in n-person games over reflexive Banach spaces, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 73 (1992) 211–214.