

SIMPLE EXAMPLES OF DISTINCT  
LIOUVILLE-TYPE SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES

PAUL SEIDEL

A symplectic form  $\omega$  on an open manifold  $M$  is said to be of Liouville type if the following holds. One can write  $\omega = d\theta$ , and the dual Liouville vector field  $Z$ , defined by  $i_Z\omega = \theta$ , can be integrated for all times. Moreover,  $M$  should admit an exhausting function  $h$  such that  $dh(Z) > 0$  outside a compact subset. (Strictly speaking, this should be called a complete finite type Liouville structure, but we omit the adjectives for the sake of brevity.)

It is by now well-known that a given differentiable manifold can support several such symplectic structures which are distinct, but not distinguished by classical homotopical invariants. For constructions in dimensions greater than four, including the case of Euclidean space, see [12, 8, 5, 6, 3, 2]. Four-dimensional instances can be obtained by attaching handles along Chekanov's examples of distinct Legendrian knots, as proved in [3]. The aim of this note is to provide some rather basic examples of the same phenomenon. Of course, the proofs that their symplectic structures are different still rely on general properties of Floer cohomology, hence can't be considered elementary, but the computations involved are at least conceptually simple. The originality of the examples is somewhat limited. Those in Section 1 are closely related to a special case of Honda's classification of contact structures on circle bundles [4]. Those in Section 2 are slight modifications of a construction from [7]. Finally, those in Section 3 were inspired by [8, 12]. I still hope that a concise exposition may be useful.

This work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1005288. I am indebted to Mohammed Abouzaid, Mark McLean, and Ivan Smith for useful conversations.

1. A FOUR-DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLE

Let  $S_1$  be a once-punctured oriented surface of genus  $g > 0$ , and  $S_2$  a  $2j + 1$ -punctured oriented surface of genus  $g - j$ , for some choice of  $1 \leq j \leq g$ . Choose Liouville type symplectic structures on both  $S_k$ . Then, equip  $M_k = S_k \times \mathbb{R} \times S^1$  with the product of those structures and the standard one on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 = T^*S^1$ .

**Lemma.**  *$M_1$  is diffeomorphic to  $M_2$ , in a way which is compatible with the homotopy classes of almost complex structures associated to the symplectic forms.*

*Proof.* It is well-known [15] that  $S_1 \times \mathbb{R}$  is diffeomorphic to  $S_2 \times \mathbb{R}$  (both are interiors of a genus  $2g$  handlebody), hence  $M_1$  is diffeomorphic to  $M_2$ . The tangent bundle of  $M_k$  is trivial as a real oriented vector bundle. Almost complex structures on that bundle correspond to maps

---

*Date:* November 5, 2010.

$M_k \rightarrow SO(4)/U(2) \cong S^2$ . Since  $M_k$  is homotopy equivalent to a 2-dimensional cell complex, the only obstruction to constructing a nullhomotopy for such a map lies in  $H^2(M_k; \pi_2(S^2))$ , and is detected by the first Chern class. In our case, both  $M_k$  carry almost complex structures with zero first Chern class.  $\square$

We denote by  $SH^*(\cdot)$  the symplectic cohomology [14] of a Liouville type symplectic manifold. This takes the form of a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector space over some fixed coefficient field  $\mathbb{K}$ . For simplicity, we will take  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}/2$  throughout. Also, denote by  $SH^*(\cdot)_0$  the direct summand corresponding to nullhomologous loops.

**Lemma.**  *$SH^*(M_1)_0$  is infinite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{K}$ , while  $SH^*(M_2)_0$  is finite-dimensional.*

*Proof.*  $SH^*(S_k) \cong H^*(S_k; \mathbb{K}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} H^*(\partial S_k; \mathbb{K})$  where, in a slight abuse of notation,  $\partial S_k$  is the boundary at infinity [11, Example 3.3]. By decomposing into direct summands, one finds that  $SH^*(S_1)_0 \cong SH^*(S_1)$ , whereas  $SH^*(S_2)_0 = H^*(S_2; \mathbb{K})$ . Similarly,  $SH^*(\mathbb{R} \times S^1)_0 = H^*(\mathbb{R} \times S^1; \mathbb{K})$ . Oancea's Künneth formula [9] applies to  $SH^*(\cdot)_0$ , and completes the argument.  $\square$

$SH^*(\cdot)$  and  $SH^*(\cdot)_0$  are invariant under symplectomorphisms that are exact with respect to the chosen Liouville one-forms. They are therefore also invariant under general symplectomorphisms  $M_1 \cong M_2$ , provided that at least one of the two manifolds involved has the following property: every class in  $H^1(M_k; \mathbb{R})$  can be realized as the flux of a symplectic isotopy (compare the discussion in [2, Section 2]). In our case, it is easy to find the required isotopies on either  $M_k$ . As a consequence,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are not symplectically isomorphic.

## 2. A SIX-DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLE

Let  $S$  be a closed oriented surface of genus  $\geq 2$ , and  $C \rightarrow S$  its tangent circle bundle. McDuff [7] showed that  $T^*S \setminus S \cong C \times \mathbb{R}$  carries a symplectic structure of Liouville type. Consider the product  $M_1 = (C \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^2$  carries the standard symplectic structure. On the other hand, let  $M_2 = T^*C$  be the cotangent bundle, again with the standard symplectic structure.

**Lemma.**  *$M_1$  and  $M_2$  are diffeomorphic, and the diffeomorphism can be chosen to be compatible with the homotopy classes of almost complex structures associated to the given symplectic forms.*

*Proof.* The first statement is clear since  $C$  is an oriented three-manifold, hence has trivial tangent bundle. For the same reason, the tangent bundle of  $M_k$  is trivial, hence almost complex structures on it correspond to maps  $M_k \rightarrow SO(6)/U(3) = \mathbb{C}P^3$ . Since  $M_k$  is homotopy equivalent to a 3-dimensional cell complex, the only obstructions to constructing a nullhomotopy for such a map lie in  $H^2(M_k; \pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^3))$ , and are again detected by the first Chern class. In McDuff's example, the first Chern class is the same as for the restriction of the standard symplectic form on  $T^*S$ , hence zero. The same is true for  $T^*C$  since  $C$  is an oriented manifold.  $\square$

Note that  $M_2$  contains a non-displaceable closed Lagrangian submanifold, the zero-section, whereas  $M_1$  doesn't. Hence, the two manifolds are not symplectically isomorphic.

### 3. AN EIGHT-DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLE

Take a nontrivial fibered knot  $K \subset S^3$ . The product  $E = \mathbb{R} \times (S^3 \setminus K)$  carries a symplectic structure of Liouville type. In fact, there is a symplectic fibration  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^1$  whose fibre is the interior of the Seifert surface of  $K$ .

**Lemma.**  $SH^*(E) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T \subset (S^3 \setminus K)$  be the boundary of a tubular neighbourhood of  $K$ . Since our knot is nontrivial, the map  $\pi_1(T) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$  is injective. One can arrange easily that  $\{0\} \times T$  is a Lagrangian torus in  $E$ . There can be no pseudo-holomorphic discs with boundary on that torus, for any almost complex structure compatible with the given symplectic form. By an argument outlined in [11, Section 5], the existence of such a torus implies that the image of the identity under the canonical map  $H^*(E; \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow SH^*(E)$  is nonzero.  $\square$

Take a meridian of  $K$ . We can think of it as lying inside the boundary at infinity  $\partial E$ . Choose a Legendrian knot in the same free homotopy class, which always exists by the h-principle, and attach a Weinstein handle [16] to it. This yields another symplectic manifold of Liouville type, denoted by  $X$ . Finally, set  $M = X \times X$ .

**Lemma.**  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^8$ .

*Proof.* Since the meridian normally generates  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ ,  $X$  is simply-connected. On the other hand, it is homotopy equivalent to a two-dimensional cell complex, and has Euler characteristic 1. Hence,  $X$  is contractible, and so is  $M$ . Moreover,  $M$  is simply-connected at infinity, so the h-cobordism theorem concludes the argument.  $\square$

**Lemma.**  $SH^*(M) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* We have the Viterbo functoriality [14] map, which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(X; \mathbb{K}) & \longrightarrow & SH^*(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(E; \mathbb{K}) & \longrightarrow & SH^*(E). \end{array}$$

Since the restriction map in the left hand column maps the identity to the identity, our previous observation implies that  $SH^*(X) \neq 0$ . Again, the Künneth formula [9] allows us to carry over the result to  $M$ .  $\square$

Therefore,  $M$  is not symplectically isomorphic to standard  $\mathbb{R}^8$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abbondandolo and M. Schwarz. On the Floer homology of cotangent bundles. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 59:254–316, 2006.
- [2] M. Abouzaid and P. Seidel. Altering symplectic manifolds by homologous recombination. Preprint arXiv:1007.3281, 2010.
- [3] F. Bourgeois, T. Ekholm, and Ya. Eliashberg. Effect of Legendrian surgery. Preprint arXiv:math/0911.0026, 2009.
- [4] K. Honda. On the classification of tight contact structures. II. *J. Differential Geom.*, 55:83–143, 2000.
- [5] M. Maydanskiy. Exotic symplectic manifolds from Lefschetz fibrations. Preprint arXiv:0906.2224, 2009.
- [6] M. Maydanskiy and P. Seidel. Lefschetz fibrations and exotic symplectic structures on cotangent bundles of spheres. *J. Topology*, 3:157–180, 2010.
- [7] D. McDuff. Symplectic manifolds with contact type boundaries. *Invent. Math.*, 103:651–671, 1991.
- [8] Mark McLean. Lefschetz fibrations and symplectic homology. *Geom. Topol.*, 13:1877–1944, 2009.
- [9] A. Oancea. The Kunneth formula in Floer homology for manifolds with restricted contact type boundary. *Math. Ann.*, 334:65–89, 2006.
- [10] D. Salamon and J. Weber. Floer homology and the heat flow. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 16:1050–1138, 2006.
- [11] P. Seidel. A biased survey of symplectic cohomology. In *Current Developments in Mathematics (Harvard, 2006)*, pages 211–253. Intl. Press, 2008.
- [12] P. Seidel and I. Smith. The symplectic topology of Ramanujam’s surface. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 80:859–881, 2005.
- [13] C. Viterbo. Functors and computations in Floer homology with applications, Part II. Preprint, 1996.
- [14] C. Viterbo. Functors and computations in Floer homology with applications, Part I. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 9:985–1033, 1999.
- [15] J. H. C. Whitehead. On the homotopy type of manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 41:825–832, 1940.
- [16] A. Weinstein. Contact surgery and symplectic handlebodies. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 20:241–251, 1991.