

A CONVEX COMBINATION OF TWO-SAMPLE U-STATISTICS

Koichiro Toda* and Hajime Yamato**

A convex combination of one-sample U-statistics was introduced by Toda and Yamato (2001) and its Edgeworth expansion was derived by Yamato *et al.* (2003). We introduce a convex combination of two-sample U-statistics, which includes two-sample U-statistic, V-statistic and limit of Bayes estimate. Its Edgeworth expansion is derived with remainder term $o(N^{-1/2})$, under the condition that the kernel is non-degenerate. We give some examples of the expansion for three statistics, two-sample U-statistic, V-statistic and limit of Bayes estimate, based on some distributions.

Key words and phrases: Convex combination, two-sample U-statistic, two-sample V-statistic.

1. Introduction

Let F and G be continuous distributions on the real line. Let $\theta = \theta(F, G)$ be a regular functional of F and G . That is, there exists a measurable function $h(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{k_2})$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \theta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{k_2}) \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} dF(x_i) \prod_{j=1}^{k_2} dG(y_j).$$

We assume that $h(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{k_2})$ is symmetric with respect to x_1, \dots, x_{k_1} and y_1, \dots, y_{k_2} , respectively, and the integers k_1 and k_2 are the smallest integers satisfying (1.1). The function h is called the kernel of θ and (k_1, k_2) is called the degree of h and/or θ .

Let X_1, \dots, X_{n_1} and Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_2} be two independent samples of sizes n_1 and n_2 from the distributions F and G , respectively. As estimators of θ , two-sample U-statistic and V-statistic are well-known. The two-sample U-statistic U_{n_1, n_2} is given by

$$(1.2) \quad U_{n_1, n_2} = \binom{n_1}{k_1}^{-1} \binom{n_2}{k_2}^{-1} \sum_{(n_1, k_1)} \sum_{(n_2, k_2)} h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_{k_1}}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_{k_2}}),$$

where the summation $\sum_{(n_1, k_1)}$ is taken over all possible i_1, \dots, i_{k_1} satisfying $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k_1} \leq n_1$. The two-sample V-statistic V_{n_1, n_2} is given by

$$(1.3) \quad V_{n_1, n_2} = n_1^{-k_1} n_2^{-k_2}$$

Received March 4, 2005. Revised June 28, 2005. Accepted November 11, 2005.

*Kagoshima Koto Preparatory School, Kagoshima 890-0051, Japan.

**Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan.

$$\times \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1} \cdots \sum_{i_{k_1}=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j_1=1}^{n_2} \cdots \sum_{j_{k_2}=1}^{n_2} h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_{k_1}}; Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_{k_2}}).$$

The followings are examples of $\theta(F, G)$: **(i)** The kernel $h(x, y) = x - y$ gives the parameter $\theta = E(X_1) - E(Y_1)$. **(ii)** The kernel $h(x, y) = 1$ ($x \leq y$) and $= 0$ ($x > y$) gives the parameter $\theta = P(X_1 \leq Y_1)$. The corresponding U-statistic is related to the two-sample Wilcoxon (Mann-Whitney) rank sum statistic W by the relation $W = n_1 n_2 U_{n_1, n_2} + n_2(n_2 + 1)/2$. **(iii)** The kernel $h(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) = 1/3$ ($x_1, x_2 < y_1, y_2$ or $y_1, y_2 < x_1, x_2$) and $= -1/6$ (otherwise) gives the parameter $\Delta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [F(x) - G(x)]^2 d([F(x) + G(x)]/2)$, which is regarded as a distance between the two distributions F and G . **(iv)** The kernel $h(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) = 1$ ($|y_1 - y_2| > |x_1 - x_2|$) and $= 0$ (otherwise) gives the parameter $\theta = P(|Y_1 - Y_2| > |X_1 - X_2|)$. The associated U-statistic appears in the testing problem of two-sample scale by Lehmann (1951). **(v)** The kernel $h(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) = 1$ ($x_1 + x_2 < y_1 + y_2$) and $= 0$ (otherwise) gives the parameter $\theta = P(X_1 + X_2 < Y_1 + Y_2)$, which is a measure of the difference in location considered by Hollander (1967). (See, for example, Koroljuk and Borovskich (1994), and Randles and Wolfe (1979).)

Yamato (1977) derives the Bayes estimate of θ using Dirichlet prior process of Ferguson (1973), and gives the limit of Bayes estimate which is given by

$$(1.4) \quad B_{n_1, n_2} = \binom{n_1 + k_1 - 1}{k_1}^{-1} \binom{n_2 + k_2 - 1}{k_2}^{-1} \sum_{r_1 + \cdots + r_{n_1} = k_1} \sum_{s_1 + \cdots + s_{n_2} = k_2} h(\underbrace{X_1, \dots, X_1}_{r_1}, \dots, \underbrace{X_{n_1}, \dots, X_{n_1}}_{r_{n_1}}; \underbrace{Y_1, \dots, Y_1}_{s_1}, \dots, \underbrace{Y_{n_2}, \dots, Y_{n_2}}_{s_{n_2}}),$$

where the summation $\sum_{r_1 + \cdots + r_{n_1} = k_1}$ is taken over all nonnegative integers r_1, \dots, r_{n_1} satisfying $r_1 + \cdots + r_{n_1} = k_1$. This statistic is abbreviated to LB-statistic.

All the above two-sample statistics U_{n_1, n_2} , V_{n_1, n_2} and B_{n_1, n_2} can be denoted by a convex combination of two-sample U-statistics. This convex combination Y_{n_1, n_2} is introduced in Section 2. In this paper, we put $N = n_1 + n_2$ and consider the asymptotic properties of statistics under the condition such that

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{n_1}{N} \rightarrow p, \quad \frac{n_2}{N} \rightarrow 1 - p \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

where $0 < p < 1$ is a constant. In Section 3, we give an asymptotic expansion of Y_{n_1, n_2} as N tends to ∞ .

The two-sample U-statistic U_{n_1, n_2} has asymptotic normality (see, for example, Koroljuk and Borovskich (1994), Lee (1990), and Randles and Wolfe (1979)). From this, it is shown that the two-sample Y-statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} has also the same asymptotic normality. To see the difference between asymptotic distributions of

these two statistics, we need their Edgeworth expansion. The Edgeworth expansion of the two-sample U-statistic U_{n_1, n_2} was derived by Koroljuk and Borovskich (1994), and Maesono (1985). We shall derive the Edgeworth expansion of Y_{n_1, n_2} in Section 4.

For the same parameter θ , Edgeworth expansion of Y_{n_1, n_2} depends on the weight function w . In Section 5, for 4 kernels we give examples of the expansion for the statistics V_{n_1, n_2} , S_{n_1, n_2} and B_{n_1, n_2} , based on some special distributions.

2. A convex combination of two-sample U-statistics

Let $w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; k)$ be a nonnegative and symmetric function of positive integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ such that $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j = k$ for a given integer k . We assume that at least one of $w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; k)$'s is positive. We put

$$(2.1) \quad d(k, j) = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j = k}^+ w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; k), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

where the summation $\sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j = k}^+$ is taken over all positive integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ satisfying $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j = k$ for j and k given.

For $j_1 = 1, \dots, k_1$ and $j_2 = 1, \dots, k_2$, let $h_{(j_1, j_2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{j_2})$ be the kernel given by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & h_{(j_1, j_2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{j_2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{d(k_1, j_1)d(k_2, j_2)} \sum_{r_1 + \dots + r_{j_1} = k_1}^+ \sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_{j_2} = k_2}^+ \\ & \quad w(r_1, \dots, r_{j_1}; k_1) w(s_1, \dots, s_{j_2}; k_2) \\ & \quad \times h(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{r_1}, \dots, \underbrace{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_1}}_{r_{j_1}}; \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{s_1}, \dots, \underbrace{y_{j_2}, \dots, y_{j_2}}_{s_{j_2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)}$ be the two-sample U-statistic associated with this kernel $h_{(j_1, j_2)}$ for $j_1 = 1, \dots, k_1$ and $j_2 = 1, \dots, k_2$.

The kernel $h_{(j_1, j_2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{j_2})$ is symmetric with respect to x_1, \dots, x_{j_1} and y_1, \dots, y_{j_2} , respectively, because of the symmetry of $w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; k)$. If $d(k_1, j_1)$ or $d(k_2, j_2)$ equal zero for some j_1 or j_2 , respectively, then the associated $w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j_1}; k_1)$'s or $w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{j_2}; k_2)$'s are equal to zero. In this case, we let the corresponding statistic $U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)}$ to be zero. Especially, if $w(1, \dots, 1; k) > 0$ then we have

$$h_{(k_1, k_2)} = h \quad \text{and} \quad U_{n_1, n_2}^{(k_1, k_2)} = U_{n_1, n_2},$$

because of $d(k_1, k_1) = w(1, \dots, 1; k_1)$ and $d(k_2, k_2) = w(1, \dots, 1; k_2)$. If $w(1, \dots, 1; k) > 0$ and $w(1, \dots, 1, 2; k) > 0$, then

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & h_{(k_1-1, k_2)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k_1-1}; y_1, \dots, y_{k_2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_1 - 1} [h(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k_1-1}; y_1, \dots, y_{k_2}) \\ & \quad + h(x_1, x_2, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k_1-1}; y_1, \dots, y_{k_2}) \\ & \quad + \dots + h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k_1-2}, x_{k_1-1}, x_{k_1-1}; y_1, \dots, y_{k_2})] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad h_{(k_1, k_2-1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{k_2-1}) \\ = \frac{1}{k_2 - 1} [h(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}; y_1, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k_2-1}) \\ + h(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}; y_1, y_2, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{k_2-1}) \\ + \dots + h(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{k_2-2}, y_{k_2-1}, y_{k_2-1})].$$

DEFINITION 2.1. *As an estimator of θ , a convex combination of two-sample U-statistics is defined by*

$$(2.5) \quad Y_{n_1, n_2} = \frac{1}{D(n_1, k_1)D(n_2, k_2)} \sum_{j_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{k_2} d(k_1, j_1)d(k_2, j_2) \binom{n_1}{j_1} \binom{n_2}{j_2} U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)},$$

where $D(n, k) = \sum_{j=1}^k d(k, j) \binom{n}{j}$.

Since w 's are nonnegative and at least one of them is positive, $D(n, k)$ is positive.

If we choose the weight function w , the statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} is determined as an estimator of θ . For example, let w be the function given by

$$w(1, 1, \dots, 1; k) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; k) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Then we have $d(k, k) = 1$, $d(k, j) = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, k-1$) and $D(n, k) = \binom{n}{k}$. Thus Y_{n_1, n_2} is equal to the two-sample U-statistic U_{n_1, n_2} .

Let w be the function given by

$$w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; k) = \frac{k!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_j!}$$

for positive integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ such that $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j = k$. Then it holds that $\sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j = k}^+ w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; k) = j! \mathcal{S}(k, j)$, where $\mathcal{S}(k, j)$ is the Stirling number of the second kind. (For the Stirling numbers, see for example, Charalambides and Singh (1988).) Hence, we have $d(k, j) = j! \mathcal{S}(k, j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $D(n, k) = \sum_{j=1}^k \mathcal{S}(k, j) \binom{n}{j} = n^k$, where $\binom{n}{j} = n(n-1) \dots (n-j+1)$. Thus the kernel $h_{(j_1, j_2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{j_2})$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{j_1! \mathcal{S}(k_1, j_1) j_2! \mathcal{S}(k_2, j_2)} \sum_{r_1 + \dots + r_{j_1} = k_1}^+ \sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_{j_2} = k_2}^+ \frac{k_1!}{r_1! \dots r_{j_1}!} \frac{k_2!}{s_1! \dots s_{j_2}!} \\ \times h(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{r_1}, \dots, \underbrace{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_1}}_{r_{j_1}}; \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{s_1}, \dots, \underbrace{y_{j_2}, \dots, y_{j_2}}_{s_{j_2}}).$$

By the U-statistics $U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)}$ associated with these kernels, the statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} is written as

$$V_{n_1, n_2} = \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} n_2^{k_2}} \sum_{j_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{k_2} \mathcal{S}(k_1, j_1) \mathcal{S}(k_2, j_2) \binom{n_1}{j_1} \binom{n_2}{j_2} U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)},$$

which is equal to the two-sample V-statistic V_{n_1, n_2} given by (1.3) (see, Toda and Yamato (2001), p. 227–228).

Let w be the function given by

$$w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; k) = 1$$

for positive integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ such that $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j = k$. Then, we have $d(k, j) = \binom{k-1}{j-1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $D(n, k) = \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k-1}{j-1} \binom{n}{j} = \binom{n+k-1}{k}$. Thus the kernel $h_{(j_1, j_2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{j_2})$ is equal to

$$\binom{k_1-1}{j_1-1}^{-1} \binom{k_2-1}{j_2-1}^{-1} \sum_{r_1+\dots+r_{j_1}=k_1}^+ \sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{j_2}=k_2}^+ h(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{r_1}, \dots, \underbrace{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_1}}_{r_{j_1}}; \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{s_1}, \dots, \underbrace{y_{j_2}, \dots, y_{j_2}}_{s_{j_2}}).$$

By the U-statistics $U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)}$ associated with these kernels, the statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} is written as

$$B_{n_1, n_2} = \binom{n_1 + k_1 - 1}{k_1}^{-1} \binom{n_2 + k_2 - 1}{k_2}^{-1} \times \sum_{j_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{k_2} \binom{k_1-1}{j_1-1} \binom{k_2-1}{j_2-1} \binom{n_1}{j_1} \binom{n_2}{j_2} U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)},$$

which is equal to B_{n_1, n_2} given by (1.4) (see, Toda and Yamato (2001), p. 227).

Let w be the function given by

$$w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; k) = \frac{k!}{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_j}$$

for positive integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ such that $j = 1, \dots, k$ and $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_j = k$. Then it holds that $\sum_{\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_j=k}^+ w(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; k) = j! |\mathbf{s}(k, j)|$, where $\mathbf{s}(k, j)$ is the Stirling number of the first kind. Hence, we have $d(k, j) = j! |\mathbf{s}(k, j)|$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ and

$$D(n, k) = \sum_{j=1}^k |\mathbf{s}(k, j)| \binom{n}{j}.$$

Thus the kernel $h_{(j_1, j_2)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j_1}; y_1, \dots, y_{j_2})$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{j_1! j_2! |\mathbf{s}(k_1, j_1) \mathbf{s}(k_2, j_2)|} \sum_{r_1+\dots+r_{j_1}=k_1}^+ \sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{j_2}=k_2}^+ \frac{k_1!}{r_1 \cdots r_{j_1}} \frac{k_2!}{s_1 \cdots s_{j_2}} \times h(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{r_1}, \dots, \underbrace{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_1}}_{r_{j_1}}; \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{s_1}, \dots, \underbrace{y_{j_2}, \dots, y_{j_2}}_{s_{j_2}}).$$

By the U-statistics $U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)}$ associated with these kernels, the statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} is written as

$$S_{n_1, n_2} = \frac{1}{D(n_1, k_1) D(n_2, k_2)} \sum_{j_1=1}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{k_2} |\mathbf{s}(k_1, j_1) \mathbf{s}(k_2, j_2)| \binom{n_1}{j_1} \binom{n_2}{j_2} U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)},$$

(compare with Nomachi *et al.* (2002), p. 97–98).

3. Asymptotic expansion of Y -statistic

If $k_1 = 1$ and $k_2 = 1$, then the statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} is equal to U_{n_1, n_2} . Therefore hereafter we assume that $k_1 k_2 \geq 2$. We suppose $d(k, k) > 0$, which is equivalent to $w(1, \dots, 1; k) > 0$. Then, with $\delta_k = kd(k, k-1)/d(k, k)$ it holds that

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{d(k, k)}{D(n, k)} \binom{n}{k} = 1 - \frac{\delta_k}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{d(k, k-1)}{D(n, k)} \binom{n}{k-1} = \frac{\delta_k}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{d(k, j)}{D(n, k)} \binom{n}{j} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad j = 1, \dots, k-2.$$

For the U-statistic U_{n_1, n_2} , $d(k, k)n^{(k)}/[D(n, k)k!] = 1$ and $\delta_k = 0$. For the V-statistic V_{n_1, n_2} and the statistic S_{n_1, n_2} , $\delta_k = k(k-1)/2$. For the statistic B_{n_1, n_2} , $\delta_k = k(k-1)$ (see Nomachi *et al.* (2002)).

We assume that

$$(3.4) \quad E \left\{ h \left(\underbrace{X_1, \dots, X_1}_{r_1}, \dots, \underbrace{X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_1}}_{r_{j_1}}, \underbrace{Y_1, \dots, Y_1}_{s_1}, \dots, \underbrace{Y_{j_2}, \dots, Y_{j_2}}_{s_{j_2}} \right) \right\}^2 < \infty$$

for positive integers r_1, \dots, r_{j_1} and s_1, \dots, s_{j_2} satisfying $r_1 + \dots + r_{j_1} = k_1$ ($j_1 = 1, \dots, k_1$) and $s_1 + \dots + s_{j_2} = k_2$ ($j_2 = 1, \dots, k_2$), respectively. Then there exist $E\{U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)}\}^2$ for $j_1 = 1, \dots, k_1$ and $j_2 = 1, \dots, k_2$. Thus we have for $j_1 = 1, \dots, k_1$ and $j_2 = 1, \dots, k_2$

$$(3.5) \quad E\{U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)}\}^2 < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)}) = O(N^{-1}).$$

Then we have the following asymptotic expansion of the statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Under the conditions $w(1, \dots, 1; k) > 0$ and (3.4), we have*

$$(3.6) \quad Y_{n_1, n_2} - \theta = (U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) + \frac{1}{N} b^{(0)} + R_{n_1, n_2}$$

where $E[|R_{n_1, n_2}|^2] = o(N^{-2})$,

$$(3.7) \quad b^{(0)} = \frac{\delta_{k_1}}{p} (\theta^{(k_1-1, k_2)} - \theta) + \frac{\delta_{k_2}}{1-p} (\theta^{(k_1, k_2-1)} - \theta)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^{(k_1-1, k_2)} &= E[h(X_1, X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_{k_1-1}; Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{k_2})], \\ \theta^{(k_1, k_2-1)} &= E[h(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k_1}; Y_1, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_{k_2-1})].\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We note

$$E[U_{n_1, n_2}^{(k_1, k_2-1)}] = \theta^{(k_1, k_2-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad E[U_{n_1, n_2}^{(k_1-1, k_2)}] = \theta^{(k_1-1, k_2)}.$$

From (2.5), we can write

$$(3.8) \quad Y_{n_1, n_2} - \theta = I_{n_1, n_2}^{(1)} + I_{n_1, n_2}^{(2)} + I_{n_1, n_2}^{(3)} + b_{n_1, n_2} + R_{n_1, n_2}^*,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_{n_1, n_2}^{(1)} &= \frac{d(k_1, k_1)d(k_2, k_2)}{D(n_1, k_1)D(n_2, k_2)} \binom{n_1}{k_1} \binom{n_2}{k_2} (U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta), \\ I_{n_1, n_2}^{(2)} &= \frac{d(k_1, k_1)d(k_2, k_2-1)}{D(n_1, k_1)D(n_2, k_2)} \binom{n_1}{k_1} \binom{n_2}{k_2-1} (U_{n_1, n_2}^{(k_1, k_2-1)} - \theta^{(k_1, k_2-1)}), \\ I_{n_1, n_2}^{(3)} &= \frac{d(k_1, k_1-1)d(k_2, k_2)}{D(n_1, k_1)D(n_2, k_2)} \binom{n_1}{k_1-1} \binom{n_2}{k_2} (U_{n_1, n_2}^{(k_1-1, k_2)} - \theta^{(k_1-1, k_2)}), \\ b_{n_1, n_2} &= \frac{d(k_1, k_1)d(k_2, k_2-1)}{D(n_1, k_1)D(n_2, k_2)} \binom{n_1}{k_1} \binom{n_2}{k_2-1} (\theta^{(k_1, k_2-1)} - \theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{d(k_1, k_1-1)d(k_2, k_2)}{D(n_1, k_1)D(n_2, k_2)} \binom{n_1}{k_1-1} \binom{n_2}{k_2} (\theta^{(k_1-1, k_2)} - \theta),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}R_{n_1, n_2}^* &= \frac{1}{D(n_1, k_1)D(n_2, k_2)} \left[d(k_1, k_1) \binom{n_1}{k_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{k_2-2} d(k_2, j_2) \binom{n_2}{j_2} (U^{(k_1, j_2)} - \theta) \right. \\ &\quad + d(k_2, k_2) \binom{n_2}{k_2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{k_1-2} d(k_1, j_1) \binom{n_1}{j_1} (U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, k_2)} - \theta) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j_1=1}^{k_1-1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{k_2-1} d(k_1, j_1)d(k_2, j_2) \\ &\quad \left. \times \binom{n_1}{j_1} \binom{n_2}{j_2} (U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)} - \theta) \right].\end{aligned}$$

We evaluate $I_{n_1, n_2}^{(1)}$, $I_{n_1, n_2}^{(2)}$, $I_{n_1, n_2}^{(3)}$, b_{n_1, n_2} and R_{n_1, n_2}^* as the followings (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv).

(i) From (3.1), we have

$$(3.9) \quad I_{n_1, n_2}^{(1)} = \frac{d(k_1, k_1)}{D(n_1, k_1)} \binom{n_1}{k_1} \frac{d(k_2, k_2)}{D(n_2, k_2)} \binom{n_2}{k_2} (U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(1 - \frac{\delta_{k_1}}{n_1} - \frac{\delta_{k_2}}{n_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)\right) (U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) \\
&= (U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) + R_{n_1, n_2}^{**}
\end{aligned}$$

where $E(R_{n_1, n_2}^{**})^2 = O(N^{-3})$ because of $\text{Var}[U_{n_1, n_2}] = O(N^{-1})$.

(ii) From (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{n_1, n_2}^{(2)} &= \frac{d(k_1, k_1)}{D(n_1, k_1)} \binom{n_1}{k_1} \frac{d(k_2, k_2 - 1)}{D(n_2, k_2)} \binom{n_2}{k_2 - 1} (U_{n_1, n_2}^{(k_1, k_2 - 1)} - \theta^{(k_1, k_2 - 1)}) \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{\delta_{k_1}}{n_1} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) \frac{\delta_{k_2}}{n_2} (U_{n_1, n_2}^{(k_1, k_2 - 1)} - \theta^{(k_1, k_2 - 1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.5), we have

$$(3.10) \quad E[I_{n_1, n_2}^{(2)}]^2 = O(N^{-3}).$$

Similarly,

$$(3.11) \quad E[I_{n_1, n_2}^{(3)}]^2 = O(N^{-3}).$$

(iii) From (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{n_1, n_2} &= \left(1 - \frac{\delta_{k_1}}{n_1} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) \frac{\delta_{k_2}}{n_2} (\theta^{(k_1, k_2 - 1)} - \theta) \\
&\quad + \left(1 - \frac{\delta_{k_2}}{n_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) \frac{\delta_{k_1}}{n_1} (\theta^{(k_1 - 1, k_2)} - \theta) \\
&= \frac{\delta_{k_1}}{n_1} (\theta^{(k_1 - 1, k_2)} - \theta) + \frac{\delta_{k_2}}{n_2} (\theta^{(k_1, k_2 - 1)} - \theta) + O(N^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$(3.12) \quad b_{n_1, n_2} = \frac{1}{N} b^{(0)} + o(N^{-1}).$$

(iv) Since $\text{Var}[U_{n_1, n_2}^{(j_1, j_2)}] = O(N^{-1})$ for $j_1, j_2 \geq 1$ and $[d(k, j)/D(n, k)] \binom{n}{j} = O(n^{-2})$ ($j = 1, \dots, k - 2$), we have

$$(3.13) \quad E(R_{n_1, n_2}^*)^2 = O(N^{-4}).$$

Applying (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) to (3.8), we get (3.6). \square

4. Edgeworth expansion

For the two-sample U-statistic U_{n_1, n_2} , $\sqrt{N}(U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta)$ converges to Normal distribution $N(0, \sigma^2)$ as N tends to ∞ (see, for example, Lee (1990), p. 141, and Randles and Wolfe (1979), p. 92). Therefore by (3.6), $\sqrt{N}(Y_{n_1, n_2} - \theta)$ converges to the same Normal distribution. To see the difference between asymptotic distributions of these two statistics, we shall derive the Edgeworth expansion of the statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} . We put as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{1,0}(x_1) &= E[h(x_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{k_2})], \\
\psi_{0,1}(y_1) &= E[h(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k_1}; y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{k_2})],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_{2,0}(x_1, x_2) &= E[h(x_1, x_2, X_3, \dots, X_{k_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{k_2})], \\
 \psi_{0,2}(y_1, y_2) &= E[h(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k_1}; y_1, y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_{k_2})], \\
 \psi_{1,1}(x_1; y_1) &= E[h(x_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k_1}; y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{k_2})], \\
 h^{(1,0)}(x_1) &= \psi_{1,0}(x_1) - \theta, \quad h^{(0,1)}(y_1) = \psi_{0,1}(y_1) - \theta, \\
 h^{(2,0)}(x_1, x_2) &= \psi_{2,0}(x_1, x_2) - \psi_{1,0}(x_1) - \psi_{1,0}(x_2) + \theta, \\
 h^{(0,2)}(y_1, y_2) &= \psi_{2,0}(x_1, x_2) - \psi_{0,1}(y_1) - \psi_{0,1}(y_2) + \theta, \\
 h^{(1,1)}(x_1; y_1) &= \psi_{1,1}(x_1; y_1) - \psi_{1,0}(x_1) - \psi_{0,1}(y_1) + \theta, \\
 \delta_{1,0}^2 &= \text{Var}(h^{(1,0)}(X_1)) = E[\psi_{1,0}(X_1) - \theta]^2, \\
 \delta_{0,1}^2 &= \text{Var}(h^{(0,1)}(Y_1)) = E[\psi_{0,1}(Y_1) - \theta]^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we assume that

$$\delta_{1,0}^2 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{0,1}^2 > 0.$$

That is, we assume that the kernel h is non-degenerate. Next, we put

$$\sigma_N^2 = \text{Var}[U_{n_1, n_2}] = E[(U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta)^2]$$

and

$$\sigma_N^{*2} = \frac{k_1^2}{n_1} \delta_{1,0}^2 + \frac{k_2^2}{n_2} \delta_{0,1}^2.$$

Then, we have the relation

$$\sigma_N^2 = \sigma_N^{*2} + O(N^{-2}).$$

Furthermore, we put

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{k_1^2}{p} \delta_{1,0}^2 + \frac{k_2^2}{1-p} \delta_{0,1}^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta_{2,N} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_N^{*3}} \left\{ \frac{k_1^3}{n_1^2} E[(h^{(1,0)}(X_1))^3] + \frac{k_2^3}{n_2^2} E[(h^{(0,1)}(Y_1))^3] \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{6k_1^2 k_2^2}{n_1 n_2} E[h^{(1,0)}(X_1) h^{(0,1)}(Y_1) h^{(1,1)}(X_1; Y_1)] \\
 &\quad + \frac{3k_1^3 (k_1 - 1)}{n_1^2} E[h^{(1,0)}(X_1) h^{(1,0)}(X_2) h^{(2,0)}(X_1, X_2)] \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{3k_2^3 (k_2 - 1)}{n_2^2} E[h^{(0,1)}(Y_1) h^{(0,1)}(Y_2) h^{(0,2)}(Y_1, Y_2)] \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of $\eta_{2,N}$ is due to Maesono (1985). The last three expectations on the right-hand side are rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E[h^{(1,0)}(X_1) h^{(0,1)}(Y_1) h^{(1,1)}(X_1; Y_1)] \\
 &= E[\psi_{1,0}(X_1) \psi_{0,1}(Y_1) \psi_{1,1}(X_1; Y_1)] - \theta(\delta_{1,0}^2 + \delta_{0,1}^2) - \theta^3,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[h^{(1,0)}(X_1)h^{(1,0)}(X_2)h^{(2,0)}(X_1, X_2)] \\
& \quad = E[\psi_{1,0}(X_1)\psi_{1,0}(X_2)\psi_{2,0}(X_1, X_2)] - \theta\delta_{1,0}^2 - \theta^3, \\
& E[h^{(0,1)}(Y_1)h^{(0,1)}(Y_2)h^{(0,2)}(Y_1, Y_2)] \\
& \quad = E[\psi_{0,1}(Y_1)\psi_{0,1}(Y_2)\psi_{0,2}(Y_1, Y_2)] - \theta\delta_{0,1}^2 - \theta^3.
\end{aligned}$$

We put

$$Q(x) = \Phi(x) + \eta_{2,N}(1 - x^2)\phi(x).$$

Let φ_1 and φ_2 be the characteristic functions of the random variables $h^{(1,0)}(X_1)$ and $h^{(0,1)}(Y_1)$, respectively. The following is the Edgeworth expansion of the two-sample U-statistic U_{n_1, n_2} by Koroljuk and Borovskich (1994).

LEMMA 4.1. (Koroljuk and Borovskich (1994), Theorem 6.3.2) *Suppose that the Cramer condition*

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \sup |\varphi_j(t)| < 1, \quad j = 1, 2$$

and the moment condition

$$(4.2) \quad E[|h(X_1, \dots, X_{k_1}; Y_1, \dots, Y_{k_2})|^3] < \infty$$

is satisfied. Then

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_x |P(\sigma_N^{-1}(U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) \leq x) - Q(x)| = O(N^{-3/5})$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Before giving the Edgeworth expansion of the statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} , we show the relation between the two statistics U_{n_1, n_2} and Y_{n_1, n_2} .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Suppose that $w(1, \dots, 1; k) > 0$ and (3.4) is satisfied. Then*

$$(4.4) \quad \sup_x \left| P(\sigma_N^{*-1}(Y_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) \leq x) - P\left(\sigma_N^{-1}(U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} b^{(0)} \leq x\right) \right| = o(N^{-1/2}).$$

PROOF. From (3.6), we have

$$\sigma_N^{*-1}(Y_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) = \sigma_N^{*-1}(U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) + \frac{1}{N\sigma_N^*} b^{(0)} + R_{n_1, n_2}^{***}$$

where $E[|R_{n_1, n_2}^{***}|] = o(N^{-1/2})$.

Thus,

$$(4.5) \quad \sup_x \left| P(\sigma_N^{*-1}(Y_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) \leq x) - P\left(\sigma_N^{*-1}(U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) + \frac{1}{N\sigma_N^*} b^{(0)} \leq x\right) \right| = o(N^{-1/2}),$$

where we use the relation

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_x |P(W + \Delta \leq x) - P(W \leq x)| \leq 4(E|W\Delta| + E|\Delta|)$$

for any random variables W and Δ (see Shorack (2000), p. 261).

Since

$$E[|\sigma_N^{*-1} - \sigma_N^{-1}| \cdot |U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta|] = O(N^{-1}),$$

using the relation (4.6), we have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_x \left| P \left(\sigma_N^{*-1}(U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) + \frac{1}{N\sigma_N^*} b^{(0)} \leq x \right) \right. \\ \left. - P \left(\sigma_N^{-1}(U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) + \frac{1}{N\sigma_N^*} b^{(0)} \leq x \right) \right| \\ = O(N^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{N\sigma_N^*} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} = o(N^{-1/2}),$$

we have

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_x \left| P \left(\sigma_N^{-1}(U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) + \frac{1}{N\sigma_N^*} b^{(0)} \leq x \right) \right. \\ \left. - P \left(\sigma_N^{-1}(U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} b^{(0)} \leq x \right) \right| \\ = o(N^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (4.5), (4.7) and (4.8), we get (4.4). \square

We put

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} Q^*(x) &= Q(x) + \frac{b^{(0)}}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x) + x(1-x^2)\phi(x) \right] \\ &= \Phi(x) - \frac{b^{(0)}}{2\sqrt{N}\sigma}\phi(x) + \eta_{2,N}(1-x^2)\phi(x) + \frac{b^{(0)}}{\sqrt{N}\sigma}x(1-x^2)\phi(x). \end{aligned}$$

The Edgeworth expansion (4.3) of U_{n_1, n_2} is derived by standardising with its standard deviation σ_N . Since σ_N is not the standard deviation of the statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} , we shall standardise Y_{n_1, n_2} by using its asymptotic standard deviation σ_N^* and obtain the Edgeworth expansion of Y_{n_1, n_2} with the remainder term $o(N^{-1/2})$ as follows.

THEOREM 4.3. *Suppose that $w(1, \dots, 1; k) > 0$, and (3.4), (4.1) and (4.2) are satisfied. Then*

$$(4.10) \quad \sup_x |P(\sigma_N^{*-1}(Y_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) \leq x) - Q^*(x)| = o(N^{-1/2}).$$

PROOF. From (4.3), we have

$$\sup_x \left| P \left(\sigma_N^{-1} (U_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) \leq x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} b^{(0)} \right) - Q \left(x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} b^{(0)} \right) \right| = O(N^{-3/5}).$$

Thus by this relation and (4.4), we get

$$(4.11) \quad \sup_x \left| P(\sigma_N^{*-1} (Y_{n_1, n_2} - \theta) \leq x) - Q \left(x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} b^{(0)} \right) \right| = o(N^{-1/2}),$$

where

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} Q \left(x - \frac{b^{(0)}}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} \right) &= \Phi \left(x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} b^{(0)} \right) \\ &\quad + \eta_{2,N} \left[1 - \left(x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} b^{(0)} \right)^2 \right] \phi \left(x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} b^{(0)} \right) \\ &= Q^*(x) + O(N^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (4.11) and (4.12), we get (4.10). \square

COROLLARY 4.4. *The difference between the Edgeworth expansions of the two-sample U -statistic U_{n_1, n_2} and the statistic Y_{n_1, n_2} is given by*

$$\frac{b^{(0)}}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \phi(x) + x(1-x^2) \phi(x) \right].$$

5. Examples

The asymptotic expansion of $(Y_{n_1, n_2} - \theta)/\sigma_N$, $Q^*(x)$, depends on $b^{(0)}$ and $\eta_{2,N}$. For 4 kernels we shall give the values of $b^{(0)}$ and $\eta_{2,N}$ about V_{n_1, n_2} , S_{n_1, n_2} and B_{n_1, n_2} , based on some special distributions.

(i) We consider the kernel

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_r; y_1, \dots, y_r) = x_1 \cdots x_r - y_1 \cdots y_r, \quad r = 2, 3, \dots$$

which gives the parameter $\theta = \mu^r - \nu^r$, where $\mu = E(X_1)$ and $\nu = E(Y_1)$. We assume that X and Y are symmetric about μ and ν , respectively. Then we have

$$\theta^{(r-1, r)} = E(X_1^2) \cdot \mu^{r-2} - \nu^r, \quad \theta^{(r, r-1)} = \mu^r - E(Y_1^2) \cdot \nu^{r-2},$$

and

$$b^{(0)} = \delta_r \left[\frac{1}{p} \mu^{r-2} \text{Var}(X_1) - \frac{1}{1-p} \nu^{r-2} \text{Var}(Y_1) \right],$$

where $\delta_r = r(r-1)/2$ for V_{n_1, n_2} and S_{n_1, n_2} , and $\delta_r = r(r-1)$ for B_{n_1, n_2} .

Next we evaluate $\eta_{2,N}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(1,0)}(x_1) &= \mu^{r-1}(x_1 - \mu), & h^{(0,1)}(y_1) &= -\nu^{r-1}(y_1 - \nu), \\ h^{(2,0)}(x_1, x_2) &= \mu^{r-2}(x_1 - \mu)(x_2 - \mu), \\ h^{(0,2)}(y_1, y_2) &= -\nu^{r-2}(y_1 - \mu)(y_2 - \mu), & \text{and } h^{(1,1)}(x_1; y_1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\delta_{1,0}^2 = \mu^{2r-2} \text{Var}(X_1), \quad \delta_{0,1}^2 = \nu^{2r-2} \text{Var}(Y_1)$$

and

$$\sigma_N^{*2} = r^2 \left(\frac{\mu^{2r-2} \text{Var}(X_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\nu^{2r-2} \text{Var}(Y_1)}{n_2} \right).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{2,N} &= 3(r-1) \left(\frac{\mu^{3r-4} [\text{Var}(X_1)]^2}{n_1^2} - \frac{\nu^{3r-4} [\text{Var}(Y_1)]^2}{n_2^2} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\mu^{2r-2} \text{Var}(X_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\nu^{2r-2} \text{Var}(Y_1)}{n_2} \right)^{-3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We consider the kernel given by

$$h(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & (x_1, x_2 < y_1, y_2 \text{ or } x_1, x_2 > y_1, y_2) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The corresponding parameter $\theta = Eh(X_1, X_2; Y_1, Y_2)$ is equal to $2\Delta + 1/3$, where Δ is given by (iii) of Section 1. We consider the uniform distributions $U(0, 1)$ and $U(1/2, 3/2)$ as F and G , respectively. Then we have

$$\theta = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \theta^{(1,2)} = \frac{5}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^{(2,1)} = \frac{5}{6}.$$

Thus we get

$$b^{(0)} = \frac{\delta_2}{6p(1-p)},$$

where $\delta_2 = 1$ for V_{n_1, n_2} and S_{n_1, n_2} , and $\delta_2 = 2$ for B_{n_1, n_2} .

For $0 < x_1, x_2 < 1$ and $1/2 < y_1, y_2 < 3/2$, we have

$$\psi_{1,0}(x_1) = \begin{cases} \frac{19}{24} & (0 < x_1 < 1/2) \\ -x_1 + \frac{31}{24} & (1/2 \leq x_1 < 1), \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_{0,1}(y_1) = \begin{cases} y_1 - \frac{5}{24} & (1/2 < y_1 < 1) \\ \frac{19}{24} & (1 \leq y_1 < 3/2), \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{2,0}(x_1, x_2) &= \left[\frac{3}{2} - \max(x_1, x_2) \right]^2 I_{(0.5,1)}(\max(x_1, x_2)) + I_{(0,0.5)}(\max(x_1, x_2)) \\ &\quad + \left[\min(x_1, x_2) - \frac{1}{2} \right]^2 I_{(0.5,1)}(\min(x_1, x_2)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{0,2}(y_1, y_2) &= [\min(y_1, y_2)]^2 I_{(0.5,1)}(\min(y_1, y_2)) + I_{(1,1.5)}(\min(y_1, y_2)) \\ &\quad + [1 - \max(y_1, y_2)]^2 I_{(0.5,1)}(\max(y_1, y_2)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\psi_{1,1}(x_1; y_1) = \begin{cases} \frac{7}{8} & (0 < x_1 < 1/2, y_1 > 1) \\ -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3}{2}y_1 - \frac{1}{2}y_1^2 & (0 < x_1 < 1/2, y_1 \leq 1) \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 & (1/2 < x_1 < 1, 1 \leq y_1 < 3/2) \\ \frac{3}{2}y_1 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + y_1^2) & (1/2 \leq y_1 < 1, 1/2 \leq x_1 < 1, x_1 < y_1) \\ -\frac{1}{2} + x_1 + \frac{1}{2}y_1 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + y_1^2) & (1/2 \leq y_1 < 1, 1/2 \leq x_1 < 1, x_1 > y_1). \end{cases}$$

Thus by using Mathematica to compute the integrals we get

$$\delta_{1,0}^2 = E[\psi_{1,0}(X_1) - \theta]^2 = \frac{5}{192}, \quad \delta_{0,1}^2 = E[\psi_{0,1}(Y_1) - \theta]^2 = \frac{5}{192},$$

$$\sigma_N^{*2} = \frac{10}{81} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right),$$

and

$$E[(h^{(1,0)}(X_1))^3] = -\frac{1}{256}, \quad E[(h^{(0,1)}(Y_1))^3] = -\frac{1}{256},$$

$$E[h^{(1,0)}(X_1)h^{(0,1)}(Y_1)h^{(1,1)}(X_1; Y_1)] = -\frac{1}{960},$$

$$E[h^{(1,0)}(X_1)h^{(1,0)}(X_2)h^{(2,0)}(X_1, X_2)] = \frac{13}{2560},$$

$$E[h^{(0,1)}(Y_1)h^{(0,1)}(Y_2)h^{(0,2)}(Y_1, Y_2)] = \frac{107}{20480}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\eta_{2,N} = \frac{729\sqrt{10}}{256000} \left(\frac{232}{n_1^2} + \frac{241}{n_2^2} - \frac{256}{n_1 n_2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)^{-3/2}.$$

(iii) The kernel given by (iv) of Section 1 is considered. We have $\theta^{(1,2)} = 1$ and $\theta^{(2,1)} = 0$. We consider the uniform ditributions $U(0, 1)$ and $U(1/4, 3/4)$ as F and G , respectively. Then we have $\theta = 7/24$ and

$$b^{(0)} = \frac{\delta_2}{p(1-p)} \left(\frac{17}{24} - p \right).$$

Next we evaluate $\eta_{2,N}$. For $0 < x_1, x_2 < 1$ and $1/4 < y_1, y_2 < 3/4$ we have

$$\psi_{1,0}(x_1) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{3} \left(x_1 - \frac{1}{2} \right)^3,$$

$$\psi_{0,1}(y_1) = 2 \left\{ \left(y_1 - \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{3}{4} - y_1 \right)^2 \right\} + \frac{2}{3} \left\{ \left(y_1 - \frac{1}{4} \right)^3 - \left(\frac{3}{4} - y_1 \right)^3 \right\},$$

$$\psi_{2,0}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} (1 - 2|x_1 - x_2|)^2 & (2|x_1 - x_2| < 1) \\ 0 & (2|x_1 - x_2| \geq 1), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_{0,2}(y_1, y_2) = 2|y_1 - y_2| - (y_1 - y_2)^2.$$

Futhermore, for $0 < x_1 < 1/2$ and $y_1 + x_1 < 3/4$

$$\psi_{1,1}(x_1; y_1) = \begin{cases} \left(x_1 + y_1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 + \left(x_1 - y_1 + \frac{3}{4}\right)^2 - 4x_1^2 & (y_1 - x_1 > 1/4) \\ \left(x_1 - y_1 + \frac{3}{4}\right)^2 + 2\left(y_1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 - 2x_1^2 & (y_1 - x_1 \leq 1/4). \end{cases}$$

For $0 < x_1 < 1/2$ and $y_1 + x_1 > 3/4$

$$\psi_{1,1}(x_1; y_1) = \begin{cases} \left(x_1 + y_1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 - 2x_1^2 + 2\left(y_1 - \frac{3}{4}\right)^2 & (y_1 - x_1 > 1/4) \\ 2\left\{\left(y_1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 + \left(y_1 - \frac{3}{4}\right)^2\right\} & (y_1 - x_1 \leq 1/4). \end{cases}$$

For $1/2 < x_1 < 1$ and $y_1 + x_1 - 1 < 1/4$,

$$\psi_{1,1}(x_1; y_1) = \begin{cases} 2\left\{\left(y_1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 + \left(y_1 - \frac{3}{4}\right)^2\right\} & (y_1 - x_1 + 1 > 3/4) \\ 2\left(y_1 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{5}{4} - x_1 - y_1\right)^2 - 2(1 - x_1)^2 & (y_1 - x_1 + 1 \leq 3/4). \end{cases}$$

For $1/2 < x_1 < 1$ and $y_1 + x_1 - 1 > 1/4$,

$$\psi_{1,1}(x_1; y_1) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{3}{4} - x_1 + y_1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{7}{4} - x_1 - y_1\right)^2 - 4(1 - x_1)^2 & (y_1 - x_1 + 1 < 3/4) \\ \left(\frac{3}{4} - x_1 + y_1\right)^2 - 2(1 - x_1)^2 + 2\left(\frac{3}{4} - y_1\right)^2 & (y_1 - x_1 + 1 > 3/4). \end{cases}$$

Thus by using Mathematica to compute the integrals we get

$$\delta_{1,0}^2 = E[\psi_{1,0}(X_1) - \theta]^2 = \frac{23}{4032},$$

$$\delta_{0,1}^2 = E[\psi_{0,1}(Y_1) - \theta]^2 = \frac{37}{4032},$$

$$\sigma_N^{*2} = \frac{1}{1008} \left(\frac{23}{n_1} + \frac{37}{n_2} \right)$$

and

$$E[(h^{(1,0)}(X_1))^3] = \frac{55}{96768}, \quad E[(h^{(0,1)}(Y_1))^3] = \frac{821}{483840},$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[h^{(1,0)}(X_1)h^{(0,1)}(Y_1)h^{(1,1)}(X_1; Y_1)] &= \frac{50531}{92897280}, \\ E[h^{(1,0)}(X_1)h^{(1,0)}(X_2)h^{(2,0)}(X_1, X_2)] &= \frac{3569}{2419200}, \\ E[h^{(0,1)}(Y_1)h^{(0,1)}(Y_2)h^{(0,2)}(Y_1, Y_2)] &= -\frac{1009}{1036800}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\eta_{2,N} = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{400} \left(\frac{193312}{n_1^2} - \frac{47328}{n_2^2} + \frac{252655}{n_1 n_2} \right) \left(\frac{23}{n_1} + \frac{37}{n_2} \right)^{-3/2}.$$

(iv) We consider the kernel given by (v) of Section 1. We consider the uniform distributions $U(0, 1)$ and $U(1/2, 3/2)$ as F and G , respectively. Then we have

$$\theta^{(1,2)} = \frac{5}{6}, \quad \theta^{(2,1)} = \frac{11}{12}, \quad \theta = \frac{23}{24},$$

and

$$b^{(0)} = -\frac{\delta_2(3-2p)}{24p(1-p)}.$$

For $0 < x_1, x_2 < 1$ and $1/2 < y_1, y_2 < 3/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{1,0}(x_1) &= 1 - \frac{1}{6}x_1^3, & \psi_{0,1}(y_1) &= 1 - \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{3}{2} - y_1\right)^3, \\ \psi_{2,0}(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{cases} 1 & (0 < x_1 + x_2 \leq 1) \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2 - 1)^2 & (1 < x_1 + x_2 < 2), \end{cases} \\ \psi_{0,2}(y_1, y_2) &= \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{2}[2 - (y_1 + y_2)]^2 & (1 < y_1 + y_2 < 2) \\ 1 & (2 \leq y_1 + y_2 < 3), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\psi_{1,1}(x_1; y_1) = \begin{cases} \frac{7}{8} + \frac{1}{2}(y_1 - x_1) - \frac{1}{2}(y_1 - x_1)^2 & \left(-\frac{1}{2} < y_1 - x_1 < \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 1 & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq y_1 - x_1 < \frac{3}{2}\right). \end{cases}$$

Thus by using Mathematica to compute the integrals we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{1,0}^2 &= \frac{1}{448}, & \delta_{0,1}^2 &= \frac{1}{448}, & \sigma_N^{*2} &= \frac{1}{112} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right), \\ E[(h^{(1,0)}(X_1))^3] &= -\frac{1}{8960}, & E[(h^{(0,1)}(Y_1))^3] &= -\frac{1}{8960}, \end{aligned}$$

$$E[h^{(1,0)}(X_1)h^{(0,1)}(Y_1)h^{(1,1)}(X_1; Y_1)] = -\frac{9727}{7257600},$$

$$E[h^{(1,0)}(X_1)h^{(1,0)}(X_2)h^{(2,0)}(X_1, X_2)] = -\frac{9727}{7257600},$$

$$E[h^{(0,1)}(Y_1)h^{(0,1)}(Y_2)h^{(0,2)}(Y_1, Y_2)] = -\frac{32531}{7257600}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\eta_{2,N} = -\frac{\sqrt{7}}{675} \left(\frac{9997}{n_1^2} + \frac{32801}{n_2^2} + \frac{38908}{n_1 n_2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)^{-3/2}.$$

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our thanks to Prof. Maesono for the discussions on the related matters, and the two referees for their kind suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Charalambides, Ch. A. and Singh, J. (1988). A review of the Stirling numbers, their generalizations and statistical applications, *Commun. Statist.-Theory Meth.*, **17**, 2533–2595.
- Ferguson, T. S. (1973). A Bayesian analysis of some nonparametric problems, *Ann. Statist.*, **1**, 209–230.
- Hollander, M. (1967). Asymptotic efficiency of two nonparametric competitors of Wilcoxon's two sample test, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, **62**, 939–949.
- Koroljuk, V. S. and Borovskich, Yu. V. (1994). *Theory of U-statistics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Lee, A. J. (1990). *U-statistics*, Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Lehmann, E. L. (1951). Consistency and unbiasedness of certain nonparametric tests, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **22**, 165–179.
- Maesono, Y. (1985). Edgeworth expansion for two-sample U-statistics, *Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ.*, (Math., Phys. & Chem.), No. 18, 35–43.
- Nomachi, T., Kondo, M. and Yamato, H. (2002). Higher Order efficiency of linear combinations of U-statistics as estimators of estimable parameters, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, **56**, 95–106.
- Randles, R. H. and Wolfe, D. A. (1979). *Introduction to the Theory of Nonparametric Statistics*, John Wiley, New York.
- Shorack, G. R. (2000). *Probability for Statisticians*, Springer, New York.
- Toda, K. and Yamato, H. (2001). Berry-Esseen bounds for some statistics including LB-statistic and V-statistic, *J. Japan Statist. Soc.*, **31**, 225–237.
- Yamato, H. (1977). Relations between limiting Bayes estimates and U-statistics for estimable parameters, *J. Japan Statist. Soc.*, **7**, 57–66.
- Yamato, H., Nomachi, T. and Toda, K. (2003). Edgeworth expansions of some statistics including the LB-statistic and V-statistic, *J. Japan Statist. Soc.*, **33**, 77–94.