

A generalization of the inequality of Audenaert et al.

Yoshiko Ogata*

November 8, 2010

Abstract

We extend the inequality of Audenaert et al [ACMMABV] to general von Neumann algebras.

1 Introduction

Let A, B be positive matrices and $0 \leq s \leq 1$. Then an inequality

$$2\text{Tr}A^s B^{1-s} \geq \text{Tr}(A + B - |A - B|) \quad (1)$$

holds. This is a key inequality to prove the upper bound of Chernoff bound, in quantum hypothesis testing theory. This inequality was first proven in [ACMMABV], using an integral representation of the function t^s . Recently, N.Ozawa gave a much simpler proof for the same inequality. In this note, based on his proof, we extend the inequality to general von Neumann algebras. More precisely, we prove the following: Let $\{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}, J, \mathcal{P}\}$ be a standard form associated with a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , i.e., \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space where \mathcal{M} acts on, J is the modular conjugation, and \mathcal{P} is the natural positive cone. (See [T]) Let \mathcal{M}_{*+} be the set of all positive normal linear functionals over \mathcal{M} . For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$, ξ_φ is the unique element in the natural positive cone \mathcal{P} which satisfies $\varphi(x) = (x\xi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. We denote the relative modular operator associated with $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ by $\Delta_{\varphi\psi}$. (See Appendix.) The main result in this note is the following:

Proposition 1.1 *Let φ, η be positive normal linear functionals on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . Then, for any $0 \leq s \leq 1$,*

$$\eta(1) - (\eta - \varphi)_+(1) \leq \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\varphi \right\|^2. \quad (2)$$

The equality holds iff $\eta = (\eta - \varphi)_+ + \psi$ and $\varphi = (\eta - \varphi)_- + \psi$ for some $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_{+}$ whose support is orthogonal to the support of $|\eta - \varphi|$.*

*Graduate School of Mathematics, University of Tokyo, Japan

As a corollary of this proposition, we obtain a generalization of the inequality of [ACMMABV]:

Corollary 1.1 *Let φ, η be positive normal linear functionals on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . Then, for any $0 \leq s \leq 1$,*

$$2 \left\| \Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 \geq \varphi(1) + \eta(1) - |\varphi - \eta|(1). \quad (3)$$

The equality holds iff $\eta = (\eta - \varphi)_+ + \psi$ and $\varphi = (\eta - \varphi)_- + \psi$ for some $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_{+}$ whose support is orthogonal to the support of $|\eta - \varphi|$.*

If $s = \frac{1}{2}$, this is the Powers-Størmer inequality. Applications of this inequality for hypothesis testing problem can be found in [JOPS].

2 Proof of Proposition 1.1

We first prove the following lemma which we need in the proof of Proposition 1.1:

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi, \eta$ be faithful normal positive linear functionals over a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . Assume that $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$ and $\eta \leq \psi$. Then for all $0 < s < 1$,*

$$\left\| \Delta_{\varphi_2\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\eta} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_1\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\eta} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_2\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\psi} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_1\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\psi} \right\|^2.$$

Proof First we consider the case $\varphi_2 \leq \psi$. In this case, by Lemma A.1, $(D\varphi_1 : D\psi)_t, (D\varphi_2 : D\psi)_t, (D\eta : D\psi)_t$ have continuations $(D\varphi_1 : D\psi)_z, (D\varphi_2 : D\psi)_z, (D\eta : D\psi)_z \in \mathcal{M}$, analytic on $I_{-\frac{1}{2}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\frac{1}{2} < \Im z < 0\}$ and bounded continuous on $\overline{I_{-\frac{1}{2}}}$, with norm less than or equal to 1.

We define a positive operator

$$T := (D\varphi_2 : D\psi)_{-i\frac{s}{2}}^* (D\varphi_2 : D\psi)_{-i\frac{s}{2}} - (D\varphi_1 : D\psi)_{-i\frac{s}{2}}^* (D\varphi_1 : D\psi)_{-i\frac{s}{2}} \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (4)$$

To see that T is positive, recall from Lemma A.1 that for any $\xi \in D(\Delta_{\psi\psi}^{-\frac{s}{2}})$, we have $\Delta_{\psi\psi}^{-\frac{s}{2}} \xi \in D(\Delta_{\varphi_k\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}})$, and

$$\Delta_{\varphi_k\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\psi\psi}^{-\frac{s}{2}} \xi = (D\varphi_k : D\psi)_{-i\frac{s}{2}} \xi, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

From this, we obtain

$$(T\xi, \xi) = \left\| (D\varphi_2 : D\psi)_{-i\frac{s}{2}} \xi \right\|^2 - \left\| (D\varphi_1 : D\psi)_{-i\frac{s}{2}} \xi \right\|^2 = \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_2\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\psi\psi}^{-\frac{s}{2}} \xi \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_1\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\psi\psi}^{-\frac{s}{2}} \xi \right\|^2. \quad (5)$$

As $\Delta_{\psi\psi}^{-\frac{s}{2}} \xi$ is in $D(\Delta_{\varphi_2\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}})$, the last term is positive from Lemma A.2. This proves $T \geq 0$.

Next we define $x' := J((D\eta : D\psi)_{-i\frac{1-s}{2}})J \in \mathcal{M}'$. From $\|x'\| \leq 1$ and $0 \leq T \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$(x'^* T x' \xi_\psi, \xi_\psi) = \left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} x'^* x' T^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_\psi, \xi_\psi \right) \leq (T \xi_\psi, \xi_\psi). \quad (6)$$

As $\xi_\psi \in D\left(\Delta_{\psi\psi}^{-\frac{1-s}{2}}\right)$, from Lemma A.1, we have

$$x' \xi_\psi = J(D\eta : D\psi)_{-i\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_\psi = J \Delta_{\eta\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\psi\psi}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\psi = J \Delta_{\eta\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\psi = \Delta_{\psi\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} J \Delta_{\eta\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_\psi = \Delta_{\psi\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\eta \in D(\Delta_{\psi\eta}^{-\frac{s}{2}}). \quad (7)$$

By this and Lemma A.1, we have $\Delta_{\psi\eta}^{-\frac{s}{2}} x' \xi_\psi \in D(\Delta_{\varphi_k\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}})$ and

$$(D\varphi_k : D\psi)_{-i\frac{s}{2}} x' \xi_\psi = \Delta_{\varphi_k\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\psi\eta}^{-\frac{s}{2}} x' \xi_\psi = \Delta_{\varphi_k\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\eta \quad (8)$$

for $k = 1, 2$. Hence we obtain

$$(x'^* T x' \xi_\psi, \xi_\psi) = \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_2\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\eta \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_1\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\eta \right\|^2. \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, substituting $\xi = \xi_\psi \in D(\Delta_{\psi\psi}^{-\frac{s}{2}})$ to (5), we have

$$(T \xi_\psi, \xi_\psi) = \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_2\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\psi \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_1\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\psi \right\|^2. \quad (10)$$

From (6), (9), (10), we obtain the result for the $\varphi_2 \leq \psi$ case.

To extend the result to a general case, we use Lemma A.3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\varepsilon\varphi_1 \leq \varepsilon\varphi_2 \leq \psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2, \quad \eta \leq \psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, for any $0 < s < 1$, we have

$$\left\| \Delta_{\varepsilon\varphi_2, \eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\eta \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varepsilon\varphi_1, \eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\eta \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \Delta_{\varepsilon\varphi_2, \psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varepsilon\varphi_1, \psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2} \right\|^2.$$

Using relations $\Delta_{\varepsilon\varphi_2, \eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} = \varepsilon^{\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\varphi_2, \eta}^{\frac{s}{2}}$ etc, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_2, \eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\eta \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_1, \eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\eta \right\|^2 &\leq \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_2, \psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_1, \psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2} \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \Delta_{\psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2, \varphi_2}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi_2} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\psi + \varepsilon\varphi_2, \varphi_1}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi_1} \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

In the second line we used Lemma A.5. Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and applying Lemma A.3 and Lemma A.5, we obtain the result. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.1

It is trivial for $s = 0, 1$. We prove the claim for $0 < s < 1$. We first consider faithful φ, η . From $\varphi \leq \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+$ and Lemma A.2, we have

$$\left\| \Delta_{\varphi, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \Delta_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2. \quad (12)$$

By Lemma 2.1 and inequalities $\eta \leq \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+$, $\varphi \leq \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+$, the last term is bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \left\| \Delta_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+, \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 \\ & = \left\| \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 \\ & = \left\| \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+, \eta}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{\eta} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

By $\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+ \geq \eta$ and Lemma A.2, we have

$$(13) \leq \left\| \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \eta}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{\eta} \right\|^2 = \varphi(1) + (\eta - \varphi)_+(1) - \eta(1). \quad (14)$$

Hence we obtain

$$\varphi(1) - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 \leq \varphi(1) + (\eta - \varphi)_+(1) - \eta(1), \quad (15)$$

which is equal to

$$\eta(1) - (\eta - \varphi)_+(1) \leq \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2. \quad (16)$$

We now prove the inequality for general φ, η . By considering a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_e := e\mathcal{M}e$ with $e := s(\eta) \vee s(\varphi)$ instead of \mathcal{M} if it is necessary, we may assume $\varphi + \varepsilon\eta, \eta + \delta\varphi$ are faithful on \mathcal{M} for any $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$. We then have

$$(\eta + \delta\varphi)(1) - (\eta + \delta\varphi - (\varphi + \varepsilon\eta))_+(1) \leq \left\| \Delta_{\eta + \delta\varphi, \varphi + \varepsilon\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi + \varepsilon\eta} \right\|^2. \quad (17)$$

Taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$, and using Lemma A.3 and Lemma A.5 we obtain the inequality (2) for general φ, η .

To check the condition for the equality, by approximating φ and η by $\varphi + \varepsilon\eta, \eta + \delta\varphi$ in (12), (13), and (14), just as in (17), and taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Delta_{\varphi, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \Delta_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \left\| \Delta_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+, \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 \\ & = \left\| \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+, \eta}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{\eta} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \eta}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{\eta} \right\|^2 \\ & = \varphi(1) + (\eta - \varphi)_+(1) - \eta(1). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By Lemma A.4, the first inequality is an equality iff the support of $(\eta - \varphi)_+$ is orthogonal to φ and the third inequality is an equality iff the support of $(\eta - \varphi)_-$ is orthogonal to η . Therefore, if the equality in (18) holds, then $(\eta - \varphi)_+$ is orthogonal to φ and $(\eta - \varphi)_-$ is orthogonal to η .

Conversely, if $(\eta - \varphi)_+$ is orthogonal to φ and $(\eta - \varphi)_-$ is orthogonal to η . Then we have $\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+ = \eta + (\eta - \varphi)_-$, where both sides of the equality are sum of orthogonal elements. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Delta_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+, \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 = \left\| \Delta_{(\eta - \varphi)_-, \varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+} \right\|^2 \\ & = \left\| \Delta_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+, (\eta - \varphi)_-}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{(\eta - \varphi)_-} \right\|^2 = \left\| \Delta_{\varphi, (\eta - \varphi)_-}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{(\eta - \varphi)_-} \right\|^2 + \left\| \Delta_{(\eta - \varphi)_+, (\eta - \varphi)_-}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{(\eta - \varphi)_-} \right\|^2 \\ & = \left\| \Delta_{\varphi, (\eta - \varphi)_-}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{(\eta - \varphi)_-} \right\|^2 = \left\| \Delta_{(\eta - \varphi)_-, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\left\| \Delta_{\varphi + (\eta - \varphi)_+, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2 = \left\| \Delta_{(\eta - \varphi)_-, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2.$$

Hence, the second inequality is an equality in this case. As the first and third inequalities are equalities from the orthogonality of $(\eta - \varphi)_+$ with φ and $(\eta - \varphi)_-$ with η respectively, the equality holds in (18).

Therefore, the equality in (18) holds iff $(\eta - \varphi)_+$ is orthogonal to φ and $(\eta - \varphi)_-$ is orthogonal to η . However, the latter condition means $\eta = (\eta - \varphi)_+ + \psi$ and $\varphi = (\eta - \varphi)_- + \psi$ for some $\psi \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ whose support is orthogonal to the support of $|\eta - \varphi|$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.1

Replacing η, φ, s in (2) with $\varphi, \eta, 1 - s$ respectively, we obtain

$$\varphi(1) - (\varphi - \eta)_+(1) \leq \left\| \Delta_{\varphi, \eta}^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \xi_{\eta} \right\|^2 = \left\| \Delta_{\eta, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_{\varphi} \right\|^2. \quad (20)$$

Summing (2) and (20), we obtain (3). \square

A Appendix

Let $\{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}, J, \mathcal{P}\}$ be a standard form associated with a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , i.e., \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space where \mathcal{M} acts on, J is the modular conjugation, and \mathcal{P} is the natural positive cone. Let \mathcal{M}_{*+} be the set of all positive normal linear functionals over \mathcal{M} . For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$, ξ_{φ} is the unique element in the natural positive cone \mathcal{P} which satisfies $\varphi(x) = (x\xi_{\varphi}, \xi_{\varphi})$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. For $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$, we define an operator $S_{\varphi\psi}$ as the closure of the operator

$$S_{\varphi\psi}(x\xi_{\psi} + (1 - j(s(\psi)))\zeta) := s(\psi)x^*\xi_{\varphi}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \zeta \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $s(\psi) \in \mathcal{M}$ is the support projection of ψ and $j(y) := JyJ$. The polar decomposition of $S_{\varphi\psi}$ is given by $S_{\varphi\psi} = J\Delta_{\varphi\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where $\Delta_{\varphi\psi}$ is the relative modular operator associated with $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$. The subspace $\mathcal{M}\xi_{\psi} + (1 - j(s(\psi)))\mathcal{H}$

of \mathcal{H} is a core of $\Delta_{\varphi\psi}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The support projection of the positive operator $\Delta_{\varphi\psi}$ is $s(\varphi)j(s(\psi))$. For a complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we define a closed operator $\Delta_{\varphi\psi}^z$ by

$$\Delta_{\varphi\psi}^z := (\exp [z (\log \Delta_{\varphi\psi}) s(\varphi)j(s(\psi))]) s(\varphi)j(s(\psi)).$$

For an operator A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we denote by $D(A)$ its domain.

Lemma A.1 *Let φ, ψ be faithful normal positive linear functionals over a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . Suppose that there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda\varphi \leq \psi$. Then the cocycle $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto (D\varphi : D\psi)_t \in \mathcal{M}$ has an extension $(D\varphi : D\psi)_z \in \mathcal{M}$ analytic on $I_{-\frac{1}{2}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\frac{1}{2} < \Im z < 0\}$ and bounded continuous on $\overline{I_{-\frac{1}{2}}}$ with the bound $\|(D\varphi : D\psi)_z\| \leq \lambda^{\Im z}$ for all $z \in \overline{I_{-\frac{1}{2}}}$. Furthermore, for any faithful $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$, $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$, and any element ξ in $D(\Delta_{\psi\zeta}^{-s})$, $\Delta_{\psi\zeta}^{-s}\xi$ is in the domain of $\Delta_{\varphi\zeta}^s$, and*

$$\Delta_{\varphi\zeta}^s \Delta_{\psi\zeta}^{-s}\xi = (D\varphi : D\psi)_{-is}\xi. \quad (21)$$

Proof The existence and boundedness of $(D\varphi : D\psi)_z$ is proven in [A1]. To show the latter part of the Lemma, let $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ be faithful. We define the region I_{-s} in the complex plane by $I_{-s} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -s < \Im z < 0\}$ for each $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$. For any $\xi \in D(\Delta_{\psi\zeta}^{-s})$ and $\xi_1 \in D(\Delta_{\varphi\zeta}^s)$, we consider two functions on $\overline{I_{-s}}$ by $F(z) := (\Delta_{\psi\zeta}^{-iz}\xi, \Delta_{\varphi\zeta}^{-i\bar{z}}\xi_1)$, and $G(z) := ((D\varphi : D\psi)_z\xi, \xi_1)$. Both of these functions are bounded continuous on $\overline{I_{-s}}$ and analytic on I_{-s} . Furthermore, they are equal on \mathbb{R} :

$$F(t) = (\Delta_{\varphi\zeta}^{it}\Delta_{\psi\zeta}^{-it}\xi, \xi_1) = ((D\varphi : D\psi)_t\xi, \xi_1) = G(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This means $F(z) = G(z)$ for all $z \in \overline{I_{-s}}$. In particular, we have $F(-is) = G(-is)$, i.e.,

$$(\Delta_{\psi\zeta}^{-s}\xi, \Delta_{\varphi\zeta}^s\xi_1) = ((D\varphi : D\psi)_{-is}\xi, \xi_1).$$

As this holds for all $\xi_1 \in D(\Delta_{\varphi\zeta}^s)$, $\Delta_{\psi\zeta}^{-s}\xi$ is in the domain of $\Delta_{\varphi\zeta}^s$, and (21) holds. \square

Lemma A.2 *Let φ, η, ψ be normal positive linear functionals over a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} such that $\varphi \leq \eta$. Then for any $0 \leq s \leq 1$, we have $D(\Delta_{\eta,\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}}) \subset D(\Delta_{\varphi,\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}})$ and*

$$\left\| \Delta_{\varphi,\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi \right\| \leq \left\| \Delta_{\eta,\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi \right\|, \quad \forall \xi \in D(\Delta_{\eta,\psi}^{\frac{s}{2}}). \quad (22)$$

Proof This is proven in [AM]. \square

Lemma A.3 *Let φ and η be elements in \mathcal{M}_{*+} and φ_n a sequence in \mathcal{M}_{*+} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n - \varphi\| = 0$. Then for any and $0 < s < 1$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_n,\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi \right\| = \left\| \Delta_{\varphi,\eta}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi \right\|.$$

Proof By the integral representation of t^s , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_n, \eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\eta \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_{\varphi \eta}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\eta \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{\sin s\pi}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \lambda^{s-1} \left(\left(\Delta_{\varphi_n, \eta} (\Delta_{\varphi_n, \eta} + \lambda)^{-1} - \Delta_{\varphi, \eta} (\Delta_{\varphi, \eta} + \lambda)^{-1} \right) \xi_\eta, \xi_\eta \right). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We denote the term inside of the integral by $f_n(\lambda)$. It is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(\lambda)| &\leq \lambda^{s-1} \eta(1), \\ |f_n(\lambda)| &\leq \lambda^{s-2} \left(\left\| \Delta_{\varphi_n, \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_\eta \right\|^2 + \left\| \Delta_{\varphi \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_\eta \right\|^2 \right) \leq \lambda^{s-2} \left(\varphi(1) + \sup_n \varphi_n(1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|f_n(\lambda)|$ is bounded from above by an integrable function independent of n .

Next we show $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$. To do so, we first observe that $\Delta_{\varphi_n, \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ converges to $\Delta_{\varphi \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the strong resolvent sense: For all $x\xi_\eta + (1 - j(s(\eta)))\zeta \in \mathcal{M}\xi_\eta + (1 - j(s(\eta)))\mathcal{H}$, using Powers-Størmer inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta_{\varphi_n, \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}} (x\xi_\eta + (1 - j(s(\eta)))\zeta) - \Delta_{\varphi, \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}} (x\xi_\eta + (1 - j(s(\eta)))\zeta) \right\|^2 &= \|s(\eta)x^*\xi_{\varphi_n} - s(\eta)x^*\xi_\varphi\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x^*\|^2 \|\xi_{\varphi_n} - \xi_\varphi\|^2 \leq \|x^*\|^2 \|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

As $\mathcal{M}\xi_\eta + (1 - j(s(\eta)))\mathcal{H}$ is a common core for all $\Delta_{\varphi_n, \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\Delta_{\varphi \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, this means $\Delta_{\varphi_n, \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ converges to $\Delta_{\varphi \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the strong resolvent sense. Therefore, for a bounded continuous function $g(t) = t^2(t^2 + \lambda)^{-1}$, $g(\Delta_{\varphi_n, \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ converges to $g(\Delta_{\varphi \eta}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ strongly. Hence we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\lambda) = 0$.

By the Lebesgue's theorem, we obtain the result. \square

Lemma A.4 For any $\varphi, \eta \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ with $\varphi \leq \eta$ and $0 < s < 1$,

$$\left\| \Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\varphi \right\| = \|\xi_\varphi\| \quad (24)$$

if and only if $\eta - \varphi$ is orthogonal to φ .

Proof First we prove if $\left\| \Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\varphi \right\| = \|\xi_\varphi\|$, then $\eta - \varphi$ is orthogonal to φ . From Lemma A.2, for any $\zeta \in D(\Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{-\frac{s}{2}})$, $\Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{-\frac{s}{2}} \zeta$ is in $D(\Delta_{\varphi \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}})$ and

$$\left\| \Delta_{\varphi \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{-\frac{s}{2}} \zeta \right\| \leq \left\| \Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{-\frac{s}{2}} \zeta \right\| \leq \|\zeta\|.$$

Therefore, $\Delta_{\varphi \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{-\frac{s}{2}}$ defined on $D(\Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{-\frac{s}{2}})$ can be uniquely extended to a bounded operator A on \mathcal{H} , with norm $\|A\| \leq 1$. We define an operator $0 \leq T \leq 1$ by $T := A^*A$. Note that

$$A \Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\varphi = \Delta_{\varphi \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\varphi = \Delta_{\eta \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} s(\eta) \xi_\varphi = \Delta_{\varphi \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}} \xi_\varphi = \xi_\varphi.$$

From this, and the assumption, we have

$$\left(T\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi, \Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi\right) = \left\|A\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi\right\|^2 = \|\xi_\varphi\|^2 = \left\|\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi\right\|^2.$$

As the spectrum of T is included in $[0, 1]$, this equality means

$$T\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi = \Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi. \quad (25)$$

For any $\zeta \in D(\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}})$, we have

$$\left(\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi, \Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\zeta\right) = \left(T\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi, \Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\zeta\right) = \left(A\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi, A\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\zeta\right) = (\xi_\varphi, \zeta), \quad (26)$$

from (25). Therefore, $\xi_\varphi \in D(\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^s)$ and $\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^s\xi_\varphi = \xi_\varphi$. Hence we obtain $\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_\varphi = \xi_\varphi$. From this, we have

$$s(\varphi)\xi_\eta = J\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_\varphi = \xi_\varphi.$$

We then obtain

$$(\eta - \varphi)(s(\varphi)) = 0,$$

i.e., the support of $\eta - \varphi$ is orthogonal to the support of φ .

Conversely, if the support of $\eta - \varphi$ is orthogonal to φ , then we have

$$\left\|\Delta_{\eta\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi\right\|^2 = \left\|\Delta_{\eta-\varphi, \varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi\right\|^2 + \left\|\Delta_{\varphi}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_\varphi\right\|^2 = \|\xi_\varphi\|^2. \quad (27)$$

□

Lemma A.5 *For all normal positive linear functionals ψ_1, ψ_2 over a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , and $0 \leq s \leq 1$,*

$$\left\|\Delta_{\psi_1, \psi_2}^{\frac{s}{2}}\xi_{\psi_2}\right\| = \left\|\Delta_{\psi_2, \psi_1}^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\xi_{\psi_1}\right\|. \quad (28)$$

Proof Functions $F(z) := \left(\Delta_{\psi_1, \psi_2}^{\frac{z}{2}}\xi_{\psi_2}\Delta_{\psi_1, \psi_2}^{\frac{\bar{z}}{2}}\xi_{\psi_2}\right)$ and $G(z) := \left(\Delta_{\psi_2, \psi_1}^{\frac{1-z}{2}}\xi_{\psi_1}\Delta_{\psi_2, \psi_1}^{\frac{1-\bar{z}}{2}}\xi_{\psi_1}\right)$ are bounded continuous on $0 \leq \Re z \leq 1$ and analytic on $0 < \Re z < 1$. It is easy to check $F(it) = G(it)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence we obtain $F(z) = G(z)$ on $0 \leq \Re z \leq 1$. □

Acknowledgement.

The author is grateful for Professor N.Ozawa, for giving her the simple proof of the matrix inequality (1). She also thanks for Prof. Jakšić and Prof. Seiringer for kind advices on this note, and Prof. Y. Kawahigashi, Prof. H. Kosaki, and Prof. C.-A. Pillet for kind discussion. The present research is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), Hayashi Memorial Foundation for Female Natural Scientists, Sumitomo Foundation, and Inoue Foundation.

References

- [A1] H. Araki: *Relative entropy of states of von Neumann algebras*; Pub. R.I.M.S., Kyoto Univ. **11**, 809-833, (1976).
- [AM] H. Araki and T.Masuda: *Positive cones and L_p -spaces for von Neumann algebras*; Pub. R.I.M.S., Kyoto Univ. **18**, 339-411, (1982).
- [ACMMABV] K.M.R. Audenaert, J. Calsamiglia, Ll. Masanes, R. Muñoz-Tapia, A. Acín, E. Bagan, F. Verstraete: *The Quantum Chernoff Bound*; Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 160501 (2007)
- [JOPS] V. Jakšić Y.Ogata C.-A.Pillet and R. Seiringer In preparation
- [T] M. Takesaki: *Theory of Operator Algebras II*; Springer Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences Vol 125 (2001).