THE DEHN FUNCTIONS OF $Out(F_n)$ AND $Aut(F_n)$

MARTIN R. BRIDSON AND KAREN VOGTMANN

ABSTRACT. For $n \geq 3$, the Dehn functions of $Aut(F_n)$ and $Out(F_n)$ are exponential.

Dehn functions provide upper bounds on the complexity of the word problem in finitely presented groups. They are examples of filling functions: if a group G acts properly and cocompactly on a simplicial complex X, then the Dehn function of G is asymptotically equivalent to the function that provides the optimal upper bound on the area of least-area discs in X, where the bound is expressed as a function of the length of the boundary of the disc. This article is concerned with the Dehn functions of automorphism groups of finitely-generated free groups.

Much of the contemporary study of $Out(F_n)$ and $Aut(F_n)$ is based on the deep analogy between these groups, mapping class groups, and lattices in semisimple Lie groups, particularly $SL(n,\mathbb{Z})$. The Dehn functions of mapping class groups are quadratic [9], as is the Dehn function of $SL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ if $n \geq 5$ (see [10]). In contrast, Epstein *et al.* [6] proved that the Dehn function of $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ is exponential. Building on their result, we proved in [3] that $Aut(F_3)$ and $Out(F_3)$ also have exponential Dehn functions. Hatcher and Vogtmann [8] established an exponential upper bound on the Dehn function of $Aut(F_n)$ and $Out(F_n)$ for all $n \geq 3$. The comparison with $SL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ might lead one to suspect that this last result is not optimal for large n, but in fact it is.

Theorem 1. For $n \ge 3$, the Dehn functions of $Aut(F_n)$ and $Out(F_n)$ are exponential.

This theorem answers Questions 35 and 37 of [4]. The lower bound needed to complete the proof is contained in a recent paper of Handel and Mosher [7]: they used their general results on quasi-retractions to reduce to the case n = 3. We learned of this work from Lee Mosher at Luminy in June 2010 and realized that one one can also reduce Theorem 1 to the case n = 3 using a simple observation about natural maps between different-rank Outer spaces and Auter spaces (Lemma 3). The purpose of this note is record this observation and the resulting proof of Theorem 1.

0.1. **Definitions.** Let A be a 1-connected simplicial complex. We consider simplicial loops $\ell: S \to A^{(1)}$, where S is a simplicial subdivision of the circle. A simplicial filling of ℓ is a simplicial map $L: D \to A^{(2)}$, where D is a triangulation of the 2-disc and $L|_{\partial D} = \ell$. Such

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F65, 20F28, 53C24, 57S25.

Key words and phrases. Automorphism groups of free groups, Dehn functions.

Bridson is supported by an EPSRC Senior Fellowship and Vogtmann is supported by NSF grant DMS-0204185.

BRIDSON AND VOGTMANN

fillings always exist, by simplicial approximation. The filling area of ℓ , denoted Area_A(ℓ), is the least number of triangles in the domain of any simplicial filling of ℓ . The *Dehn* function¹ of A is the least function $\delta_A : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that Area_A(ℓ) $\leq \delta_A(n)$ for all loops of length $\leq n$ in $A^{(1)}$. The Dehn function of a finitely presented group G is the Dehn function of any 1-connected 2-complex on which it acts simplicially with finite stabilizers and compact quotient. This is well-defined up to \simeq equivalence and can be rephrased in terms of the complexity of the word problem for G — see [2]. (Two functions $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ are \simeq equivalent if $f \leq g$ and $g \leq f$, where $f \leq g$ means that there is a constant a > 1such that $f(n) \leq a g(an + a) + an + a$.)

Lemma 1. If A and B are 1-connected simplicial complexes, $F : A \to B$ is a simplicial map, and ℓ is a loop in the 1-skeleton of A, then $\operatorname{Area}_A(\ell) \geq \operatorname{Area}_B(F \circ \ell)$.

Proof. If $L: D \to A$ is a simplicial filling of ℓ , then $F \circ L$ is a simplicial filling of $F \circ \ell$, with the same number of triangles in the domain D.

Corollary 1. Let A, B and C be 1-connected simplicial complexes with simplicial maps $A \to B \to C$. Let ℓ_n be a sequence of simplicial loops in A whose length is bounded by a linear function of n, let $\overline{\ell_n}$ be the image loops in C and let $\alpha(n) = \operatorname{Area}_C(\overline{\ell_n})$. Then the Dehn function of B satisfies $\delta_B(n) \succeq \alpha(n)$.

0.2. Simplicial complexes associated to $Aut(F_n)$ and $Out(F_n)$. Let K_n denote the spine of Outer space, as defined in [5], and L_n the spine of Auter space, as defined in [8]. (We allow marked graphs representing the points of these complexes to have separating edges.)

A vertex of K_n can be considered either as a marked graph (g, G) or as a free minimal action of F_n on a simplicial tree (namely the universal cover of G). A vertex of L_n has the same descriptions except that there is a chosen basepoint in the marked graph (respected by the marking) or in the simplicial tree. Both K_n and L_n are flag complexes, so to define them it suffices to describe what it means for vertices to be adjacent. In the marked-graph description, vertices of K_n (or L_n) are adjacent if one can be obtained from the other by a forest collapse (i.e. collapsing each component of a forest to a point).

0.3. Three Natural Maps. There is a forgetful map $\phi_n \colon L_n \to K_n$ which simply forgets the basepoint; this map is simplicial.

Let m < n. We fix an ordered basis for F_n , identify F_m with the subgroup generated by the first m elements of the basis, and identify $Aut(F_m)$ with the subgroup of $Aut(F_n)$ that fixes the last n - m basis elements. We consider two maps associated to this choice of basis.

First, there is an equivariant augmentation map $\iota: L_m \to L_n$ which attaches a bouquet of n-m circles to the basepoint of each marked graph and marks them with the last n-mbasis elements of F_n . This map is simplicial, since a forest collapse has no effect on the bouquet of circles at the basepoint.

¹The standard definition of area and Dehn function are phrased in terms of singular discs, but this version is \simeq equivalent.

Secondly, there is a restriction map $\rho: K_n \to K_m$ which is easiest to describe using trees. A point in K_n is a minimal free simplicial action of F_n on a tree T with no vertices of valence 2. We define $\rho(T)$ to be the minimal invariant subtree for $F_m < F_n$; more explicitly, $\rho(T)$ is the union of the axes in T of all elements of F_m . (Vertices of T that have valence 2 in $\rho(T)$ are no longer considered to be vertices.)

One can also describe ρ in terms of marked graphs. The chosen embedding $F_m < F_n$ corresponds to choosing an *m*-petal subrose $R_m \subset R_n$. A vertex in K_n is given by a graph G marked with a homotopy equivalence $g: R_n \to G$ and the restriction of g to R_m lifts to a homotopy equivalence $\hat{g}: R_m \to \hat{G}$, where \hat{G} is the covering space corresponding to $g_*(F_m)$. There is a canonical retraction r of \hat{G} onto its compact core. Let \hat{G}_0 be the graph obtained by erasing all vertices of valence 2 from the compact core and define $\rho(g, G) = (r \circ \hat{g}, \hat{G}_0)$.

Lemma 2. For m < n, the restriction map $\rho: K_n \to K_m$ is simplicial.

Proof. Any forest collapse in G is covered by a forest collapse in G that preserves the compact core, so ρ preserves adjacency.

Lemma 3. For m < n, the following diagram of simplicial maps commutes :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} L_m & \stackrel{\iota}{\to} & L_n \\ \phi_m \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_n \\ K_m & \stackrel{\rho}{\leftarrow} & K_n \end{array}$$

Proof. Given a marked graph with basepoint $(g, G; v) \in L_n$, the marked graph $\iota(g, G; v)$ is obtained by attaching n - m loops at v labelled by the elements a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_n of our fixed basis for F_n . Then $(g_n, G_n) := \phi_n \circ \iota(g, G; v)$ is obtained by forgetting the basepoint, and the cover of (g_n, G_n) corresponding to $F_m < F_n$ is obtained from a copy of (g, G) (with its labels) by attaching 2(n - m) trees. (These trees are obtained from the Cayley graph of F_n as follows: one cuts at an edge labelled a_i^{ε} , with $i \in \{m + 1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, takes one component of the result, and then attaches the hanging edge to the basepoint v of G.) The effect of ρ is to delete these trees.

0.4. **Proof of the Theorem.** In the light of Corollary 1 and Lemma 3, it suffices to exhibit a sequence of loops ℓ_i in the 1-skeleton of L_3 whose lengths are bounded by a linear function of i and whose filling area when projected to K_3 grows exponentially as a function of i. Such a sequence of loops is essentially described in [3]. What we actually described there were words in the generators of $Aut(F_3)$ rather than loops in L_3 , but standard quasiisometric arguments show that this is equivalent. More explicitly, the words we considered were $w_i = T^i A T^{-i} B T^i A^{-1} T^{-i} B^{-1}$ where

$$T: \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1^2 x_2 \\ x_2 \mapsto x_1 x_2 \\ x_3 \mapsto x_3 \end{cases} A: \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1 \\ x_2 \mapsto x_2 \\ x_3 \mapsto x_1 x_3 \end{cases} B: \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1 \\ x_2 \mapsto x_2 \\ x_3 \mapsto x_3 x_2 \end{cases}$$

To interpret these as loops in the 1-skeleton of L_3 (and K_3) we note that $A = \lambda_{31}$ and $B = \rho_{32}$ are elementary transvections and T is the composition of two elementary transvections:

 $T = \lambda_{21} \circ \rho_{12}$. Thus w_i is the product of 8i + 4 elementary transvections. There is a (connected) subcomplex of the 1-skeleton of L_3 spanned by roses (graphs with a single vertex) and Nielsen graphs (which have (n - 2) loops at the base vertex and a further trivalent vertex). We say roses are adjacent if they have distance 2 in this graph.

Let $I \in L_3$ be the rose marked by the identity map. Each elementary transvection τ moves I to an adjacent rose τI , which is connected to I by a Nielsen graph N_{τ} . A composition $\tau_1 \ldots \tau_k$ of elementary transvections gives a path through adjacent roses $I, \tau_1 I, \tau_1 \tau_2 I, \ldots, \tau_1 \tau_2 \ldots \tau_k I$; the Nielsen graph connecting σI to $\sigma \tau I$ is σN_{τ} . Thus the word w_i corresponds to a loop ℓ_i of length 16i + 8 in the 1-skeleton of L_3 . Theorem A of [3] provides an exponential lower bound on the filling area of $\phi \circ \ell_i$ in K_3 .

The square of maps in Lemma 3 ought to have many uses beyond the one in this note (cf. [7]). We mention just one, for illustrative purposes. This is a special case of the fact that every infinite cyclic subgroup of $Out(F_n)$ is quasi-isometrically embedded [1].

Proposition 1. The cyclic subgroup of $Out(F_n)$ generated by any Nielsen transformation (elementary transvection) is quasi-isometrically embedded.

Proof. Each Nielsen transformation is in the image of the map $\Phi : Aut(F_2) \to Aut(F_n) \to Out(F_n)$ given by the inclusion of a free factor $F_2 < F_n$. Thus it suffices to prove that if a cyclic subgroup $C = \langle c \rangle < Aut(F_2)$ has infinite image in $Out(F_2)$, then $t \mapsto \Phi(c^t)$ is a quasi-geodesic. This is equivalent to the assertion that some (hence any) C-orbit in K_n is quasi-isometrically embedded, where C acts on K_n as $\Phi(C)$ and K_n is given the piecewise Euclidean metric where all edges have length 1.

 K_2 is a tree and C acts on K_2 as a hyperbolic isometry, so the C-orbits in K_2 are quasi-isometrically embedded. For each $x \in L_2$, the C-orbit of $\phi_2(x)$ is the image of the quasi-geodesic $t \mapsto c^t . \phi_2(x) = \phi_2(c^t . x)$. We factor ϕ_2 as a composition of C-equivariant simplicial maps $L_2 \xrightarrow{\iota} K_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} K_2$, as in Lemma 3, to deduce that the C-orbit of $\phi_n \iota(x)$ in K_n is quasi-isometrically embedded.

A slight variation on the above argument shows that if one lifts a free group of finite index $\Lambda < Out(F_2)$ to $Aut(F_2)$ and then maps it to $Out(F_n)$ by choosing a free factor $F_2 < F_n$, then the inclusion $\Lambda \hookrightarrow Out(F_n)$ will be a quasi-isometric embedding.

References

- [1] Emina Alibegovic. Translation lengths in $Out(F_n)$. Geom. Dedicata, 92:87–93, 2002.
- [2] Martin R Bridson. The geometry of the word problem. in *Invitations to Geometry and Topology* (M.R. Bridson, S.M. Salamon, editors.) Oxford University Press, 2001.
- Martin R Bridson and Karen Vogtmann. On the geometry of the automorphism group of a free group. Bull. London Math. Soc., 27(6):544–552, 1995.
- [4] Martin R Bridson and Karen Vogtmann. Automorphism groups of free groups, surface groups and free abelian groups. In *Problems on mapping class groups and related topics* (B. Farb, editor), Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics, vol 74. American Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2006, pp. 301–316.
- [5] M Culler and K Vogtmann. Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups. Invent. Math., 84(1):91– 119, 1986.
- [6] David B. A. Epstein, James W. Cannon, Derek F. Holt, Silvio V. F. Levy, Michael S. Paterson, and William P. Thurston. Word Processing in Groups. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992.

- [7] Michael Handel and Lee Mosher. Lipschitz retraction and distortion for subgroups of $Out(F_n)$. arXiv, math.GR, Sep 2010. 47 pages.
- [8] A Hatcher and K Vogtmann. Isoperimetric inequalities for automorphism groups of free groups. Pacific J. Math, Jan 1996.
- [9] Lee Mosher. Mapping class groups are automatic. Ann. of Math. (2), 142(2):303–384, 1995.
- [10] Robert Young. The Dehn function of $SL(n;\mathbb{Z})$. arXiv, math.GR, Dec 2009. 46 pages, 8 figures.

MARTIN R. BRIDSON, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, 24-29 ST GILES', OXFORD OX1 3LB, U.K. *E-mail address*: bridson@maths.ox.ac.uk

KAREN VOGTMANN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA NY 14853 *E-mail address:* vogtmann@math.cornell.edu