

## Research Article

# On the Superstrings-Induced Four-Dimensional Gravity and Its Applications to Cosmology

**Masao Iihoshi and Sergei V. Ketov**

*Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan*

Correspondence should be addressed to Sergei V. Ketov, ketov@phys.metro-u.ac.jp

Received 17 June 2008; Revised 19 October 2008; Accepted 21 October 2008

Recommended by Anastasios Petkou

We review the status of the fourth-order (quartic in the spacetime curvature) terms induced by superstrings/M-theory (compactified on a warped torus) in the leading order with respect to the Regge slope parameter, and study their (nonperturbative) impact on the evolution of the Hubble scale in the context of the four-dimensional FRW cosmology. After taking into account the quantum ambiguities in the definition of the off-shell superstring effective action, we propose the generalized Friedmann equations, find the existence of their (de Sitter) exact inflationary solutions without a spacetime singularity, and constrain the ambiguities by demanding stability and the scale factor duality invariance of our solutions. The most naive (Bel-Robinson tensor squared) quartic terms are ruled out, thus giving the evidence for the necessity of extra quartic (Ricci tensor-dependent) terms in the off-shell gravitational effective action for superstrings. Our methods are generalizable to the higher orders in the spacetime curvature.

Copyright © 2008 M. Iihoshi and S. V. Ketov. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

The homogeneity and isotropy of our Universe, as well as the observed spectrum of density perturbations, are explained by inflationary cosmology [1, 2]. Inflation is usually realized by introducing a scalar field (inflaton) and choosing an appropriate scalar potential. When using Einstein equations, it gives rise to the massive violation of the strong energy condition and the exotic matter with large negative pressure. Despite the apparent simplicity of such inflationary scenarios, the origin of their key ingredients, such as the inflaton and its scalar potential, remains obscure.

Theory of superstrings is the leading candidate for a unified theory of Nature, and it is also the only known consistent theory of quantum gravity. It is therefore natural to use superstrings or M-theory for the construction of specific mechanisms of inflation. Recently, many brane inflation scenarios were proposed (see, e.g., [3] for a review), together with their embeddings into the (warped) compactified superstring models, in a good package with

the phenomenological constraints coming from particle physics (see, e.g., [4]). However, it did not contribute to revealing the origin of the key ingredients of inflation. It also greatly increased the number of possibilities up to  $10^{500}$  (known as the string landscape), hampering-specific theoretical predictions in the search for the signatures of strings and branes in the Universe.

The inflaton driven by a scalar potential and its engineering by strings and branes are by no means required. Another possible approach can be based on a modification of the gravitational part of Einstein equations by terms of the higher order in the spacetime curvature [5]. It does not require an inflaton or an exotic matter, while the specific higher-curvature terms are well known to be present in the effective action of superstrings [6, 7].

The perturbative strings are defined on-shell (in the form of quantum amplitudes), while they give rise to the infinitely many higher-curvature corrections to the Einstein equations, to all orders in the Regge slope parameter  $\alpha'$  and the string coupling  $g_s$ . The finite form of all those corrections is unknown and beyond our control. However, it still makes sense to consider the *leading* corrections to the Einstein equations, coming from strings and branes. Of course, any result to be obtained from the merely leading quantum corrections cannot be conclusive. Nevertheless, they may offer both qualitative and technical insights into the early Universe cosmology, within the well-defined and highly restrictive framework. In this paper, we adopt the approach based on the Einstein equations modified by the leading superstring-generated gravitational terms which are *quartic* in the spacetime curvature. We treat the quartic curvature terms *on equal footing* with the Einstein term, that is, nonperturbatively.

We consider only geometrical (i.e., pure gravity) terms in the low-energy M-theory effective action in four space-time dimensions. We assume that the quantum  $g_s$ -corrections can be suppressed against the leading  $\alpha'$ -corrections, whereas all the moduli, including a dilaton and an axion, are somehow stabilized (e.g., by fluxes, after the warped compactification to four dimensions and spontaneous supersymmetry breaking).

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review our starting point: M-theory in 11 spacetime dimensions with the leading quantum corrections, and the dimensional reduction to four spacetime dimensions. In Section 3, we discuss the problem of the off-shell extension of the gravitational part of the four-dimensional effective action for superstrings. In Section 4, we review the physical significance of the on-shell quartic curvature terms. In Section 5, we prove that it is impossible to eliminate the fourth-order time derivatives in the four-dimensional equations of motion with a generic metric. The structure of equations of motions for the special (FRW) metrics is revealed in Section 6, which contains our main new results. The exact (de Sitter) solutions, stability, and duality constraints are also discussed in Section 6. Our conclusion is Section 7. In Appendix A, we give our notation and compute some relevant identities. The two-component spinor formalism (for completeness) is summarized in Appendix B.

## 2. M-theory and modified Einstein equations

There are five perturbatively consistent superstring models in ten spacetime dimensions (see, e.g., the book [6, 7]). All those models are related by duality transformations. In this paper, we are going to consider only the gravitational sector of the heterotic and type-II strings. In addition, there exists a parent theory behind all those superstring models, it is called M-theory, and it is eleven-dimensional [6, 7]. Not so much is known about the nonperturbative M-theory. Nevertheless, there are the well-established facts that (i) the M-theory low-energy

effective action is given by the 11-dimensional supergravity [8], and (ii) the leading quantum gravitational corrections to the 11-dimensional supergravity from M-theory in the bosonic sector are quartic in the curvature [9–12] (see, e.g., [13] for some recent progress). Our purpose in this section is to emphasize what is not known.

All the bosonic terms of the M-theory corrected 11-dimensional action read as follows [9–12]:

$$S_{11} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_{11}^2} \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4!} F^2 - \frac{1}{6 \cdot 3! \cdot (4!)^2} \varepsilon_{11} CFF \right] - \frac{T_2}{(2\pi)^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 2^{13}} \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g} \left( J - \frac{1}{2} E_8 \right) + T_2 \int C \wedge X_8, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\kappa_{11}$  is the 11-dimensional gravitational constant,  $T_2$  is the M2-brane tension given by

$$T_2 = \left( \frac{2\pi^2}{\kappa_{11}^2} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $C$  is a 3-form gauge field of the 11-dimensional supergravity [8], and  $F = dC$  is its four-form field strength,  $R$  is the gravitational scalar curvature,  $\varepsilon_{11}$  stands for the 11-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol in the Chern-Simons-like coupling, while  $(J, E_8, X_8)$  are certain *quartic* polynomials in the 11-dimensional curvature. The  $J$  is given by

$$J = 3 \cdot 2^8 \left( R^{mijn} R_{pijq} R_m{}^{rsp} R^q{}_{rsn} + \frac{1}{2} R^{mnij} R_{pqij} R_m{}^{rsp} R^q{}_{rsn} \right) + \mathcal{O}(R_{mn}), \quad (2.3)$$

where the  $E_8$  is the 11-dimensional extension of the eight-dimensional Euler density

$$E_8 = \frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon^{abcm_1 n_1 \dots m_4 n_4} \varepsilon_{abcm'_1 n'_1 \dots m'_4 n'_4} R^{m'_1 n'_1}{}_{m_1 n_1} \dots R^{m'_4 n'_4}{}_{m_4 n_4}, \quad (2.4)$$

and the  $X_8$  is the eight-form

$$X_8 = \frac{1}{192 \cdot (2\pi^2)^4} \left[ \text{tr} R^4 - \frac{1}{4} (\text{tr} R^2)^2 \right], \quad (2.5)$$

where the traces are taken with respect to (implicit) Lorentz indices in eleven space-time dimensions. The (world) vector indices are also suppressed in (2.1).

The  $J$ -contribution (2.3) is defined *modulo* Ricci-dependent terms by its derivation [9–12]. The basic reason is the *on-shell* nature of the perturbative superstrings [6, 7], whose quantum on-shell amplitudes determine the gravitational effective action modulo field redefinitions. Via the Einstein-Hilbert term, the metric field redefinitions contribute to the next (quartic) curvature terms with at least one factor of Ricci curvature. Therefore, some additional physical requirements are needed in order to fix those Ricci-dependent terms in the off-shell M-theory effective action.

To match the constraints imposed by particle physics, M-theory is supposed to be compactified to one of the superstring models in ten dimensions, and then down to four spacetime dimensions, for example, on a Calabi-Yau complex three-fold [6, 7]. Alternatively, M-theory may be directly compactified down to four real dimensions on a 7-dimensional special ( $G_2$ ) holonomy manifold [14]. The bosonic fields of the action (2.1) are just an eleven-dimensional metric and a 3-form (there is no dilaton in eleven dimensions). In other words, the 11-dimensional action (2.1) is the most general starting point to discuss the M-theory/superstrings compactification.

In the presence of fluxes, we should consider the *warped* compactification, whose metric is of the form [15]

$$ds_{11}^2 = e^{2A(y)} ds_{\text{FRW}}^2 + e^{-2A(y)} ds_7^2, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $ds_{\text{FRW}}^2$  is the FRW metric in (uncompactified) four-dimensional spacetime (see (5.1) below),  $ds_7^2$  is a metric in compactified seven dimensions with the coordinates  $y^a$ ,  $a = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$ , and  $A(y)$  is called a warp factor.

Since we are interested in the gravitational sector of the four-dimensional type-II superstrings, an explicit form of the 7-metric  $ds_7^2$  is not needed. In the case of heterotic strings, one has to include the ‘‘anomalous’’ term quadratic in the curvature (see below). We put all the four-dimensional scalars (like a dilaton, an axion, and moduli) into the matter stress-energy tensor (in Einstein frame), and assume that they are somehow stabilized to certain fixed values. In addition, we do not consider any M-theory/superstrings solitons such as M- or D-branes. After dimensional reduction, the only gravitational terms coming from type-II superstrings in four dimensions are given by

$$S_4 = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (R + \beta J_R), \quad (2.7)$$

where we have introduced the Einstein coupling  $\kappa$  in four dimensions, and the four-dimensional counterpart  $J_R$  of  $J$  in (2.3),  $i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ,

$$J_R = R^{mijn} R_{pijq} R_m{}^{rsp} R^q{}_{rsn} + \frac{1}{2} R^{mnij} R_{pqij} R_m{}^{rsp} R^q{}_{rsn} + \mathcal{O}(R_{mn}). \quad (2.8)$$

The relation between the coupling constants  $\kappa_{11}$  and  $\kappa$  is given by

$$\kappa^2 = e^{5A} M_{\text{KK}}^7 \kappa_{11}^2, \quad (2.9)$$

where we have introduced the *Kaluza-Klein* (KK) compactification scale  $M_{\text{KK}}^{-7} = \text{Vol}_7 \equiv \int d^7y \sqrt{g_7}$  and the *average* warp factor  $A$  (with an integer weight  $p$ )

$$e^{pA} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_7} \int d^7y \sqrt{g_7} e^{pA(y)}. \quad (2.10)$$

We also find

$$\beta = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{\kappa^2}{2^{23/2} \pi^5 e^{14A} M_{\text{KK}}^7} \right)^{2/3} \quad (2.11)$$

of mass dimension  $-6$ . For instance, when substituting the Planck scale  $\kappa \approx 10^{-33}$  cm and  $M_{\text{KK}}^{-1} \approx 10^{-15}$  cm, and ignoring the warp factor,  $A = 0$ , we get the incredibly small (and, in fact, unacceptable—see Section 6) value

$$\beta \approx 10^{-118} \text{ cm}^6. \quad (2.12)$$

As regards the four-dimensional heterotic strings, the action (2.7) is to be supplemented by the term [16, 17]

$$S_H = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{8} J_H \right), \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$J_H = R_{ijkl} R^{ijkl} + \mathcal{O}(R_{mn}) \quad (2.14)$$

again modulo Ricci-dependent terms.

The gravitational action is to be added to a matter action, which lead to the *modified* Einstein equations of motion (in the type-II case, for definiteness)

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} R + \beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta}{\delta g^{ij}} (\sqrt{-g} J_R) = \kappa^2 T_{ij}, \quad (2.15)$$

where  $T_{ij}$  stands for the energy-momentum tensor of all the matter fields (including dilaton and axion).

Due to the ambiguities in the definition of the  $J_R$ -polynomial, it is also possible to replace it by

$$J_C = C^{mijn} C_{pijq} C_m{}^{rsp} C^q{}_{rsn} + \frac{1}{2} C^{mnij} C_{pqij} C_m{}^{rsp} C^q{}_{rsn} + \mathcal{O}(R_{mn}), \quad (2.16)$$

where we have introduced the Weyl tensor in four dimensions [18], which is the traceless part of the curvature tensor—see Appendices A and B.

### 3. Going off-shell with the curvature terms

There are about  $10^2$  Ricci-dependent terms in the most general off-shell gravitational effective action that is *quartic* in the curvature. It also means about 100 new coefficients, which makes the fixing of the off-shell action to be extremely difficult. The quartic curvature terms are thus

different from the *quadratic* curvature terms, present in the on-shell heterotic string effective action (2.13), whose off-shell extension is very simple (see below). It is, therefore, desirable to formulate some necessary conditions that any off-shell extension has to satisfy.

(i) The first condition is, of course, the vanishing of all extra terms (i.e., beyond those in (2.8)) in the Ricci-flat case [19, 20]. The perturbative superstring effective action is usually deduced from the superstring amplitudes, whose on-shell condition is just the Ricci-flatness. In the alternative method, known as the nonlinear sigma-model beta-function approach, the Ricci-dependent ambiguities in the effective equations of motion (associated with the vanishing sigma-model beta-functions) arise via the dependence of the renormalization group beta-functions of the nonlinear sigma-model upon the renormalization prescription, starting from two loops (see, e.g., [21] for details).

(ii) Supersymmetry requires all quantum bosonic corrections to be extendable to locally supersymmetric invariants. It can be made manifest in four spacetime dimensions, where the off-shell superspace formalism of  $N = 1$  supergravity is available [22]. The Weyl tensor, Ricci tensor and scalar curvature belong to three different  $N = 1$  superfields called  $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ ,  $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$  and  $R$ , respectively, while the first superfield is chiral. (We use the two-component spinor notation [22],  $\alpha, \beta, \dots = 1, 2$ —see Appendix B.) In particular, the Weyl tensor  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$  appears in the first order of the  $N = 1$  superspace chiral anticommuting coordinates  $\theta^\alpha$  as

$$W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x, \theta) = W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x) + \theta^\delta C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(x) + \dots \quad (3.1)$$

so that the  $J_H$  terms (with all curvatures being replaced by Weyl tensors) are easily supersymmetrizable in superspace as

$$\int d^2\theta \mathcal{E}^{-1} W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2. \quad (3.2)$$

The  $J_C$  terms in (2.16) are also extendable to the manifest superinvariant

$$\int d^4\theta E^{-1} W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 \overline{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}^2, \quad (3.3)$$

where we have introduced the supervielbein densities,  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $E$ , in the chiral and central superspaces, respectively (see [22] for details).

Those invariants were extensively studied in the past because they naturally appear as the possible counterterms (with divergent coefficients) in quantum four-dimensional supergravity (see, e.g., [23, 24]). In superstring theory, one gets the *same* structures, though with *finite* coefficients (see, e.g., [25, 26]). Thus, in four dimensions, the structure of the *on-shell superstrings* quartic curvature terms is fixed by local  $N = 1$  supersymmetry alone, up to normalization.

(iii) The absence of the higher-order time derivatives is usually desirable to prevent possible unphysical solutions to the equations of motion, as well as to preserve the perturbative unitarity, but it is by no means necessary. As is well known, the standard Friedmann equation of general relativity is an evolution equation, that is, it contains only the first-order time derivatives of the scale factor [1, 2, 27]. It happens due to the cancellation of

terms with the second-order time derivatives in the mixed 00-component of Einstein tensor—see, for example, the appendix of [28] for details. It can also be seen as the consequence of the fact that the second-order dynamical (Raychaudhuri) equation for the scale factor in general relativity can be integrated once, by the use of the continuity equation (3.5), thus leading to the evolution (Friedmann) equation [1, 2]. As regards the quadratic curvature terms present in the heterotic case, their unique off-shell extension is given by the Gauss-Bonnet-type combination [29, 30]

$$J_H \longrightarrow G = R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl} - 4R_{ij}R^{ij} + R^2. \quad (3.4)$$

In the expansion around Minkowski space,  $g_{ij}(x) = \eta_{ij} + h_{ij}(x)$ , the fourth-order derivatives (at the leading order in  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ ) coming from the first term in (3.4) cancel against those in the second and third terms [31]. As a result, the off-shell extension (3.4) appears to be ghost-free in all dimensions. As regards *four* space-time dimensions, the terms (3.4) can be rewritten as the four-dimensional Euler density (A.7). Therefore, being a total derivative, (3.4) does not contribute to the four-dimensional effective action. (Of course, adding Euler densities to the Einstein-Hilbert term matters in higher (than four) dimensions [32, 33], or with the dynamical dilaton and axion fields [34–36].) The higher-time derivatives are apparent in the gravitational equations of motion with the quartic curvature terms (see also [37]). It is natural to exploit the freedom of the metric field redefinitions in order to get rid of those terms. However, in Section 5 we prove that it is impossible to eliminate the fourth-order time derivatives in the quartic curvature terms via a metric field redefinition. It may still be possible for some special (like FRW) metrics, after imposing the string duality requirement (Section 6).

(iv) The matter equations of motion in general relativity imply the covariant conservation law of the matter energy-momentum tensor

$$(T^{ij})_{;j} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

By the well-known identity  $(R^{ij} - (1/2)g^{ij}R)_{;j} = 0$ , (2.15) and (3.5) imply

$$\left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta}{\delta g^{ij}} (\sqrt{-g}J) \right]_{;j} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

For instance, when  $J = G$  as in (3.4), (3.6) reads

$$-\frac{1}{2}(R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl} - 4R_{ij}R^{ij} + R^2)_{;m} + 2(R_{mjkl}R^{njkl})_{;n} - 4(R_{minj}R^{ij})_{;n} - 4(R_{mi}R^{in})_{;n} + 2(RR_{mn})_{;n} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

By the use of Bianchi identities for the curvature tensor, we find by an explicit calculation that the left-hand side of (3.7) identically vanishes. We believe that (3.6) should be *identically* satisfied by *any* off-shell gravitational correction  $J$  because, otherwise, the consistency of the gravitational equations of motion may be violated.

Given the quartic curvature terms (2.8), the modified Einstein equations of motion (2.15) are

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 T_{ij} = & R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} R + \beta \left[ -\frac{1}{2} g_{ij} J_R - R_{mhk(i} R_{j)rt}{}^m (R^{kqsr} R^t{}_{qs}{}^h + R^{ksqt} R^{hr}{}_{qs}) \right. \\ & - R_{kqs(i} R_{j)rm} (R^{hsqt} R^{krm}{}_k - R^{thsq} R_h{}^{rmk}) + (R_{itrj} R^{ksqt} R^h{}_{sq}{}^r)_{(k;h)} \\ & \left. + (R_{isqt} R^{ktm} R_j{}^{sq}{}_k)_{(r;m)} - (R^{hrs}{}_{(i} R_{j)mnr} R_h{}^{mnk} + R^{sht}{}_{(i} R_{j)mnl} R^{kmn}{}_h)_{(k;s)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

(v) We may also add the *causality* constraint as our next condition: the group velocity of ultraviolet perturbations on a gravitational background with the higher-curvature terms included must not exceed the speed of light. As was demonstrated in [38, 39], the causality condition merely affects the sign factors of the full curvature terms in the action, namely, the signs in front of  $(R_{mnpq} R^{mnpq})^2$  and  $(R_{mnpq}^* R^{mnpq})^2$  should be positive. It must be automatically satisfied by the perturbative superstring quartic corrections (2.8) due to the known unitarity of superstring theory, and it is the case indeed—see the identity (A.20)—just because  $\beta > 0$ .

Of course, our list is not complete, and it could be easily extended by more conditions, for example, by requiring the consistency with black hole physics, gravitational waves, nucleosynthesis, and so forth. For example, in Section 6, we impose the scale factor duality as yet another constraint.

#### 4. On-shell structure and physical meaning of the quartic curvature terms

The detailed structure and physical meaning of the quartic curvature terms in (2.8) and (2.16) are easily revealed via their connection to the four-dimensional *Bel-Robinson* (BR) tensor [40–43]. The latter is well known in general relativity [44–47]. We review here the main properties of the BR tensor, and calculate the coefficients in the important identities—see (4.4) and (4.5) in the section below. (Those coefficients were left undetermined in [45–47]).

The BR tensor is defined by

$$T_R^{iklm} = R^{ipql} R^k{}_{pq}{}^m + {}^* R^{ipql} {}^* R^k{}_{pq}{}^m, \quad (4.1)$$

whose structure is quite similar to that of the Maxwell stress-energy tensor

$$T_{ij}^{\text{Maxwell}} = F_{ik} F_j{}^k + {}^* F_{ik} {}^* F_j{}^k, \quad F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i \quad (4.2)$$

(see also Appendix A for more details).

The Weyl cousin  $T_C^{ijklm}$  of the BR tensor is obtained by replacing all curvatures by Weyl tensors in (4.1)—see (A.10). The Weyl BR tensor can be factorized in the two-component formalism (see Appendix B)

$$(T_C)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}. \quad (4.3)$$



In this section, we consider all the quartic terms on-shell, that is, *modulo* Ricci tensor-dependent terms. Therefore, we are not going to distinguish between  $T_R$  and  $T_C$  here. The Ricci tensor-dependent additions will be discussed in Sections 5 and 6.

The significance of the BR tensor to the quartic curvature terms is already obvious from superspace (see Section 3), where the locally  $N = 1$  supersymmetric extension of the quartic Weyl terms (2.16) is given by (3.3) whose bosonic part is the BR tensor squared due to (4.3). As regards a straightforward proof, see Appendix A and our derivation of (A.20) there, which imply

$$T_{ijkl}^2 = 8J_R = \frac{1}{4}(R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl})^2 + \frac{1}{4}(*R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl})^2. \quad (4.4)$$

In addition, when using another identity (A.17), (4.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ijkl}^2 &= 8J_R = -\frac{1}{4}(*R_{ijkl}^2)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(*R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(P_4^2 - E_4^2) = \frac{1}{4}(P_4 + E_4)(P_4 - E_4), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where we have introduced the Euler and Pontryagin topological densities in four dimensions—see (A.7) and (A.8), respectively.

In addition [40–43, 45–47], the on-shell BR tensor is *fully symmetric* with respect to its vector indices, it is *traceless*,

$$T_{ijkl} = T_{(ijkl)}, \quad T_{ikl}^i = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

(ii) It is covariantly *conserved* (though the BR tensor is not a physical current),

$$\nabla^i T_{ijkl} = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

and it has *positive “energy” density*

$$T_{0000} > 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Equation (4.6) is most easily seen in the two-component formalism (see Appendix B), (4.7) is the consequence of Bianchi identities [48], whereas (4.8) just follows from the definition (4.1).

The BR tensor is related to the gravitational energy-momentum *pseudo tensors* [45–47]. It can be most clearly seen in *Riemann normal coordinates* (RNCs) at any *given* point in spacetime. The RNCs are defined by the relations

$$g_{ij} = \eta_{ij}, \quad g_{ij,k} = 0, \quad g_{ij,mn} = -\frac{1}{3}(R_{imjn} + R_{injm}), \quad (4.9)$$

so that the derivatives of Christoffel symbols read as follows:

$$\Gamma_{jk,l}^i = -\frac{1}{3}(R^i_{jkl} + R^i_{kjl}). \quad (4.10)$$

Raising and lowering of vector indices in RNCs are performed with Minkowski metric  $\eta_{ij}$  and its inverse  $\eta^{ij}$ , whereas all traces in the last two equations (4.9) and (4.10) vanish

$$\eta^{ij}g_{ij,mm} = \eta^{ij}\Gamma_{ij,l}^k = \Gamma_{ij,k}^i = \Gamma_{jk,i}^i = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Moreover, there exists the remarkable noncovariant relation (valid only in RNC) [45–47]

$$T_{ijkl} = \partial_k \partial_l \left( t_{ij}^{\text{LL}} + \frac{1}{2} t_{ij}^{\text{E}} \right), \quad (4.12)$$

where the symmetric *Landau-Lifshitz* (LL) gravitational pseudotensor [27]

$$(t_{\text{LL}})^{ij} = -\eta^{ip}\eta^{jq}\Gamma_{pm}^k\Gamma_{qk}^m + \Gamma_{mn}^i\Gamma_{pq}^j\eta^{mp}\eta^{nq} - (\Gamma_{np}^m\Gamma_{mq}^j\eta^{in}\eta^{pq} + \Gamma_{np}^m\Gamma_{mq}^i\eta^{jn}\eta^{pq}) + h^{ij}\Gamma_{np}^m\Gamma_{mq}^n\eta^{pq}, \quad (4.13)$$

and the nonsymmetric *Einstein* (E) gravitational pseudotensor [49, 50]

$$(t^{\text{E}})_j^i = (-2\Gamma_{mp}^i\Gamma_{jq}^m + \delta_j^i\Gamma_{pm}^n\Gamma_{qn}^m)\eta^{pq} \quad (4.14)$$

have been introduced in RNC, in terms of Christoffel symbols.

## 5. Off-shell quartic curvatures in cosmology

The main cosmological principle of a *spatially* homogeneous and isotropic (1+3)-dimensional universe (at large scales) gives rise to the standard *Friedman-Robertson-Walker* (FRW) metrics of the form [49, 50]

$$ds_{\text{FRW}}^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right], \quad (5.1)$$

where the function  $a(t)$  is known as the scale factor in “cosmic” coordinates  $(t, r, \theta, \phi)$ ; we use  $c = 1$  and  $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$ , while  $k$  is the FRW topology index taking values  $(-1, 0, +1)$ . Accordingly, the FRW metric (5.1) admits a 6-dimensional isometry group  $G$  that is either  $SO(1,3)$ ,  $E(3)$ , or  $SO(4)$ , acting on the orbits  $G/SO(3)$ , with the spatial 3-dimensional sections  $H^3$ ,  $E^3$ , or  $S^3$ , respectively. By the coordinate change,  $dt = a(t)d\eta$ , the FRW metric (5.1) can be rewritten to the form

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta) \left[ d\eta^2 - \frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} - r^2 d\Omega^2 \right], \quad (5.2)$$

which is manifestly (4-dimensional) conformally flat in the case of  $k = 0$ . Therefore, the 4-dimensional Weyl tensor of the FRW metric obviously vanishes in the “flat” case of  $k = 0$ . It is well known that the FRW Weyl tensor vanishes in the other two cases,  $k = -1$  and  $k = +1$ , too [28, 51]. Thus we have

$$C_{ijkl}^{\text{FRW}} = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Inflation in an early universe is defined as the epoch during which the scale factor is accelerating [1, 2]

$$\ddot{a}(t) > 0, \text{ or equivalently } \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{H^{-1}}{a} \right) < 0, \quad (5.4)$$

where the dots denote time derivatives, and  $H = \dot{a}/a$  is Hubble “constant.” The amount of inflation is given by a number of e-foldings [1, 2]:

$$N = \ln \frac{a(t_{\text{end}})}{a(t_{\text{start}})} = \int_{t_{\text{start}}}^{t_{\text{end}}} H dt, \quad (5.5)$$

which should be around 70 [1, 2].

Though the leading purely geometrical (perturbative) correction in the heterotic string case is given by the Gauss-Bonnet combination (3.4), and thus it does not contribute to the equations of motion in four space-time dimensions, the situation changes when the *dynamical* moduli (axion and dilaton) are included. The effective string theory couplings are moduli dependent, which gives rise to a nontrivial coupling with the moduli in front of the Gauss-Bonnet term, so that the latter is not a total derivative any more. At the level of the one-loop corrected heterotic superstring effective action in four dimensions, the cosmological solutions were studied in [34–36]. As regards the realization of inflation in M-theory, see, for example, [52].

In the case of type-II superstrings (after stabilizing the moduli) we are left with the quartic curvature terms in the four-dimensional effective action (Section 2). Let us address the issue of the higher-time derivatives in the general setting. It is quite natural to use the freedom of the metric field redefinitions in string theory in order to try to get rid of the higher-time derivatives in the effective action. The successful example is provided by the Gauss-Bonnet gravity (Section 3) that we are now going to follow. Let us consider a weak gravitational field

$$g_{ij}(x) = \eta_{ij} + h_{ij}(x) \quad (5.6)$$

in the harmonic gauge

$$(h_{ij})^{;j} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_i h, \quad h = \eta^{ij} h_{ij}. \quad (5.7)$$

The linearized curvatures are given by

$$R_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} [h_{il,jk} - h_{jl,ik} - h_{ik,jl} + h_{jk,il}], \quad (5.8)$$

whereas the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature in the gauge (5.7) read

$$R_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \square h_{ij}, \quad R = -\frac{1}{2} \square h, \quad \square \equiv \partial^i \partial_i. \quad (5.9)$$

(We assign the lower case *latin* letters to spacetime indices,  $i, j, k, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , and the lower case *middle greek* letters to spatial indices,  $\mu, \nu, \dots = 1, 2, 3$ .)

As is clear from the structure of those equations, it is possible to form the Ricci terms after integration by parts in the quadratic curvature action. As a result, there is a cancellation of all terms with the fourth-order time derivatives in the leading order  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$  of the Gauss-Bonnet action (3.4) in all spacetime dimensions, as was first observed in [31].

Unfortunately, we find that it does not work for the quartic curvature terms, even in four spacetime dimensions, as we now going to argue.

When using the linearized curvature (5.8), the quartic terms (2.8) in four spacetime dimensions have the structure

$$\begin{aligned} 2^5 J_R = & A^{ikjl} A_{iljk} + 2A^{ikjl} B_{iljk} + B^{ikjl} B_{iljk} \\ & + A^{ikjl} \{C_{ilkj} + C_{lijk}\} + B^{ikjl} \{C_{ilkj} + C_{lijk}\} \\ & + 2C^{ikjl} \{C_{ilkj} + C_{lijk} + C_{kjil} + C_{jkli}\} \\ & - C^{ikjl} \{C_{iljk} + C_{likj} + C_{jkil} + C_{kjli}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where we have introduced the notation  $\partial_{ij}^2 = \partial_i \partial_j$  and

$$\begin{aligned} A^{ikjl} &= \partial_{mn}^2 h^{ik} (\partial^{2mn} h^{jl} + \partial^{2jl} h^{mn} - \partial^{2jm} h^{ln} - \partial^{2ln} h^{jm}), \\ B^{ikjl} &= \partial^{2ik} h_{mn} (\partial^{2mn} h^{jl} + \partial^{2jl} h^{mn} - \partial^{2jm} h^{ln} - \partial^{2ln} h^{jm}), \\ C^{ikjl} &= \partial_m^{2i} h_n^k (\partial^{2mn} h^{jl} + \partial^{2jl} h^{mn} - \partial^{2jm} h^{ln} - \partial^{2ln} h^{jm}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

while all the index contractions above are performed with Minkowski metric.

Equation (5.10) is not very illuminating, but it is enough to observe that the dangerous terms  $(\partial_{00}^2 h_{\mu\nu})^4$  and  $(\partial_0 \partial_\lambda h_{\mu\nu})(\partial_{00}^2 h_{\mu\nu})^3$  do contribute, and thus lead to the terms with the fourth- and third-order time derivatives in the equations of motion, when all  $h_{\mu\nu}$  are supposed to be independent. The last possibility is to convert those terms into some Ricci tensor-dependent contributions. However, in the harmonic gauge (5.7), getting the Ricci tensor requires the two spacetime derivatives to be contracted into the wave operator, as in (5.9), in each dangerous term, which is impossible for the quartic curvature terms, unlike their quadratic counterpart because any integration by parts in the quartic terms does not end up with a wave operator in each term. The equations of motion in the case of  $(BR)^2$ -gravity with the FRW metric are explicitly computed in Section 6, as an example.

Having failed to remove the higher-time derivatives for a generic metric, one can try to get rid of them for a special class of metrics, namely, the FRW metrics of our interest. The simplest example arises when all the Riemann curvatures in the quartic curvature terms are replaced by the Weyl tensors, as in (2.16). It also amounts to adding certain quartic curvature terms with at least one Ricci factor to the effective action (2.7). This proposal is based on the reasonable assumption [53] coming from the AdS/CFT correspondence that the  $AdS_7 \times S^4$  and  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  spaces seem to be the exact solutions to the (eleven-dimensional) M-theory equations of motion. Of course, such assumption is just the sufficient condition, not the necessary one, because there may be many more solutions. The substitution  $R_{ijkl} \rightarrow C_{ijkl}$  leads to the contributions with three Weyl tensors (from the quartic terms) in the equations of motion, which implies *no* perturbative superstring corrections to the FRW metrics at all because of (5.3).

In Section 6, we find that the scale factor duality requirements allow a family of the generalized Friedmann equations coming from the most general quartic curvature terms, with just a few real parameters.

## 6. Exact solutions, stability, and duality

Our motivation in this paper is based on the observation that the standard model (SM) of elementary particles does not have an inflaton. (The proposal [54] to identify the inflaton with the SM Higgs boson requires its nonminimal coupling to gravity, which does not fit to string theory.) In addition, M-theory/superstrings have plenty of inflaton candidates but any inflationary mechanism based on a scalar field is highly model dependent. When one wants the universal geometrical mechanism of inflation based on gravity only, it should occur due to some Planck scale physics to be described by the higher-curvature terms (cf. [5]).

On the experimental side, it is known that the vacuum energy density  $\rho_{\text{inf}}$  during inflation is bounded from above by a (non)observation of tensor fluctuations of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation [55]:

$$\rho_{\text{inf}} \leq (10^{-3} M_{\text{Pl}})^4. \quad (6.1)$$

It severely constrains but does not exclude the possibility of the geometrical inflation originating from the purely gravitational sector of string theory because the factor of  $10^{-3}$  above may be just due to some numerical coefficients (cf. Section 2).

In this section, we consider the structure of our generalized Friedmann equation with *generic* quartic curvature terms. We get the conditions of stability of our inflationary solutions, and solve the duality invariance constraints coming from string theory [56, 57].

Due to a single-arbitrary function  $a(t)$  in the FRW Ansatz (5.1), it is enough to take only one gravitational equation of motion in (2.15) without matter, namely, its mixed 00-component. As is well known [1, 2], the spatial (3-dimensional) curvature can be ignored in a very early universe, so we choose the manifestly conformally-flat FRW metric (5.1) with  $k = 0$  in our Ansatz. It leads to a purely gravitational equation of motion having the form

$$3H^2 \equiv 3 \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 = \beta P_8 \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}, \frac{\dddot{a}}{a}, \frac{\dots}{a} \right), \quad (6.2)$$

where  $P_8$  is a *polynomial* with respect to its arguments:

$$P_8 = \sum_{\substack{n_1+2n_2+3n_3+4n_4=8, \\ n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \geq 0}} c_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)^{n_2} \left(\frac{\dddot{a}}{a}\right)^{n_3} \left(\frac{\dots a}{a}\right)^{n_4}. \quad (6.3)$$

Here, the sum goes over the *integer* partitions  $(n_1, 2n_2, 3n_3, 4n_4)$  of 8, the dots stand for the derivatives with respect to time  $t$ , and  $c_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4}$  are some real coefficients. The highest derivative enters linearly at most,  $n_4 = 0, 1$ .

The FRW Ansatz with  $k = 0$  gives the following nonvanishing curvatures:

$$R^0_{\mu 0\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \ddot{a} a, \quad R^\mu_{\nu\lambda\rho} = (\delta_\lambda^\mu \delta_{\nu\rho} - \delta_\rho^\mu \delta_{\nu\lambda}) (\dot{a})^2, \quad R^\mu_\nu = -\delta^\mu_\nu \left[ \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + 2 \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 \right], \quad (6.4)$$

where  $\mu, \nu, \lambda, \rho = 1, 2, 3$ . For example, in the case of the  $(BR)^2$  gravity (3.8), after a straightforward (though quite tedious) calculation of the mixed 00-equation without matter and with the curvatures (6.4), we find

$$3H^2 + \beta \left[ 9 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right)^4 - 36H^2 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right)^3 + 84H^4 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right)^2 - 36H \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) + 63H^8 - 72H^3 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) + 48H^6 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) - 24H^5 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) \right] = 0. \quad (6.5)$$

It is remarkable that the fourth-order time derivatives (present in various terms of (3.8)) cancel, whereas the square of the third-order time derivative of the scale factor,  $\ddot{a}^2$ , does not appear at all in this equation. (Taking Weyl tensors instead of Riemann curvatures leads to the vanishing coefficients.)

Our generalized Friedmann equation (6.2) applies to *any* combination of the quartic curvature terms in the action, including the Ricci-dependent terms. The coefficients  $c_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4}$  in (6.3) can be thought of as linear combinations of the coefficients in the most general quartic curvature action. The polynomial (6.3) merely has 12 undetermined coefficients, that is considerably less than a 100 of the coefficients in the most general quartic curvature action.

The structure of (6.2) and (6.3) admits the existence of rather generic exact inflationary solutions without a spacetime singularity. Indeed, when using the most naive (de Sitter) Ansatz for the scale factor,

$$a(t) = a_0 e^{Bt} \quad (6.6)$$

with some real positive constants  $a_0$  and  $B$ , and substituting (6.6) into (6.2), we get  $3B^2 = (\#)\beta B^8$ , whose coefficient  $(\#)$  is just a sum of all  $c$ -coefficients in (6.3). Assuming the  $(\#)$  to be positive, we find an exact solution:

$$B = \left( \frac{3}{\#\beta} \right)^{1/6}. \quad (6.7)$$

This solution is nonperturbative in  $\beta$ , that is, it is impossible to get it when considering the quartic curvature terms as a perturbation. Of course, the assumption that we are dealing with the leading correction implies  $Bt \ll 1$ . Because of (2.11) and (6.7), it leads to the natural hierarchy

$$\kappa M_{\text{KK}} \ll 1 \quad \text{or} \quad l_{\text{Pl}} \ll l_{\text{KK}}, \quad (6.8)$$

where we have introduced the four-dimensional Planck scale  $l_{\text{Pl}} = \kappa$  and the compactification scale  $l_{\text{KK}} = M_{\text{KK}}^{-1}$ .

The *effective* Hubble scale  $B$  of (6.7) should be lower than the *effective* (with warping) KK scale  $M_{\text{KK}}^{\text{eff}} = e^A M_{\text{KK}}$  in order to validate our four-dimensional description of gravity, that is, the ignorance of all KK modes:

$$B < M_{\text{KK}}^{\text{eff}}. \quad (6.9)$$

It rules out the naive KK reduction (with  $A = 0$ ) but still allows the warped compactification (2.6), when the average warp factor is tuned

$$e^A < \frac{(\kappa M_{\text{KK}})^{2/5}}{(9/\#)^{3/10} 2^{23/10} \pi} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3}), \quad (6.10)$$

where we have used (2.11) and have estimated  $(\#)$  by order 10.

The exact solution (6.6) is nonsingular, while it describes an inflationary isotropic and homogeneous early universe. (The exact de Sitter solutions in the special case (2.8) were also found in [58–61].) Given the expanding universe, the curvatures decrease, so that the higher-curvature terms cease to be the dominant contributions against the matter terms we ignored in the equations of motion. The matter terms may provide a mechanism for ending the geometrical inflation and reheating (i.e., a graceful exit to the standard cosmology).

To be truly inflationary solutions, (6.6) and (6.7) should correspond to the stable fixed points (or attractors) [1, 2]. The stability conditions are easily derived along the standard lines (see, e.g., [62, 63]). When using the parameterization

$$a(t) = e^{\lambda(t)}, \quad (6.11)$$

we easily find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} &= \dot{\lambda}, \\ \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} &= \ddot{\lambda} + (\dot{\lambda})^2, \\ \frac{\dddot{a}}{a} &= \dddot{\lambda} + 3\ddot{\lambda}\dot{\lambda} + (\dot{\lambda})^3, \\ \frac{\dots a}{a} &= \dots \lambda + 4\ddot{\lambda}\dot{\lambda} + 6\dot{\lambda}(\dot{\lambda})^2 + 3(\dot{\lambda})^2 + (\dot{\lambda})^4. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Equation (6.3) now takes the form

$$P_8 = \sum_{\substack{n_1+2n_2+3n_3+4n_4=8, \\ n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \geq 0}} d_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} (\dot{\lambda})^{n_1} (\ddot{\lambda})^{n_2} (\dddot{\lambda})^{n_3} (\ddddot{\lambda})^{n_4}, \quad (6.13)$$

where the  $d$ -coefficients are linear combinations of the  $c$ -coefficients (easy to find). Equations (6.6) and (6.7) are also simplified

$$\lambda(t) = Bt + \lambda_0, \quad \text{where } a_0 = e^{\lambda_0}, \quad d_{8000} = \#. \quad (6.14)$$

The solution (6.14) can be considered as the fixed point of the equations of motion (6.2) in a generic case

$$3y_1^2 = \beta P_8(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dot{y}_3) \equiv \beta P_{8,0}(y_1, y_2, y_3) + \beta P_4(y_1, y_2, y_3) \dot{y}_3, \quad (6.15)$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$y_1 = \dot{\lambda}, \quad y_2 = \ddot{\lambda}, \quad y_3 = \dddot{\lambda}. \quad (6.16)$$

Equation (6.15) can be brought into an autonomous form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}_1 &= y_2, \\ \dot{y}_2 &= y_3, \\ \dot{y}_3 &= \frac{3y_1^2 - \beta P_{8,0}(y_1, y_2, y_3)}{\beta P_4(y_1, y_2, y_3)} \equiv f(y_1, y_2, y_3), \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

that is quite suitable for the stability analysis against small perturbations about the fixed points,  $y_a = y_a^{\text{fixed}} + \delta y_a$ , where  $a = 1, 2, 3$ . We find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \dot{y}_1 &= \delta y_2, \\ \delta \dot{y}_2 &= \delta y_3, \\ \delta \dot{y}_3 &= \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1} \right| \delta y_1 + \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2} \right| \delta y_2 + \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_3} \right| \delta y_3, \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

where all the partial derivatives are taken at the fixed point (denoted by  $|$ ). The fixed points are stable when all the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$\widehat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1} \right| & \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2} \right| & \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_3} \right| \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.19)$$



in (6.18) are *negative* or have negative real parts [62, 63]. Then the fixed point is a stable attractor.

To the end of this section, we would like to investigate how the symmetries of string theory are going to affect the coefficients of our generalized Friedmann equation. Here, we apply the scale factor duality [56, 57] by requiring our equation (6.2) to be invariant under the duality transformation

$$a(t) \longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{a(t)} \equiv b(t). \quad (6.20)$$

This duality is a cosmological version of the genuine stringy T-duality (which is the symmetry of the nonperturbative string spectrum), in the case of time-dependent backgrounds. The scale factor duality is merely the symmetry of the (perturbative) equations of motion of the background fields. It is used, for example, in the so-called prebig bang scenario [64] in order to avoid the cosmological singularity.

In the  $\lambda$ -parameterization (6.11), the duality transformation (6.20) takes the very simple form

$$\lambda(t) \longleftrightarrow -\lambda(t). \quad (6.21)$$

The equations of motion in the form (6.15) are manifestly invariant under  $\lambda(t) \rightarrow \lambda(t) + \lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is an arbitrary constant.

It is straightforward to calculate how the right-hand side of (6.2) transforms under the duality (6.20) by differentiating (6.20). We find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} &= -\frac{\dot{b}}{b}, \\ \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} &= -\frac{\ddot{b}}{b} + 2\left(\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right)^2, \\ \frac{\dddot{a}}{a} &= -\frac{\dddot{b}}{b} + 6\left(\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right)\left(\frac{\ddot{b}}{b}\right) - 6\left(\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right)^3, \\ \frac{\dots{a}}{a} &= -\frac{\dots{b}}{b} + 6\left(\frac{\ddot{b}}{b}\right)^2 + 8\left(\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right)\left(\frac{\ddot{b}}{b}\right) - 36\left(\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right)^2\left(\frac{\ddot{b}}{b}\right) + 24\left(\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right)^4. \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

To see how the duality affects the polynomial  $P_8$ , we consider the case with the third-order time derivatives, motivated by (6.5). We introduce the notation

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = x, \quad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = y, \quad \frac{\dddot{a}}{a} = z, \quad (6.23)$$

so that the duality invariance condition reads

$$P_8(-x, 2x^2 - y, 6xy - 6x^3 - z) = P_8(x, y, z). \quad (6.24)$$

The structure of the polynomial  $P_8$  in (6.3), as the sum over partitions of 8, restricts a solution to (6.24) to be most quadratic in  $z$ :

$$P_8(x, y, z) = a_2(x, y)z^2 + b_5(x, y)z + c_8(x, y), \quad (6.25)$$

whose coefficients are polynomials in  $(x, y)$ , of the order being given by their subscripts, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} a_2(x, y) &= a_0x^2 + a_1y, \\ b_5(x, y) &= b_0x^5 + b_1x^3y + b_2xy^2, \\ c_8(x, y) &= c_4y^4 + c_3y^3x^2 + c_2y^2x^4 + c_1yx^6 + c_0x^8. \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

After a substitution of (6.25) and (6.14) into (6.24), we get an *over* determined system of linear equations on the coefficients. Nevertheless, we find that there is a consistent general solution

$$\begin{aligned} P_8(x, y, z) &= a_0x^2z^2 + (b_0x^5 - 3a_0xy^2)z \\ &\quad + c_4y^4 + (9a_0 - 4c_4)y^3x^2 + c_2y^23x^4 \\ &\quad + (8c_4 - 18a_0 - 3b_0 - 2c_2)yx^6 + c_0x^8 \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

parameterized by merely five real coefficients  $(a_0, b_0, c_4, c_2, c_0)$ . Requiring the existence of the exact solution (6.6), that is, the positivity of (#) in (6.7) yields

$$5c_4 + c_0 > 11a_0 + 2b_0 + c_2. \quad (6.28)$$

As regards the  $(BR)^2$  gravity representing the “minimal” candidate for the off-shell superstring effective action, we checked that neither the duality invariant structure (6.15) nor the inequality (6.28) are satisfied by the coefficients present in (6.5). We interpret it as the clear indications that some additional Ricci-dependent terms *have to be added* to the  $(BR)^2$  terms or, equivalently, the  $(BR)^2$  gravity is ruled out as the off-shell effective action for superstrings.

Finally, we would like to mention about some possible simplifications and generalizations.

The last equation (6.4) apparently implies that the Ricci-dependent terms in  $P_8$  should have the factor of  $(y + 2x^2)$ . Hence it may be possible to completely eliminate both the fourth- and third-order time derivatives in our generalized Friedmann equations, though we are not sure that this choice is fully consistent. However, if so, instead of (6.24), we would get another duality condition

$$P_8(-x, 2x^2 - y) = P_8(x, y), \quad (6.29)$$

whose most general solution is simpler:

$$P_8(x, y) = c_0x^8 + c_5y(y - 2x^2)[y(y - 2x^2) - 4x^6] + c_6x^4y(y - 2x^2) \quad (6.30)$$

with merely three, yet to be determined coefficients  $(c_0, c_5, c_6)$ .

We would like to emphasize that our results above can be generalized to any finite order with respect to the spacetime curvatures in the off-shell superstring effective action because it amounts to increasing the order of the polynomial  $P$ . The list (6.10) can be continued to any higher order in the derivatives. We can now speculate about the form of the generalized Friedmann equation to *all* orders in the curvature. It depends upon whether (i) there will be some finite maximal order of the time derivatives there, or (ii) the time derivatives of arbitrarily high order appear (we do not know about it). Given the case (i), we just drop the requirement that the right-hand side of our cosmological equation (6.2) is a polynomial, and take a duality-invariant *function*  $P$  instead. In the case (ii), we should replace the function by a *functional*, thus getting a nonlocal equation having the form

$$H^2 = \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \beta P[a(t)], \quad (6.31)$$

whose functional  $P$  is subject to the nontrivial duality constraint

$$P[a(t)] = P\left[\frac{1}{a(t)}\right]. \quad (6.32)$$

Imposing *simultaneously* both conditions of stability and duality invariance leads to severe constraints on the  $c$ -coefficients. Hence it also severely restricts the quantum ambiguities in the superstring-generated quartic curvature gravity. Finding their solutions seems to be a nontrivial mathematical problem, we would like to investigate it elsewhere [65].

## 7. Conclusion

The higher-curvature terms in the gravitational action defy the famous Hawking-Penrose theorem [66–68] about the existence of a spacetime singularity in any exact solution to the Einstein equations. As we demonstrated in this paper, the initial cosmological singularity can be easily avoided by considering the superstring-motivated higher-curvature terms on equal footing (i.e., nonperturbatively) with the Einstein-Hilbert term.

Our results predict the possible existence of the very short de Sitter phase driven by the quartic curvature terms, in the early inflationary epoch.

Though we showed the natural existence of inflationary (de Sitter) exact solutions without a spacetime singularity under rather generic conditions on the coefficients in the higher-derivative terms, it is not enough for robust physical applications. As a matter of fact, we assumed the dominance of the higher-curvature gravitational terms over all matter contributions in the very early Universe at the Planck scale. However, given the expansion of the Universe under the geometrical inflation, the spacetime curvatures should decrease, so that the matter terms can no longer be ignored. The latter may effectively replace the geometrical inflation by another matter-dominated mechanism, thus allowing the inflation to continue substantially below the Planck scale.

In addition, the number of e-foldings (5.5) is just about one in our scenario based on the quartic curvature terms, which makes it difficult to compete with the conventional inflation mechanisms [1, 2]. An investigation of the possible “graceful exit” strategies, toward a matter-driven inflation is, however, beyond the scope of the given paper.

The quartic curvature terms are also relevant to the Brandenberger-Vafa cosmological scenario of string gas cosmology [69]—see, for example, [63] for a recent investigation of the higher-curvature corrections there. (The higher-curvature terms were considered only perturbatively in [63].)

The higher-time derivatives in the equations of motion may be unavoidable when using the higher-curvature terms, but we do not see that they constitute a trouble.

Gravity with the quartic curvature terms is a good playground for going beyond the Einstein equations. Our analysis may be part of a more general approach based on superstrings, including dynamical moduli and extra dimensions.

## Appendices

### A. Our notation and identities

We use the basic notation of [27] with the signature  $(+, -, -, -)$ . The (Riemann-Christoffel) curvature tensor is given by

$$R^i{}_{klm} = \frac{\partial \Gamma^i{}_{km}}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^i{}_{kl}}{\partial x^m} + \Gamma^i{}_{nl} \Gamma^n{}_{km} - \Gamma^i{}_{nm} \Gamma^n{}_{kl} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

in terms of the Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma^i{}_{kl} = \frac{1}{2} g^{im} \left( \frac{\partial g_{mk}}{\partial x^l} + \frac{\partial g_{ml}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x^m} \right). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

It follows

$$R_{iklm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 g_{im}}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} + \frac{\partial^2 g_{kl}}{\partial x^i \partial x^m} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{il}}{\partial x^k \partial x^m} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{km}}{\partial x^i \partial x^l} \right) + g_{np} (\Gamma^p{}_{kl} \Gamma^n{}_{im} - \Gamma^p{}_{km} \Gamma^n{}_{il}). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The traceless part of the curvature tensor is given by a Weyl tensor:

$$C_{ijkl} = R_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{2} (g_{ik} R_{jl} - g_{jk} R_{il} - g_{il} R_{jk} + g_{jl} R_{ik}) + \frac{1}{6} (g_{ik} g_{jl} - g_{jk} g_{il}) R, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where we have introduced the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature

$$R_{ik} = g^{lm} R_{limk}, \quad R = g^{ik} R_{ik}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The dual curvature is defined by

$${}^* R_{iklm} = \frac{1}{2} E_{ikpq} R^{pq}{}_{lm}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where  $E_{iklm} = \sqrt{-g} \varepsilon_{iklm}$  is Levi-Civita tensor.

The *Euler* (E) and *Pontryagin* (P) topological densities in four dimensions are

$$E_4 = \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{ijkl} \varepsilon^{mnpq} R^i_j R^j_m R^k_l R^l_p = {}^*R_{ijkl} {}^*R^{ijkl}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$P_4 = {}^*R_{ijkl} R^{ijkl}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

respectively.

The *Bel-Robinson* (BR) tensor is defined by [40–43]

$$\begin{aligned} T_R^{iklm} &= R^{ipql} R^k_{pq}{}^m + {}^*R^{ipql} {}^*R^k_{pq}{}^m \\ &= R^{ipql} R^k_{pq}{}^m + R^{ipqm} R^k_{pq}{}^l - \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} R^{pqr} R_{pqr}{}^m. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Its Weyl cousin is given by

$$T_C^{iklm} = C^{ipql} C^k_{pq}{}^m + C^{ipqm} C^k_{pq}{}^l - \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} C^{pqr} C_{pqr}{}^m. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

The Riemann-Christoffel curvature (modulo Ricci-dependent terms) is most easily described in the Petrov formalism [70] by imposing the Ricci-flatness condition  $R_{ik} = 0$ . A metric  $g_{mn}$  at a given point in spacetime can always be brought into Minkowski form  $\eta = \text{diag}(+, -, -, -)$ , whereas the curvature tensor components can be represented by

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = R_{0\alpha 0\beta}, \quad C_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma\delta} \varepsilon_{\beta\lambda\mu} R_{\gamma\delta, \lambda\mu}, \quad B_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma\delta} R_{0\beta, \gamma\delta}, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where the 3d tensors  $A$  and  $C$  are symmetric by definition,  $\alpha, \beta, \dots = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  is 3d Levi-Civita symbol normalized by  $\varepsilon_{123} = 1$ .

The Ricci-flatness condition implies that  $A$  is traceless,  $B$  is symmetric, and  $C = -A$ . It is now natural to introduce a symmetric (traceless) complex 3d tensor

$$D_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\alpha\beta} + iB_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

and bring it into one of its canonical (Petrov) forms, called I, II, or III, depending upon a number (3, 2, or 1, resp.) of eigenvectors of  $D$ . For our purposes, it is most convenient to use the form I with three independent (complex) eigenvectors, so that the real matrices  $A$  and  $B$  can be simultaneously diagonalized as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha\beta} &= \text{diag}(\alpha', \beta', -\alpha' - \beta'), \\ B_{\alpha\beta} &= \text{diag}(\alpha'', \beta'', -\alpha'' - \beta''), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

in terms of their real eigenvalues.

(We use the lowercase greek letters to represent vector indices in three (flat) spatial dimensions.)

It is straightforward to write down any Riemann-Christoffel curvature invariants as the polynomials of their eigenvalues (A.13) in the Petrov I form. It is especially useful for establishing various identities modulo Ricci-dependent terms. We find

$$\begin{aligned} R_{mnpq}R^{mnpq} &= 16(\alpha'^2 + \beta','^2 + \alpha'\beta',' - \alpha''^2 - \beta'','^2 - \alpha''\beta'','), \\ {}^*R_{mnpq}R^{mnpq} &= 16(-2\alpha'\alpha'' - 2\beta','\beta'','' - \alpha''\beta',' - \alpha'\beta'',''), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{mnpq}R^{mnpq})^2 + ({}^*R_{mnpq}R^{mnpq})^2 &= 2^8(\alpha'^4 + \beta','^4 + \alpha''^4 + \beta'','^4 + 2\alpha'^2\alpha''^2 + 2\beta','^2\beta'','^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha'^3\beta',' + 2\alpha'\beta','^3 + 2\alpha''^3\beta'','' + 2\alpha''\beta'',''^3 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha'^2\alpha''\beta'','' + 2\alpha''\beta','^2\beta'','' + 2\alpha'\alpha''^2\beta',' + 2\alpha'\beta','\beta'','' \\ &\quad + 3\alpha'^2\beta','^2 + 3\alpha''^2\beta'',''^2 - \alpha'^2\beta'',''^2 - \alpha''^2\beta','^2 + 8\alpha'\alpha''\beta','\beta'',''). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Similarly, one finds

$${}^*R_{mnpq}{}^*R^{mnpq} = -16(\alpha'^2 + \beta','^2 + \alpha'\beta',' - \alpha''^2 - \beta'','^2 - \alpha''\beta'','). \quad (\text{A.16})$$

For example, when being compared to (A.14), it yields the identity

$${}^*R_{mnpq}{}^*R^{mnpq} = -R_{mnpq}R^{mnpq} + \mathcal{O}(R_{mn}). \quad (\text{A.17})$$

As regards the superstring correction (2.8) in four dimensions, we find

$$\begin{aligned} J_R &= 8(\alpha'^4 + \beta','^4 + \alpha''^4 + \beta'','^4 + 2\alpha'^2\alpha''^2 + 2\beta','^2\beta'','^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha'^3\beta',' + 2\alpha'\beta','^3 + 2\alpha''^3\beta'','' + 2\alpha''\beta'',''^3 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha'^2\alpha''\beta'','' + 2\alpha''\beta','^2\beta'','' + 2\alpha'\alpha''^2\beta',' + 2\alpha'\beta','\beta'','' \\ &\quad + 3\alpha'^2\beta','^2 + 3\alpha''^2\beta'',''^2 - \alpha'^2\beta'',''^2 - \alpha''^2\beta','^2 + 8\alpha'\alpha''\beta','\beta'',''). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

The BR tensor (A.9) squared in the Petrov I form reads

$$\begin{aligned} T_{mnpq}T^{mnpq} &= 2^6(\alpha'^4 + \beta','^4 + \alpha''^4 + \beta'','^4 + 2\alpha'^2\alpha''^2 + 2\beta','^2\beta'','^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha'^3\beta',' + 2\alpha'\beta','^3 + 2\alpha''^3\beta'','' + 2\alpha''\beta'',''^3 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha'^2\alpha''\beta'','' + 2\alpha''\beta','^2\beta'','' + 2\alpha'\alpha''^2\beta',' + 2\alpha'\beta','\beta'','' \\ &\quad + 3\alpha'^2\beta','^2 + 3\alpha''^2\beta'',''^2 - \alpha'^2\beta'',''^2 - \alpha''^2\beta','^2 + 8\alpha'\alpha''\beta','\beta'',''). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

As a result, we find the identities

$$T_{mnpq}T^{mnpq} = 8J_R = \frac{1}{4}[(R_{mnpq}R^{mnpq})^2 + (R_{mnpq}^*R^{mnpq})^2], \quad (\text{A.20})$$

which are valid on-shell, that is, modulo Ricci tensor-dependent terms.

## B. Two-component formalism

To complete our notation, we summarize basic definitions and main features of the two-component spinor formalism in gravitation (cf. [18, 22]). The main point is the use of an  $sl(2; \mathbf{C})$  algebra isomorphic to the Lorentz algebra  $so(1, 3; \mathbf{R})$ .

We use lowercase (middle) latin indices for the curved spacetime vector indices, capital (early) latin letters for the tangent (flat spacetime) vector indices, and lowercase (early) greek letters for the (tangent spacetime) spinor indices,  $i, j, k, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$  and  $A, B, C, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , whereas  $\alpha, \beta, \dots = 1, 2$  and  $\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}, \dots = 1, 2$ .

A four-component Dirac spinor  $\Psi$  can be decomposed into its chiral and antichiral parts,  $\psi_\alpha$  and  $\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}}$ , by using the chiral projectors  $\Gamma_\pm = (1/2)(1 \pm \gamma_5)$ , where  $\gamma_5^2 = 1$ . The simplest form of chiral decomposition is obtained in the basis for Dirac gamma matrices with a diagonal  $\gamma_5 = i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$  matrix

$$\gamma^A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^A_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \\ \tilde{\sigma}^{A\dot{\beta}\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^A = (\mathbf{1}, i\vec{\sigma}), \quad \tilde{\sigma}^A = (\mathbf{1}, -i\vec{\sigma}). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Here,  $\mathbf{1}$  is a unit  $2 \times 2$  matrix, and  $\vec{\sigma}$  are three Pauli matrices.

Given a vector field  $V_i(x)$  in a curved spacetime, it can always be represented by a bispinor field  $V_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(x)$

$$V_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = V_i e_A^i \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^A, \quad V_i = e_i^B \frac{1}{2} V_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \tilde{\sigma}_B^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where we have introduced the vierbein  $e_A^i(x)$ , together with its inverse  $e_i^A(x)$ , obeying the relations

$$g_{ij} e_A^i e_B^j = \eta_{AB}, \quad \eta_{AB} e_i^A e_j^B = g_{ij}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

For instance, one easily finds that the metric in the two-component formalism can be represented by a product of two Levei-Civita symbols:

$$g_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

As regards the curvature tensor, it can be naturally decomposed in the two-component formalism as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} &= C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} + \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\gamma\delta} \\
&+ D_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} + \bar{D}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} \\
&+ E(\varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma}\varepsilon_{\beta\delta} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\delta}\varepsilon_{\beta\gamma})\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} + \bar{E}(\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\varepsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\delta}} + \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\delta}}\varepsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}})\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\gamma\delta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

The four-spinor  $C$  (or  $\bar{C}$ ) is *totally symmetric* with respect to its chiral (or antichiral) spinor indices, while it is also traceless, thus representing the self-dual (or antiself-dual) part of the Weyl tensor (A.4)

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} + \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\gamma\delta}. \tag{B.6}$$

The four-spinor  $D_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}$  is symmetric with respect to its first two indices, as well as with respect to the last two indices, while it is also traceless, thus representing the traceless part of the Ricci tensor:

$$R_{\alpha\gamma\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} = \varepsilon^{\delta\beta}\varepsilon^{\dot{\delta}\dot{\beta}}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}. \tag{B.7}$$

The scalar  $E = \bar{E}$  represents the scalar curvature  $R$ . One easily finds

$$R_{\alpha\beta\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = -2D_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\alpha\beta} + 6E\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \quad R = 24E. \tag{B.8}$$

The Bianchi II identities  $\nabla_{[m}R_{ij]kl} = 0$  in the two-component formalism read as follows:

$$\nabla^{\alpha}_{\dot{\beta}}C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \nabla_{(\dot{\beta}}^{\alpha}D_{\gamma\delta)\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad \nabla^{\gamma\dot{\alpha}}D_{\gamma\delta\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + 3\nabla_{\dot{\delta}\dot{\beta}}E = 0. \tag{B.9}$$

## Acknowledgments

One of the authors (S. V. Ketov) would like to thank the Institute for Theoretical Physics, Leibniz University of Hannover, Germany, for kind hospitality extended to him during part of this investigation. This work is partially supported by the Japanese Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS) under the Grant-in-Aid programme for scientific research, and the bilateral German-Japanese exchange programme under the auspices of JSPS and DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft). The authors are grateful to D. Berenstein, E. Elizalde, A. Hebecker, L. Kofman, O. Lechtenfeld, U. Lindstrom, K. Maeda, N. Ohta, N. Sakai, I. Shapiro, and M. Vasquez-Mozo for discussions and correspondence. They also would like to thank the referees for their constructive remarks. (The authors are grateful to A. Morishita for his help with calculations.)



## References

- [1] A. D. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology*, Harwood Academic, Melbourne, Australia, 1990.
- [2] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, *Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale Structure*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000.
- [3] S.-H. H. Tye, "Brane inflation: string theory viewed from the cosmos," in *String Theory and Fundamental Interactions*, vol. 737 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*, pp. 949–974, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2008.
- [4] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. Maldacena, L. McAllister, and S. P. Trivedi, "Towards inflation in string theory," *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, no. 10, pp. 227–258, 2003.
- [5] A. A. Starobinsky, "A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 99–102, 1980.
- [6] J. Polchinski, *String Theory. Vol. I. An Introduction to the Bosonic String*, vol. 1 of *Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1998.
- [7] K. Becker, M. Becker, and J. H. Schwarz, *String Theory and M-Theory: A Modern Introduction*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- [8] E. J. Cremmer, B. Julia, and J. Scherk, "Supergravity in theory in 11 dimensions," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 76, no. 4, pp. 409–412, 1978.
- [9] M. B. Green and P. Vanhove, "D-instantons, strings and M-theory," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 408, no. 1–4, pp. 122–134, 1997.
- [10] M. B. Green, M. Gutperle, and P. Vanhove, "One loop in eleven dimensions," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 409, no. 1–4, pp. 177–184, 1997.
- [11] A. A. Tseytlin, " $R^4$  terms in 11 dimensions and conformal anomaly of (2,0) theory," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 584, no. 1-2, pp. 233–250, 2000.
- [12] K. Becker and M. Becker, "Supersymmetry breaking, M-theory and fluxes," *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2001, no. 7, article 038, pp. 1–14, 2001.
- [13] M. B. Green, J. G. Russo, and P. Vanhove, "Non-renormalisation conditions in type II string theory and maximal supergravity," *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2007, no. 2, article 099, pp. 1–33, 2007.
- [14] M. Atiyah and E. Witten, "M-theory dynamics on a manifold of  $G_2$  holonomy," *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–106, 2002.
- [15] M. R. Douglas and S. Kachru, "Flux compactification," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 733–796, 2007.
- [16] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, "Quantum string theory effective action," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 261, no. 1, pp. 1–27, 1985.
- [17] C. G. Callan, D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec, and M. J. Perry, "Strings in background fields," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 262, no. 4, pp. 593–609, 1985.
- [18] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill, USA, 1984.
- [19] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, "Superstring modifications of Einstein's equations," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 277, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 1986.
- [20] M. T. Grisaru and D. Zanon, "Sigma-model superstring corrections to the Einstein-Hilbert action," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 177, no. 3-4, pp. 347–351, 1986.
- [21] S. V. Ketov, *Quantum Non-Linear Sigma-Models: From Quantum Field Theory to Supersymmetry, Conformal Field Theory, Black Holes and Strings*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2000.
- [22] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, Princeton Series in Physics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 1992.
- [23] P. Howe and U. Lindström, "Higher order invariants in extended supergravity," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 181, no. 3, pp. 487–501, 1981.
- [24] P. S. Howe and U. Lindström, "Counterterms for extended supergravity," in *Superspace and Supergravity*, pp. 413–422, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1980.
- [25] M. D. Freeman, C. N. Pope, M. F. Sohnius, and K. S. Stelle, "Higher-order  $\sigma$ -model counterterms and the effective action for superstrings," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 178, no. 2-3, pp. 199–204, 1986.

- [26] K. Stelle, "Counterterms, holonomy and supersymmetry," in *Deserfest: A Celebration of the Life and Works of Stanley Deser*, pp. 303–314, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 2004.
- [27] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Course of Theoretical Physics Series, Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK, 4th edition, 2002.
- [28] M. Iihoshi, S. V. Ketov, and A. Morishita, "Conformally flat FRW metrics," *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, vol. 118, no. 3, pp. 475–489, 2007.
- [29] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser, "String-generated gravity models," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 218, no. 24, pp. 2656–2660, 1985.
- [30] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser, "Effective gravity theories with dilatons," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 175, no. 4, pp. 409–412, 1986.
- [31] B. Zwiebach, "Curvature squared terms and string theories," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 156, no. 5-6, pp. 315–317, 1985.
- [32] B. Zumino, "Gravity theories in more than four dimensions," *Physics Reports*, vol. 137, no. 1, pp. 109–114, 1986.
- [33] R. C. Myers, "Higher-derivative gravity, surface terms, and string theory," *Physical Review D*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 392–396, 1987.
- [34] I. Antoniadis, J. Rizos, and K. Tamvakis, "Singularity-free cosmological solutions of the superstring effective action," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 415, no. 2, pp. 497–514, 1994.
- [35] A. L. Maroto and I. L. Shapiro, "On the inflationary solutions in higher-derivative gravity with dilaton field," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 414, no. 1-2, pp. 34–44, 1997.
- [36] K. Bamba, Z.-K. Guo, and N. Ohta, "Accelerating cosmologies in the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory with a dilaton," *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, vol. 118, no. 5, pp. 879–892, 2007.
- [37] E. Elizalde, S. Jhingan, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, M. Sami, and I. Thongkool, "Dark energy generated from a (super-) string effective action with higher-order curvature corrections and a dynamical dilaton," *European Physical Journal C*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 447–457, 2008.
- [38] A. Adams, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dubovsky, A. Nicolis, and R. Rattazzi, "Causality, analyticity and an IR obstruction to UV completion," *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2006, no. 10, article 014, pp. 1–37, 2006.
- [39] A. Gruzinov and M. Kleban, "A note on causality constraining higher curvature corrections to gravity," *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, vol. 24, no. 13, pp. 3521–3524, 2007.
- [40] L. Bel, "Sur la radiation gravitationnelle," *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, vol. 247, pp. 1094–1096, 1958.
- [41] L. Bel, "Introduction d'un tenseur du quatrième ordre," *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, vol. 248, pp. 1297–1300, 1959.
- [42] I. Robinson, unpublished Kings College Lectures, 1958.
- [43] I. Robinson, "On the Bel-Robinson tensor," *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, vol. 14, pp. A331–A333, 1997.
- [44] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time. Vol. 2. Spinor and Twistor Methods in Space-Time Geometry*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1986.
- [45] S. Deser, "The immortal Bel-Robinson tensor," in *Relativity and Gravitation in General (Salamanca, 1998)*, pp. 35–43, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 1999.
- [46] S. Deser and D. Seminara, "Counterterms and M-theory corrections to  $D = 11$  supergravity," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 82, no. 12, pp. 2435–2438, 1999.
- [47] S. Deser, J. S. Franklin, and D. Seminara, "Graviton-graviton scattering, Bel-Robinson and energy (pseudo)-tensors," *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 2815–2821, 1999.
- [48] J. Garecki, "Some remarks on the Bel-Robinson tensor," *Annalen der Physik*, vol. 10, no. 11-12, pp. 911–920, 2001.
- [49] A. Trautman, "Conservation laws in general relativity," *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1962.
- [50] J. N. Goldberg, "Invariant transformations, conservation laws, and energy-momentum," in *General Relativity and Gravitation*, A. Held, Ed., vol. 1, pp. 469–489, Plenum Press, New York, NY, USA, 1980.
- [51] A. P. Lightman, W. H. Press, R. H. Price, and S. A. Teukolsky, *Problem Book in Relativity and Gravitation*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 1975.
- [52] K. Becker, M. Becker, and A. Krause, "M-theory inflation from multi M5-brane dynamics," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 715, no. 1-2, pp. 349–371, 2005.
- [53] R. Kallosh and A. Rajaraman, "Vacua of M-theory and string theory," *Physical Review D*, vol. 58, no. 12, Article ID 125003, 7 pages, 1998.

- [54] F. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, "The standard model Higgs boson as the inflaton," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 659, no. 3, pp. 703–706, 2008.
- [55] D. N. Spergel, R. Bean, O. Doré, et al., "Three-year *Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe* (WMAP) observations: implications for cosmology," *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, vol. 170, no. 2, pp. 377–408, 2007.
- [56] A. A. Tseytlin, "Duality and dilaton," *Modern Physics Letters A*, vol. 6, no. 19, pp. 1721–1732, 1991.
- [57] G. Veneziano, "Scale factor duality for classical and quantum strings," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 265, no. 3-4, pp. 287–294, 1991.
- [58] K.-I. Maeda and N. Ohta, "Inflation from M-theory with fourth-order corrections and large extra dimensions," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 597, no. 3-4, pp. 400–407, 2004.
- [59] K.-I. Maeda and N. Ohta, "Inflation from superstring and M-theory compactification with higher order corrections," *Physical Review D*, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 1–27, 2005.
- [60] N. Ohta, "Accelerating cosmologies and inflation from M/superstring theories," *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 1–40, 2005.
- [61] K. Akune, K.-I. Maeda, and N. Ohta, "Inflation from superstring and M-theory compactification with higher order corrections—II: case of quartic Weyl terms," *Physical Review D*, vol. 73, no. 10, Article ID 103506, 31 pages, 2006.
- [62] M. Sami, A. Toporensky, P. V. Tretjakov, and S. Tsujikawa, "The fate of (phantom) dark energy universe with string curvature corrections," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 619, no. 3-4, pp. 193–200, 2005.
- [63] M. Borunda and L. Boubekeur, "The effect of  $\alpha'$  corrections in string gas cosmology," *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2006, no. 10, article 002, 2006.
- [64] M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, "The pre-big bang scenario in string cosmology," *Physics Reports*, vol. 373, no. 1-2, pp. 1–212, 2003.
- [65] M. Iihoshi and S. V. Ketov, "On the quartic curvature gravity in the context of FRW cosmology," *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, vol. 23, no. 14-15, pp. 2153–2160, 2008.
- [66] R. Penrose, "Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 14, pp. 57–59, 1965.
- [67] S. W. Hawking, "Breakdown of predictability in gravitational collapse," *Physical Review D*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 2460–2473, 1976.
- [68] S. W. Hawking and R. Penrose, "The singularities of gravitational collapse and cosmology," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, vol. 314, pp. 529–548, 1970.
- [69] R. Brandenberger and C. Vafa, "Superstrings in the early universe," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 316, no. 2, pp. 391–410, 1989.
- [70] A. Z. Petrov, *Einstein Spaces*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK, 1969.

## Special Issue on Robotic Astronomy

### Call for Papers

The number of automatic astronomical facilities worldwide continues to grow, and the level of robotisation, autonomy, and networking is increasing as well. This has a strong impact in many astrophysical fields, like the search for extrasolar planets, the monitoring of variable stars in our galaxy, the study of active galactic nuclei, the detection and monitoring of supernovae, and the immediate follow-up of high-energy transients such as gamma-ray bursts.

The main focus of this special issue will be on the new and existing astronomical facilities whose goal is to observe a wide variety of astrophysical targets with no (or very little) human interaction. The special issue will become an international forum for researchers to summarize the most recent developments and ideas in the field, with a special emphasis given to the technical and observational results obtained within the last five years. The topics to be covered include, but not limited to:

- Robotic astronomy: historical perspective
- Existing robotic observatories worldwide
- New hardware and software developments
- Real-time analysis pipelines
- Archiving the data
- Telescope and observatory control systems
- Transient detection and classification
- Protocols for robotic telescope networks
- Standards and protocols for transient reporting
- Scientific results obtained by means of robotic observatories
- Global networks
- Future strategies

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/aa/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Advances in Astronomy manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/aa/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 15, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 15, 2009
Publication Date	December 15, 2009

### Lead Guest Editor

**Alberto J. Castro-Tirado**, Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, P.O. Box 03004, 18080 Granada, Spain; [ajct@iaa.es](mailto:ajct@iaa.es)

### Guest Editors

**Joshua S. Bloom**, Astronomy Department, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA; [jbloom@astro.berkeley.edu](mailto:jbloom@astro.berkeley.edu)

**Lorraine Hanlon**, School of Physics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland; [lorraine.hanlon@ucd.ie](mailto:lorraine.hanlon@ucd.ie)

**Frederic Hessman**, Institut für Astrophysik, Georg-August-Universität, Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Göttingen, Germany; [hessman@astro.physik.uni-goettingen.de](mailto:hessman@astro.physik.uni-goettingen.de)

**Taro Kotani**, Department of Physics and Mathematics, Aoyama Gakuin University, 5-10-1 Fuchinobe, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229-8558, Japan; [kotani@hp.phys.titech.ac.jp](mailto:kotani@hp.phys.titech.ac.jp)

## Special Issue on Gauge/String Duality

### Call for Papers

The subject of gauge/string duality has made rapid strides in recent years. The best known example of this duality is the conjecture that Type II B string theory in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  with  $N$  units of R-R five form flux is dual to  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory in  $d = 4$  with gauge group  $SU(N)$ . Since then this conjecture has given new insight into both gauge theory and string theory. More recently attempts have been made to expand its reach to include more realistic theories in the same universality class as QCD. Considering the volume of work in the literature, the guest editors are of the opinion that now is an appropriate time to bring out a Special Issue containing articles covering diverse aspects of gauge/string duality. We invite contributions on all aspects of gauge/string duality. As a rough guide, we encourage articles in the following areas:

- Holographic duals of QCD-like theories
- Holographic description of transport phenomena, symmetry breaking, quark-gluon plasma, and phase transitions
- $AdS_4/CFT_3$
- Nonrelativistic  $AdS/CFT$ . Applications in condensed matter physics
- Holographic models for Cosmology of early Universe
- Integrable structures in  $AdS/CFT$

The submission of review articles describing developments in the past five to six years is highly encouraged, but also original research contributions will be considered.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ahep/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2010
Publication Date	June 1, 2010

### Lead Guest Editor

**Kadayam S. Viswanathan**, Department of Physics and IRMACS, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada V3C3R8; [kviswana@sfu.ca](mailto:kviswana@sfu.ca)

### Guest Editors

**Wolfgang Mück**, Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II and INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy; [wolfgang.mueck@na.infn.it](mailto:wolfgang.mueck@na.infn.it)

**Carlos Nunez**, Department of Physics, University of Wales Swansea, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK; [c.nunez@swan.ac.uk](mailto:c.nunez@swan.ac.uk)

**Leopoldo A. Pando Zayas**, Michigan Center for Theoretical Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1120, USA; [pandoz@umich.edu](mailto:pandoz@umich.edu)

**Alfonso V. Ramallo**, Departamento de Física de Partículas, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela and Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Energías (IGFAE), E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; [alfonso@fpaxp1.usc.es](mailto:alfonso@fpaxp1.usc.es)

**Radoslav C. Rashkov**, Department of Physics, Sofia University, 5 J. Bourchier Boulevard, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria; Institute for Theoretical Physics, Vienna University of Technology, Wiedner Hauptstr. 8-10, 1040 Vienna, Austria; [rash@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at](mailto:rash@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at)

**Soo-Jong Rey**, School of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University, Gwanak Campus Bldg 27 Room 209, Seoul, South Korea; [sjrey@snu.ac.kr](mailto:sjrey@snu.ac.kr)