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#### Abstract

We prove that, assuming the F-conjecture, the log canonical model of the pair $\left(\bar{M}_{0, n}, \sum a_{i} \psi_{i}\right)$ is the Hassett's moduli space $\bar{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}}$ without any modification of weight coefficients. For the boundary weight cases, we prove that the birational model is the GIT quotient of the product of the projective lines. This is a generalization of Simpson's theorem for symmetric weight cases.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

During the last several decades, the $\log$ canonical model of a pair $(X, D)$ which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{X}}+\mathrm{D}\right):=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\oplus_{\mathrm{l} \geq 0} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{l}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{X}}+\mathrm{D}\right)\right)\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is taken over $l$ sufficiently divisible, plays an important role in birational geometry especially in Mori's program. In this paper, we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ be a weight datum, that is, a sequence of rational numbers such that $0<a_{i} \leq 1$. Let $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ be the moduli space of pointed stable rational curves. Let $\psi_{i}$ be the $i$-th psi class ( $[2$, Section 2]).
(1) Assume the F-conjecture. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}>2$. Then $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \psi_{i}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0, \mathcal{A}}$, the Hassett's moduli space of weighted pointed stable rational curves.
(2) Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=2$. Then $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \psi_{i}\right)$ is isomorphic to the geometric invariant theory (GIT) quotient $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} / / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{SL}(2)$ with respect to the linearization $L=\mathcal{O}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$.

For the definition and properties of $\bar{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}}$, see [5]. The precise statement of the Fconjecture is in [8, Question 1.1]. Note that item (2) does not rely on the F-conjecture.

Theorem 1.1 is an outcome of an attempt to generalize the following theorem of M. Simpson. Set $m=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. Let $\epsilon_{k}$ be a rational number in the range $\frac{1}{m+1-k}<\epsilon_{k} \leq \frac{1}{m-k}$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, m-2$. Let $n \cdot \epsilon=(\epsilon, \cdots, \epsilon)$ be a symmetric weight datum.

Theorem 1.2. $[1,4,9,10]$ Let $\beta$ be a rational number satisfying $\frac{2}{n-1}<\beta \leq 1$ and let $\mathrm{D}=$ $\bar{M}_{0, n}-M_{0, n}$ denote the total boundary divisor.
(1) If $\frac{2}{m-k+2}<\beta \leq \frac{2}{m-k+1}$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, m-2$, then $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\beta D\right) \cong \bar{M}_{0, n \cdot \epsilon_{k}}$.
(2) If $\frac{2}{n-1}<\beta \leq \frac{2}{m+1}$, then $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\beta D\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n} / / \mathrm{L} S(2)$ where $L=\mathcal{O}(1, \cdots, 1)$.

Indeed, Theorem 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 1.1 under the F-conjecture. See Remark 2.1.

In [3], Fedorchuk proved that for every weight datum $\mathcal{A}$ and genus $g$, there exists a divisor $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathcal{A}}$ on $\bar{M}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathfrak{n}}$ such that $\left(\bar{M}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathfrak{n}}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathcal{A}}\right)$ is a log canonical pair and $\bar{M}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathfrak{n}}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathcal{A}}\right) \cong$ $\bar{M}_{g, \mathcal{A}}$. His divisor $K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+D_{0, \mathcal{A}}$ is not proportional to $K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \psi_{i}$.
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## 2. Proof of the Main Theorem

Throughout this section, we will assume $n \geq 4$. Fix a weight datum $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ such that $\sum a_{i}>2$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be the set of nonempty proper subsets of $[n]:=\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. For each $I \in T^{\prime}$ we can define the weight of $I$ as $\mathcal{w}_{I}:=\sum_{i \in I} a_{i}$. Let $T \subset T^{\prime}$ be the subset of $\mathrm{I} \subset[\mathrm{n}]$ such that $\mathcal{w}_{\mathrm{I}}<\mathcal{w}_{\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{c}}}$ or $\mathcal{w}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathcal{w}_{\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{c}}},|\mathrm{I}|<\left|\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{c}}\right|$ or $\mathcal{w}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathcal{w}_{\mathrm{I}^{c}},|\mathrm{I}|=\left|\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{c}}\right|, 1 \in \mathrm{I}$. So there is a bijection between the set $\{\mathrm{I} \in \mathrm{T}|2 \leq|\mathrm{I}| \leq n-2\}$ and the set of irreducible components $D_{\text {I }}$ of the boundary divisor of $\bar{M}_{0, n}$. Set $D_{I}=0$ in the Neron-Severi vector space $N^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{0, n}\right)$ for $I \in T$ with $|I|=1$.

Let $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}:=\mathrm{K}_{\bar{M}_{\mathcal{O}, n}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \psi_{i}$. By [2, Lemma 1] and [8, Lemma 3.5], it is straightforward to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}=\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{I} \in \mathrm{~T} \\ 2 \leq I \mid \leq n-2}}\left(\frac{|I|(n-|I|)}{n-1}-2+\frac{(n-|I|)(n-|I|-1)}{(n-1)(n-2)} w_{I}+\frac{|I|(|I|-1)}{(n-1)(n-2)} w_{I^{c}}\right) D_{\mathrm{I}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the geometry of reduction morphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}: \bar{M}_{0, n} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}}([5, \S 4])$, the following formulas are results of routine calculations. Let $\mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{T}$ (chosen for contracted) be a subset such that $\mathcal{w}_{\mathrm{I}} \leq 1$. Let $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}} \in \mathrm{N}^{1}\left(\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0, \mathcal{A}}\right)$ be $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}_{*}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ with abuse of notation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{c}}}\left(\frac{|\mathrm{I}|(n-|\mathrm{I}|)}{n-1}-2+\frac{(n-|\mathrm{I}|)(n-|\mathrm{I}|-1)}{(n-1)(n-2)} w_{\mathrm{I}}+\frac{|\mathrm{I}|(|\mathrm{I}|-1)}{(n-1)(n-2)} w_{\mathrm{I}^{c}}\right) D_{\mathrm{I}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{c}}}\left(\frac{|\mathrm{I}|(\mathrm{n}-|\mathrm{I}|)}{\mathrm{n}-1}-2+\frac{(\mathrm{n}-|\mathrm{I}|)(\mathrm{n}-|\mathrm{I}|-1)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)} w_{\mathrm{I}}+\frac{|\mathrm{I}|(|\mathrm{I}|-1)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)} w_{\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{c}}}\right) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}}  \tag{4}\\
& +\sum_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathrm{C}} \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{J} \subset \mathrm{I} \\
|J|=2}}\left(\frac{2(n-2)}{n-1}-2+\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{(n-1)(n-2)} w_{J}+\frac{2 \cdot 1}{(n-1)(n-2)} w_{J^{c}}\right) D_{I} \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathrm{C}^{c}}\left(\frac{|\mathrm{I}|(\mathrm{n}-|\mathrm{I}|)}{\mathrm{n}-1}-2+\frac{(\mathrm{n}-|\mathrm{I}|)(\mathrm{n}-|\mathrm{I}|-1)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)} w_{\mathrm{I}}+\frac{|\mathrm{I}|(|\mathrm{I}|-1)}{(\mathrm{n}-1)(\mathrm{n}-2)} w_{\mathrm{I}^{c}}\right) D_{\mathrm{I}} \\
& +\sum_{I \in C}\left(\binom{|I|}{2}\left(\frac{2(n-2)}{n-1}-2\right)+\frac{n-3}{n-1}(|I|-1) w_{I}+\frac{(|I|-1)(|I|-2)}{(n-1)(n-2)} w_{I}+\frac{|I|(|I|-1)}{(n-1)(n-2)} w_{I^{c}}\right) D_{I} .
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that if $|I|=1$ (so $I \in C$ ), then the coefficient of $D_{I}$ is zero.

From a direct calculation, it is immediate to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}-\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{I} \in \mathrm{C} \\ 2 \leq \mathrm{II} \mid \leq n-2}}\left((|\mathrm{I}|-2)\left(1-w_{\mathrm{I}}\right)\right) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for every $\mathrm{I} \in \mathrm{C}$ with nonzero $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}}, w_{\mathrm{I}} \leq 1$ by the definition of C . So the difference $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}-\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is effective and supported on the exceptional locus of $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$. This implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\bar{M}_{0, n}, \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\bar{M}_{0, n}, \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\bar{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}}, \varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$. The same statement holds for a positive multiple of the same divisors. Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{l \geq 0} H^{0}\left(\bar{M}_{0, n}, \mathcal{O}\left(l \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)\right)=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{l \geq 0} H^{0}\left(\bar{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{O}\left(l \varphi_{\mathcal{A}^{*}}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)\right)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we prove $\varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is ample, then the last birational model is exactly $\bar{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}}$.
Due to the F-conjecture ([8, Question 1.1]), we may assume that the Mori cone $\overline{N E}_{1}\left(\bar{M}_{0, n}\right)$ is generated by vital curve classes. This implies that $\overline{N E}_{1}\left(\bar{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}}\right)$ is finitely generated by the images of non-contracted vital curve classes. Thus by Kleiman's criterion, a Cartier divisor $\varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is ample on $\bar{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}}$ if and only if for every non-contracted vital curve class $\mathrm{C} \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}_{1}\left(\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0, \mathcal{A}}\right), \mathrm{C} \cdot \varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)>0$. By projection formula, this is equivalent to $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \varphi_{\mathcal{A}_{*}}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is nef and it contracts exceptional curves only. Therefore to check the ampleness of $\varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$, it suffices to show that $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ intersects positively with non-contracted vital curves.

Although the number of vital curve classes increases exponentially, the intersection numbers of vital curves and $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ have surprisingly simple patterns. For a partition $S_{1} \sqcup S_{2} \sqcup S_{3} \sqcup S_{4}=[n]$, let $C\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}\right)$ be the corresponding vital curve class. Let $w_{j}:=\sum_{i \in S_{j}} a_{i}, T_{j}:=\left|S_{j}\right|$ and $w:=w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}+w_{4}$. We may assume that $w_{1} \leq w_{2} \leq w_{3} \leq w_{4}$.

We encode the data of weights and partitions into a sequence of symbols of length 7 . Let $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{14}\right)$ be a sequence of symbols such that each $s_{i}$ or $s_{i j}$ is one of ,,$-+ *$. A vital curve class $C\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}\right)$ is called of type $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{14}\right)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

- If $s_{i}$ (resp. $s_{i j}$ ) is -, then $w_{i} \leq 1$ (resp. $w_{i}+w_{j} \leq 1$ );
- If $s_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.s_{i j}\right)$ is + , then $1<\mathcal{w}_{i}<w-1$ (resp. $1<w_{i}+w_{j}<w-1$ );
- If $s_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.s_{i j}\right)$ is $*$, then $w_{i} \geq w-1$ (resp. $w_{i}+w_{j} \geq w-1$ ).

It is straightforward to see that a vital curve class $C\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}\right)$ is contracted by $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if there exists $j$ such that $\sum_{i \notin S_{j}} a_{i} \leq 1$. So we may assume that $w_{i}+w_{j}+w_{k}>1$ for all $\{i, j, k\} \subset\{1,2,3,4\}$, hence no $s_{i}$ is $*$. Then we can divide all non-contracted vital curve classes into 13 types. By using [8, Lemma 4.3], we conclude that the formulas of intersection numbers $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}\right) \cdot \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{*} \varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ are depend only on the type of vital curves. It is easy to calculate the intersection numbers by using a computer algebra system. The list of all intersection numbers are in Table 1 in page 4 . Note that all terms are nonnegative and for each intersection number the last term is positive. This completes the proof of item (1) of Theorem 1.1.

Next, we prove item (2) of Theorem 1.1. Set $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}, \mathrm{T}$ and C as before. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}:=(n-4) \sum_{\mathrm{I} \in \mathrm{~T}}\left(-\binom{|\mathrm{I}|}{2} \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}+\frac{|\mathrm{I}|-1}{n-2} w_{\mathrm{I}}\right) D_{\mathrm{I}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Types | Intersection numbers |
| :---: | :--- |
| $(-,-,-,-,-,-,-)$ | $(n-4) w_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{1}(w-2)$ |
| $(-,-,-,-,-,-,+)$ | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-1\right)\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{3}-2\right) w_{1}+\left(\mathrm{T}_{4}-1\right)\left(1-w_{4}\right)+(w-2)$ |
| $(-,-,-,-,-,+,+)$ | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}-1\right) w_{1}+\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-1\right) w_{2}+\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}-1\right)\left(1-w_{3}\right)+\left(\mathrm{T}_{4}-1\right)\left(1-w_{4}\right)+(w-2)$ |
| $(-,-,-,-,+,+,+)$ | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-1\right)\left(1-w_{1}\right)+\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}-1\right)\left(1-w_{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}-1\right)\left(1-w_{3}\right)+\left(\mathrm{T}_{4}-1\right)(1-1$ <br> $\left.w_{4}\right)+(w-2)$ |
| $(-,-,-,+,-,-,+)$ | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{3}-2\right) w_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{1}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}-1\right)$ |
| $(-,-,-,+,-,+,+)$ | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}-1\right) w_{1}+\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-1\right) w_{2}+\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}-1\right)\left(1-w_{3}\right)+\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}-1\right)$ |
| $(-,-,-,+,+,+,+)$ | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-1\right)\left(1-w_{1}\right)+\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}-1\right)\left(1-w_{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}-1\right)\left(1-w_{3}\right)+\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}-1\right)$ |
| $(-,-,+,+,-,+,+)$ | $\mathrm{T}_{2} w_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{1} w_{2}$ |
| $(-,-,+,+,+,+,+)$ | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-1\right)\left(1-w_{1}\right)+\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}-1\right)\left(1-w_{2}\right)+w_{1}+w_{2}$ |
| $(-,+,+,+,+,+,+)$ | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-1\right)\left(1-w_{1}\right)+w_{1}+1$ |
| $(+,+,+,+,+,+,+)$ | 2 |
| $(-,-,-,-,-,-, *)$ | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{3}-3\right)\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{T}_{4}-1\right)\left(1-w_{4}\right)+(w-2)$ |
| $(-,-,-,+,-,-, *)$ | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{3}-2\right)\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}-1\right)$ |

TABLE 1. Intersection numbers for each vital curve types

Then it is straightforward to check that $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}$ is equal to the right side of (5).
By [6], there exists a birational morphism $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}: \bar{M}_{0, n} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n} / /{ }_{L} \operatorname{SL}(2)$ for any ample linearization $L=\mathcal{O}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$. Every boundary divisors except $D_{I}$ for $|I|=2$ are contracted by $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$. The coefficients of $D_{I}$ in $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}$ is nonnegative since $w_{\mathrm{I}} \leq 1$ and is zero when $|I|=2$. Thus $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}-\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}$ is also effective and supported on the exceptional locus of $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore, by the same argument of the proof of item (1), $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \cong \bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}\right)$.

For a vital curve class $C\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}\right)$, by [8, Lemma 4.3],

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime} \cdot C\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & w_{4} \geq 1  \tag{8}\\ (n-4)\left(1-w_{4}\right), & w_{4} \leq 1 \text { and } w_{1}+w_{4} \geq 1 \\ (n-4) w_{1}, & w_{4} \leq 1 \text { and } w_{1}+w_{4} \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

These intersection numbers are propotional to that of $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) / / \operatorname{SL}(2)\right)$ in [1, Lemma 2.2]. Since $\overline{N E}_{1}\left(\bar{M}_{0, n}\right)$ is generated by vital curves, $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}$ is proportional to the pullback of the ample divisor $\mathcal{O}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) / / \operatorname{SL}(2)$ on $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n} / / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{SL}(2)$. Therefore $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \cong$ $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n} / / \mathrm{L} S(2)$.
Remark 2.1. The total psi-class $\psi:=\sum_{i} \psi_{i}$ is $\psi=\sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \sum_{|I|=j} \frac{j(n-j)}{n-1} D_{I}=K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+2 D$ by [2, Lemma 1] and [8, Lemma 3.5]. So for $\alpha>0, K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\alpha \psi=(1+\alpha)\left(K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\frac{2 \alpha}{1+\alpha} D\right)$. Therefore if $a_{1}=\cdots=a_{n}=\alpha$ for some $2 / n<\alpha \leq 1$, then $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\sum a_{i} \psi_{i}\right)=$ $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\alpha \psi\right)$ is equal to $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\frac{2 \alpha}{1+\alpha} D\right)$. If we substitute $\beta=\frac{2 \alpha}{1+\alpha}$, then we get item (1) of Theorem 1.2. Similarly, we can prove that $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\frac{2}{n / 2+1} D\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n} / / \mathrm{L} \operatorname{SL}(2)$ with $\mathrm{L}=\mathcal{O}(2 / n, 2 / n, \cdots, 2 / n)$ which is proportial to $\mathcal{O}(1,1, \cdots, 1)$. So we can recover item (2) of Theorem 1.2 except the range of bigness.

Remark 2.2. Theorem 1.1 shows a mysterious duality. For $\left(C, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \bar{M}_{0, n}$, by the definition, the $\log$ canonical model $C\left(\omega_{C}+\sum a_{i} x_{i}\right)$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-stable curve and it
is $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}\left(C, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$. The same weight datum determines $\bar{M}_{0, n}\left(K_{\bar{M}_{0, n}}+\sum a_{i} \psi_{i}\right)$ of the moduli space $\bar{M}_{0, n}$ itself.

Remark 2.3. In [7], Keel proved that $\operatorname{dim} N^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{0, n}\right)=2^{n-1}-\binom{n}{2}-1$. By Theorem 1.1, if the F-conjecture is true, then the family $\left\{\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0, \mathcal{A}}\right\}$ of birational models of $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{0, n}$ are detected by only an $n$-dimensional subcone of the effective cone of $\bar{M}_{0, n}$. So we can expect that still there is a huge wild world of unknown birational models of $\bar{M}_{0, n}$.

Remark 2.4. Although there is a strong belief on the F-conjecture, it seems that the proof of the F-conjecture is far from our hands. So it is necessary finding a proof of Theorem 1.1 without relying on the F-conjecture. As in the proof, proving the ampleness of $\varphi_{\mathcal{A} *}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is a crucial step. We can express $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}_{*}}\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ in terms of tautological divisors on the universal curve of $\bar{M}_{0, \mathcal{A}}$. The author is working on proving the ampleness by using the expression and the technique of Fedorchuk in [3].
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