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#### Abstract

We consider the Cauchy problem of the fifth order KdV equation with low regularity initial data. We cannot apply the iteration argument to this problem when initial data is given in the Sobolev space $H^{s}$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. So we give initial data in $H^{s, a}$ equipped with the norm $$
\|\varepsilon\|_{H^{s, a}}:=\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a} \widehat{\varphi}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}} .
$$

Then we recover derivatives of the nonlinear term to be able to use the iteration method. Therefore we obtain the local well-posedness in $H^{s, a}$ with $s \geq$ $\max \{-1 / 4,-2 a-2\},-3 / 2<a \leq-1 / 4$ and $(s, a) \neq(-1 / 4,-7 / 8)$. Moreover, we obtain ill-posedness in some sense when $s<\max \{-1 / 4,-2 a-2\}, a \leq-3 / 2$ or $a>-1 / 4$. The main tool is a variant of the Fourier restriction norm method, which is based on Kishimoto's work (2009).


## 1. Introduction

We consider the Cauchy problem of the following fifth order KdV equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{5} u+c_{1} \partial_{x}\left(u^{3}\right)+c_{2} \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}+c_{3} \partial_{x}\left(u \partial_{x}^{2} u\right)=0, \text { in }[0, T] \times \mathbb{R},  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c_{3} \neq 0$. Here the given data $u_{0}$ and the unknown function $u$ are real-valued or complex-valued. The Lax equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{5} u-10 \partial_{x}\left(u^{3}\right)+5 \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}+10 \partial_{x}\left(u \partial_{x}^{2} u\right)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is one of the KdV hierarchies. The equation is completely integrable and has an infinite number of conservation laws as follows:

$$
\int u^{2} d x, \int\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}+2 u^{3} d x, \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2}+5 \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}+\frac{5}{2} u^{4} d x, \text { etc. }
$$

[^0]The fifth order KdV equation models several water wave physics (see, for instance, [2], [3], [20]). Our main aim is to prove the local well-posedness (LWP for short) for (1.1) with low regularity data.

By using the theory of complete integrability, we obtain global solutions of (1.2) with Schwartz initial data. But this method does not work for the well-posedness problem of (1.1) generalizing (1.2) to non-integrable case. So the theory of dispersive PDEs is required. The main tool is the Fourier restriction norm method introduced by Bourgain [4].

We recall some known results for (1.1) with data given in the Sobolev space $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$. Here this space is defined by the norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_{H^{s}}:=\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} \widehat{\varphi}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}},
$$

where $\langle\xi\rangle^{s}:=\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\widehat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform of $\varphi$. Ponce [22] proved LWP in $H^{s}$ for $s \geq 4$ by the compactness argument, which was improved to $s>5 / 2$ by Kwon [16]. Kenig, Ponce and Vega [12] studied the Cauchy problem for the higher order dispersive equation:

$$
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}^{2 j+1} u+P\left(u, \partial_{x} u, \cdots, \partial_{x}^{2 j} u\right)=0
$$

where $P$ is a polynomial having no constant and linear term. Using the local smoothing estimates established in [11], they showed LWP in the weighted Sobolev space $L^{2}\left(|x|^{m} d x\right) \cap H^{s}$ where $s>0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ are some large numbers (see also [21]). When $s>j-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2 j}+\frac{2 j-1}{2 r^{\prime}}$ and $1<r^{\prime} \leq \frac{2 j}{2 j-1}$ with $j \geq 2$, Grönrock [8] proved LWP for the Cauchy problem of the $2 j+1$ th order KdV equation in $\widehat{H}_{s}^{r}$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_{\widehat{H}_{s}^{r}}:=\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} \widehat{\varphi}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{r^{\prime}}}, \text { where } \frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}=1
$$

Namely, he obtained LWP for (1.1) in $\widehat{H}_{s}^{r}$ when $s>\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{2 r^{\prime}}$ and $1<r \leq \frac{4}{3}$. Moreover, Kwon [16] proved LWP for the Cauchy problem of the modified fifth order KdV equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{5} u-6 \partial_{x}\left(u^{5}\right)+10 \partial_{x}\left(u\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}\right)+10 \partial_{x}\left(u^{2} \partial_{x}^{2} u\right)=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

at critical case $H^{3 / 4}$, which is proven by using the $[k, \mathbb{Z}]$-multiplier norm method and the block estimates established by Tao [23].

The difficult point in this problem is that the nonlinear term $\partial_{x}\left(u \partial_{x}^{2} u\right)$ has more derivatives than can be recovered by the smoothing effects Lemma 2.1-2.3 below. Precisely speaking, we only recover two derivatives by these effects. From this fact, the data-to solution map for (1.1) is not $C^{2}$ from $H^{s}$ to $C\left([0, T]: H^{s}\right)$ for any
$s \in \mathbb{R}$. This causes a strong interaction between high and low frequencies data. This type of phenomenon is observed in the Benjamin-Ono equation and the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-I equation. In [17] and [18], Molinet, Saut and Tzvetkov showed the data-to-solution maps of these equations are not $C^{2}$. Using their argument, we prove that (1.1) cannot have its data-to-solution map $C^{2}$. We first define the quadratic term of the Taylor expansion of the data-to-solution map as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)=-c_{2} \int_{0}^{t} U(t-s) \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x} u_{1}(s)\right)^{2} d s-c_{3} \int_{0}^{t} U(t-s) \partial_{x}\left(u_{1}(s) \partial_{x}^{2} u_{1}(s)\right) d s \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U(t):=e^{t \partial_{x}^{5}}$ and $u_{1}(t):=U(t) u_{0}$. Next, we put the sequence of initial data $\left\{\phi_{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \in H^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\phi_{N}}(\xi)=N^{-s+2} \chi_{\left[N-N^{-4}, N+N^{-4}\right]}(\xi)+N^{2} \chi_{\left[N^{-4} / 2, N^{-4}\right]}(\xi) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $N \gg 1$. Clearly, $\left\|\phi_{N}\right\|_{H^{s}} \sim 1$. Substituting (1.5) into (1.4), we obtain, for $|t|$ bounded,

$$
\left\|A_{2}\left(\phi_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s}} \geq C N
$$

which implies the claim by the general argument in 9]. This implies that the Picard iteration is not available if the data-to-solution would be real-analytic. The modified fifth order KdV equation (1.3) is linked with the fifth order KdV equation (1.2) through the Miura transform $v \mapsto u=\alpha \partial_{x} v+\beta v^{2}$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta$. If $v$ is a smooth solution of (1.3), then $u$ solves (1.2). But (1.1) is a non-integrable equation so that it seems unable to apply the Miura transform.

To avoid this difficulty, we change the space in which initial data is given as follows:

$$
H^{s, a}(\mathbb{R}):=\left\{u \in \mathcal{Z}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R}) ;\|u\|_{H^{s, a}}:=\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a} \widehat{u}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}}<\infty\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the dual space of

$$
\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):=\left\{u \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) ; D^{\alpha} \mathcal{F} u(0)=0 \text { for every multi-index } \alpha\right\} .
$$

For the details of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R})$, see e.g. pp. 237 in [24].
We remark that we can recover derivatives of the nonlinear term $\partial_{x}\left(u \partial_{x}^{2} u\right)$ in the interaction between high and low frequencies data when $a<0$. Therefore the iteration method works in the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \geq \max \left\{-\frac{1}{4},-2 a-2\right\}, \quad-\frac{3}{2}<a \leq-\frac{1}{4} \text { and }(s, a) \neq\left(-\frac{1}{4},-\frac{7}{8}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we obtain the well-posedness results in $H^{s, a}$ as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let $s$, a satisfy (1.6). Then (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^{s, a}(\mathbb{R})$.
We obtain a priori estimate by using two conserved quantities

$$
\int u^{2} d x, \quad \int\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{5} \alpha u^{3} d x
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=-\frac{2}{5} \alpha^{2}, c_{2}=\alpha \text { and } c_{3}=2 \alpha \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1.2. Let $u$ be a solution to (1.1) with (1.7). Then, for $-1 \leq a \leq-1 / 4$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{1, a}}^{2} \leq C\left\{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1, a}}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{10 / 3}+T^{4 / 3}\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{10 / 3}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{5}\right)\right\} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By this proposition, we extend the time local solutions obtained by Theorem 1.1 to time global ones.

Theorem 1.3. Let $s \geq 1$ and $-1 \leq a \leq-1 / 4$. Then (1.1) with (1.7) is globally well-posed in $H^{s, a}(\mathbb{R})$.

We put $s_{a}=-2 a-2$ and $B_{r}(\mathcal{X}):=\left\{u \in \mathcal{X} ;\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq r\right\}$ for a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$. We prove ill-posedness in the following sense when $s<\max \{-1 / 4,-2 a-2\}$, $a \leq-3 / 2$ or $a>-1 / 4$.

Theorem 1.4. (i) Let $r>1,-3 / 2<a<-7 / 8$ and $c_{2} \neq c_{3}$. Then, from Proposition 5.1 below, there exist $T>0$ and the flow map for (1.1) $B_{r}\left(H^{s_{a}, a}\right) \ni u_{0} \mapsto$ $u(t) \in H^{s_{a}, a}$ for any $t \in(0, T]$. Then the flow map is discontinuous on $B_{r}\left(H^{s_{a}, a}\right)$ (with $H^{s, a}$ topology) to $H^{s_{a}, a}$ (with $H^{s, a}$ topology) for any $s<s_{a}$.
(ii) Let $s<-2 a-2, a \leq-3 / 2$ or $a>-1 / 4$. Then there is no $T>0$ such that for (1.1) with $c_{2} \neq c_{3}, u_{0} \mapsto u(t)$, is $C^{2}$ as a map from $B_{r}\left(H^{s, a}\right)$ to $H^{s, a}$ for any $t \in(0, T]$.
(iii) Let $s<-1 / 4, a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_{1} \neq \frac{1}{5} c_{3}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right)$. Then there is no $T>0$ such that the flow map for (1.1)), $u_{0} \mapsto u(t)$, is $C^{3}$ as a map from $B_{r}\left(H^{s, a}\right)$ to $H^{s, a}$ for any $t \in(0, T]$.

Remark. (i) We do not know weather LWP for (1.1) holds or not in $H^{-1 / 4,-7 / 8}$.
(ii) From Theorems 1.1 and 1.4, (1.1) is locally well-posed in $\dot{H}^{-1 / 4}$ and ill-posed in $\dot{H}^{s}$ in some sense when $s \neq-1 / 4$.

The main idea is how to define the function space to construct the solution of (1.1). The bilinear estimates of the nonlinear term $\partial_{x}\left(u \partial_{x}^{2} u\right)$ plays an important
role to prove Theorem 1.1. We introduce the Bourgain space $\hat{X}^{s, a, b}$ corresponding to $H^{s, a}$ as follows:

$$
\hat{X}^{s, a, b}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{Z}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) ;\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{s, a, b}}:=\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{b} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}<\infty\right\}
$$

We consider the bilinear estimate of the nonlinear term $\partial_{x}\left(u \partial_{x}^{2} u\right)$ in the Bourgain space $\hat{X}^{s, a, b}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{\hat{X}^{s, a, b-1}} \leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{s, a, b}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{s, a, b}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, from Examples 1-3 in Appendix, (1.9) fails for any $b \in \mathbb{R}$ when

$$
\begin{align*}
& s=-\frac{1}{4},-\frac{7}{8}<a \leq-\frac{1}{4}  \tag{1.10}\\
& s=-\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon_{1}, a=-\frac{7}{8} \text { and } s=-2 a-2,-\frac{27}{28}<a<-\frac{7}{8} . \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}$ is a sufficiently small number such that $0<\varepsilon_{1} \leq s+1 / 4$. Therefore the standard argument of the Fourier restriction norm method does not work for (1.10)(1.11). To overcome this difficulty, we make a modification on the Bourgain space to establish the bilinear estimates when (1.10)-(1.11). An idea of a modification of the Bourgain space is used by Bejenaru-Tao [1] in which they prove LWP at critical regularity $s=-1$ for the quadratic Schrödinger equation with the nonlinear term $u^{2}$. We consider the typical counterexamples of the bilinear estimate to find a suitable function space.

From Example 3 in Appendix, we have to take $b=1 / 2$ in the neighborhood of the curve $\left\{\tau=\frac{\xi^{5}}{16}\right.$ and $\left.|\xi| \geq 1\right\}$ to obtain (1.9) for (1.10). Thus we modify the Bourgian norm in the high frequency part $\{|\xi| \geq 1\}$ as follow:

$$
\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}:=\left\|\left\{\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j} \cap B_{k}\right)}\right\}_{j, k \geq 0}\right\|_{l_{j}^{2} l_{k}} .
$$

where $A_{j}, B_{k}$ are two dyadic decompositions as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{j}:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; 2^{j} \leq\langle\xi\rangle<2^{j+1}\right\} \\
& B_{k}:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; 2^{k} \leq\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle<2^{k+1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. For a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ and a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}(\Omega)}$ denotes $\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}(\Omega)}=\left\|\chi_{\Omega} f\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ where $\chi_{\Omega}$ is the characteristic function of $\Omega$.

From Examples 1 and 2 in Appendix, we need to take $b=3 a / 5+10$ on the domain

$$
D_{0}:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;|\xi| \leq 1 \text { and }|\tau| \sim|\xi|^{-5 / 3}\right\}
$$

so that (1.9) holds for (1.10). Thus we modify the Bourgain norm in the low frequency part $\{|\xi| \leq 1\}$ as follows:

$$
\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a}}:= \begin{cases}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-1 / 4,3 / 4}\left(D_{1}\right)}+\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-1 / 4,3 / 4,1}\left(D_{2}\right)} & \text { for } a=-1 / 4, \\ \|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 5 a / 3+9 / 10,1}\left(A_{0}\right)} & \text { for }-7 / 8<a<-1 / 4, \\ \|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-7 / 8,3 / 8+\varepsilon_{1} / 2}\left(A_{0}\right)} & \text { for } a=-7 / 8, \\ \|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 8+\varepsilon_{2} / 2}\left(A_{0}\right)} & \text { for }-3 / 2<a<-7 / 8 .\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;|\xi| \leq 1 \text { and }|\tau| \geq|\xi|^{-5 / 3}\right\} \\
& D_{2}:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;|\xi| \leq 1 \text { and }|\tau| \leq|\xi|^{-5 / 3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\varepsilon_{2}$ is a sufficiently small number such that $0<\varepsilon_{2} \leq-(a+7 / 8)$. Here $\hat{X}_{L}^{a, b}, \hat{X}_{L}^{a, b, 1}$ are defined by the norm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, b}}:=\left\||\xi|^{a}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{b} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{0}\right)}, \\
& \|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a b, 1}}:=\sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{b k}\left\||\xi|^{a} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{0} \cap B_{k}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This idea of a modification of the Bourgain norm in the low frequency part is based on Kishimoto's work [13] which proved LWP and global well-posedness for the Cauchy problem of the KdV equation at the critical case $H^{-3 / 4}$ (see also [10]).

From the above argument, we define the function space $\hat{Z}^{s, a}$ as follows:

$$
\hat{Z}^{s, a}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{Z}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) ;\|f\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}:=\left\|p_{h} f\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}+\left\|p_{l} f\right\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a}}<\infty\right\}
$$

where $p_{h}, p_{l}$ are projection operators such that $\left(p_{h} f\right)(\xi):=\left.f(\xi)\right|_{|\xi| \geq 1}, \quad\left(p_{l} f\right)(\xi):=$ $\left.f(\xi)\right|_{\xi \mid \leq 1}$. Using the function space above, we obtain the following nonlinear estimates which are the main ones in this paper.

Proposition 1.5. Let s, a satisfy (1.6). Then the following estimates hold.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \xi(\xi f) *(\xi g)\right\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}} \\
& \quad+\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \xi(\xi f) *(\xi g)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}} \leq C\|f\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}\|g\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}  \tag{1.12}\\
& \left\|\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}} \\
& \quad+\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\xi^{2}}^{2} \tau_{\tau}^{1}} \leq C\|f\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}\|g\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}  \tag{1.13}\\
& \left\|\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \xi f * g * h\right\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}} \\
& \quad+\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \xi f * g * h\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}} \leq C\|f\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}\|g\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}\|h\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}} \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

We omit the proof of (1.12) because we immediately obtain (1.12) from (1.13). Therefore we only prove (1.13) and (1.14).

We use $A \lesssim B$ to denote $A \leq C B$ for some positive constant $C$ and write $A \sim B$ to mean $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. The rest of this paper is planned as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminary lemmas. By using these lemmas, we prove the bilinear estimate (1.13) in Section 3 and the trilinear estimate (1.14) in Section 4. In Section 5, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1. Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.4.
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## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we prepare the smoothing effects and linear estimates to show the main theorems and the nonlinear estimates. When we use the variables $(\tau, \xi)$, $\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\tau_{2}, \xi_{2}\right)$, we always assume the relation

$$
(\tau, \xi)=\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)+\left(\tau_{2}, \xi_{2}\right)
$$

We mention the smoothing effects for the operator $e^{-t \partial_{x}^{5}}$.
Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $f, g$ is supported on a single $A_{j}$ for $j \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||\xi|^{3 / 4} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{0,1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{0,1 / 2}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover if

$$
K:=\inf \left\{\left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right| ; \exists \tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \text { s.t. }\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\left(\tau_{2}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{supp} g\right\}>0
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||\xi|^{1 / 2} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim K^{-3 / 2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{0,1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{0,1 / 2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) g\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) h(\tau, \xi) d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1} d \tau d \xi\right| \\
\lesssim 2^{k_{1} / 2} 2^{k_{2} / 2}\|f\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\left\||\xi|^{-3 / 4} h\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) g\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) h(\tau, \xi) d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1} d \tau d \xi\right| \\
& \lesssim K^{-3 / 2} 2^{k_{1} / 2} 2^{k_{2} / 2}\|f\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 2} h\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

when $f, g$ are restricted to $B_{k_{1}}, B_{k_{2}}$ for $k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 0$. That is the reason why we use (2.3) and the triangle inequality to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) g\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) h(\tau, \xi) d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1} d \tau d \xi\right| \\
\lesssim & \sum_{k_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\chi_{B_{k_{1}}} f\right)\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)\left(\chi_{B_{k_{2}}} g\right)\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1} h(\tau, \xi) d \tau d \xi\right| \\
\lesssim & \sum_{k_{1}} 2^{k_{1} / 2}\|f\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k_{1}}\right)} \sum_{k_{2}} 2^{k_{2} / 2}\|g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k_{2}}\right)}\left\||\xi|^{-3 / 4} h\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (2.1). Moreover, if we assume (2.4), we obtain (2.2) in the same manner as above.

We prove (2.3) and (2.4). We use Schwarz's inequality twice and Fubini's theorem to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) g\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) h(\tau, \xi) d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1} d \tau d \xi\right| \\
& \lesssim \sup _{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}} m(\tau, \xi)^{1 / 2}\|f\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|h\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
m(\tau, \xi)=\int \chi_{\Lambda_{1}}\left(\tau, \xi, \tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1}
$$

and

$$
\Lambda_{1}:=\left\{\left(\tau, \xi, \tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4} ;\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{supp} g\right\}
$$

Therefore (2.3) and (2.4) are reduced to the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\tau, \xi) \lesssim \min \left\{K^{-3} 2^{k_{1}+k_{2}}|\xi|^{-1}, 2^{k_{1}+k_{2}}|\xi|^{-3 / 2}\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we estimate $m$. Here we fix $\tau, \xi \neq 0$ and consider the variation of $\xi_{1}$. The identity

$$
\left(\tau-\frac{\xi^{5}}{16}\right)-\left(\tau_{1}-\xi_{1}^{5}\right)-\left\{\left(\tau-\tau_{1}\right)-\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{5}\right\}=\frac{5}{16} \xi\left(2 \xi_{1}-\xi\right)^{2}\left\{\left(2 \xi_{1}-\xi\right)^{2}+2 \xi^{2}\right\}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \{\{ & \left.\left.\frac{16}{5} \frac{\left|M-C\left(2^{k_{1}}+2^{k_{2}}\right)\right|}{|\xi|}+\xi^{4}\right\}^{1 / 2}-\xi^{2}, K^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq\left|2 \xi_{1}-\xi\right|^{2} \leq\left\{\frac{16}{5} \frac{M+C\left(2^{k_{1}}+2^{k_{2}}\right)}{|\xi|}+\xi^{4}\right\}^{1 / 2}-\xi^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M=\left|\tau-\xi^{5} / 16\right|$ and $C$ is some positive constant. If

$$
K \geq\left\{\left\{\frac{16}{5} \frac{\left|M-C\left(2^{K_{1}}+2^{K_{2}}\right)\right|}{\mid}+\xi^{4}\right\}^{1 / 2}-\xi^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

then the variation of $\left|2 \xi_{1}-\xi\right|$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\left\{\frac{16}{5} \frac{M+C\left(2^{k_{1}}+2^{k_{2}}\right)}{|\xi|}+\xi^{4}\right\}^{1 / 2}-\xi^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-K=\frac{M_{\tau, \xi}^{1 / 2}-\left(K^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)}{\left(M_{\tau, \xi}^{1 / 2}-\xi^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+K}} \\
\leq \frac{\frac{32 C}{5|\xi|}\left(2^{k_{1}}+2^{k_{2}}\right)}{\left\{\left(M_{\tau, \xi}^{1 / 2}-\xi^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+K\right\}\left\{M_{\tau, \xi}^{1 / 2}+\left(K^{2}+\xi^{2}\right)\right\}} \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
M_{\tau, \xi}:=\frac{16}{5} \frac{M+C\left(2^{k_{1}}+2^{k_{2}}\right)}{|\xi|}+\xi^{4}
$$

We note that there exists $\delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{\tau, \xi}^{1 / 2}-\xi^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq \delta_{1}|\xi|^{-3}\left(2^{k_{1} / 2}+2^{k_{2} / 2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following (2.7) and (2.8), the variation of $\xi_{1}$ is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
O\left(\min \left\{|\xi|^{-1} K^{-3}\left(2^{k_{1}}+2^{k_{2}}\right),|\xi|^{-3 / 2}\left(2^{3 k_{1} / 4}+2^{3 k_{2} / 4}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When

$$
K \leq\left[\left\{\frac{16}{5} \frac{\left|M-C\left(2^{k_{1}}+2^{k_{2}}\right)\right|}{|\xi|}+\xi^{4}\right\}^{1 / 2}-\xi^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

the variation of $\xi_{1}$ is bounded by (2.9) in the same manner as above. Next we also fix $\xi_{1}$. Then

$$
\left|\tau_{1}-\xi_{1}^{5}\right| \lesssim 2^{k_{1}} \text { and }\left|\left(\tau-\tau_{1}\right)-\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{5}\right| \lesssim 2^{k_{2}}
$$

imply that the variation of $\tau_{1}$ is at most $O\left(\min \left\{2^{k_{1}}, 2^{k_{2}}\right\}\right)$. Combining this and (2.9), we obtain

$$
m(\tau, \xi) \lesssim\left\{|\xi|^{-1} K^{-3} 2^{k_{1}+k_{2}},|\xi|^{-3 / 2} \max \left\{2^{3 k_{1} / 4}, 2^{3 k_{2} / 4}\right\} \min \left\{2^{k_{1}}, 2^{k_{2}}\right\}\right\}
$$

which shows (2.5).
Lemma 2.2. Assume that $g$ is supported on a single $A_{j}$ for $j \geq 0$ and $g$ is an arbitrary test function. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim 2^{3 k / 8}\left\||\xi|^{-3 / 4} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{0,1 / 2}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover if a non-empty set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfies

$$
K_{1}:=\inf \left\{\left|\xi+\xi_{2}\right| ; \exists \tau, \tau_{2} \text { s.t. }(\tau, \xi) \in \Omega,\left(\tau_{2}, \xi_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{supp} g\right\}>0
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(\Omega \cap B_{k}\right)} \lesssim 2^{k / 2} K_{1}^{-3 / 2}\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 2} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{0,1 / 2}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $g$ is restricted to $B_{k_{2}}$ for $k_{2} \geq 0$, it suffices to show

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) g\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) h(\tau, \xi) d \tau d \xi d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1}\right| \\
\quad \lesssim 2^{3 k / 8} 2^{k_{2} / 2}\left\||\xi|^{-3 / 4} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}, \xi}\|h\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \tag{2.12}
\end{array}
$$

for $h \in L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) g\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) h(\tau, \xi) d \tau d \xi d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1}\right| \\
& \lesssim K_{1}^{-3 / 2} 2^{k / 2+k_{2} / 2}\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 2} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|h\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for $h \in L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k} \cap \Omega\right)$. That is the reason why we use (2.12) and the triangle inequality to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) g\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) h(\tau, \xi) d \tau d \xi d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1}\right| \\
\lesssim & \sum_{k_{2}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)\left(\chi_{B_{k_{2}}} g\right)\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) h(\tau, \xi) d \tau d \xi d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1}\right| \\
\lesssim & 2^{3 k / 8}\left\||\xi|^{-3 / 4} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \sum_{k_{2}} 2^{k_{2} / 2}\|g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k_{2}}\right)}\|h\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (2.10). Moreover, if we assume (2.13), we use the triangle inequality to obtain (2.11) in the same manner as above.

We prove (2.12) and (2.13). We use Schwarz's inequality twice and Fubini's theorem to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) g\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) h(\tau, \xi) d \tau d \xi d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1}\right| \\
& \lesssim \sup _{\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}} m_{1}\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\|f\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}\|h\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
m_{1}\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \chi_{\Lambda_{2}}\left(\tau, \xi, \tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) d \tau d \xi
$$

and

$$
\Lambda_{2}:=\left\{\left(\tau, \xi, \tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4} ;\left(\tau-\tau_{1}, \xi-\xi_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{supp} f,(\tau, \xi) \in \operatorname{supp} h\right\}
$$

Therefore (2.12) and (2.13) are reduced to the estimate.

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \lesssim \min \left\{K_{1}^{-3}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-1} 2^{k+k_{2}},\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-3 / 2} 2^{3 k / 4} 2^{k_{2}}\right\} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we fix $\tau_{1}$ and $\xi_{1} \neq 0$ and estimate $m_{1}$. We use the identity

$$
\left(\tau_{1}-\frac{\xi_{1}^{5}}{16}\right)-\left(\tau-\xi^{5}\right)+\left\{\left(\tau-\tau_{1}\right)-\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{5}\right\}=\frac{5}{16} \xi_{1}\left(2 \xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}\left\{\left(2 \xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}+2 \xi_{1}^{2}\right\}
$$

to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{\left\{\frac{16}{5} \frac{\left|M_{1}-C\left(2^{k}+2^{k_{2}}\right)\right|}{\left|\xi_{1}\right|}+\xi_{1}^{4}\right\}^{1 / 2}-\xi_{1}^{2}, K_{1}^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq\left|2 \xi-\xi_{1}\right|^{2} \leq\left\{\frac{16}{5} \frac{M_{1}+C\left(2^{k}+2^{k_{2}}\right)}{\left|\xi_{1}\right|}+\xi_{1}^{4}\right\}^{1 / 2}-\xi_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{1}:=\left|\tau_{1}-\xi_{1}^{5} / 16\right|$. This estimate shows (2.14) by following the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $f$ is supported on a single $A_{j}$ for $j \geq 0$ and $g$ is an arbitrary test function. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim 2^{3 k / 8}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{0,1 / 2}}\left\||\xi|^{-3 / 4} g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover if a non-empty set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfies

$$
K_{2}:=\inf \left\{\left|\xi+\xi_{1}\right| ; \exists \tau, \tau_{1} \text { s.t. }(\tau, \xi) \in \Omega, \quad\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{supp} f\right\}>0
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(\Omega \cap B_{k}\right)} \lesssim 2^{k / 2} K_{2}^{-3 / 2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{0,1 / 2}}\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 2} g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same manner as the proof of Lemma 2.2, we immediately obtain (2.15) and (2.16) by symmetry. We put a smooth cut-off function $\varphi(t)$ satisfying $\varphi(t)=$ 1 for $|t|<1$ and $=0$ for $|t|>2$ and define $\|\cdot\|_{Z^{s, a}}$ as $\|u\|_{Z^{s, a}}:=\|\widehat{u}\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}$. We mention the linear estimates below.

Proposition 2.4. Let $s, a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u(t)=\varphi(t) U(t) u_{0}$. Then the following estimate holds.

$$
\|u\|_{Z^{s, a}}+\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H_{x}^{s, a}\right)} \lesssim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s, a}}
$$

Proposition 2.5. Let $s, a \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
u(t)=\varphi(t) \int_{0}^{t} U(t-s) F(s) d s
$$

Then the following estimate holds.

$$
\|u\|_{Z^{s, a}}+\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H_{x}^{s, a}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\mathcal{F}_{\tau, \xi}^{-1}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \widehat{F}\right\|_{Z^{s, a}}+\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \widehat{F}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}} .
$$

The proofs of these propositions are given in [7].

## 3. Proof of the bilinear estimates

In this section, we prove the bilinear estimate (1.13). We use the following notation for simplicity,

$$
A_{<j_{1}}:=\bigcup_{j<j_{1}} A_{j}, \quad B_{\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right)}:=\bigcup_{k_{1} \leq k<k_{2}} B_{k}, \quad \text { etc. }
$$

Here we state the key bilinear estimates as follows.
Proposition 3.1. Let $s$, a satisfy (1.6). Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are restricted on $A_{j_{1}}$ and $A_{j_{2}}$ for $j_{1}, j_{2} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. For $j \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}\left(A_{j}\right)} \lesssim C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right)\|f\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}\|g\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a+1}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1}\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}\left(A_{j}\right)} \lesssim C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right)\|f\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}\|g\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

in the following eight cases.
(i) At least two of $j, j_{1}, j_{2}$ are less than 30 and $C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \sim 1$.
(ii) $j_{1}, j_{2} \geq 30,\left|j_{1}-j_{2}\right| \leq 1,0<j<j_{1}-9$ and $C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \sim 2^{-\delta j}$ for some $\delta>0$.
(iii) $j, j_{2} \geq 30,\left|j-j_{2}\right| \leq 10,0<j_{1}<j-10$ and $C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \sim 2^{-\delta j_{1}}+2^{-\delta\left(j-j_{1}\right)}$ for some $\delta>0$.
(iv) $j, j_{1} \geq 30,\left|j-j_{1}\right| \leq 10,0<j_{2}<j-10$ and $C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \sim 2^{-\delta j_{2}}+2^{-\delta\left(j-j_{2}\right)}$ for some $\delta>0$.
(v) $j, j_{1}, j_{2} \geq 30,\left|j-j_{1}\right| \leq 10,\left|j-j_{2}\right| \leq 10$ and $C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \sim 1$.
(vi) $j_{1}, j_{2} \geq 30, j=0$ and $C\left(j, j_{1} j_{2}\right) \sim 1$.
(vii) $j, j_{2} \geq 30, j_{1}=0$ and $C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \sim 1$.
(viii) $j, j_{1} \geq 30, j_{2}=0$ and $C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \sim 1$.

Combining this proposition and the $L_{\xi}^{2}$-property of $\hat{Z}^{s, a}$, namely $\|f\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}^{2}=\sum_{j}\|f\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}\left(A_{j}\right)}^{2}$, we obtain (1.13).

Proof. We put $2^{k_{\text {max }}}:=\max \left\{2^{k}, 2^{k_{1}}, 2^{k_{2}}\right\}$. Then we have

$$
2^{k_{\max }} \gtrsim\left|\xi \xi_{1}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)\left\{\xi^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}+\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}\right|
$$

From the definition, we easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{X}^{s, a, 3 / 4+\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \hat{Z}^{s, a} \hookrightarrow \hat{X}^{s, a, 3 / 8} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small.
(I) Estimate for (i). In this case, we can assume $j, j_{1}, j_{2} \leq 40$. The left hand sides of (3.1) and (3.2) is bounded by $C\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1 / 4+\varepsilon} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}$ from (3.3). We use
the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1 / 4+\varepsilon} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} & \lesssim\|f * g\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty} L_{\tau}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{4 / 3}}\|g\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{4 / 3}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0, a, 3 / 8}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0, a, 3 / 8}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the desired estimate from (3.3).
From the estimate in the cases (iv) and (viii), we easily obtain (3.1) in the cases (iii) and (vii) because we recover derivative losses in these cases. Therefore we omit the proof in the cases (iii) and (vii). We first prove (3.1) in other cases.
(II) Estimate for (ii). We prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{(s+1) j} 2^{2 j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 2}\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j} \cap B_{k}\right)} \lesssim 2^{-\delta j}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(IIa) We consider (3.4) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k}$. From $2^{k} \gtrsim 2^{4 j_{1}+j}$, we use (2.2) with $K \sim 2^{j_{1}}$ to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S. }) & \sim 2^{(s+1) j} 2^{(-2 s+2) j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 4 j_{1}+j+O(1)} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{(s+1 / 2) j} 2^{-2 s j_{1}}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{s j} 2^{(-2 s-3 / 2) j_{1}}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is bounded by $2^{-5 j / 4}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}$ for $s \geq-1 / 4$.
(IIb) We consider (3.4) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k_{2}}$. From $2^{-k_{2} / 2} \lesssim 2^{-k / 8} 2^{-3 j_{1} / 2} 2^{-3 j / 8}$, we use (2.16) with $K_{2} \sim 2^{j_{1}}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S. }) & \lesssim 2^{(s+5 / 8) j} 2^{(-2 s+1 / 2) j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-5 k / 8}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{(s+5 / 8) j} 2^{(-2 s-3 / 2) j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 8}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows the required estimate for $s \geq-1 / 4$.
In the same manner as above, we obtain the desired estimate in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=$ $2^{k_{1}}$ by symmetry.
(III) Estimate for (iii). We prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{(s+3) j} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 2}\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim\left(2^{-\delta j_{1}}+2^{-\delta\left(j-j_{1}\right)}\right)\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(IIIa) We consider (3.5) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k}$. Since $2^{k} \gtrsim 2^{4 j+j_{2}}$, we use (2.2) with $K \sim 2^{j}$ to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (L.H.S.) } & \sim 2^{-s j_{2}} 2^{3 j} \sum_{k \geq 4 j+j_{2}+O(1)} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{(-s-1 / 2) j_{2}} 2^{j}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{(-s-1 / 2) j_{2}} 2^{-j}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(IIIb) We consider (3.5) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k_{1}}$. From $2^{k_{1}} \gtrsim 2^{4 j+j_{2}}$, we have $2^{-k_{1} / 2} \lesssim 2^{-k / 8} 2^{-3 j / 2} 2^{-3 j_{2} / 8}$. Then we use (2.11) with $K_{1} \sim 2^{j}$ to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (L.H.S.) } & \lesssim 2^{(-s-3 / 8) j_{2}} 2^{3 j / 2} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-5 k / 8}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{(-s-3 / 8) j_{2}} 2^{-j / 2} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 8}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the desired estimate for $s \geq-1 / 4$.
(IIIc) We consider (3.5) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k_{2}}$. Since $2^{-k_{2} / 2} \lesssim 2^{-k / 8} 2^{-3 j / 2} 2^{-3 j_{2} / 8}$, we use (2.16) with $K_{2} \sim 2^{j}$ to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (L.H.S.) } & \lesssim 2^{(-s-3 / 8) j_{2}} 2^{3 j / 2} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-5 k / 8}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{(-s-7 / 8) j_{2}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 8}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows the required estimate.
(VI) Estimate for (v). We prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{(s+3) j} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 2}\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(VIa) We consider (3.6) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k}$. Since $2^{k} \gtrsim 2^{5 j}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (L.H.S.) } & \sim 2^{(-s+3) j} \sum_{k \geq 5 j+O(1)} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{(-s+1 / 2) j}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows the desired estimate by using (2.1).
(VIb) We consider (3.6) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k_{1}}$. Since $2^{k_{1}} \gtrsim 2^{5 j_{1}}$, we use (2.10) with $K_{1} \sim 2^{j_{1}}$ to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (L.H.S.) } & \sim 2^{(-s+3) j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{(-s+1 / 2) j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{(-s-1 / 4) j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 8}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same manner as above, we obtain the desired estimate in the case $2^{k_{\text {max }}}=$ $2^{k_{2}}$ by symmetry.
(V) Estimate of (v). We prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 j_{1}}\left\|\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \xi f * g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 8}} \leq\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a}} \leq\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 4,1}} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $|\xi| \leq 2^{-4 j_{1}}$, from (3.8), it suffices show to

$$
2^{2 j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1 / 4+\varepsilon} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} .
$$

We use the Hölder inequality and Young inequality to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S. }) & \lesssim 2^{(-2 s+2) j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1 / 4+\varepsilon}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{(-2 s+2) j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}\left(|\xi| \leq 2^{-4 j_{1}}\right)}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty} L_{\tau}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-2(s+2 a+2) j_{1}}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{4 / 3}}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{4 / 3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the required estimate since $\|f\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{p}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{0,1 / 2}}$ when $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Therefore we only consider the case $2^{-4 j_{1}} \leq|\xi| \leq 1$.
(Va) We consider (3.7) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k_{2}}$. Note that the left hand side of (3.7) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{(-2 s+2) j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 4}\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $-s / 2 \leq a+1$ and $2^{k_{2}} \gtrsim|\xi| 2^{4 j_{1}}$, we have

$$
2^{-2 s j_{1}}|\xi|^{a+1} \lesssim\left(|\xi| 2^{4 j_{1}}\right)^{-s / 2} \lesssim 2^{k_{2} / 8} \lesssim 2^{k_{2} / 2} 2^{-3 k / 8} .
$$

Then we use (2.16) with $K_{2} \sim 2^{j_{1}}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.9) & \lesssim 2^{2 j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-5 k / 8}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 8}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same manner as above, we obtain the desired estimate in the case $2^{k_{\text {max }}}=$ $2^{k_{2}}$ by symmetry.
$(\mathrm{Vb})$ We consider (3.7) in the case $2^{k_{\text {max }}}=2^{k}$. If $2^{k} \gg|\xi| 2^{4 j_{1}}$, then we have $2^{k_{\max }} \sim 2^{k_{1}}$ or $2^{k_{2}}$. Thus we only prove (3.7) in the case $2^{k_{\max }} \sim|\xi| 2^{4 j_{1}}$.
(Vb-1) Firstly, we prove (3.7) in the case $-7 / 8<a<-1 / 4$.
(i) We first consider (3.7) when $f * g$ is restricted to $D_{1}$. In this case, we have $2^{-3 j_{1} / 2} \lesssim|\xi| \leq 1$ and $2^{5 j_{1} / 2} \lesssim|\tau| \lesssim 2^{4 j_{1}}$.
(ia) In the case $a=-1 / 4$, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{3 / 4}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1 / 4} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|\tau| \sim|\xi| 2^{4 j_{1}}$, we use (2.2) with $K \sim 2^{j_{1}}$ to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S. }) & \sim 2^{-2 s j_{1}+j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{1 / 2}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-2(s+1 / 4) j_{1}}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ib) In the case $-7 / 8 \leq a<1 / 4$, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 5 j_{1} / 2+O(1)} 2^{(3 a / 5-1 / 10) k}\left\||\xi|^{a+1} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|\xi|^{a+1 / 2} \sim 2^{(a+1 / 2) k} 2^{-4 a j_{1}-2 j_{1}}$, we use (2.2) with $K \sim 2^{j_{1}}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S. }) & \sim 2^{-2 s j_{1}-4 a j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 5 j_{1} / 2+O(1)} 2^{\frac{8}{5}\left(a+\frac{1}{4}\right) k}\left\||\xi|^{1 / 2}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-2 s j_{1}+j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{1 / 2}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-2(s+1 / 4) j_{1}}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) We next consider (3.7) when $f * g$ is restricted to $D_{2}$. In the present case, we have $2^{-4 j_{1}} \leq|\xi| \lesssim 2^{-3 j_{1} / 2}$ and $1 \lesssim|\tau| \lesssim 2^{5 j_{1} / 2}$.

In the case $-7 / 8<a \leq-1 / 4$, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 j_{1}} \sum_{k \leq 5 j_{1} / 2+O(1)} 2^{(3 a / 5-1 / 10) k}\left\||\xi|^{a+1} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|\xi| \sim 2^{k-4 j_{1}}$, we use the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (L.H.S.) } \sim 2^{-2 s j_{1}+2 j_{1}} \sum_{k \leq 5 j_{1} / 2+O(1)} 2^{(3 a / 5-1 / 10) k}\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\lesssim 2^{-2 s j_{1}+2 j_{1}} \sum_{k \leq 5 j_{1} / 2+O(1)} 2^{(3 a / 5-1 / 10) k} \\
\quad \times\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}\left(|\xi| \sim 2^{k-4 j_{1}}\right)}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty} L_{\tau}^{2}} \\
\sim 2^{-2 s j_{1}-4 a j_{1}-4 j_{1}} \sum_{k \leq 5 j_{1} / 2+O(1)} 2^{\frac{8}{5}\left(a+\frac{7}{8}\right) k}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{L}}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
\quad \lesssim 2^{-2(s+1 / 4) j_{1}}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Vb-2) Secondly, when $a=-7 / 8$, we prove

$$
2^{2 j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{1 / 8}\langle\tau\rangle^{-5 / 8+\varepsilon_{1} / 2} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}
$$

Since $2^{k} \sim|\xi| 2^{4 j_{1}}$ and $s \geq-1 / 4+\varepsilon_{2}$, we use the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S. }) & \sim 2^{\left(-2 s-1 / 2+2 \varepsilon_{1}\right)}\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon_{1} / 2}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon_{1} / 2}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}(|\xi| \leq 1)}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty} L_{\tau}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Vb-3) Finally, when $-3 / 2<a<-7 / 8$, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\langle\tau\rangle^{-5 / 8+\varepsilon_{1} / 2} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|\xi|^{a+1} \leq|\xi|^{-s / 2}$ and $s \geq-1 / 4+2 \varepsilon_{1}$, we have

$$
|\xi|^{a+1}\langle\tau\rangle^{-5 / 8+\varepsilon_{1} / 2} \lesssim|\xi|^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon_{1} / 2} 2^{\left(2 s-2-2 \varepsilon_{1}\right) j_{1}} .
$$

From this, we use the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S. }) & \lesssim 2^{-2 \varepsilon_{1} j_{1}}\left\|\langle\tau\rangle^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon_{1} / 2}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-2 \varepsilon_{1} j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 2-\varepsilon_{1} / 2}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}\left(2^{-4 j_{1}} \leq|\xi|\right)}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(VI) Estimate for (viii). We prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{3 j} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $\left|\xi_{2}\right| \leq 2^{-4 j}$, we easily obtain the desired estimate for $a \leq-1 / 4$. Hence we only consider the case $2^{4 j} \leq\left|\xi_{2}\right| \leq 1$.
(VIa) We consider (3.14) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k}$. From (3.8), it suffices to show that

$$
2^{3 j}\left\|\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 8}}
$$

Since $2^{(-1 / 2+\varepsilon) k} \lesssim\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-1 / 4} 2^{-j} 2^{(-1 / 4+\varepsilon) k_{2}}$, we use (2.2) with $K \sim 2^{j}$ to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{3 j}\left\|\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} & \lesssim 2^{2 j}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(|\xi|^{-1 / 4}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1 / 4+\varepsilon} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-1 / 4,3 / 8}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the desired estimate for $a \leq-1 / 4$.
(VIb) We consider (3.14) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k_{1}}$. Similar to above, it suffices to show

$$
2^{3 j} \sum_{k \geq 0}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 8}}
$$

Since $2^{-k_{1} / 2} \lesssim 2^{-k / 12}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-1 / 4} 2^{-j} 2^{-k_{2} / 6}$, we use (2.11) with $K_{1} \sim 2^{j}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (L.H.S.) } & \lesssim 2^{2 j} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-7 k / 12}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2} f\right) *\left(|\xi|^{-1 / 4}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1 / 6} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 12}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-1 / 4,3 / 8}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows the required estimate.
(VIc) We consider (3.14) in the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k_{2}}$. If $2^{k_{\max }} \gg\left|\xi_{2}\right| 2^{4 j}$, we have $2^{k_{\max }} \sim 2^{k}$ or $2^{k_{\max }} \sim 2^{k_{1}}$. We only prove the case $2^{k_{\max }} \sim\left|\xi_{2}\right| 2^{4 j}$.
(VIc-1) Firstly, we prove the following estimate in the case $a=-7 / 8$.

$$
2^{3 j} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-7 / 8,3 / 8+\varepsilon_{1} / 2}}
$$

From $\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{3 / 8}\left\langle\tau_{2}\right\rangle^{-3 / 8-\varepsilon_{1} / 2} \lesssim 2^{-\varepsilon_{1} k / 2} 2^{-3 j / 2}$, we use (2.16) with $K_{2} \sim 2^{j}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S. }) & \lesssim 2^{3 j / 2} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{\left(-1 / 2-\varepsilon_{1} / 2\right) k}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(|\xi|^{-3 / 8}\langle\tau\rangle^{3 / 8+\varepsilon_{1} / 2} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-\varepsilon_{1} k / 2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-\tau / 8,3 / 8+\varepsilon_{1} / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(VIc-2) Secondly, we prove the following estimate in the case $-3 / 2<a<-7 / 8$.

$$
2^{3 j} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 8+\varepsilon_{2} / 2}}
$$

We use (2.16) with $K_{2} \sim 2^{j}$ to obtain

$$
\text { (L.H.S.) } \lesssim 2^{3 j / 2} \sum_{k \geq 0} 1\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 2} g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}
$$

Following

$$
\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-a-1 / 2}\left\langle\tau_{2}\right\rangle^{-3 / 8-\varepsilon_{2} / 2} \lesssim\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-a-7 / 8} 2^{-3 j / 2} 2^{-\varepsilon_{2} k / 2} \lesssim 2^{-3 j / 2} 2^{-\varepsilon_{2} k / 2}
$$

the right hand side is bounded by $C \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-\varepsilon_{2} k / 2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 8+\varepsilon_{2} / 2}}$.
(VIc-3) Finally, we prove (3.14) in the case $-7 / 8<a<-1 / 4$. We consider (3.14) when $g$ is restricted to $D_{2}$. In the present case, we have $2^{-4 j} \leq\left|\xi_{2}\right| \lesssim 2^{-3 j / 2}$ and $1 \lesssim\left|\tau_{2}\right| \lesssim 2^{5 j / 2}$.
(ia) In the case $a=-1 / 4$, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{3 j} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-1 / 4,3 / 4,1}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\xi_{2}\right| \sim 2^{k_{2}-4 j}$, We use Hölder's inequality, Young's inequality and the triangle inequality to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S. }) & \lesssim 2^{3 j}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}}\|g\|_{L_{\xi}^{1} L_{\tau}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{3 j}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\left\||\xi|^{1 / 4}\right\|_{L_{\xi_{2}}^{2}\left(\left|\xi_{2}\right| \sim 2^{k_{2}-4 j}\right)}\left\|\left.\xi\right|^{-1 / 4} g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{3 j}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} 2^{3 k_{2} / 4-3 j} \sum_{k_{2}}\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 4} g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}\left(B_{k_{2}}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-1 / 4,3 / 4,1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ib) In the case $-7 / 8 \leq a<-1 / 4$, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{3 j} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 a / 5+9 / 10}} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2^{k_{2}} \sim\left|\xi_{2}\right| 2^{4 j}$, we use the Hölder inequality and Young inequality to have
(L.H.S.) $\lesssim 2^{3 j}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}}\|g\|_{L_{\xi}^{1} L_{\tau}^{2}}$

$$
\lesssim 2^{-\frac{12}{5}\left(a+\frac{1}{4}\right) j}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\left\||\xi|^{-8 a / 5-9 / 10}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}\left(|\xi| \lesssim 2^{-3 j / 2}\right)}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 a / 5+9 / 10}}
$$

which shows the desired estimate since $\left\||\xi|^{-8 a / 5-9 / 10}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}\left(|\xi| \lesssim 2^{-3 j / 2}\right)} \lesssim 2^{\frac{12}{5}\left(a+\frac{1}{4}\right) j}$.
(ii) We consider (3.14) when $g$ is restricted to $D_{1}$. In this case, $2^{-3 j / 2} \lesssim\left|\xi_{2}\right| \leq 1$ and $2^{5 j / 2} \lesssim\left|\tau_{2}\right| \lesssim 2^{4 j}$.
(iia) Firstly, $g$ is restricted to $B_{[5 j / 2,5 j / 2+\alpha]}$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 3 j / 2$. From $2^{-3 j / 2} \lesssim$ $|\xi| \lesssim 2^{-3 j / 2+\alpha}$, we use the Hölder inequality and Young inequality to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s,-1 / 2}\left(B_{\geq 2 \alpha}\right)} \sim 2^{3 j} \sum_{k \geq 2 \alpha} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \quad \lesssim 2^{3 j} 2^{-\alpha}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}}\|g\|_{L_{\xi}^{1} L_{\tau}^{2}} \\
& \quad \lesssim 2^{-\frac{12}{5}\left(a+\frac{1}{4}\right) j} 2^{-\alpha}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\left\||\xi|^{-8 a / 5-9 / 10}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}\left(2^{-3 j / 2} \lesssim|\xi| \lesssim 2^{-3 j / 2+\alpha)}\right.}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 a / 5+9 / 10}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $a=-1 / 4$, the right hand side is bounded by $\sqrt{\alpha} 2^{-\alpha}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-1 / 4,3 / 4}}$ because

$$
\left\|\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{\xi_{2}}^{2}\left(2^{-3 j / 2} \lesssim\left|\xi_{2}\right| \lesssim 2^{2-3 j / 2+\alpha}\right)} \lesssim \sqrt{\alpha}
$$

In the case $-7 / 8<a<-1 / 4$, that is bounded by $2^{-\frac{8}{5}\left(a+\frac{7}{8}\right) \alpha}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 a / 5+9 / 10}}$ since

$$
\left\||\xi|^{-8 a / 5-9 / 10}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}\left(|\xi| \lesssim 2^{-3 j / 2+\alpha}\right)} \lesssim 2^{\frac{12}{5}\left(a+\frac{1}{4}\right) j} 2^{-\frac{8}{5}\left(a+\frac{1}{4}\right) \alpha} .
$$

We put a sufficiently small number $\varepsilon_{3}$ such that $0<\varepsilon_{3} \leq 8(a+7 / 8) / 5$. Then we obtain, for $-7 / 8<a \leq-1 / 4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s,-1 / 2}(B \geq 2 \alpha)} \lesssim 2^{-\varepsilon_{3} \alpha}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 a / 5+9 / 10}} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iib) Secondly, $g$ is restricted to $B_{[5 j / 2+\gamma, 4 j]}$ with $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2^{3 j / 2}$. Then we use (2.16) with $2^{k_{2}} \sim 2^{j}$ to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s,-1 / 2}\left(B_{\leq 2 \alpha}\right)} & \sim 2^{3 j} \sum_{k \leq 2 \alpha} 2^{-k / 2}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{3 j / 2} \sum_{k \leq 2 \alpha} 1\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 2} g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(2^{-3 j / 2+\gamma \leq|\xi|)}\right.} \\
& \lesssim \alpha 2^{3 j / 2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 2} g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(2^{-3 j / 2+\gamma \leq|\xi|}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is bounded by

$$
\alpha 2^{-\frac{8}{5}\left(a+\frac{7}{8}\right) \gamma}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 a / 5+9 / 10}}
$$

since $2^{-3 j / 2+\gamma} \lesssim\left|\xi_{2}\right| \leq 1$ and

$$
\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-a-1 / 2}\left\langle\tau_{2}\right\rangle^{-3 a / 5-9 / 10} \sim\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{-\frac{8}{5}\left(a+\frac{7}{8}\right)} 2^{\left(-\frac{12}{5} a-\frac{18}{5}\right) j} \lesssim 2^{-3 j / 2} 2^{-\frac{8}{5}\left(a+\frac{7}{8}\right) \gamma}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s,-1 / 2}\left(B_{\leq 2 \alpha}\right)} \lesssim \alpha 2^{-\varepsilon_{3} \gamma}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 a / 5+9 / 10}} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $g$ is restricted to $B_{[5 j / 2+\gamma, 5 j / 2+\alpha]}$ with $\gamma<\alpha$, from (3.17) and (3.18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \lesssim\left(2^{-\varepsilon_{3} \alpha}+\alpha 2^{-\varepsilon_{3} \gamma}\right)\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 a / 5+9 / 10}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{N}$ be the decreasing sequence defined by

$$
a_{0}=\frac{3}{2} j, \quad a_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2} a_{n}, \quad 0<a_{N} \leq \frac{3}{2},
$$

where $N$ is a minimum integer such that $N \geq \log _{2} j$. We first apply with $\alpha=a_{0}$ and $\gamma=a_{1}$ and next apply with $\alpha=a_{1}$ and $\gamma=a_{2}$. Repeating this procedure at the end we apply with $\alpha=a_{N}$ and $\gamma=0$. From (3.19), we obtain

$$
\left\|\xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \lesssim\left(1+\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{a_{n}}\right)\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 a / 5+9 / 10}},
$$

which shows the claim since $\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{a_{n}}$ is bounded uniformly in $j$.
Next, we prove (3.2) except the case (i). We use the triangle inequality and the Schwarz inequality to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{\tau}^{1}} \lesssim \sum_{k \geq 0}\|f\|_{L_{\tau}^{1}\left(B_{k}\right)} \lesssim \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{k / 2}\|f\|_{L_{\tau}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.20), we have, for all $j \neq 0$,

$$
\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a+1}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1}\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}\left(A_{j}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\xi\left(\xi^{2} f\right) * g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}\left(A_{j}\right)}
$$

Therefore we obtain (3.2) for $j \neq 0$ from the proof of (3.1). Here we only prove (3.2) in the case (vi).
(VII) Estimate for (vi). We prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{a+1} f * g\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}\left(A_{0}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider (3.21) in the case $|\xi| \leq 2^{-4 j_{1}}$. Since the left hand side of (3.21) is bounded by $C\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1 / 4+\varepsilon} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}}$, we obtain the desired estimate in the same manner as (V). Thus we only consider the case $2^{-4 j_{1}} \leq|\xi| \leq 1$ below.

If $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k_{1}}$ or $2^{k_{2}}$, the left hand side of (3.21) is bounded by $C 2^{2 j_{1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k / 4}\left\||\xi|^{a+1} f * g\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(B_{k}\right)}$. In the same manner as (V), we obtain (3.21) in this case. We consider the case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k}$. Since $|\xi|^{a+1} 2^{-2 s j_{1}} \lesssim\left(|\xi| 2^{4 j_{1}}\right)^{-s / 2} \lesssim 2^{k / 8}$,
we use the Höler inequality and the Young inequality to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S }) & \lesssim 2^{-2 s j_{1}+2 j_{1}}\left\||\xi|^{a+1}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{2 j_{1}}\left\|\langle\tau\rangle^{-7 / 8}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-3 j_{1} / 2}\left\||\xi|^{-7 / 8}\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}\left(2^{-4 j_{1}} \leq|\xi|\right)}\left\|\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty} L_{\tau}^{1}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,1)}^{s, 1 / 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Proof of the trilinear estimates

In this section, we prove the trilinear estimate (1.14). This estimate is reduced to some bilinear estimates by using $[k ; Z]$ - multiplier norm method introduced by Tao [23]. Here we recall notations and general frame work of $[k ; Z]$-multiplier norm method. For the details, see [23].

Let $Z$ be an abelian additive group with an invariant measure $d \xi$ (for instance $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{T}^{n}$ ). For any integer $k \geq 2$, we let $\Gamma_{k}(Z)$ denote the hyperplane

$$
\Gamma_{k}(Z):=\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{k}\right) \in Z^{k} ; \xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{k}=0\right\}
$$

A $[k ; Z]$-multiplier is defined to be any function $m ; \Gamma_{k}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then we define the multiplier norm $\|m\|_{[k ; Z]}$ to be the best constant such that the inequality

$$
\left|\int_{\Gamma_{k}(Z)} m(\xi) \prod_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right) d \xi_{i}\right| \leq C \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}(Z)}
$$

for all functions $f_{i}$ on $Z$. This multiplier norm has the composition rule and the $T T^{*}$ identity as follows.

Lemma 4.1. If $k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 1$ and $m_{1}, m_{2}$ are functions on $Z^{k_{1}}$ and $Z^{k_{2}}$ respectively, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|m_{1}\left(\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{k_{1}}\right) m_{2}\left(\xi_{k_{1}+1}, \cdots, \xi_{k_{1}+k_{2}}\right)\right\|_{\left[k_{1}+k_{2} ; Z\right]} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|m_{1}\left(\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{k_{1}}\right)\right\|_{\left[k_{1}+1 ; Z\right]}\left\|m_{2}\left(\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{k_{2}}\right)\right\|_{\left[k_{2}+1, Z\right]} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

As a special case we have the $T T^{*}$ identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|m\left(\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{k}\right) \overline{m\left(-\xi_{k+1}, \cdots,-\xi_{2 k}\right)}\right\|_{[2 k ; Z]}=\left\|m\left(\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{k}\right)\right\|_{[k+1 ; Z]}^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all functions $m ; Z^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

For the details, Lemma 3.7 in [23].
We estimate (1.14). Schwarz's inequality implies

$$
\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{1}} \lesssim\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}},
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small. Therefore it suffices to show

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left|\xi_{4}\right|\left\langle\tau_{4}-\xi_{4}^{5}\right\rangle^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) g\left(\tau_{2}, \xi_{2}\right) h\left(\tau_{3}, \xi_{3}\right) d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1} d \tau_{2} d \xi_{2}\right\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}} \\
+ & \left\|\left\langle\xi_{4}\right\rangle^{s-a}\left|\xi_{4}\right|^{a+1}\left\langle\tau_{4}-\xi_{4}^{5}\right\rangle^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} f\left(\tau_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) g\left(\tau_{2}, \xi_{2}\right) h\left(\tau_{3}, \xi_{3}\right) d \tau_{1} d \xi_{1} d \tau_{2} d \xi_{2}\right\|_{L_{\tau_{4}, \xi_{4}}^{2}} \\
\lesssim & \|f\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}\|g\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}\|h\|_{\hat{Z}^{s, a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}+\tau_{3}+\tau_{4}=0$ and $\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}+\xi_{4}=0$. By symmetry, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\left|\xi_{3}\right| \leq\left|\xi_{2}\right| \leq\left|\xi_{1}\right|$. We put

$$
\Omega_{0}:=\left\{(\vec{\tau}, \vec{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{6} ;\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 100 \text { or }\left|\xi_{2}\right|,\left|\xi_{4}\right| \leq 100\right\}
$$

where $\vec{\tau}=\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}\right)$ and $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$. Combining the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, we easily obtain (1.14) in $\Omega_{0}$. Thus we only consider (1.14) in $\mathbb{R}^{6} \backslash \Omega_{0}$. We divide $\mathbb{R}^{6} \backslash \Omega_{0}$ into five parts as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1}:=\left\{(\vec{\tau}, \vec{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{6} \backslash \Omega_{0} ;\left|\xi_{3}\right| \geq 1 \text { and }\left|\xi_{4}\right| \geq 1\right\} \\
& \Omega_{2}:=\left\{(\vec{\tau}, \vec{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{6} \backslash \Omega_{0} ;\left|\xi_{3}\right| \geq 1 \text { and }\left|\xi_{4}\right| \leq 1\right\} \\
& \Omega_{3}:=\left\{(\vec{\tau}, \vec{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{6} \backslash \Omega_{0} ;\left|\xi_{2}\right|,\left|\xi_{4}\right| \geq 1 \text { and }\left|\xi_{3}\right| \leq 1\right\}, \\
& \Omega_{4}:=\left\{(\vec{\tau}, \vec{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{6} \backslash \Omega_{0} ;\left|\xi_{2}\right| \geq 1 \text { and }\left|\xi_{3}\right|,\left|\xi_{4}\right| \leq 1\right\}, \\
& \Omega_{5}:=\left\{(\vec{\tau}, \vec{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{6} \backslash \Omega_{0} ;\left|\xi_{1}\right|,\left|\xi_{4}\right| \geq 1 \text { and }\left|\xi_{2}\right| \leq 1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We reduce the trilinear inequality by using the composition rule (4.1) and the $T T^{*}$ identity (4.2).
(A) Estimate in $\Omega_{1}$. It suffices to show that

$$
\left\|\chi_{\Omega_{1}} \frac{\left\langle\xi_{4}\right\rangle^{s+1}}{\left\langle\tau_{4}-\xi_{4}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\left\langle\xi_{i}\right\rangle^{-s}}{\left\langle\tau_{i}-\xi_{i}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}}\right\|_{\left[4 ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right]} \lesssim 1
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small. Following $\left\langle\xi_{4}\right\rangle^{s+1} \lesssim\left\langle\xi_{4}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle^{s+1 / 2}$ for $s \geq-1 / 2$, we use the $T T^{*}$ identity (4.2) to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\text { L.H.S. }) & \lesssim\left\|\chi_{\Omega_{1}} \frac{\left\langle\xi_{4}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}}{\left\langle\tau_{4}-\xi_{4}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}\left\langle\tau_{1}-\xi_{1}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}} \prod_{i=2}^{3} \frac{\left\langle\xi_{i}\right\rangle^{-s}}{\left\langle\tau_{i}-\xi_{i}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}}\right\|_{\left[4 ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right]} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\chi_{\left\{\left|\xi_{1}\right|,\left|\xi_{2}\right| \geq 1\right\}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \frac{\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle^{-s}\left\langle\xi_{2}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}}{\left\langle\tau_{1}-\xi_{1}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\left\langle\tau_{2}-\xi_{2}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}}\right\|_{\left[3 ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right]}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the trilinear estimate in $\Omega_{1}$ is reduced to the bilinear estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\left\|p_{h} f\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\left\|p_{h} g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{-1 / 2,1 / 2-\varepsilon}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, b}$ is defined by the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, b}}:=\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{b} f\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \text { for } s, b \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(B) Estimate in $\Omega_{2}$. It suffices to show that

$$
\left\|\chi_{\Omega_{2}} \frac{\left|\xi_{4}\right|^{a+1}}{\left\langle\tau_{4}-\xi_{4}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 4}} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\left\langle\xi_{i}\right\rangle^{-s}}{\left\langle\tau_{i}-\xi_{i}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}}\right\|_{\left[4 ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right]} \lesssim 1 .
$$

We use the composition rule (4.1) to have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\text { L.H.S. }) \lesssim\left\|\chi_{\left\{\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 1,\left|\xi_{2}\right| \geq 1\right\}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \frac{\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{a+1}\left\langle\xi_{2}\right\rangle^{-s}}{\left\langle\tau_{1}-\xi_{1}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 4}\left\langle\tau_{2}-\xi_{2}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}}\right\|_{\left[3 ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right]} \\
\times\left\|\chi_{\left\{\left|\xi_{1}\right|,\left|\xi_{2}\right| \geq 1\right\}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\left\langle\xi_{i}\right\rangle^{-s}}{\left\langle\tau_{i}-\xi_{i}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}}\right\|_{\left[3 ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right]},
\end{gathered}
$$

which shows that the trilinear estimate in $\Omega_{2}$ is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\left\|p_{l} f\right\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-a-1,1 / 4}}\left\|p_{h} g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\left\|p_{h} f\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, 1 / 2}}\left\|p_{h} g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, 1 / 2}}
$$

(C) Estimate in $\Omega_{3}$. It suffices to show that

$$
\left\|\chi_{\Omega_{3}} \frac{\left\langle\xi_{4}\right\rangle^{s+1}}{\left\langle\tau_{4}-\xi_{4}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\left\langle\xi_{i}\right\rangle^{-s}}{\left\langle\tau_{i}-\xi_{i}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}} \frac{\left|\xi_{3}\right|^{-a}}{\left\langle\tau_{3}-\xi_{3}^{5}\right\rangle^{3 / 8}}\right\|_{\left[4 ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right]} \lesssim 1
$$

Following $\left\langle\xi_{4}\right\rangle^{s+1} \lesssim\left\langle\xi_{4}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle^{s+1 / 2}$ for $s \geq-1 / 2$, we use the composition rule (4.1) to obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\text { L.H.S. }) \lesssim\left\|\chi_{\Omega_{3}} \frac{\left\langle\xi_{4}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\left\langle\xi_{2}\right\rangle^{-s}\left|\xi_{3}\right|^{-a}}{\left\langle\tau_{4}-\xi_{4}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}\left\langle\tau_{1}-\xi_{1}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\left\langle\tau_{2}-\xi_{2}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\left\langle\tau_{3}-\xi_{3}^{5}\right\rangle^{3 / 8}}\right\|_{\left[4 ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right]} \\
\lesssim\left\|\chi_{\left\{\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 1,\left|\xi_{2}\right| \geq 1\right\}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \frac{\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-a}\left\langle\xi_{2}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}}{\left\langle\tau_{1}-\xi_{1}^{5}\right\rangle^{3 / 8}\left\langle\tau_{2}-\xi_{2}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}}\right\|_{\left[3 ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right]} \\
\quad \times\left\|\chi_{\left\{\left|\xi_{1}\right|,\left|\xi_{2}\right| \geq 1\right\}}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \frac{\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\left\langle\xi_{2}\right\rangle^{-s}}{\left\langle\tau_{1}-\xi_{1}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2-\varepsilon}\left\langle\tau_{2}-\xi_{2}^{5}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}}\right\|_{\left[3 ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that the trilinear estimate in $\Omega_{3}$ is reduced to (4.3) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\left\|p_{l} f\right\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 8}}\left\|p_{h} g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{-1 / 2,1 / 2-\varepsilon}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to above, the trilinear estimate in other cases is reduced to the bilinear estimates (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5). We remark that Chen, Li, Miao and Wu [6] proved
(4.3) for $s \geq-1 / 4$ by using the block estimates established by Tao [23]. For the details, see Lemma 5.2 in [6]. Thus we omit the proof of (4.3) and give the proofs of (4.4) and (4.5). From $L_{\xi}^{2}$-property of $\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, b}$ and $\hat{X}_{L}^{a, b}$, it suffices to show two lemmas as follows.

Lemma 4.2. Let $s \geq-1 / 4$ and $-3 / 2<a \leq-1 / 4$. Suppose that $f$ is supported on $A_{0}$ and $g$ is supported on $A_{j_{2}}$ with $j_{2}>0$. For $j \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)} \lesssim C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right)\left\|p_{l} f\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{-a-1,1 / 4}}\left\|p_{h} g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the cases (i) and (vii) of Proposition 3.1.
Lemma 4.3. Let $s \geq-1 / 4$ and $-3 / 2<a \leq-1 / 4$. Suppose that $f$ is supported on $A_{0}$ and $g$ is supported on $A_{j_{2}}$ for $j_{2}>0$. For $j \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)} \lesssim C\left(j, j_{1}, j_{2}\right)\left\|p_{l} f\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{a, 3,8}}\left\|p_{h} g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{-1 / 2,1 / 2-\varepsilon}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the cases (i) and (vii) of Proposition 3.1.
Here we define $2^{k_{\text {max }}} \geq 2^{k_{\text {med }}} \geq 2^{k_{\text {min }}}$ to be the maximum, median and minimum of $2^{k}, 2^{k_{1}}, 2^{k_{2}}$ respectively.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. (I) Estimate for (i). We use the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\|f\|_{L_{\xi}^{1} L_{\tau}^{3 / 2}}\|g\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{6 / 5}} & \lesssim\left\|\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{a+1}\right\|_{L_{\xi_{1}}^{2}\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 1\right)}\left\||\xi|^{-a-1} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{3 / 2}}\|g\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{6 / 5}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 1 / 6+\varepsilon}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{0,1 / 3+\varepsilon}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows the required estimate.
(II) Estimate for (vii). We prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{h}(f * g)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)} \lesssim\left\|p_{l} f\right\|_{\hat{X}-a-1,1 / 4}\left\|p_{h} g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, 1 / 2-\varepsilon}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(IIa) We consider (4.8) when $f$ is restricted to $\left\{(\tau, \xi) ;|\xi| \leq 2^{-2 j_{1}}\right\}$. We use the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)} & \sim 2^{-s j}\left\|f *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-s j}\|f\|_{L_{\xi}^{1} L_{\tau}^{3 / 2}}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} \sigma_{\tau}^{6 / 5}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-s j}\left\|\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{a+1}\right\|_{L_{\xi_{1}}^{2}\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 2^{\left.-2 j_{1}\right)}\right.}\left\||\xi|^{-a-1} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{3 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, 1 / 2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-(s+2 a+3) j}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-a-1,1 / 4}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, 1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the desired estimate.
(IIb) We prove (4.8) when $f$ is restricted to $\left\{(\tau, \xi) ; 2^{-2 j} \leq|\xi| \leq 1\right\}$.
(IIb-1) We consider the case $2^{k_{\max }} \sim\left|\xi_{1}\right| 2^{4 j}$. From $a+1 \geq-s / 2$ and $s \geq-1 / 4$, we have

$$
\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{a+1} 2^{-s j} \lesssim\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right| 2^{2 j}\right)^{-s / 2} \lesssim 2^{j / 4}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{1 / 8} \lesssim 2^{2 j} 2^{-7 k_{\max } / 16}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{9 / 16}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)} & \lesssim 2^{-s j}\left\|\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{a+1}\left(|\xi|^{-a-1} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{2 j} 2^{-7 k_{\max } / 16}\left\|\left(|\xi|^{-a-1} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{2 j}\left\|\left(|\xi|^{-a-1}\langle\tau\rangle^{-5 / 16} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1 / 8} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows the required estimate by using (2.2) with $K \sim 2^{j}$.
(IIb-2) We consider in other cases, namely $2^{k_{\max }} \sim 2^{k_{\text {med }}} \gg\left|\xi_{1}\right| 2^{4 j}$. We only prove (4.8) in the most difficult case $2^{k_{\max }}=2^{k}$ and $2^{k_{\text {med }}}=2^{k_{1}}$. Following

$$
\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{a+1} 2^{-s j} \sim\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right| 2^{2 j}\right)^{-s / 2-1 / 8}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{1 / 8} 2^{j / 4} \lesssim\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right| 2^{4 j}\right)^{1 / 16}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{1 / 8} \lesssim 2^{k_{1} / 16}
$$

we use the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)} & \sim 2^{-s j}\left\|\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{a+1}\left(|\xi|^{-a-1} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left(|\xi|^{-a-1}\langle\tau\rangle^{1 / 16} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left\||\xi|^{-a-1}\langle\tau\rangle^{1 / 16} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{1} L_{\tau}^{3 / 2}}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{6 / 5}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{-a-1,1 / 4}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{s, 1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Lemma 4.3. (I) Estimate for (i). We use the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to have

$$
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \lesssim\|f\|_{L_{\xi}^{1} L_{\tau}^{3 / 2}}\|g\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{6 / 5}} \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{0,1 / 6+\varepsilon}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{0,1 / 3+\varepsilon}},
$$

which shows the desired estimate.
(II) Estimate for (vii). We prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{h}(f * g)\right\|_{L_{\tau, 5}^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)} \lesssim\left\|p_{l} f\right\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 8}}\left\|p_{h} g\right\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{-1 / 2,1 / 2-\varepsilon}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(IIa) We consider (4.9) when $2^{k_{\max }} \sim\left|\xi_{1}\right| 2^{4 j}$. Following

$$
\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-a} 2^{j / 2} \sim 2^{2 j}\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right| 2^{4 j}\right)^{-3 / 8}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-a+3 / 8} \lesssim 2^{2 j} 2^{-3 k_{\max } / 8}
$$

we use (2.2) with $K \sim 2^{j}$ to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)} & \sim 2^{j / 2}\left\|f *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{-1 / 2} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{2 j} 2^{-3 k_{\max } / 8}\left\|\left(|\xi|^{a} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{-1 / 2} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{2 j}\left\|\left(|\xi|^{a}\langle\tau\rangle^{-1 / 4} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{-1 / 2}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{-1 / 8} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 8}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{-1 / 2,1 / 2+\varepsilon}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(IIb) We prove (4.9) in the case $2^{k_{\max }} \sim 2^{k_{\operatorname{med}}} \gg\left|\xi_{1}\right| 2^{4 j}$. It suffices to show (4.7) in the case $2^{k_{\text {max }}}=2^{k}$ and $2^{k_{\text {med }}}=2^{k_{1}}$. Following

$$
2^{j / 2} \sim\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right| 2^{4 j}\right)^{1 / 8}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-1 / 8} \lesssim\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-1 / 8} 2^{k_{1} / 8}
$$

we use the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f * g\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)} & \lesssim\left\|\left(|\xi|^{-1 / 8}\langle\tau\rangle^{1 / 8} f\right) *\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} g\right)\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\left\||\xi|^{-1 / 8}\langle\tau\rangle^{1 / 8} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{1} L_{\tau}^{3 / 2}}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{-1 / 2} g\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{6 / 5}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{-a-1 / 8}\right\|_{L_{\xi_{1}}^{2}\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 1\right)}\left\||\xi|^{a}\langle\tau\rangle^{1 / 8} f\right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2} L_{\tau}^{3 / 2}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{-1 / 2,1 / 2-\varepsilon}} \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{L}^{a, 3 / 8}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}_{(2,2)}^{-1 / 2,1 / 2-\varepsilon}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Proof of the main results

In this section, we give the proof of the main theorems. The space $Z_{T}^{s, a}$ is defined by the norm

$$
\|u\|_{Z_{T}^{s, a}}:=\inf \left\{\|v\|_{Z^{s, a}} ; u(t)=v(t) \text { on } t \in[0, T]\right\} .
$$

We obtain the following well-posedness result.
Proposition 5.1. Let $s$, a satisfy (1.6) and $r>1$.
(Existence) For any $u_{0} \in B_{r}\left(H^{s, a}\right)$, there exist $T \sim r^{-10 /(3+2 a)}$ and $u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{s, a}\right) \cap Z_{T}^{s, a}$ satisfying the following integral form for (1.1);

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) & =U(t) u_{0}-c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} U(t-s) \partial_{x}(u(s))^{3} d s \\
& -c_{2} \int_{0}^{t} U(t-s) \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x} u(s)\right)^{2} d s-c_{3} \int_{0}^{t} U(t-s) \partial_{x}\left(u \partial_{x}^{2} u(s)\right) d s \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover the data-to-solution map $B_{r}\left(H^{s, a}\right) \ni u_{0} \mapsto u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{s, a}\right) \cap Z_{T}^{s, a}$ is Lipschitz continuous.
(Uniqueness) Assume that $u, v \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{s, a}\right) \cap Z_{T}^{s, a}$ satisfy (5.1). Then $u(t)=$ $v(t)$ on $t \in[0, T]$.

Proof. We first prove the existence of the solution of (5.1). This equation is the scale invariant with respect to the following scaling.

$$
u(t, x) \mapsto u_{\lambda}(t, x):=\lambda^{-2} u\left(\lambda^{-5} t, \lambda^{-1} x\right), \quad \lambda \geq 1
$$

A direct calculation shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\lambda}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \leq \lambda^{-3 / 2-a}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we can assume that initial data is small enough. Here we use propositions 1.5, 2.4 and 2.5 to construct the solution by the fixed point argument. For details, see the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [14].

We next prove the uniqueness of solutions by the argument in [19]. We define the space $W^{s, a}$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{s, a}}:=\|u\|_{Z^{s, a}}+\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} ; H^{s, a}\right)}
$$

In the same manner as the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [19], we obtain, for $1 / 2<b<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in X_{(1,1), T_{\lambda}}^{s, a, b}, \quad w(0, x)=0 \Rightarrow \lim _{\delta \rightarrow+0}\left\|\left.w\right|_{[0, \delta]}\right\|_{X_{(1,1), \delta}^{s, a, b}}=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{\lambda}:=\lambda^{5} T, \lambda \geq 1$ and the space $X_{(1,1)}^{s, a, b}$ defined by

$$
\|u\|_{X_{(1,1)}^{s, a, b}}:=\left\|\left\{\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{s-a}|\xi|^{a}\left\langle\tau-\xi^{5}\right\rangle^{b} \widehat{u}\right\|_{L_{\tau, \xi}^{2}\left(A_{j} \cap B_{k}\right)}\right\}_{j, k \geq 0}\right\|_{l_{j, k}^{1}} .
$$

Let $u \in W^{s, a}$ and $u(0, x)=0$. Since $W^{s, a}$ contains $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ densely, We can choose $v \in \mathcal{Z}$ satisfying $\|u-v\|_{W^{s, a}}<\varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary positive number. Now we have

$$
\|v(0)\|_{H^{s, a}}=\|(u-v)(0)\|_{H^{s, a}} \lesssim\|u-v\|_{W^{s, a}}<\varepsilon
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|u(t)\|_{H^{s, a}} \lesssim\|u\|_{W^{s, a}} \lesssim\|u\|_{X^{s, a, b}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $3 / 4<b<1$. From the above argument, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{W_{T}^{s, a}} & \lesssim\|u-v\|_{W^{s, a}}+\|v-U(t) v(0)\|_{W_{T}^{s, a}}+\|U(t) v(0)\|_{X^{s, a, b}} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon+\|v-U(t) v(0)\|_{X_{(1,2), T}^{s, a, b}}+\|v(0)\|_{H^{s, a}} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon+\|v-U(t) v(0)\|_{X_{(1,2), T}^{s, a, b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term tends to 0 as $T \rightarrow 0$ from (5.3), which shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow 0}\|u\|_{W_{T}^{s, a}}=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Propositions 1.5, 2.4 and 2.5 and (5.5), we have the uniqueness. For the details, see [13].

We next prove a priori estimate (1.8).
Proof of Proposition 1.2. By the density argument, without loss of generality, we can assume $u \in \mathcal{Z}$. We put the Fourier multiplier $P$ defined by

$$
P u:=\mathcal{F}_{\xi}^{-1}|\xi|^{a} \chi_{\{|\xi| \leq 1\}}(\xi) \mathcal{F}_{x} u
$$

Calculating

$$
\int P\left(\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{5} u-\frac{2}{5} \alpha^{2} \partial_{x}(u)^{3}+\alpha \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}+2 \alpha \partial_{x}\left(u \partial_{x}^{2} u\right)\right) \cdot P u d x=0
$$

we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int \partial_{t} P u \cdot P u d x-\int P \partial_{x}^{5} u \cdot P u d x-\frac{2}{5} \alpha^{2} \int P \partial_{x}(u)^{3} \cdot P u d x \\
-\alpha \int P \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2} \cdot P u d x+\alpha \int P \partial_{x}^{3}(u)^{2} \cdot P u d x=0
\end{array}
$$

The second term of the right hand side vanishes. We note

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{P \partial_{x}} \leq\left.|\xi|^{a+1}\right|_{|\xi| \leq 1} \leq 1 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \geq-1$. By the Sobolev inequality and (5.6), the third term is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u^{2}\right\|_{L^{1}}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|P^{2} \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}} & \lesssim\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{5 / 2}\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}\left\|P^{2} \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}\left\|\left(P \partial_{x}\right)^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2} \\
& \lesssim\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{3}\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}\|P u\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the fourth term is bounded by

$$
\left\|\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}\right\|_{L^{1}}\left\|P^{2} \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}\|P u\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}
$$

and the fifth term is bounded by

$$
\left\|u^{2}\right\|_{L^{1}}\left\|P^{2} \partial_{x}^{3} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim\left\|u^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{5 / 2}\|P u\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}
$$

Following the above estimates, we obtain

$$
\partial_{t}\|P u\|_{L^{2}}^{3 / 2} \lesssim\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{3}\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}+\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{5 / 2} .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\|P u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}}^{3 / 2} \leq\left\|P u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{3 / 2}+C T\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{3}\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}+\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}\left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{5 / 2}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $c_{1}=-2 \alpha^{2} / 5, c_{2}=\alpha$ and $c_{3}=2 \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, (1.1) is complete integrable. So this equation particularly has the conserved quantities as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}} & =\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{5.8}\\
\int\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{5} \alpha u^{3} d x & =\int\left(\partial_{x} u_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{5} \alpha u_{0}^{3} d x \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Sobolev inequality and (5.8) to (5.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x} u(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left\|\partial_{x} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{10 / 3} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.8) and (5.10) into (5.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\|P u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}}^{3 / 2} \leq\left\|P u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{3 / 2}+C T\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{15 / 4}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{5 / 2}+\left\|\partial_{x} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{5 / 2}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^{1 . a}}^{2} \leq\|P u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\partial_{x} u(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

we obtain (1.8) from (5.8), (5.10) and (5.11).
Finally, we prove Theorem 1.4. We first prove (i) in Theorem 1.4. In [1], Bejenaru and Tao, for the quadratic Schrödinger equation with nonlinear term $u^{2}$, proved the discontinuity of the data-to-solution map for any $s<-1$. We essentially follow their argument to obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. Let $s<s_{a}:=-2 a-2,-3 / 2<a<-7 / 8, c_{2} \neq c_{3}$ and $0<\delta \ll 1$. Then there exist $T=T(\delta)>0$ and a sequence of initial data $\left\{\phi_{N, \delta}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \in H^{\infty}$ satisfying the following three conditions for any $t \in(0, T]$,
(1) $\left\|\phi_{N, \delta}\right\|_{H^{s a, a}} \sim \delta$,
(2) $\left\|\phi_{N, \delta}\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$,
(3) $\left\|u_{N, \delta}(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \gtrsim \delta^{2}$,
where $u_{N, \delta}(t)$ is the solution to (1.1) obtained in Proposition 5.1 with the initial data $\phi_{N, \delta}$.

Proof. Let $N \gg 1$. We put the initial data $\phi_{N, \delta}$ as follows:

$$
\phi_{N, \delta}(x)=\delta N^{2 a+4} \cos (N x) \int_{-\gamma}^{\gamma} e^{i \xi x} d \xi
$$

where $\gamma:=N^{-4}$. A simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\phi}_{N, \delta}(\xi) \sim \delta N^{2 a+4} \chi_{B^{+}}(\xi)+\delta N^{2 a+4} \chi_{B^{-}}(\xi) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B^{ \pm}:=[ \pm N-\gamma, \pm N+\gamma] .
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{N, \delta}\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \sim \delta N^{s+2 a+2}, \quad\left\|U(t) \phi_{N, \delta}\right\|_{H^{s, a}}=\left\|\phi_{N, \delta}\right\|_{H^{s}} \sim \delta N^{s+2 a+2} . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|\phi_{N, \delta}\right\|_{H^{s_{a}, a}} \sim \delta$, we have $T=T(\delta)>0$ and the solution $u_{N, \delta}$ to (1.1) with the initial data $\phi_{N, \delta}$ by Proposition 5.1. Let $t \in(0, T]$. A direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{A_{2}}\left(u_{0}\right)(t) & =\left(c_{2}-c_{3}\right) \exp \left(i \xi^{5} t\right) \int \frac{1-\exp \left(-i q_{1} t\right)}{q_{1}} \xi \xi_{1}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right) d \xi_{1} \\
& +\frac{c_{3}}{2} \exp \left(i \xi^{5} t\right) \int \frac{1-\exp \left(-i q_{1} t\right)}{q_{1}} \xi^{3} \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right) d \xi_{1} \\
& :=\widehat{A}_{2,1}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)+\widehat{A}_{2,2}\left(u_{0}\right)(t) \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
q_{1}:=\frac{5}{2} \xi \xi_{1}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)\left\{\xi^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}+\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}\right\} .
$$

By similarly argument to the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [14], substituting (5.12) into (5.14), we obtain for $c_{2} \neq c_{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{2}\left(\phi_{N, \delta}\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \gtrsim \delta^{2} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we put $v_{N, \delta}(t):=u_{N, \delta}(t)-U(t) \phi_{N, \delta}-A_{2}\left(\phi_{N, \delta}\right)(t)$. Since the data-to-solution map is Lipschitz continuous with $s=s_{a}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{N, \delta}(t)\right\|_{H^{s a, a}} \lesssim \delta^{3} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Propositions 1.5, 2.4 and 2.5, From (5.13), (5.15) and (5.16), we obtain

$$
\left\|u_{N, \delta}(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \geq\left\|A_{2}\left(\phi_{N, \delta}\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}}-\left\|v_{N, \delta}(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}}-\left\|U(t) \phi_{N, \delta}\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \gtrsim \delta^{2}
$$

for all $N \gg 1$. Since $\left\|\phi_{N, \delta}\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, this shows the discontinuity of the flow map.

Secondly, we prove Theorem 1.4 (ii). By the general argument in [9], it suffices to show the following estimate fails for $|t|$ bounded.

$$
\left\|A_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}}^{2} \lesssim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s, a}}^{2}
$$

We put the initial data $\left\{\psi_{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \in H^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$
\psi_{N}(x):=N^{-s+2} \cos (N x) \int_{-\gamma}^{\gamma} e^{i \xi x} d \xi+N^{4 a+2} \cos \left(N^{-4} x\right) \int_{-\gamma / 2}^{\gamma / 2} e^{i \xi x} d \xi
$$

A direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\psi_{N}}(\xi)=N^{-s+2}\left(\chi_{B^{+}}(\xi)+\chi_{B^{-}}(\xi)\right)+N^{4 a+2} \chi_{[\gamma / 2,3 \gamma / 2]}(\xi) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\left\|\psi_{N}\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \sim 1$. Note $c_{2} \neq c_{3}$ and $c_{3} \neq 0$. Inserting (5.17) into (5.14), we have

$$
\left|\widehat{A}_{2,1}\left(\psi_{N}\right)(t)\right| \gtrsim N^{-2 s+2}|\xi| \chi_{[0, \gamma]}(\xi)+\text { (remainder terms) }
$$

and

$$
\left|\widehat{A}_{2,2}\left(\psi_{N}\right)(t)\right| \gtrsim N^{-s+4 a+2}|\xi| \chi_{[N, N+\gamma]}(\xi)+\text { (remainder terms) }
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{2}\left(\psi_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \gtrsim N^{-2 s+2}\left(\int_{0}^{\gamma}|\xi|^{2 a+2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2}+N^{-s+4 a+2}\left(\int_{N}^{N+\gamma}|\xi|^{2 s+2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a \leq-3 / 2$, the first term of the right hand side of (5.18) diverges. When we assume $a>-3 / 2,\left\|A_{2}\left(\psi_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}}$ is greater than $C\left(N^{-2(s+2 a+2)}+N^{4(a+1 / 4)}\right)$. If $s<-2 a-2$ or $a>-1 / 4,\left\|A_{2}\left(\psi_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, which implies the claim since $\left\|\psi_{N}\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \sim 1$.

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.4 (iii). Similar to above, we seek for the initial data such that, for $|t|$ bounded,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{3}\left(\phi_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \lesssim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s, a}}^{3} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

fails. By using the similar argument to [5], we prove that (5.19) fails for $s<-1 / 4$. $A_{3}\left(u_{0}\right)$ is the cubic term of the Taylor expansion of the flow map as follows:

$$
A_{3}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)=A_{3,1}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)+A_{3,2}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)+(\text { remainder terms })
$$

where

$$
A_{3,1}\left(u_{0}\right)(t):=-c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} U(t-s) \partial_{x}\left(u_{1}(s)\right)^{3} d s
$$

and

$$
A_{3,2}\left(u_{0}\right)(t):=-c_{3} \int_{0}^{t} U(t-s) \partial_{x}^{3}\left(u_{1}(s) A_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)(s)\right) d s
$$

We put the initial data $\left\{\phi_{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \in H^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$
\phi_{N}(x):=N^{-s+3 / 4} \cos (N x) \int_{-N^{-3 / 2}}^{N^{-3 / 2}} e^{i \xi x} d \xi
$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\phi_{N}}(\xi)=N^{-s+3 / 4}\left(\chi_{C^{+}}(\xi)+\chi_{C^{-}}(\xi)\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{ \pm}:=\left[ \pm N-N^{-3 / 2}, \pm N+N^{-3 / 2}\right]$. Clearly $\left\|\phi_{N}\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \sim 1$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{3,1}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)=- & c_{1} \int \exp \left(i\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right) x+i\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)^{5} t\right) \\
& \times \frac{1-\exp \left(-i q_{2} t\right)}{q_{2}}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right) \widehat{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \widehat{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{3}\right) d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2} d \xi_{3} \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
q_{2}:=\frac{5}{2}\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)\left(\xi_{3}+\xi_{1}\right)\left\{\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\xi_{3}+\xi_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

Next we calculate $A_{3,2}\left(u_{0}\right)$. From the definition of the quadratic term $A_{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{A}_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)=\frac{2}{5}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) \int \frac{\exp \left(i \xi_{1}^{5} t+i\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{5} t\right)}{\xi^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}+\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}} \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right) d \xi_{1} \\
& -\frac{2}{5}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) \int \frac{\exp \left(i \xi^{5} t\right)}{\xi^{2}+\xi_{1}^{2}+\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}} \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right) d \xi_{1}+\text { (remainder terms) } \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (5.22) into $A_{3,2}\left(u_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{3,2}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)=\frac{2}{5} c_{3}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) \int \exp \left(i\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right) x+i\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)^{5} t\right) \frac{1-\exp \left(-i q_{2} t\right)}{q_{2}} \\
\times \frac{\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)^{3}}{\xi_{2}^{2}+\xi_{3}^{2}+\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)^{2}} \widehat{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \widehat{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{3}\right) d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2} d \xi_{3} \\
-\frac{2}{5} c_{3}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) \int \exp \left(i\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right) x+i\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)^{5} t\right) \frac{1-\exp \left(-i q_{3} t\right)}{q_{3}} \\
\times \frac{\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)^{3}}{\xi_{2}^{2}+\xi_{3}^{2}+\left(\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}\right)^{2}} \widehat{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \widehat{u_{0}}\left(\xi_{3}\right) d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2} d \xi_{3}+\text { (remainder terms). } \tag{5.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume that $\xi_{1} \in C^{+}, \xi_{2} \in C^{-}$and $\xi_{3} \in C^{+}$. Following (5.21) and (5.23), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\widehat{A}_{3}\left(\phi_{N}\right)(t)\right| \geq \mid \exp \left(i \xi^{5} t\right) \xi \\
& \quad \times \int\left\{\left(\frac{1}{5} c_{3}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right)-c_{1}\right) \frac{1-\exp \left(-i q_{2} t\right)}{q_{2}}-\frac{1}{5}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) \frac{1-\exp \left(-i q_{3} t\right)}{q_{3}}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \widehat{\phi}_{N}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{\phi}_{N}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \widehat{\phi}_{N}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right) d \xi_{1} d \xi_{2} \mid+(\text { remainder terms }) \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used the change variables from $\xi_{3}$ to $\xi=\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}+\xi_{3}$. From $c_{1} \neq \frac{1}{5} c_{3}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right)$ and (5.24), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\widehat{A}_{3}\left(\phi_{N}\right)(t)\right| & \geq \frac{|t|}{2}\left|c_{1}-\frac{1}{5} c_{3}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right)\right| N^{-3 s-3 / 4}|\xi| \chi_{\left[N-N^{-3 / 2}, N+N^{-3 / 2}\right]}(\xi) \\
& -C N^{-3 s-9 / 4}|\xi| \chi_{\left[N-N^{-3 / 2}, N+N^{-3 / 2}\right]}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C \geq 0$ is some constant. Thus there exists a constant $C^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|A_{3}\left(\phi_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \geq C^{\prime} N^{-2 s-1 / 2}-2 C N^{-2 s-3}
$$

Therefore, when $s<-1 / 4$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there is no positive constant $C$ such that, for bounded $|t|$,

$$
\left\|A_{3}\left(\phi_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s, a}} \leq C\left\|\phi_{N}\right\|_{H^{s, a}}^{3}
$$

## 6. Appendix

We mention the typical counterexamples of (1.9) for (1.10).
Example 1. (high $\times$ high $\rightarrow$ low interaction)
We define the rectangles $P_{1}, P_{2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;|\xi-N| \leq N^{-3 / 2},\left|\tau-\left(5 N^{4} \xi-4 N^{5}\right)\right| \leq 1 / 2\right\} \\
& P_{2}:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;(-\tau,-\xi) \in A_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tau, \xi):=\chi_{P_{1}}(\tau, \xi), \quad g(\tau, \xi):=\chi_{P_{2}}(\tau, \xi) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f * g(\tau, \xi) \gtrsim N^{-3 / 2} \chi_{R_{1}}(\tau, \xi) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R_{1}:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; \xi \in\left[1 / 2 N^{-3 / 2}, 3 / 4 N^{-3 / 2}\right],\left|\tau-5 N^{4} \xi\right| \leq 1 / 2\right\}
$$

Inserting (6.1) and (6.2) into (1.9), the necessary condition for (1.9) is $b \leq 3 a / 5+$ $4 s / 5+11 / 10$. Thus $b \leq 3 a / 5+9 / 10$ if (1.9) for $s=-1 / 4$.

Example 2. (high $\times$ low $\rightarrow$ high interaction)
We define the rectangle $Q$ as follows:

$$
Q:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;\left|\xi-2 N^{-3 / 2}\right| \leq N^{-3 / 2},\left|\tau-\left(5 N^{4} \xi\right)\right| \leq 1 / 2\right\}
$$

Here we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tau, \xi)=\chi_{P_{1}}(\tau, \xi), \quad g(\tau, \xi)=\chi_{Q}(\tau, \xi) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f * g(\tau, \xi) \gtrsim N^{-3 / 2} \chi_{R_{2}}(\tau, \xi) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R_{2}:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;|\xi-N| \leq N^{-3 / 2} / 4,\left|\tau-\left(5 N^{4} \xi-4 N^{5}\right)\right| \leq 1 / 2\right\}
$$

Substituting (6.3) and (6.4) into (1.9), the necessary condition for (1.9) is $b \geq$ $3 a / 5+9 / 10$.

Example 3. (high $\times$ high $\rightarrow$ high interaction)
We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tau, \xi)=\chi_{P_{1}}(\tau, \xi), \quad g(\tau, \xi)=\chi_{P_{1}}(\tau, \xi) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f * g(\tau, \xi) \gtrsim N^{-3 / 2} \chi_{R_{3}}(\tau, \xi) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R_{3}:=\left\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;|\xi-2 N| \leq N^{-3 / 2} / 2,\left|\tau-\left(5 N^{4} \xi-8 N^{5}\right)\right| \leq 1 / 2\right\}
$$

Inserting (6.5) and (6.6) into (1.9), the necessary condition for (1.9) is $b \leq s / 5+11 / 20$ for $s=-1 / 4$.

On the other hand, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tau, \xi)=\chi_{R_{3}}(\tau, \xi), \quad g(\tau, \xi)=\chi_{P_{2}}(\tau, \xi) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f * g(\tau, \xi) \gtrsim N^{-3 / 2} \chi_{R_{2}}(\tau, \xi) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.7) and (6.8) into (1.9), the necessary condition for (1.9) is $b \geq 1 / 2$ for $s=-1 / 4$.

## References

[1] J. Bejenaru and T. Tao, Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness results for a quadratic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Funct. Anal. 233 (2006), 228-259.
[2] T. B. Benjamin, Impulse, flow force and variational principles, IMA J. Appl. Math. 32 (1984), no. 1-3,3-68.
[3] D. J. Benney, A general theory for interactions between short and long waves, IMA J. Appl. Math. 56 (1977), 81-94.
[4] J. Bourgain, Fourier restriction phenomena for certain lattice subset applications to nonlinear evolution equation, Geometric and functional Anal. 3 (1993), 107-156, 209-262.
[5] J. Bourgain, Periodic Korteweg de Vries equation with measures as initial data, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 3 (1997), no. 2, 115-159.
[6] W. Chen, J. Li, C. Miao and J. Wu, Low regularity solution of two fifth-order KdV type equations, J. D'Anal. Math. 107 (2009), 221-238.
[7] J. Ginibre, Y.Tsutsumi and G. Velo, On the Cauchy problem for the Zaharov system, J. Func. Anal. 151 (1997), no. 2, 384-436.
[8] A. Grünrock, On the hierarchies of higher order $m K d V$ and KdV equaions, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 8 (2010), 500-536.
[9] J. Holmer, Local ill-posed of the 1D Zaharov system, Electron J. Differential Equations 2007 no. $24,22 \mathrm{pp}$.
[10] T. K. Kato, Remark on well-posedness and ill-posedness for the KdV equation, Electron J. Differential Equations 2010 no. 142, 15pp.
[11] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, Well-posed and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 46 (1993) no. 4, 527-620.
[12] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, Higher-order nonlinear dispersive equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1994), no.1, 157-166.
[13] N. Kishimoto, Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation at the critical regularity, Differential Integral Equations 22 (2009), 447-464.
[14] N. Kishimoto and K. Tsugawa, Local well-posedness for quadratic Schrödinger equations and "good" Boussinesq equation, Differential Integral Equations 23 (2010), no. 5-6, 463-493.
[15] S. Kwon, On the fifth order KdV equation: Local well-posedness and lack of uniform continuity of the solution map, J. Differential Equations 245 (2008), no. 9, 2627-2659.
[16] S. Kwon, Well-posedness and ill-posedness of the fifth order modified KdV equation, Electron. J. Differenatial Equations 2008, no. 1, 15pp.
[17] L. Molinet, J. C. Saut and N. Tzvetkov, Ill-posedness issue for the Benjamin-Ono and related equations, SIAM J. Math Anal. 33 (2001), 982-988.
[18] L. Molinet, J. C. Saut and N. Tzvetkov, Well-posedness and ill-posedness results for the Kadomtsev-Peviashvili-I equation, Duke Math. J. 115 (2002), no. 2, 353-384.
[19] T. Muramatu and S. Taoka, The initial value problem for the 1-D semilinear Schrödinger equation in Besov space, J. Math. Soc. Japan 56 (2004), no. 3, 853-888.
[20] P. L. Oliver, Hamiltonian and non-Hamiltonian models for water waves, in "Lecture notes in Physics" No. 195, 273-290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
[21] D. Pilod, On the Cauchy problem for higher-order nonlinear dispersive equations, J. Differential Equations 245 (2008), no. 8, 2055-2077.
[22] G. Ponce, Lax pairs and higher order models for water waves, J. Differential Equations 102 (1993), no. 2, 360-381.
[23] T. Tao, Multilinear weighted convolution of $L^{2}$ functions, and application to nonlinear dispersive equations, J. Amer. Math. 123 (2001), 839-908.
[24] H. Triebel, "Theory of Function Spaces", Monographs in Mathematics, 78. Birkhäuser Verleg, Basel, 1983.

E-mail address, Takamori Kato: d08003r@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q55.
    Key words and phrases. fifth order KdV equation, well-posedness, Cauchy problem, Fourier restriction norm, low regularity.

