## Kinetic Study on Transfructosylation by $\beta$ -Fructofuranosidase from *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 20611 and Availability of a Membrane Reactor for Fructooligosaccharide Production

Koji NISHIZAWA<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Mitsutoshi NAKAJIMA<sup>1,3\*</sup> and Hiroshi NABETANI<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Food Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 2-1-2, Kannondai, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki, 305-8642, Japan <sup>2</sup>Bio Science Laboratories, Meiji Seika Kaisha, Ltd., 5-3-1, Chiyoda, Sakado-shi, Saitama, 350-0214, Japan <sup>3</sup>Institute of Agricultural Forest Engineering, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1, Tennondai, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki, 305-8572, Japan

Received June 6, 2000; Accepted November 2, 2000

Transfructosylation catalyzed by fructooligosaccharide-producing  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase from *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 20611 was kinetically studied and a reaction model of transfructosylation was proposed. Kinetic parameters  $(V_m, K_m, \text{ and } K_i)$  were determined from experimental data on the transfructosylation rates at various substrate concentrations with and without addition of glucose. Transfructosylation reaction was found to be inhibited non-competitively  $(K_i=0.12 \text{ mol} \cdot t^{-1})$  by glucose. Simulation results in sugar composition due to the action of a  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase were in good agreement with the experimental data. In order to get higher reaction conversion with a simultaneous removal of glucose, a membrane reactor system was developed using nano-filtration membrane, through which glucose permeated but sucrose and fructooligosaccharides did not permeate. Fructooligosaccharide percentage of the reaction product was increased to above 90%, which was much higher than that of the batch reaction product (55–60%) and comparable to that of the chromatography processed product. The membrane reactor system will be applicable for production of fructooligosaccharides.

Keywords: fructooligosaccharides, transfructosylation, membrane reactor, kinetics

Fructooligosaccharides (FOS) are short-chain fructans with a terminal or in-chain glucose moiety and are found in many plant species, such as onion, edible burdock, asparagus and others (Becker *et al.*, 1977; Shiomi & Izawa, 1986; Darbyshire & Henry, 1981). A mixture of FOS, namely 1-kestose (GF<sub>2</sub>), nystose (GF<sub>3</sub>) and 1<sup>F</sup>-fructofuranosylnystose (GF<sub>4</sub>), is commercially produced from sucrose through the transfructosylation of an enzyme from *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 20611 by Meiji Seika Kaisha, Ltd., Tokyo (Neosugar G and Neosugar P).

FOS possess useful physico-chemical and physiological properties, which make them widely applicable to food and feed stuffs. They are stable at neutral pH and at temperatures up to 140°C, and have a good quality sweetness. Because FOS are non-digestible, after ingestion they pass through the small intestine, and thus reach the large intestine, where they are selectively utilized by bifidobacteria of the intestinal microflora (Hidaka *et al.*, 1986). Many studies have shown that FOS relieve constipation, improve blood lipid composition in hyperlipidaemia (Yamashita *et al.*, 1984), enhance calcium and magnesium absorption (Ohta *et al.*, 1995), and suppress the production of intestinal putrefactive substances in both animals and humans (Hidaka *et al.*, 1991). These indicate the usefulness of FOS as a healthy ingredient of foods and feeds.

Hidaka *et al.* (1988) reported that *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 20611 was selected as the most suitable strain for FOS production. This strain showed high productivity of  $\beta$ -fructofuranosi-

dase, of which transfructosylation activity was much higher than hydrolysis activity. Hirayama *et al.* (1989) reported purification and properties of  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase from this strain. The molecular weight of the enzyme was  $3.4 \times 10^5$  by gel filtration. The optimum pH was 5.0–6.0 and the optimum temperature was 50–60°C. The enzyme catalyzed almost exclusively transfructosylation reaction in 50 wt% sucrose solution to produce a mixture of FOS and glucose.

FOS have been produced commercially using a conventional batch system as follows. The enzyme is added to 50-60 wt% sucrose solution at pH 5.5-6.0. After stirring for 4-20 h at 50-60°C, the reaction mixture containing FOS, glucose and residual sucrose is heated to 90°C for 30 min in order to deactivate the enzyme. The reaction mixture is cooled below 50°C, clarified by filtration and deionized by ion-exchange resin column. The purified reaction mixture is concentrated to 75 wt% by evaporation. The product is called Neosugar G, and is a liquid sweetener. Percentages of FOS and residual sucrose in saccharide composition of Neosugar G are 55–60% and 10–12%, respectively. Neosugar P is produced from Neosugar G by removing glucose and residual sucrose using a simulated moving-bed chromatographic separator. FOS percentage of Neosugar P is above 95%. Considering the high cost of chromatographic separation for Neosugar P investigation of other feasible production methods such as a membrane reactor system is required.

In this paper, first kinetics of transfructosylation reaction by  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase from *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 20611 were studied. Purified  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase was used for determining kinetic parameters. Computer simulation of saccharide composi-

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: mnaka@nfri.affrc.go.jp

tion changes through a batch reaction was done and compared with the experimental results. Finding that transfructosylation was inhibited by glucose, a membrane reactor system for FOS production was investigated using nanofiltration membrane, which would reject sucrose and fructooligosaccharides.

## **Materials and Methods**

*Chemicals* The mixture of FOS used in this study was Neosugar G (Meiji Seika Kaisha, Ltd., Tokyo). The saccharide composition of Neosugar G was as follows; glucose (30.1%), sucrose (10.7%),  $GF_2$  (26.7%),  $GF_3$  (27.4%), and  $GF_4$  (5.1%).  $GF_2$  and  $GF_3$  were further purified from a mixture of FOS by preparative liquid chromatography and recrystallization. Each purity was as follows;  $GF_2$  (99.1%) and  $GF_3$  (98.0%).

*Enzyme purification* β-Fructofuranosidase was purified from an *A. niger* ATCC 20611 culture by the following modified method (Hirayama *et al.*, 1989). The culture was centrifuged at 5°C and the supernatant containing extracellar β-fructofuranosidase was concentrated through a hollow fiber ultrafiltration (UF) membrane (molecular weight cut off, 1×10<sup>4</sup>; poly-sulfone, Asahi Chemical Industry Co. Ltd., Tokyo). Solid calcium acetate was added to a crude concentrate up to 4% saturation. After standing overnight, precipitates were removed by centrifugation. The supernatant was concentrated to twice the original concentration by UF. Crude β-fructofuranosidase solution was eluted from anion-exchange column (DEAE-TOYOPEARL, Tosoh Co. Ltd., Tokyo) with stepwise concentrations of sodium chloride (NaCl). The active fraction eluted with 0.2 mol·*t*<sup>-1</sup> NaCl was concen-



**Fig. 1.** HPLC chromatogram for a mixture of glucose, sucrose and FOS. A mixture of glucose and FOS was Neosugar G (Meiji Seika Kaisha, Ltd., Tokyo). Peak (1), glucose; (2), sucrose; (3), 1-kestose (GF<sub>2</sub>); (4), nystose (GF<sub>3</sub>); (5), 1F-fructofuranosyl-nystose (GF<sub>4</sub>). HPLC condition: column, SIL-NH<sub>2</sub>; mobile phase. 72% (v/v) acetonitrile, 28% (v/v) acetonitrile, 28% (v/v) H<sub>2</sub>O; flow rate, 1.0 ml/min; detector, RI; temperature,  $40^{\circ}$ C.

trated to twice the original concentration by UF, which was further purified by gel filtration column (TOYOPEARL HW-65S, Tosoh Co. Ltd., Tokyo).

Analytical method Enzyme activity and protein were measured by the method of Hirayama *et al.* (1989). One unit was defined as the amount of enzyme required to produce 1 mmol of 1-kestose per min from 10% (w/v) sucrose at 40°C in 40 mmol·  $l^{-1}$  McIlvaine buffer (pH 5.0). The initial rate of transfructosylation was determined from the first reaction data up to 5% conversion at 55°C in 40 mmol· $l^{-1}$  McIlvaine buffer (pH6.0) for initial substrate (sucrose, GF<sub>2</sub> and GF<sub>3</sub>) concentrations varying 0.05– 1.0 mol· $l^{-1}$ . The inhibitory constant  $K_i$  was also determined under the above conditions with and without addition of glucose.

Saccharide weight composition was measured by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a finely packed SIL-NH<sub>2</sub> column (Kanto Kagaku Co. Ltd., Tokyo) and a detector RID-300 (Nihon Bunko Co. Ltd., Tokyo). A typical HPLC chromatogram for a mixture of glucose, sucrose and FOS ( $GF_2$ ,  $GF_3$ and  $GF_4$ ) is shown in Fig. 1.

Membrane screening test Membranes used in this study are shown in Table 1. Membranes of NTR-7410, NTR-7450, NTR-7250 and NTR-729HF were obtained from Nitto Denko Co. Ltd., Tokyo and membrane of NF-45 was obtained from Sanko Shokai Co. Ltd., Tokyo. The screening test was as follows. Several nanofiltration membranes were cut into circular discs (diameter, 75 mm; effective membrane area, 32 cm<sup>2</sup>), and fitted into a membrane cell (Model: C40-B, Nitto Denko Co. Ltd). The cell was placed on a magnetic stirrer and the magnetic spin bar fitted into the cell provided the agitation. The cell and magnetic stirrer were placed in a thermostatically controlled incubator. The pressure, temperature and stirrer spin bar speed were maintained at 4 MPa, 40°C and 400 rpm, respectively. The unit was operated in batch mode by charging the cell with 100 g of Neosugar G, which was diluted to 7.5 wt% in 40 mmol· $l^{-1}$ 



Fig. 2. Schematic flow-sheet of the experimental apparatus for membrane reactor.

Table 1. Rejections of glucose, sucrose and fructooligosaccharides on various membranes.

Membrane	Material <sup>a</sup>	Rejection of NaCl <sup>d</sup> (%)	<b>Permeete flux</b> <sup><i>e</i></sup> (10 <sup>-6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> ·m <sup>-2</sup> ·s <sup>-1</sup> )	Rejection of saccharides (%)				
	Waterfai		remeate nux (10 m m s )	glucose	sucrose	$GF_2$	GF <sub>3</sub>	$GF_4$
NF-45 <sup>b)</sup>	PA	45	65	74	98	>99	>99	>99
NTR-7410 <sup>c)</sup>	SPES	15	23	1	1	3	5	7
NTR-7450 <sup>c)</sup>	SPES	51	23	1	2	14	12	28
NTR-7250 <sup>c</sup> )	PVA	60	9	75	97	>99	>99	>99
NTR-729HF <sup>c</sup>	) PVA	92	7	91	93	>99	>99	>99

<sup>a)</sup>PA, polyamide; SPES, sulfonic polyether sulfone; PVA, polyvinyl alcohol.

<sup>b)</sup>Sanko Shokai Co. Ltd., Tokyo.

<sup>c)</sup>Nitto Denko Co. Ltd., Tokyo.

<sup>d</sup>Rejection of NaCl is catalogue value.

e)Permeate flux was measured using 7.5 wt% of diluted Neosugar G at pressure of 4MPa, 55°C.

McIlvaine buffer (pH 6.0), and the experiment was stopped when the permeate collection was approximately 5 g. Apparent rejections of glucose, sucrose and FOS were determined from the difference between peak areas in the HPLC chromatogram for the feed. A suitable nanofiltration membrane was selected based on membrane performance, and used in the following experiment.

*FOS production by membrane reactor system* Schematic illustration of an experimental apparatus is shown in Fig. 2. Twenty sheets of the nanofiltration membrane which were cut into a circular discs (250 mm diameter) were fitted into a membrane module (Model Lab-20, Sanko Shokai Co., Ltd., Tokyo). The effective membrane area, circulation flow rate, linear velocity on the membrane and initial flux were 0.36 m<sup>2</sup>,  $5.0 \times 10^{-5}$  m<sup>3</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>,  $4.0 \times 10^{-1}$  m·s<sup>-1</sup> and  $5.6 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>3</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Substrate solution (30 wt% sucrose, pH 6.0) and  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase were supplied to a reaction tank (volume, 10 *l*) at transmembrane pressure of 4.0 MPa. For enzyme addition, activities of 2.5–25 units per gram of substrate were employed. The transfructosylation reaction occurred in the tank. The reactants were re-circulated from the tank to the membrane module using a circulation pump (RO/UF D10, Sanko Shokai Co., Ltd., Tokyo).

The membrane system was controlled at 55°C. The transmembrane pressure was determined as

 $P_{t} = (P_{i} + P_{0})/2 - P_{p}$ 

where  $P_t$  is the trans-membrane pressure,  $P_i$  and  $P_0$  inlet and outlet pressures of the module, and  $P_p$  the permeate pressure (almost equal to atmospheric pressure). Saccharide compositions of the reactants and the permeates were measured by HPLC.

## **Results and Discussion**

*Purification of enzyme* Table 2 shows the results of enzyme purification. The specific activity of crude enzyme was 71 U·(mg protein)<sup>-1</sup>. This activity increased to 2650 U·(mg protein)<sup>-1</sup> by purification, which was almost equal to that of Hirayama *et al.* (1989). Total recovery of enzyme activity was 76%, which was 6 times that of Hirayama *et al.* (1989). The purified enzyme was used for kinetic study as described in the following paragraph.

*Batch reaction* Batch experimental results data for saccharide weight composition change by the action of a  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase under the condition of 55°C, pH 6.0, 50 wt% sucrose are shown in Fig. 3. Sucrose was converted to FOS (GF<sub>2</sub>, GF<sub>3</sub> and GF<sub>4</sub>) and glucose. Sucrose percentage in saccharide weight composition decreased from 100% to 10–12%, reaching a plateau after 3 h. GF<sub>2</sub> percentage during the reaction reached a maximum of 41–43% after 1.5 h, then decreased below 25%, in contrast, GF<sub>3</sub> and GF<sub>4</sub> percentages increased gradually. Thus, FOS percentage increased to 55–60%. Glucose percentage also increased gradually, and reached 30%. Fructose percentage was negligible because hydrolysis reactions of sucrose and FOS did not occur under these conditions. For production of Neosugar G,, the reaction is stopped when the concentration of  $GF_2$  becomes equal to that of  $GF_3$ . In the present batch reaction, the required time was about 4 h.

*Experiments for kinetics* The concentration effects of sucrose,  $GF_2$  and  $GF_3$  on the initial rate of transfructosylation are shown in Fig. 4. The rates increased with the increase of sub-



**Fig. 3.** Simulation of changes of saccharide composition by action of  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase. Curves are simulation results. Conditions: enzyme activity, 12.5 U·g<sup>-1</sup> sucrose; initial sucrose concentration, 50 wt%; temperature, 50°C; pH, 6.0. Symbols:  $\Box$ , glucose;  $\diamond$ , sucrose;  $\bigcirc$ , GF<sub>2</sub>;  $\triangle$ , GF<sub>3</sub>;  $\times$ , GF<sub>4</sub>;  $\blacktriangle$ , FOS.



**Fig. 4.** Initial transfructosylation rates as a function of substrate concentration. Curves are simulation results. Symbols:  $\bigcirc$ , sucrose;  $\triangle$ , GF<sub>2</sub>;  $\square$ , GF<sub>3</sub>.

Table 2. Purification of  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase from Aspergillus niger ATCC 20611.

Step	Volume (ml)	Total activity (U)	Total protein (mg)	Specific activity <sup>a)</sup> (U/mg protein)	Recovery (%)
Crude extract	1600	$1.87 \times 10^{6}$	26400	71±3	100
Calcium acetate	895	$1.56 \times 10^{6}$	8440	185±12	83
Anion exchange column <sup>b)</sup>	800	$1.45 \times 10^{6}$	640	2269±49	76
Gel filtration column <sup>c)</sup>	1358	$1.45 \times 10^{6}$	548	2646±66	76

<sup>*a*)</sup>Values are means $\pm$ SE, *n*=3.

<sup>b)</sup>DEAE-TOYOPEARL, Tosoh Co. Ltd., Tokyo.

<sup>c)</sup>TOYOPEARL HW-65s, Tosoh Co. Ltd., Tokyo.

strate concentration. Sucrose gave the highest transfructosylation rate among three substrates, and  $GF_3$  gave the lowest.

The effects of substrate concentration  $(0.05-0.75 \text{ mol} \cdot l^{-1})$  and glucose addition  $(0-0.3 \text{ mol} \cdot l^{-1})$  on the initial transfructosylation rate are shown in Fig. 5 (substrate, sucrose) and Fig. 6 (substrate, GF<sub>2</sub>). Initial transfructosylation rates in both cases were significantly affected by glucose addition. For example, the initial transfructosylation rate, at initial glucose concentration of 0.3 mol· $l^{-1}$ , decreased to less than half that without glucose addition.

*Mathematical model for transfructosylation reaction* A reaction model for transfructosylation is proposed:

$$GF+GF \xrightarrow{r_1} GF_2+G,$$
 (1)

$$GF_2 + GF_2 \xrightarrow{r_2} GF_3 + GF_4$$
 (2)

$$GF_2 + GF_2 \xrightarrow{r_3} GF_4 + GF_2,$$
 (3)

$$GF+GF_2 \xrightarrow{r_4} GF_2+G,$$
 (4)

$$GF+GF_3 \xrightarrow{r_s} GF_4+G,$$
 (5)



**Fig. 5.** Inhibition by glucose on transfructosylation rate. Substrate is sucrose. Curves are simulation results. Symbols (glucose concentration):  $\Box$ , 0 mol· $l^{-1}$ ;  $\diamond$ , 0.05 mol· $l^{-1}$ ;  $\diamond$ , 0.1 mol· $l^{-1}$ ;  $\diamond$ , 0.3 mol· $l^{-1}$ .



**Fig. 6.** Inhibition by glucose on transfructosylation rate. Substrate is GF<sub>2</sub>. Curves are simulation results. Symbols (glucose concentration):  $\Box$ , 0 mol· $t^{-1}$ ;  $\diamond$ , 0.05 mol· $t^{-1}$ ;  $\diamond$ , 0.1 mol· $t^{-1}$ ;  $\triangle$ , 0.3 mol· $t^{-1}$ .

$$GF_2 + GF_3 \xrightarrow{\gamma_4} GF_4 + GF.$$
 (6)

where GF is sucrose, G glucose, GF<sub>2</sub> 1-kestose, GF<sub>3</sub> nystose, GF<sub>4</sub> 1<sup>F</sup>-fructofuranosylnystose, and  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $r_3$ ,  $r_4$ ,  $r_5$  and  $r_6$  respective transfructosylation rates. This model assumes that hydrolysis reactions of GF, GF<sub>2</sub>, GF<sub>3</sub> and GF<sub>4</sub> do not occur, and formation of GF<sub>5</sub> is negligible (Hirayama *et al.*, 1989).

Mass balance equations for transfructosylation reaction of each component derived from Eqs. (1)–(6) can be as follows:

$$d[G]/dt = r_1 + r_4 + r_5, \tag{7}$$

$$d[GF]/dt = -2r_1 + r_2 - r_4 - r_5 + r_6, \tag{8}$$

$$d[GF_2]/dt = r_1 - 2r_2 + r_3 - r_4 - r_6, \tag{9}$$

$$d[GF_3]/dt = r_2 - 2r_3 + r_4 - r_5 - r_6, \tag{10}$$

$$d[GF_4]/dt = r_3 + r_5 + r_6, \tag{11}$$

where [G], [GF],  $[GF_2]$ ,  $[GF_3]$  and  $[GF_4]$  are the concentration of glucose, sucrose,  $GF_2$ ,  $GF_3$  and  $GF_4$ , respectively.

Assuming a two-substrate random bi-bi model (Price & Stevens, 1989), rate equations for Eqs. (1)–(3) are obtained as follows:

$$r_{1}=V_{m,GF}[GF]^{2}/(K_{m1,GF}K_{m2,GF}+K_{m2,GF}[GF]+[GF]^{2}), (12)$$

$$K_{m1,GF}=[E][GF]/[E\cdotGF], (13)$$

$$K_{m2,GF}=[E\cdotGF][GF]/[E\cdotGF\cdotGF]. (14)$$

$$r_{2}=V_{m,GF2}[GF_{2}]^{2}(K_{m1,GF2}K_{m2,GF2}+K_{m2,GF2}[GF_{2}]+[GF_{2}]^{2}), (15)$$

$$K_{m1,GF2}=[E][GF_{2}]/[E\cdotGF_{2}], (16)$$

$$K_{-2,GF}=[E\cdotGF_{-3}][GF_{-3}]/[E\cdotGF_{-3}GF_{-3}]. (17)$$

$$r_{3}=V_{m,GF3}[GF_{3}]^{2}/(K_{m1,GF3}K_{m2,GF3}+K_{m2,GF3}[GF_{2}]+[GF_{2}]^{2}), (18)$$
  
$$K_{m1,GF3}=[E][GF_{3}]/[E \cdot GF_{3}], (19)$$

$$K_{\mathrm{m2,GF3}} = [E \cdot GF_3] [GF_3] / [E \cdot GF_3 \cdot GF_3], \qquad (20)$$

where [E], [E·GF], [E·GF·GF], [E·GF<sub>2</sub>], [E·GF<sub>2</sub>·GF<sub>2</sub>], [E·GF<sub>3</sub>] and [E·GF<sub>3</sub>·GF<sub>3</sub>] are concentrations of enzyme, enzyme-sucrose complex, enzyme-sucrose-sucrose complex, enzyme-GF<sub>2</sub> complex, enzyme-GF<sub>2</sub>-GF<sub>2</sub> complex, enzyme-GF<sub>3</sub> complex and enzyme-GF<sub>3</sub>-GF<sub>3</sub> complex, respectively.  $V_m$  and  $K_m$  are maximum transfructosylation rate and dissociation constants for Eqs. (12)– (20), respectively.  $K_{m1}$  denotes dissociation constant for enzymesubstrate complex and  $K_{m2}$  denotes dissociation constant for enzyme-substrate-substrate complex.

Rate equations for Eqs. (4)–(6) are also obtained by two-substrates random bi-bi model as follows:

$$\begin{split} r_4 = &V_{m4}[GF][GF_2]/(K_{m1,GF}K_{m2,GF-GF2}+K_{m2,GF-GF2}[GF] \\ + &K_{m2,GF2-GF}[GF_2]+[GF][GF_2]), \end{split} \tag{21} \\ &K_{m1,GF}=[E][GF]/[E\cdotGF], \end{aligned} \tag{22} \\ &K_{m2,GF-GF2}=[E\cdotGF][GF_2]/[E\cdotGF\cdotGF_2], \end{aligned} \tag{23} \\ &K_{m2,GF2-GF2}=[E\cdotGF][GF_2]/[E\cdotGF_2GF], \end{aligned} \tag{24} \\ &r_5 = &V_{m5}[GF][GF_3]/(K_{m1,GF}K_{m2,GF-GF3}+K_{m2,GF-GF3}[GF] \\ + &K_{m2,GF3-GF}[GF_3]+[GF][GF_3]), \end{aligned} \tag{25} \\ &K_{m1,GF}=[E][GF]/[E\cdotGF], \end{aligned} \tag{26} \\ &K_{m2,GF-GF3}=[E\cdotGF][GF_3]/[E\cdotGF\cdotGF_3], \end{aligned} \tag{27} \\ &K_{m2,GF3-GF}=[E\cdotGF][GF_3]/[E\cdotGF], \end{aligned} \tag{28} \\ &i_6 = &V_{m6}[GF_2][GF_3]/(K_{m1,GF2}K_{m2,GF2-GF3}+K_{m2,GF2-GF3}[GF_2] \\ + &K_{m2,GF3-GF2}[GF_3]+[GF_2][GF_3]], \end{aligned} \tag{29} \\ &K_{m1,GF2}=[E][GF_2]/[E\cdotGF_2], \end{aligned} \tag{30} \\ &K_{m2,GF3-GF2}=[E\cdotGF_2][GF_3]/[E\cdotGF_2\cdotGF_3], \end{aligned} \tag{31} \\ &K_{m2,GF3-GF2}=[E\cdotGF_3][GF_2]/[E\cdotGF_3\cdotGF_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where  $[E \cdot GF \cdot GF_2]$ ,  $[E \cdot GF_2 \cdot GF]$ ,  $[E \cdot GF \cdot GF_3]$ ,  $[E \cdot GF_3 \cdot GF]$ ,  $[E \cdot GF_2 \cdot GF_3]$  and  $[E \cdot GF_3 \cdot GF_2]$  are concentrations of enzyme-sucrose-GF<sub>2</sub> complex, enzyme-GF<sub>2</sub>-sucrose complex, enzymecrose-GF<sub>3</sub> complex, enzyme-GF<sub>3</sub>-sucrose complex, enzyme-GF<sub>2</sub>-GF<sub>2</sub> complex and enzyme-GF<sub>3</sub>-GF<sub>2</sub> complex, respectively.  $V_{\rm m}$  and  $K_{\rm m}$  are maximum transfructosylation rate, and dissociation constants for Eqs. (21)–(31), respectively.

*Estimation of kinetic parameters* The effect of substrate concentration on the initial rate of transfructosylation given in Eqs. (1)–(3) is shown in Fig. 4. Based on the experimental data and Eqs. (12)–(20), the maximum transfructosylation rates  $(V_m)$  and dissociation constants  $(K_{m1}, K_{m2})$  were estimated using the Gauss-Jordan method as shown in Table 3. As shown in Fig. 4, simulation and experimental data are in good agreement. The case in GF<sub>3</sub> gave the smallest  $V_m$ , which was almost half those of sucrose and GF<sub>2</sub>. The case in sucrose gave the smallest  $K_{m1}$  and  $K_{m2}$ . As the number of fructose units in the substrate increased,  $V_m$  decreased and  $K_m$  increased. These indicate that interaction between enzyme and substrate may decrease with the increase in number of fructose units. These results agreed with those of Duan and Sheu (1994), although a simple Michaelis-Menten model was applied to the transfructosylation.

*Inhibitory effect of glucose* Transfructosylation reaction was found to be inhibited by glucose as shown in Fig. 5. The inhibition mechanism was investigated by comparing the experimental results with competitive or non-competitive inhibition model.

(Competitive inhibition)

 $r_1 =$ 

$$E+GF \longrightarrow E \cdot GF \qquad (38)$$
  
$$E \cdot GE+GE \longrightarrow E \cdot GE \cdot GE \longrightarrow E + GE + G \qquad (39)$$

$$E+G \longrightarrow E \cdot G \tag{(3)}$$

$$_{1} = V_{m,GF} [GF]^{2} / (K_{m1,GF} K_{m2,GF} + K_{m2,GF} [GF] + [GF]^{2}$$

$$+K_{m1,GF}K_{m2,GF}[G]/K_{i,c})$$
(41  
$$K_{i,c}=[E][G]/[E \cdot G]$$
(42

(Non-competitive inhibition)

$$E+GF \longrightarrow E \cdot GF \tag{43}$$

$$E \cdot GF + GF \longrightarrow E \cdot GF \cdot GF \longrightarrow E + GF_2 + G \qquad (44)$$

$$E+G \longrightarrow E \cdot G \tag{45}$$

$$E \cdot G + G F \longrightarrow E \cdot G \cdot G F \tag{40}$$

$$E \cdot G F + G \longrightarrow F \cdot G \cdot G F \tag{47}$$

$$V_{\rm m}[{\rm GF}]^2/(K_{\rm m1\,GE}K_{\rm m2\,GE}+K_{\rm m2\,GE}[{\rm GF}]+[{\rm GF}]^2$$

$$+K_{m2,GF}[GF][G]/K_{i,n}+K_{m1,GF}K_{m2,GF}[G]/K_{i,n})$$
(48)  
$$K_{-}[F][G]/[F,G]=[F,G][GF]/[F,G,GF]]$$

$$-[\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{C}]/[\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{E}] \qquad (40)$$

where 
$$K_{i,c}$$
 and  $K_{i,n}$  are competitive inhibitory and non-competitive inhibitory constants, respectively.

The experimental data were analyzed by two kinetic models with the competitive (Eq. (41)) and non-competitive (Eq. (48)) inhibitory effects of glucose. The curve obtained from Eq. (48), the non-competitive inhibitory model, showed good agreement with the experimental data; however, the curve obtained from Eq. (41), the competitive inhibitory model did not agree well with the experimental data. Non-competitive inhibitory constant  $K_{i,n}$  could be estimated from the experimental data using  $V_m$ ,  $K_{m1}$  and  $K_{m2}$  obtained from initial transfructosylation rates without addition of glucose as given in Table 3.  $K_{i,n}$  was determined to be 0.12 mol- $l^{-1}$  as a non-competitive inhibitory constant.

*Computer simulation of transfructosylation reaction* Prediction of concentration time course by the mathematical model for transfructosylation reaction is shown in Fig. 3. Best fitting kinetic parameters given in Eqs. (21)–(32) are shown in Table 4. The simulated curve showed good agreement with the experimental data, which demonstrated that the present model given in Eqs. (1)–(6) was reasonable and appropriate for transfructosylation by  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase.

*Membrane screening* Rejections of glucose, sucrose and FOS (GF<sub>2</sub>, GF<sub>3</sub> and GF<sub>4</sub>) for several nanofiltration membranes are summarized in Table 1. Rejection of NaCl and permeate flux are also shown. Little rejection of glucose was observed in NTR-7410 and NTR7450 membranes, and permeation of sucrose and FOS took place. On the other hand, almost complete rejection of FOS was obtained in the membranes of NF-45, NTR-7250 and NTR-729HF, and permeate flux values for the membrane of NTR-729HF, and permeate flux values for the membrane of NTR-7250 and NTR-720HF were below  $10 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>3</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>. The highest rejection of sucrose and the highest permeate flux of  $65 \times 10^{-6}$  m<sup>3</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> were obtained in the NF-45 membrane (pure water permeate flux was  $2.1 \times 10^{-4}$  m<sup>3</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> of 4 MPa transmembrane pressure at  $25^{\circ}$ C). From these, NF-45 membrane was found to be the best one for the membrane reactor of FOS production. HPLC chromatograms of feed and permeate solution for

Table 3. Estimated rate constants of transfructosylation for Eqs. (12)-(20).

Substrate	$V_{\rm m} \ (\mu { m mol} \cdot l^{-1} \cdot { m min}^{-1})$	$K_{\rm ml}({ m mol}\cdot l^{-1})$	$K_{\rm m2}({\rm mol}\cdot l^{-1})$
Sucrose	508	0.07	0.20
$GF_2$	499	0.15	0.95
$\overline{\text{GF}_3}$	265	0.55	1.35

Table 4. Estimated rate constants of transfructosylation for Eqs. (21)-(32).

Substrate		$V_{\rm m}$	$K_{ m m2,A-B}$	$K_{ m m2,B-A}$		
А	В	$(\mu mol \cdot l^{-1} \cdot min^{-1})$	$(\text{mol} \cdot l^{-1})$	$(\text{mol} \cdot l^{-1})$		
Sucrose	$GF_2$	100	0.40	0.24		
Sucrose	GF <sub>3</sub>	4	0.70	0.01		
GF <sub>2</sub>	GF <sub>3</sub>	208	0.70	0.02		



Fig. 7. HPLC chromatograms of feed and permeate solution.

 Table 5.
 Saccharide weight compositions of Neosugar G, Neosugar P and membrane reactor product.

	Saccharide weight composition (%)					
	glucose	sucrose	$GF_2$	GF <sub>3</sub>	$\mathrm{GF}_4$	FOS
Neosugar G	30	10	27	27	6	60
Neosugar P	2	3	36	50	9	95
Membrane reactor						
0 h		100	_	_		_
1 h	17	31	44	8		52
3 h	19	16	46	18	1	65
6 h	12	8	40	35	5	80
12 h	2	5	36	48	9	93

NF-45 membrane are shown in Fig. 7, indicating that glucose permeated selectively through the membrane.

Production of FOS using the membrane reactor Operation parameters of the membrane reactor were substrate concentration, temperature, pH, enzyme concentration, membrane area, volume of reaction tank, trans-membrane pressure and flow rate along the membrane surface. Transfructosylation rate and permeation rate of glucose were the most important factors for the membrane reactor, because transfructosylation was non-competitively inhibited by glucose. In this study, efficient removal of glucose required volume of reaction tank and area of membrane to be 10 *l* and 0.36 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

Results in saccharide weight composition of Neosugar G of batch reactor product, Neosugar P and membrane reactor product are summarized in Table 5. FOS percentage in saccharide weight composition of Neosugar G was 60%, and residual sucrose percentage was 10%. After 12 h of operation, FOS percentage in saccharides weight composition of the membrane reactor product was 93%, which was much higher than the batch system (55–60%), and residual sucrose percentage was 5%, which were close to those of Neosugar P. The present study showed that the membrane reactor is available for FOS (Neosugar P) production.

In summary, transfructosylation reactions catalyzed by fructooligosaccharide-producing  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase from *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 20611 were kinetically studied and a model of transfructosylation reaction was proposed. Kinetic parameters  $(V_m, K_m, \text{ and } K_i)$  were determined from the experimental data at various substrate concentrations with and without glucose. Transfructosylation was found to be inhibited non-competitively  $(K_{in}=0.12 \text{ mol} \cdot t^{-1})$  by glucose. A simulated curve of change in saccharide composition during transfructosylation by a  $\beta$ -fructofuranosidase was in good agreement with the experimental data.

Acknowledgment The authors are grateful to Nitto Denko Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, who kindly supplied nanofiltration membranes. This work was partly supported by a grant from the Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution (BRAIN), Japan.

## References

- Becker, R., Lorenz, K. and Saunders, R. M. (1977). Saccharides of maturing triticale, wheat and rye. J. Agric. Food. Chem., 25, 1115– 1118.
- Darbyshire, B. and Henry, R. J. (1981). Differences in fructan content and synthesis in some Allium species. *New Phytol.*, 82, 249–256.
- Duan, K. J. and Sheu, D. C. (1994). Kinetic studies and mathematical model for enzymatic production of fructooligosaccharides from sucrose. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 16, 334–339.
- Hidaka, H., Eida, T., Takizawa, T., Tokunaga T. and Tashiro, Y. (1986). Effects of fructooligosaccharides on intestinal flora and human health. *Bifidobacteria Microflora*, 5, 37–50.
- Hidaka, H., Hirayama, M. and Sumi, N. (1988). A fructooligosaccharides-producing enzyme from *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 20611. *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, **52**, 1181–1187.
- Hidaka, H., Eida, T. and Tashiro, Y. (1991). Proliferation of bifido-bacteria by oligosaccharides and their useful effect on human health. *Bifidobacteria Microflora.*, **10**, 65–79.
- Hirayama, M., Sumi, N. and Hidaka, H. (1989). Purification and properties of a fructooligosaccharides-producing β-fructofuranosidase from *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 20611. *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, **53**, 667– 673.
- Nakajima, M., Jinbo, N., Nishizawa K., Nabetani, H. and Watanabe, A. (1988). Conversion of sucrose by immobilized invertase in an asymmetric membrane reactor. *Process Biochem.*, 23, 32–36.
- Nakajima, M., Watanabe A., Jinbo, H., Nishizawa K., Nabetani, H. and Nakao, S. (1989). Forced flow bioreactor for sucrose inversion using ceramic membrane activated by silanization. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 33, 856–861.
- Nakajima, M., Nishizawa, K. and Nabetani, H. (1993). Forced flow membrane reactor for sucrose inversion using molasses. *Bioprocess Eng.*, 9, 31–35.
- Ohta, A., Ohtsuki, M., Adachi, T. and Sakata, T. (1995). Calcium and magnesium absorption from the colon and rectum are increased in rats fed fructooligo-saccharides. J. Nutr., 125, 2417–2424
- Price, N. C. and Stevens, L. (1989). "Fundamentals of Enzymology." Second edition, Oxford Science Publications, pp. 160–168
- Shiomi, N. and Izawa, M. (1986). Purification and characterization of sucrose-sucrose 1-fructosyltransferase from the roots of asparagus. *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, 44, 603–614
- Yamashita, K., Kawai, K. and Itakura, M. (1984). Effects of fructo-oligosaccharides on blood glucose and serum lipids in diabetic subjects. *Nutr. Res.*, 4, 961–966