Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
rmaa@astroscu.unam.mx
ISSN (Versión impresa): 0185-1101
MÉXICO

2008
E. Benítez / A. Franco Balderas / I. Cruz González / L. López Martin / V. H. Chavushyan
BLACK HOLE MASS ESTIMATES IN NEARBY AGN FROM HOST-BULGE PROPERTIES
*Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica,* abril, volumen 032
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Distrito Federal, México
p. 173

*RevMexAA (Serie de Conferencias)*, **32**, 173–173 (2008)

# BLACK HOLE MASS ESTIMATES IN NEARBY AGN FROM HOST-BULGE PROPERTIES

E. Benítez,[1] A. Franco-Balderas,[1] I. Cruz-González,[1] L. López-Martin,[2] and V. H. Chavushyan[3]



**In this work we present the results of an optical photometric study performed to seven nearby AGN that were selected with the SDSS-DR5 database. Surface photometric techniques have been applied to the data in order to obtain B/D ratio and therefore the $M_{\rm R}$(Bulge) magnitudes. Bulge luminosities were then used to estimate the black-hole masse ($M_{\rm BH}$) in these AGN.**

The observations of nearby AGN has always been relevant since they enable us to study their host galaxies properties in a more detailed way. In recent years, it has been shown that host-bulge properties are correlated with the mass of the compact objects associated with the nuclei of normal and active galaxies (for a review on this topic, see Ferrarese & Ford 2005). Therefore, in order to understand the formation and evolution of black-holes (BH) it is necessary to estimate the $M_{\rm BH}$ of active galaxies over the widest possible ranges of host-galaxy types. This is the main goal of our study, still in progress.

In this work, we present the first results obtained from a study of 7 nearby AGN. We have selected objects flagged as QSO in the SDSS-DR5 that have a Petrosian-g magnitudes between $14 < {\rm Mg} < 17$ and distances between $0.02 < z < 0.09$. An additional condition imposed to these AGN was that their host-galaxies should always have a major-axis size $> 15''$ in the isophote $\mu_{\rm B} = 25\,{\rm mag/arcsec^{-2}}$. These criteria allowed us to consider Type 1 (Sy1 and NLS1) as well as Type 2 (Sy2 and Sy1.9) AGN as good candidates for a deep imaging study using small-moderate size optical telescopes: NOT 2.5 m + ALFOSC, and SPM 1.5 m + Marconi. We present here the results obtained from our first couple of runs in (2006): (UT 1–2) with the NOT, and in November (UT 14–18) with the 1.5 m. We have observed Sy galaxies (i.e. with $M_{\rm B} < -23$) that have a distance range between 174.89 and 340.65 Mpc ($H_0 = 71\,{\rm km\,s^{-1}\,Mpc^{-1}}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$ and $\Omega_\lambda = 0.7$). We have obtained several broad-band $B$, $V$, $R$ images with minimum exposure times of 2400 s in $B$, 1600 s in $V$ and 800 s in $R$. Our images are deep enough since we want to have a S/N$\sim$3 in the isophote $\mu_{\rm B} = 25\,{\rm mag\,/arcsec^{-2}}$. Although the nights assigned with the NOT telescope were not photometric, we got images with a seeing fluctuating from $\sim$0.7–1.0″. The average seeing measured at SPM in the R band was $\sim$1.2″, but luckily the 4 assigned nights for this project were photometric dark nights. Thus, we have used the SPM data to calibrate the entire set of observed galaxies.

TABLE 1

BLACK HOLE MASS

| SDSS-Name | $M_{\rm R}$(Bulge) | $\log(M_{\rm BH})$ |
|---|---|---|
| J020615.98 − 001729.1 | −21.96±0.05 | 8.39±0.49 |
| J021011.49 − 090335.5 | −20.03±0.08 | 7.43±0.48 |
| J030417.77 + 002827.3 | −20.83±0.06 | 7.83±0.48 |
| J073106.86 + 392644.7 | −19.67±0.07 | 7.24±0.48 |
| J211646.34 + 110237.4 | −20.50±0.05 | 7.66±0.48 |
| J212851.19 − 010412.4 | −18.78±0.14 | 6.80±0.48 |
| J234428.81 + 134946.0 | −19.03±0.11 | 6.92±0.48 |

In order to perform the host-galaxy surface photometric study, we have followed the methodology given in Torrealba et al. (2006). Here we will present only part of our results. We have estimated the $M_{\rm BH}$ for each object using the correlation found by McLure & Dunlop (2002) but modified accordingly to our assumed cosmology. In Table 1 we show our estimates. These results, will be compared with $M_{\rm BH}$ estimations derived for the same AGN but with different methods.

[1]Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 70-264 México, D. F., México (erika@astroscu.unam.mx).

[2]Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, 38200, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain (luislm@iac.es).

[3]Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Apdo. Postal 51, 72000 Puebla, México (vahram@inaoep.mx).

## REFERENCES

Ferrarese, L., & Ford, H. 2005, Space Sci. Rev., 116, 523
McLure, R. J., & Dunlop, J. S. 2002, MNRAS, 331, 795
Torrealba, J., Benítez, E., Franco-Balderas, A., & Chavushyan, V. H. 2006, RevMexAA, 42, 3