

Caesarean Section, Vaginal Delivery and Post Natal Depression

*MH Baghianimoghadam¹, D Shodjaee zadeh², AH Aminian³

¹Faculty of Health, Saheed Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

²School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

³Center of Counseling, Saheed Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

(Received 14 Apr 2009; accepted 27 Aug 2009)

Abstract

Background: Depression is a kind of sorrow that decreases the level of juiciness. Most studies have maintained that psychological risk factors have the most profound effect on the development of postnatal depression (PND). We compared the postnatal depression among the women with elective caesarean and vaginal delivery.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was carried out on 120 women 1-4 months after their delivery. Samples were divided in two groups (60 mothers with caesarean and 60 with vaginal delivery). The samples were selected by cluster sampling in Health Center of Yazd City, Yazd Province. To assess the level of depression, we handed the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) to participants. For data analysis, chi-square, ANOVA and descriptive statistic were used.

Results: The mean grade scores of depression of women with caesarean delivery was 17.16 (SD=11.57) and for women with vaginal delivery was 11.05 (SD=9.41). The major depression in women with caesarean was twice the women with vaginal delivery (16.7 via 8.3). There was significant difference between mode of delivery and depression of participants ($P= 0.02$). Tendency of sexuality in women with caesarean was lower than women with vaginal delivery.

Conclusion: Although the prevalence of PND symptoms seems to be the same across the cultures, risk factors differ significantly. This study identified caesarean delivery as a certain risk factor for increasing prevalence of depression in postnatal delivery. This factor must be taken into consideration when planning intervention and preventive strategies for these women.

Keywords: Postnatal delivery, Caesarean, Vaginal delivery, Depression, Test of Beck, Iran

Introduction

Depression is a kind of sorrow that decreases the level of juiciness. It is a natural reaction and many of people have this condition. In sever and long conditions, it is a disease. Depression is a major source of morbidity in the world and especially in developing countries (1). The rate of depression in men is half of the women (2).

The average prevalence rate of non-psychotic postpartum depression based on the results of a large number of studies is 13% (3). The results of a study showed that the prevalence of postnatal depression (PND) in their participants in 12 and 24 wk after delivery, respectively was 7.93(SD= 4.68) and 7(SD= 4.66) (4). Postnatal depression is the most common mood disorder associated with childbirth (5). It has been claimed that PND may be less prominent in countries where the struggle for survival is more promi-

nent (6). Nevertheless, it seems that there are few differences in the prevalence of postpartum psychiatric disorders throughout the world (7).

Most studies have maintained that psychological risk factors have the most profound effect on the development of PND. Finding concerning the contribution of obstetrics and socio demographic risk factors has been conflicting (8-10). The prevalence of depression in the postnatal period is similar to background population rates of depression (11). Infants of depressed mothers have been found to perform less well on object concept tasks and are more insecurely attached to their mothers (12). If labor is complicated and the delivery unexpectedly performed as an emergency procedure, it can potentially be stressful to the mother. In such scenarios there may be an association between emergency operative delivery and post-

natal depression. Several studies have investigated this association, though the current evidence is conflicting with some studies reporting an association (13-15), and others not (16-19). Conversely, there may be an association between elective caesarean section and reduced risk of postnatal depression. A recent review showed that postnatal depression could be treated with psychosocial techniques (20). Other results pointed to the need for rigorous evaluations of preventable intervention (21.) We can prevent postnatal depression with design the methods of delivery.

This study compared the postnatal depression between women with elective caesarean and vaginal delivery to design health education program for prevention and control their depression.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was carried out on 120 women, 1-4 month after their delivery. Samples were divided in two groups (60 mothers with caesarean and 60 with vaginal delivery), and were selected by cluster sampling from the city of Yazd, Yazd Province. The depression tendency of the participants was examined with the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). The instrument of study was a questionnaire containing demographic information with 7 questions and 21 questions about the BDI.

The BDI is a 21- item self report rating scale designed to measure the severity of depression (22). The reliability and validity of the BDI, including the Chinese version, have been established in previous studies (23, 24). The questionnaires were completed in home visit by interview.

Total scores of using test were 63, and the range of scores about status of depression was as follows: no depression and low depression was indicated by scores 0-19, mild depression was indicated by scores from 20-29 and sever depression indicated by scores more than 30.

All data were transferred directly into SPSS.11. We obtained consent from all participants.

Results

All of the participants were educated. More than 28% of them had university ' education. About 20% of pregnancies were unplanned. The mean age of the subjects was 24.82±5.2 yr (range15-38) and the mean age of marriage was 20.5±3.8 yr (range 13-30).

The mean grade scores of depression in women with caesarean was 17.17±11.57and in women with vaginal delivery was 11.05±9.41. About 31.7% of women with caesarean and 56.7% with vaginal delivery were free of any depressive tendency, or very minor depressing. More than 16% of women with caesarean and 8% of vaginal delivery suffered from major depression (Table1).There was significant difference between mode of delivery and depression of participants (P= 0.02).

Table 2 shows the data about mode of delivery and feeling of sadness, feeling of hopelessness, decrease of self-interest, decrease of pleasure of life, decrease of tendency of sexuality and change the pattern of sleep. There was significant difference between the mode of delivery of participants and all of complication of their depression (P< 0.05) except decrease of pleasure of life(P> 0.05).

Table 1: The distribution of mode of delivery of women and their depression

Depression	Mode of delivery	Caesarean		Vaginal delivery		Total	
		n	%	n	%	n	%
No and low depression		19	31.7	34	56.7	53	44.2
Mild and indicated depression		31	51.7	21	35	52	43.3
Sever depression		10	16.6	5	8.3	15	12.5
Total		60	100	60	100	120	100

P = 0.02

Table 2: The distribution of mode of delivery of women and complication of their depression

Feeling of sadness	Mode of delivery	Low		Moderate		Severe		Total		P
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Caesarean		29	48.3	18	30	13	21.7	60	100	0.002
Vaginal		46	76.7	12	20	2	3.3	60	100	
Mode of delivery	Feeling of hopelessness	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	P
Caesarean		43	71.7	10	16.7	7	11.6	60	100	0.022
Vaginal		54	90	4	6.07	2	3.3	60	100	
Mode of delivery	Decrease of self interest	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	P
Caesarean		40	66.6	10	16.7	10	16.07	60	100	0.042
Vaginal		51	58	7	11.7	2	3.33	60	100	
Mode of delivery	Decrease of pleasure of life	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	P
Caesarean		33	55	15	25	12	20	60	100	0.056
Vaginal		47	78.3	6	10	7	11.7	60	100	
Mode of delivery	Decrease of tendency of sexuality	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	P
Caesarean		21	35	25	41.7	14	23.3	60	100	0.038
Vaginal		35	58.3	12	20	13	21.7	60	100	
Mode of delivery	Change the pattern of sleep	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	P
Caesarean		14	23.3	22	36.7	24	40	60	100	0.021
vaginal		13	21.7	37	61.7	10	16.7	60	100	

Discussion

Our results had wide confidence interval, and we could find association between postnatal depression in women with caesarean and vaginal delivery. In our study the mean grade scores of depression in women with caesarean was 16.16 (SD=11.57) and for women with vaginal delivery was 11.05 (SD= 9.41). There was significant difference between depressed and no depressed with regard to their mode of delivery ($P= 0.02$). We can say that caesarean delivery is a certain risk factor for increasing prevalence of depression in postnatal delivery. This finding agrees with those from studies in other western and nonwestern culture (25-28).

One prospective cohort found a weak association between some complication in pregnancy and postnatal depression, but none with mode of delivery (29). Results of a research found that mode of delivery do not predict postnatal depression at 8 to 12 weeks (30). One study

found some evidence of higher rates of raised depression scores at three months postpartum in women who had an emergency caesarean section compared with those who had a spontaneous vaginal delivery (31). An Australian study found that both elective and emergency caesarean delivery was associated with a small but not significant increased risk of postnatal depression at eight weeks postpartum (32).

Our results are significant with those of a small retrospective cohort study in Malaysia (33). Higher depression scores were found among women who had an emergency delivery with non emergency delivery, although the former group included emergency caesarean and vacuum delivery. The Edin berg scale, however, has not been validated in a Malaysian population (33). Regarding assisted vaginal delivery our results do not support some studies that have not found an association with postnatal depression (31, 32).

Although the prevalence of PND symptoms seems to be the same across the cultures, risk factors differ significantly. This study identified caesarean delivery as a certain risk factor for increasing prevalence of depression in postnatal delivery. This factor must be taken into consideration when planning intervention and preventive strategies for these women.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Mrs M Norouzian and Faculty of Health Yazd University of Medical Sciences in financial supporting of this study. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

References

1. Atkinson RL (2006). Stress, etiology and treatment. In: *Hilgard's introduction to psychology* Eds. Translated in Persian by Braheny MT, sixth ed. Roshd press. Tehran, pp.493-96.
2. Kavyani H, Ahmadi A, Nazeri H, Hormozi K (2002). Prevalence of depression in Tehran. *Tehran University Medical Journal*, 60(5): 393-99.
3. O'hara MW, Swain AM (1996). Rates and risk of postpartum depression: A meta-analysis. *Int Rev Psychiatry*, 8: 37-54.
4. Dennis CI, Hodnett E, Weston J, Zupancic J, Stewart DE, Kiss A (2009). Effect of peer support on prevention postnatal depression among high risk women: multisite randomized controlled trial. *BMJ online*, 1-9.
5. Holden JM (1991). Postnatal depression, its nature, effects and identification using the Edinburgh postnatal depression scale. *Birth*, 18: 211-21.
6. Kumar R (1994). Postnatal mental illness, A transcultural perspective. *Soc Psychiatry Epidemiol*, 29: 250-64.
7. Cox J (1983). Postnatal depression, A comparison of African and Scottish women. *Social Psychiatry*, 18: 25-8.
8. Johnstone SJ, Boyce PM, Hickey AR, Morris-Yatees AD, Harris MG (2001). Obstetric risk factors for postnatal depression in Urban and Rural community samples. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*, 35: 69-74.
9. Warner R, Appleby L, Faragher B (1996). Demographic and obstetric risk factors for postnatal psychiatric morbidity. *Br J Psychiatry*, 168: 607-11.
10. Josefsson A, Angelsiö L, Berg G, Ekström CM, Gunnervik C, Nordin C, Sydsjö G (2002). Obstetric, somatic and demographic risk factors for postpartum depressive symptoms. *Obstet Gynecol*, 99: 223-28.
11. Dare FO, Bako AU, Ezechi OC (1998). Puerperal sepsis, a preventable postpartum complication. *Trop Doct*, 28: 92-5.
12. Wrate RM, Rooney AC, Thomas PF, Cox JJ (1985). Postnatal depression and child development, A three year follow up study. *Br J Psychiatry*, 146: 622-7.
13. Lydon-Rochelle, Holt VI, Marti DP (2001). Delivery method and self-reported postpartum general health status among primiparous women. *Pediatric Perinat Epidemiol*, 15: 232-40.
14. Koo V, Lynch J, Cooper S (2003). Risk of postnatal depression after emergency delivery. *J Obstst Gynaecol Res*, 29: 246-50.
15. Boyce PM, Todd AL (1992). Increased risk of postnatal depression after emergency caesarean section. *Med J Aust*, 157: 172-4.
16. Saisto T, Salmela, Aro K, Nurmi JE, Halmesmaki E (2001). Psychosocial predictors of disappointment with delivery and puerperal depression, A longitudinal study. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*, 80: 39-45.
17. Verdoux IL, Sutter AL, Glatigny-Dallay E, Ministini A (2002). Obstetrical complication and the development of postpartum symptom: a prospective survey of the MATQUID cohort. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*, 107: 1212-19.
18. Nielsen FD, Videbech P, Hedegaard M, Dalby Salving J, Secher NJ (2000).

- Postpartum depression, identification of women at risk. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*, 107: 1210-17.
19. Thompson JF, Robert CL, Crie M, Ellwood DA (2002). Prevalence and persistence of health problems after childbirth: association with parity and method of birth. *Birth*, 29: 83-94.
 20. Dennis CL, Hodnett E (2007). Psychosocial and psychological interventions for treating postpartum depression. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 4: CD006116.
 21. Dennis CL (2005). Psychosocial and psychological intervention for prevention of postnatal depression: systematic review. *BMJ*, 331: 15.
 22. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M (1961). An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 4: 561-71.
 23. Shek DTL (1990). Reliability and factorial structure of the Chinese version of the Beck depression inventory. *J Clin Psychol*, 46: 35-43.
 24. Beck AT, Steer RA, Garbin MG (1988). Psychometric properties of the Beck depression inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. *J Clin Psycho Rev*, 8: 77-100.
 25. Yoshida K, Marks MN, Kibe N, Kumar R, Nakano H, Tashiro N (1997). Postnatal depression in Japanese women who have a given birth in England. *J Affect Disord*, 4: 69-77.
 26. Edward DRI, Porter SAM, Stein GS (1994). A pilot study of postnatal depression following caesarean section using two self-rating instruments. *Psychosom Res*, 38: 111-17.
 27. Hannah P, Adams D, Lee A, Glover V, Sandler M (1992). Link between early postpartum mood and postnatal depression. *Br J Psychiatry*, 160: 777-80.
 28. Adewuya P, Abiodun O, MB, CH, B, Fatoye, Femi O, et al. (2005). Sociodemographic and Obstetric risk factors postpartum depressive symptoms in Nigerian women. *J Psychiatr Pract*, 11(5): 353-58.
 29. Verdoux IL, Sutter AL, Glatigny-Dallay E, Minisini A (2002). Obstetrical complications and the development of postpartum depressive symptoms: a prospective survey of the MATQUID cohort. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*, 106: 212-19.
 30. Saisto T, Salmela-Aro K, Nurmi LE, Halmesmaki E (2001). Psychosocial predictors of disappointment with delivery and puerperal depression, A longitudinal study. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*, 80: 39-45.
 31. Boyce PM, Todd AL (1992). Increased risk of postnatal depression after emergency caesarean section. *Med J Aust*, 157: 172-74.
 32. Johnstone SJ, Boyce PM, Hickey AR, Morris-Yatees AD, GHM (2001). Obstetric risk factor for postnatal depression in Urban community samples. *Austral NZJ Psychiatry*, 35: 69-74.
 33. Koo V, Lynch J, Cooper S (2003). Risk of postnatal depression after emergency delivery. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*, 29: 246-50.