

A Note on Pappus' Theorem

İbrahim Günaltılı and Pınar Anapa

Abstract

Let Π be a projective plane coordinatized by a ternary ring (\mathcal{R}, T) . Using the notations of [1], three operations $+$, \cdot and $*$ defined by $a + b = T(1, a, b)$, $a \cdot b = T(a, b, 0)$, $a * b = T(a, 1, b)$, $\forall a, b \in R$, where $(x, y) \circ [m, k] \Leftrightarrow T(m, x, y) = k$, $\forall m, x, y, k \in R$. In this paper, we give two configurational characterisations for $(R, *)$ to be Abelian group, using involutory perspectivities.

M.S.C. 2000: 51E20, 51A45, 05B25.

Key words: projective plane, ternary ring, involutory perspectivity.

§1. Introduction

In 1959, Pickert [3] proved that if the Pappus Theorem holds in the projective plane for two fixed base lines, then the plane is Pappian. In 1966, Buekenhout [4] proved that if Pascal's Theorem holds for a single oval in a projective plane, then the plane is Pappian. In [1], he exploited the analogy between these two results by using Buekenhout's methods to prove Pickert's Theorem. This result was achieved in [1, Chapter III], through in a slightly weaker form than that obtained by Pickert. Basic definition and theorems on projective planes may be found in [2] or [9].

Let $\Pi = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ be a projective plane and coordinated by a set R ($0, 1 \in R$) with respect to a coordinatizing quadrangles (X, Y, O, E) . That is for any two points $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$ the line joining A and B is denoted by $A \vee B$ or AB and for any two lines $u, v \in \mathcal{L}$, the intersection point is denoted by $u \wedge v$ or uv . The points on XY (distinct from Y) are coordinatized as $(m), m \in R$, the points not on XY as $(x, y), x, y \in R$. So we may say $O = (0, 0), E = (1, 1) X = (0)$. Let us say $Y = (\infty), \infty \notin R$. The lines through Y (distinct from XY) are coordinatized as $[a], a \in R$. Let us say $XY = [\infty]$; the lines not passing through Y , as $[m, k], m, k \in R$. Thus $(a, b) \in [a], [m, k] = (m) \vee (0, k)$. Using the notations of [1], a ternary operation T may be defined on the set R as the following [1] :

$$(x, y) \in [m, k] \Leftrightarrow T(m, x, y) = k, \text{ for all } x, y, m, k \in R.$$

The system (R, T) is called a ternary ring on π and π can be coordinatized by this ternary ring. Three different binary operations denoted by $+$, $\cdot, *$ may be defined on R as follows [9]

$$a + b = T(1, a, b), a \cdot b = T(a, b, 0) \text{ and } a * b = T(a, 1, b) \text{ for all } a, b \in R.$$

In this paper, we give two configurational characterization for $(\mathcal{R}, *)$ to be Abelian group, using involutory perspectivities.

A (P, l) perspectivity (or central colineation), is a perspectivity with centre P and axis l , that is, a colineation which fixes all the lines through P and all the points on l . A projective plane is said to be (P, l) transitive if the perspectivities of the plane with centre P and axis l are transitive on the points of one line through P (and hence every line through P), excluding P itself and the points of l .

(Pappus' Theorem). *If u, v and w are distinct lines of a projective plane Π , then the (u, v, w) -Pappus' Theorem states that if $A, B, C \in u$ and $A', B', C' \in v$ in such a way that*

$$AB' \wedge A'B, AC' \wedge A'C \in w \text{ then } CB' \wedge C'B \in w.$$

Although this statement is not at first sight symmetric in u, v, w , it is easy to see that the (u, v, w) -Pappus' Theorem implies the (a, b, c) -Pappus' Theorem, where (a, b, c) is any permuted arrangement of the lines u, v and w .

Definition. Let u and v be any two lines of a projective plane Π , for every point $P(\notin u \vee v)$ of the plane Π , we define the involutory permutation σ_P of the point set $u \vee v$ by

- (i) $P, X, \sigma_P(X)$ colinear, for all $X \in u \vee v$,
- (ii) $X \in u \Rightarrow \sigma_P(X) \in v, Y \in v \Rightarrow \sigma_P(Y) \in u$.
- (iii) $X \in u \Rightarrow \sigma_P(X) = (P \vee X) \wedge v, Y \in v \Rightarrow \sigma_P(Y) = (P \vee Y) \wedge u$

Lemma 1. ([1], Theorem 3.1) *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *the (u, v, w) – PappusTheorem;*
- (b) $(\sigma_X \sigma_Y \sigma_Z)^2 = 1$ *for any three points $X, Y, Z \in w(\notin u, v)$*
- (c) $\sigma_X \sigma_Y \sigma_Z = \sigma_T$ *for some $T \in w$, where $X, Y, Z \in w(\notin u, v)$.*

Lemma 2. ([1], Theorem 3.3) *The $([0, 0], [0], [\infty])$ -Pappus Theorem holds in Π if and only if $(R \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ is an Abelian group.*

Lemma 3. ([1], Theorem 3.6) *Provided that $a + b = T(a, 1, b)$, for all $a, b \in R$, the $([0], [1], [\infty])$ -Pappus Theorem holds in Π if and only if $(R, +)$ is an Abelian group.*

§2. Main Results

Theorem 1. *The $([0], [\infty], [1])$ -Pappus Theorem holds in Π if and only if $(R, *)$ is an Abelian group.*

Proof. We denote by σ_X , the permutation of the points of $[0]$ and $[\infty]$ which interchanges pairs collinear with $(1, x)$, where $x \in R$. Assume that the restricted Pappus Theorem holds true.

Let $X = (1, x), Y = (1, y), Z = (1, z)$ and $I = (1, 0), x, y, z \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_X \sigma_I \sigma_Y((0)) &= \sigma_X \sigma_I(((1, y) \vee (0)) \wedge [0]) = \sigma_X \sigma_I((0, y)) \\ &= \sigma_X(((0, y) \vee (1, 0)) \wedge [\infty]) = \sigma_X((y)) \\ &= ((y) \vee (1, x)) \wedge [0] = (0, y * x).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\sigma_Y \sigma_I \sigma_X((0)) = (0, x * y)$. By Lemma 1, $x * y = y * x \forall x, y \in R$. Thus $(R, *)$ is commutative. Again,

$$\sigma_Z \sigma_I \sigma_Y \sigma_I \sigma_X((0)) = \sigma_Z \sigma_I(0, x * y) = \sigma_Z((x * y)) = (0, (x * y) * z)$$

and

$$\sigma_Y \sigma_I \sigma_Z \sigma_I \sigma_X((0)) = \sigma_Y \sigma_I(0, x * z) = \sigma_Y((x * z)) = (0, (x * z) * y).$$

By Lemma 1, $\sigma_Z \sigma_I \sigma_Y \sigma_I \sigma_X = \sigma_Y \sigma_I \sigma_Z \sigma_I \sigma_X$ and therefore $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$. But by the commutativity just proved, this becomes $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$, namely the associative law. So $(R, *)$ is an Abelian group.

Conversely, assume that $(R, *)$ is an Abelian group. Therefore, $\forall p \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_X \sigma_Y \sigma_Z((p)) &= \sigma_X \sigma_Y((p) \vee (1, z) \wedge [0]) = \sigma_X \sigma_Y((0, p * z)) \\ &= \sigma_X((0, p * z) \vee (1, y) \wedge [\infty]) = \sigma_X(((p * z) * y^{-1})) \\ &= (((p * z) * y^{-1} \vee (1, x)) \wedge [0]) = (0, (p * z) * y^{-1} * x)\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_X \sigma_Y \sigma_Z)^2((p)) &= \sigma_X \sigma_Y \sigma_Z((0, (p * z) * y^{-1} * x)) \\ &= \sigma_X \sigma_Y((0, (p * z) * y^{-1} * x) \vee (1, z) \wedge [\infty]) \\ &= \sigma_X \sigma_Y((z^{-1} * (p * z) * y^{-1} * x)) \\ &= \sigma_X \sigma_Y((y^{-1} * p) * x) \\ &= \sigma_X(((y^{-1} * p) * x \vee (1, y)) \wedge [0]) \\ &= ((0, p * x) \vee (1, x)) \wedge [\infty] = (p).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\sigma_X \sigma_Y \sigma_Z)^2 = 1$ and the restricted Pappus Theorem holds in Π by Lemma 1. \square

Theorem 2. *Provided that $a * b = T(1, a, b), \forall a, b \in R$, the $((0), (1), (\infty))$ dual Pappus' Theorem holds in π iff $(R, *)$ is an Abelian group.*

Proof. We denote by σ_X , the permutation of the lines through (0) or (1) which interchanges pairs meeting on $[x], x \in R \setminus \{0\}$, let σ_0 be the corresponding permutation for $[0]$.

Assuming the restricted dual Pappus' Theorem, we have:

$$\sigma_Y \sigma_0 \sigma_X([0, 0]) = \sigma_Y \sigma_0([1, x]) = \sigma_Y([0, x]) = [1, y * x].$$

In this last line we have to appeal to the special assumption $T(1, a, b) = a * b$.

Similarly,

$$\sigma_X \sigma_0 \sigma_Y([0, 0]) = [1, x * y].$$

By the dual of Lemma 1, $x * y = y * x$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_Z \sigma_0 \sigma_Y \sigma_0 \sigma_X([0, 0]) &= [1, z * (y * x)] \\ \sigma_Y \sigma_0 \sigma_Z \sigma_0 \sigma_X([0, 0]) &= [1, y * (z * x)] \end{aligned}$$

By the dual of Lemma 1, we have $z * (y * x) = y * (z * x)$. But by the commutativity just proved, this becomes $(y * x) * z = y * (x * z)$, namely the associativity. Hence $(R, *)$ is an Abelian group.

Conversely, assume that $(R, *)$ is an Abelian group. Therefore, $\forall k \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_Z \sigma_Y \sigma_X)^2([0, k]) &= \sigma_Z \sigma_Y \sigma_X \sigma_Z \sigma_Y([1, x * k]) \\ &= \sigma_Z \sigma_Y \sigma_X \sigma_Z[0, y^{-1} * x * k] \\ &= \sigma_Z \sigma_Y \sigma_X([1, z * y^{-1} * x * k]) \\ &= \sigma_Z \sigma_Y([0, x^{-1} * z * y^{-1} * x * k]) \\ &= \sigma_Z([1, y * z * y^{-1} * k]) = [0, k]. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have $(\sigma_Z \sigma_Y \sigma_X)^2 = 1$, and by the dual of Lemma 1, the $((0), (1), (\infty))$ dual Pappus' Theorem holds in π . It is easy to see that the $((0), (1), (\infty))$ dual Pappus Theorem implies the $((0), (\infty), (1))$ dual Pappus Theorem since $((0), (\infty), (1))$ is any permuted arrangement of the points $(0), (1)$ and (∞) . \square

References

- [1] Burn, R.P., *Bol Quasi-Fields and Pappus' Theorem*, Math. Zeitschr. 105 (1968), 351-364.
- [2] Hall, M., *The theory of groups*, New York, Macmillan, 1959.
- [3] Pickert, G., *Der Satz von Pappus mit Festelementen*, Arch. Math. 10 (1966), 56-61.
- [4] Buekenhout, F., *Plans projectives a ovoïdes pascaliens*, Arch. Math. 17 (1966), 89-93.
- [5] Hughes, D.R., *Planar division neo-rings*, Trans. Amer. Math., 11 (1960), 339-341.
- [6] Kaya, R., *On the connection between ternary rings and the restricted dual Pappus Theorems-I*, Jour. of Fac. of the K.T.Ü, v. 111 (1980), Fasc. 6, 49-57.
- [7] Kaya, R., *On the connection between ternary rings and the restricted dual Pappus Theorems-II*, METU Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, v. 17 (1984), n. 1, 63-68.
- [8] Olgun, Ş and Günaltılı, İ., *On the connection between ternary rings and some configurational propositions-I*, Tr. Jour. of Math, 18 (1994), 302-310.

- [9] Günaltılı, İ. and Olgun, Ş., *On some configurational propositions connected with the minor forms of Desargues*, Commun. Fac. Univ. Ank., Series A1, v. 46 (1997), 153-163.
- [10] Olgun, Ş and Günaltılı, İ., *On the connection between ternary ring and some configurational proposition-II*, Jour. Inst. Math. and Comp. Sci. (Math. Ser.), v. 7 (1994), n.2, 147-154.

Authors' address:

İbrahim Günaltılı and Pınar Anapa
University of Osmangazi, Faculty of Sciences,
Department of Mathematics, Eskişehir-TURKEY,
Email: igunalti@mail.ogu.edu.tr