

The stochastic reflection problem on an infinite dimensional convex set and BV functions in a Gelfand triple

MICHAEL RÖCKNER

Department of Mathematics, University of Bielefeld, D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany
E-mail: roeckner@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de

RONG-CHAN ZHU

Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
E-mail: zhurongchan@126.com

XIANG-CHAN ZHU

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
E-mail: zhuxiangchan@126.com

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a definition of BV functions in a Gelfand triple which is an extension of the definition of BV functions in [1] by using Dirichlet form theory. By this definition, we can consider the stochastic reflection problem associated with a self-adjoint operator A and a cylindrical Wiener process on a convex set Γ in a Hilbert space H . We prove the existence and uniqueness of a strong solution of this problem when Γ is a regular convex set. The result is also extended to the non-symmetric case. Finally, we extend our results to the case when $\Gamma = K_\alpha$, where $K_\alpha = \{f \in L^2(0, 1) | f \geq -\alpha\}$, $\alpha \geq 0$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification AMS: 60Gxx, 31C25, 60G60, 26A45

Keywords: Dirichlet forms, Stochastic reflection problems, BV function, Gelfand triples, Integration by parts formula in infinite dimensions

1 Introduction

A definition of BV functions in abstract Wiener spaces has been given by M. Fukushima in [12], M. Fukushima and M. Hino in [13], based upon Dirichlet form theory. In this paper, we introduce BV functions in a Gelfand triple, which is an extension of BV functions in a Hilbert space defined in [1]. Here we use a version of the Riesz-Markov representation theorem in infinite dimensions proved by M. Fukushima using the quasi-regularity of the Dirichlet form (see [17]) to give a characterization of BV functions.

In this paper, we consider the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}^\rho(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle Du, Dv \rangle \rho(z) \mu(dz)$$

⁰Research supported by 973 project, NSFC, key Lab of CAS, the DFG through IRTG 1132 and CRC 701 and the I.Newton Institute, Cambridge, UK

(where μ is a Gaussian measure in H and ρ is a BV function) and its associated process. By using BV functions, we obtain a Skorohod-type representation for the associated process, if $\rho = I_\Gamma$ and Γ is a convex set.

As a consequence of these results, we can solve the following stochastic differential inclusion in the Hilbert space H :

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) + (AX(t) + N_\Gamma(X(t)))dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where our solution is strong (in the probabilistic sense), if Γ is regular. Here $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is a self-adjoint operator. $N_\Gamma(x)$ is the normal cone to Γ at x and $W(t)$ is a cylindrical Wiener process in H . The precise meaning of the above inclusion will be defined in Section 5.2. The solution to (1.1) is called distorted (if $\rho = I_\Gamma$, reflected) Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU for short)-process.

(1.1) was first studied (strongly solved) in [19], when $H = L^2(0, 1)$, A is the Laplace operator with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions and Γ is the convex set of all nonnegative functions of $L^2(0, 1)$; see also [28]. In [6] the authors study the situation when Γ is a regular convex set with nonempty interior. They get precise information about the corresponding Kolmogorov operator, but did not construct a strong solution to (1.1).

In this paper, we consider a convex set Γ . If Γ is a regular convex set, we show that I_Γ is a BV-function and thus obtain existence and uniqueness results for (1.1). By a modification of [12] and using [7], we obtain the existence of an (in the probabilistic sense) weak solution to (1.1). Then, we prove pathwise uniqueness. Thus, by a version of the Yamada-Watanabe Theorem (see [15]), we deduce that (1.1) has a unique strong solution. We also consider the case when $\Gamma = K_\alpha$, where $K_\alpha = \{f \in L^2(0, 1) | f \geq -\alpha\}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and prove our result about Skorohod-type representation and that I_{K_α} is a BV function, if $\alpha > 0$.

The solution of the reflection problem is based on an integration by parts formula. The connection to BV functions is given in Theorem 3.1 below, which is a key result of this paper. It asserts that the integration by parts formula for $\rho \cdot \mu$ gives a characterization of BV functions ρ , in the case where μ is a Gaussian measure. This is an extension of the characterization of BV functions in finite dimension. But an integration by parts formula is in fact enough for the reflection problem. This we show in Section 6, exploiting the beautiful integration by parts formula for K_α , $\alpha \geq 0$, proved in [28], which in case $\alpha = 0$, i.e, $K_0 = \{f \in L^2(0, 1) : f \geq 0\}$, is with respect to a non-Gaussian measure, namely a Bessel bridge. Theorem 3.1 applies to prove that I_{K_α} is a BV function, but only if $\alpha > 0$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider the Dirichlet form and its associated distorted OU-process. We introduce BV functions in Section 3, by which we can get the Skorohod type representation for the OU- process. In Section 4, we analyze the reflected OU-process. In Section 5, we get the existence and uniqueness of the solution for (1.1) if Γ is a regular convex set. We also extend these results to the non-symmetric case. In Section 6, we consider the case when $\Gamma = K_\alpha$, where $K_\alpha = \{f \in L^2(0, 1) | f \geq -\alpha\}$, $\alpha \geq 0$.

2 The Dirichlet form and the associated distorted OU-process

Let H be a real separable Hilbert space (with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm denoted by $|\cdot|$). We denote its Borel σ -algebra by $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Assume that:

Hypothesis 2.1 $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is a linear self-adjoint operator on H such that $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \delta|x|^2 \forall x \in D(A)$ for some $\delta > 0$ and A^{-1} is of trace class.

Since A^{-1} is trace class, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}$ in H consisting of eigenfunctions for A with corresponding eigenvalues $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, that is,

$$Ae_j = \alpha_j e_j, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\alpha_j \geq \delta$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Below $D\varphi : H \rightarrow H$ denotes the Fréchet-derivative of a function $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By $C_b^1(H)$ we shall denote the set of all bounded differentiable functions with continuous and bounded derivatives. For $K \subset H$, the space $C_b^1(K)$ is defined as the space of restrictions of all functions in $C_b^1(H)$ to the subset K . μ will denote the Gaussian measure in H with mean 0 and covariance operator

$$Q := \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}.$$

Since A is strictly positive, μ is nondegenerate and has full topological support. Let $L^p(H, \mu), p \in [1, \infty]$, denote the corresponding real L^p -spaces equipped with the usual norms $\|\cdot\|_p$. We set

$$\lambda_j := \frac{1}{2\alpha_j} \forall j \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that

$$Qe_j = \lambda_j e_j \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $\rho \in L_+^1(H, \mu)$ we consider

$$\mathcal{E}^\rho(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle Du, Dv \rangle \rho(z) \mu(dz), u, v \in C_b^1(F),$$

where $F := \text{Supp}[\rho \cdot \mu]$ and $L_+^1(H, \mu)$ denotes the set of all non-negative elements in $L^1(H, \mu)$. Let $QR(H)$ be the set of all functions $\rho \in L_+^1(H, \mu)$ such that $(\mathcal{E}^\rho, C_b^1(F))$ is closable on $L^2(F, \rho \cdot \mu)$. Its closure is denoted by $(\mathcal{E}^\rho, \mathcal{F}^\rho)$. We denote by \mathcal{F}_e^ρ the extended Dirichlet space of $(\mathcal{E}^\rho, \mathcal{F}^\rho)$, that is, $u \in \mathcal{F}_e^\rho$ if and only if $|u| < \infty$ $\rho \cdot \mu - a.e.$ and there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in \mathcal{F}^ρ such that $\mathcal{E}^\rho(u_m - u_n, u_m - u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \geq m \rightarrow \infty$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ $\rho \cdot \mu - a.e.$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $\rho \in QR(H)$. Then $(\mathcal{E}^\rho, \mathcal{F}^\rho)$ is a quasi-regular local Dirichlet form on $L^2(F; \rho \cdot \mu)$ in the sense of [17, IV Definition 3.1].

Proof The assertion follows from the main result in [27]. □

By virtue of Theorem 2.2 and [17], there exists a diffusion process $M^\rho = (\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \{\mathcal{M}_t\}, \theta_t, X_t, P_z)$ on F associated with the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^\rho, \mathcal{F}^\rho)$. M^ρ will be called distorted OU-process on

F . Since constant functions are in \mathcal{F}^ρ and $\mathcal{E}^\rho(1, 1) = 0$, M^ρ is recurrent and conservative. We denote by \mathbf{A}_+^ρ the set of all positive continuous additive functionals (PCAF in abbreviation) of M^ρ , and define $\mathbf{A}^\rho := \mathbf{A}_+^\rho - \mathbf{A}_+^\rho$. For $A \in \mathbf{A}^\rho$, its total variation process is denoted by $\{A\}$. We also define $\mathbf{A}_0^\rho := \{A \in \mathbf{A}^\rho | E_{\rho, \mu}(\{A\}_t) < \infty \forall t > 0\}$. Each element in \mathbf{A}_+^ρ has a corresponding positive \mathcal{E}^ρ -smooth measure on F by the Revuz correspondence. The set of all such measures will be denoted by S_+^ρ . Accordingly, $A_t \in \mathbf{A}^\rho$ corresponds to a $\nu \in S^\rho := S_+^\rho - S_+^\rho$, the set of all \mathcal{E}^ρ -smooth signed measure in the sense that $A_t = A_t^1 - A_t^2$ for $A_t^k \in \mathbf{A}_+^\rho, k = 1, 2$ whose Revuz measures are $\nu^k, k = 1, 2$ and $\nu = \nu^1 - \nu^2$ is the Hahn-Jordan decomposition of ν . The element of \mathbf{A}^ρ corresponding to $\nu \in S^\rho$ will be denoted by A^ν .

Note that for each $l \in H$ the function $u(z) = \langle l, z \rangle$ belongs to the extended Dirichlet space \mathcal{F}_e^ρ and

$$\mathcal{E}^\rho(l(\cdot), v) = \frac{1}{2} \int \langle l, Dv(z) \rangle \rho(z) d\mu(z) \quad \forall v \in C_b^1(F). \quad (2.1)$$

On the other hand, the AF $\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle$ of M^ρ admits a unique decomposition into a sum of a martingale AF (M_t) of finite energy and CAF (N_t) of zero energy. More precisely, for every $l \in H$,

$$\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle = M_t^l + N_t^l \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad P_z - a.s. \quad (2.2)$$

for \mathcal{E}^ρ -q.e. $z \in F$.

Now for $\rho \in L^1(H, \mu)$ and $l \in H$, we say that $\rho \in BV_l(H)$ if there exists a constant $C_l > 0$,

$$\left| \int \langle l, Dv(z) \rangle \rho(z) d\mu(z) \right| \leq C_l \|v\|_\infty \quad \forall v \in C_b^1(F). \quad (2.3)$$

By the same argument as in [13, Theorem 2.1], we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.3 Let $\rho \in L_+^1$ and $l \in H$.

(1) The following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\rho \in BV_l(H)$

(ii) There exists a (unique) signed measure ν_l on F of finite total variation such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int \langle l, Dv(z) \rangle \rho(z) d\mu(z) = - \int_F v(z) \nu_l(dz) \quad \forall v \in C_b^1(F). \quad (2.4)$$

In this case, ν_l necessarily belongs to $S^{\rho+1}$.

Suppose further that $\rho \in QR(H)$. Then the following condition is also equivalent to the above:

(iii) $N^l \in \mathbf{A}_0^\rho$

In this case, $\nu_l \in S^\rho$, and $N^l = A^{\nu_l}$

(2) M^l is a martingale AF with quadratic variation process

$$\langle M^l \rangle_t = t|l|^2, t \geq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Remark 2.4 Recall that the Riesz representation theorem of positive linear functionals on continuous functions by measures is not applicable to obtain Theorem 2.3, (i) \Rightarrow (ii), because of the lack of local compactness. However, the quasi-regularity of the Dirichlet form provides a means to circumvent this difficulty.

In the rest of this section, we shall introduce a special class of $\rho \in QR(H)$, which will be used in Section 4 below.

A non-negative measurable function $h(s)$ on \mathbb{R}^1 is said to possess the *Hamza property* if $h(s) = 0$ $ds - a.e.$ on the closed set $\mathbb{R}^1 \setminus R(h)$ where

$$R(h) = \{s \in \mathbb{R}^1 : \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{h(r)} dr < \infty \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0\}.$$

We say that a function $\rho \in L_+^1(H, \mu)$ satisfies *the ray Hamza condition in direction* $l \in H$ ($\rho \in \mathbf{H}_l$ in notation) if there exists a non-negative function $\tilde{\rho}_l$ such that

$$\tilde{\rho}_l = \rho \mu - a.e. \text{ and } \tilde{\rho}_l(z + sl) \text{ has the Hamza property in } s \in \mathbb{R}^1 \text{ for each } z \in H.$$

We set $\mathbf{H} := \cap_k \mathbf{H}_{e_k}$, where e_k is as in Hypothesis 2.1. A function in the family \mathbf{H} is simply said to satisfy the *ray Hamza condition*. By [5] $\mathbf{H} \subset QR(H)$, and thus we always have $\rho + 1 \in QR(H)$, since clearly $\rho + 1 \in \mathbf{H}$.

Next we will present some explicit description of the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^\rho, \mathcal{F}^\rho)$ for $\rho \in \mathbf{H}$.

For $e_j \in H$ as in Hypothesis 2.1, we set $H_{e_j} = \{se_j : s \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$. We then have the direct sum decomposition $H = H_{e_j} \oplus E_{e_j}$ given by

$$z = se_j + x, s = \langle e_j, z \rangle.$$

Let π_j be the projection onto the space E_{e_j} and μ_{e_j} be the image measure of μ under $\pi_j : H \rightarrow E_{e_j}$ i.e $\mu_{e_j} = \mu \circ \pi_j^{-1}$. Then we see that for any $F \in L^1(H, \mu)$

$$\int_H F(z) \mu(dz) = \int_{E_{e_j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} F(se_j + x) p_j(s) ds \mu_{e_j}(dx), \quad (2.6)$$

where $p_j(s) = (1/\sqrt{2\pi\lambda_j})e^{-s^2/2\lambda_j}$. Thus by [5, Theorem3.10] for all $u, v \in D(\mathcal{E}^\rho)$,

$$\mathcal{E}^\rho(u, v) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}^{\rho, e_j}(u, v), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}^{\rho, e_j}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_{e_j}} \int_{R(\rho \cdot e_j + x)} \frac{d\tilde{u}_j(se_j + x)}{ds} \times \frac{d\tilde{v}_j(se_j + x)}{ds} \rho(se_j + x) p_j(s) ds \mu_{e_j}(dx), \quad (2.8)$$

and u, \tilde{u}_j satisfy $\tilde{u}_j = u \rho \mu - a.e$ and $\tilde{u}_j(se_j + x)$ is absolutely continuous in s on $R(\rho \cdot e_j + x)$ for each $x \in E_{e_j}$. v and \tilde{v}_j are related in the same way.

3 BV functions and distorted OU-processes in F

As in [13], we introduce some function spaces on H . Let

$$A_{1/2}(x) := \int_0^x (\log(1+s))^{1/2} ds, x \geq 0,$$

and let ψ be its complementary function, namely,

$$\psi(y) := \int_0^y (A'_{1/2})^{-1}(t)dt = \int_0^y (\exp(t^2) - 1)dt.$$

Define

$$L(\log L)^{1/2}(H, \mu) := \{f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ Borel measurable, } A_{1/2}(|f|) \in L^1(H, \mu)\},$$

$$L^\psi(H, \mu) := \{g : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid g \text{ Borel measurable, } \psi(c|g|) \in L^1(H, \mu) \text{ for some } c > 0\}.$$

From the general theory of Orlicz spaces (cf. [24]), we have the following properties.

(i) $L(\log L)^{1/2}$ and L^ψ are Banach spaces under the norms

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L)^{1/2}} = \inf\{\alpha > 0 \mid \int_H A_{1/2}(|f|/\alpha)d\mu \leq 1\},$$

$$\|g\|_{L^\psi} = \inf\{\alpha > 0 \mid \int_H \psi(|g|/\alpha)d\mu \leq 1\}.$$

(ii) For $f \in L(\log L)^{1/2}$ and $g \in L^\psi$, we have

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq 2\|f\|_{L(\log L)^{1/2}}\|g\|_{L^\psi}. \quad (3.1)$$

(iii) Since μ is Gaussian, the function $x \mapsto \langle x, l \rangle$ belongs to L^ψ .

Let $c_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence in $[1, \infty)$. Define

$$H_1 := \{x \in H \mid \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_j \rangle^2 c_j^2 < \infty\},$$

equipped with the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{H_1} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^2 \langle x, e_j \rangle \langle y, e_j \rangle.$$

Then clearly $(H_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_1})$ is a Hilbert space such that $H_1 \subset H$ continuously and densely. Identifying H with its dual we obtain the continuous and dense embeddings

$$H_1 \subset H (\equiv H^*) \subset H_1^*.$$

It follows that

$${}_{H_1} \langle z, v \rangle_{H_1^*} = \langle z, v \rangle_H \forall z \in H_1, v \in H,$$

and that (H_1, H, H_1^*) is a Gelfand triple. Furthermore, $\{\frac{e_j}{c_j}\}$ and $\{c_j e_j\}$ are orthonormal bases of H_1 and H_1^* , respectively.

We also introduce a family of H -valued functions on H by

$$(C_b^1)_{D(A) \cap H_1} := \{G : G(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_j(z) l^j, z \in H, g_j \in C_b^1(H), l^j \in D(A) \cap H_1\}$$

Denote by D^* the adjoint of $D : C_b^1(H) \subset L^2(H, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(H, \mu; H)$. That is

$$\text{Dom}(D^*) := \{G \in L^2(H, \mu; H) \mid C_b^1 \ni u \mapsto \int \langle G, Du \rangle d\mu \text{ is continuous with respect to } L^2(H, \mu)\}.$$

Obviously, $(C_b^1)_{D(A) \cap H_1} \subset \text{Dom}(D^*)$. Then

$$\int_H D^*G(z)f(z)\mu(dz) = \int_H \langle G(z), Df(z) \rangle \mu(dz) \quad \forall G \in (C_b^1)_{D(A) \cap H_1}, f \in C_b^1(H). \quad (3.2)$$

For $\rho \in L(\log L)^{1/2}(H, \mu)$, we set

$$V(\rho) := \sup_{G \in (C_b^1)_{D(A) \cap H_1}, \|G\|_{H_1} \leq 1} \int_H D^*G(z)\rho(z)\mu(dz).$$

A function ρ on H is called a BV function in the Gelfand triple (H_1, H, H_1^*) ($\rho \in BV(H, H_1)$ in notation), if $\rho \in L(\log L)^{1/2}(H, \mu)$ and $V(\rho)$ is finite. When $H_1 = H = H_1^*$, this coincides with the definition of BV functions defined in [1] and clearly $BV(H, H) \subset BV(H, H_1)$. We can prove the following theorem by a modification of the proof of [12, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 3.1 (i) $BV(H, H_1) \subset \bigcap_{l \in D(A) \cap H_1} BV_l(H)$.

(ii) Suppose $\rho \in BV(H, H_1) \cap L_+^1(H, \mu)$, then there exist a positive finite measure $\|d\rho\|$ on H and a Borel-measurable map $\sigma_\rho : H \rightarrow H_1^*$ such that $\|\sigma_\rho(z)\|_{H_1^*} = 1$ $\|d\rho\|$ -a.e., $\|d\rho\|(H) = V(\rho)$,

$$\int_H D^*G(z)\rho(z)\mu(dz) = \int_H \langle G(z), \sigma_\rho(z) \rangle_{H_1^*} \|d\rho\|(dz) \quad \forall G \in (C_b^1)_{D(A) \cap H_1} \quad (3.3)$$

and $\|d\rho\| \in S^{\rho+1}$.

Furthermore, if $\rho \in QR(H)$, $\|d\rho\|$ is \mathcal{E}^ρ -smooth in the sense that it charges no set of zero \mathcal{E}_1^ρ -capacity. In particular, the domain of integration H on both sides of (3.3) can be replaced by F , the topological support of $\rho\mu$.

Also, σ_ρ and $\|d\rho\|$ are uniquely determined, that is, if there are σ'_ρ and $\|d\rho\|'$ satisfying relation (3.3), then $\|d\rho\| = \|d\rho\|'$ and $\sigma_\rho(z) = \sigma'_\rho(z)$ for $\|d\rho\|$ -a.e. z .

(iii) Conversely, if Eq.(3.3) holds for $\rho \in L(\log L)^{1/2}(H, \mu)$ and for some positive finite measure $\|d\rho\|$ and a map σ_ρ with the stated properties, then $\rho \in BV(H, H_1)$ and $V(\rho) = \|d\rho\|(H)$.

(iv) Let $W^{1,1}(H)$ be the domain of the closure of $(D, C_b^1(H))$ with norm

$$\|f\| := \int_H (|f(z)| + |Df(z)|)\mu(dz).$$

Then $W^{1,1}(H) \subset BV(H, H)$ and Eq.(3.3) is satisfied for each $\rho \in W^{1,1}(H)$. Furthermore,

$$\|d\rho\| = |D\rho| \cdot \mu, V(\rho) = \int_H |D\rho|\mu(dz), \sigma_\rho = \frac{1}{|D\rho|} D\rho I_{\{|D\rho|>0\}}.$$

Proof (i) Let $\rho \in BV(H, H_1)$ and $l \in D(A) \cap H_1$. Take $G \in (C_b^1)_{D(A) \cap H_1}$ of the type

$$G(z) = g(z)l, z \in H, g \in C_b^1(H). \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H D^*G(z)f(z)\mu(dz) &= \int_H \langle G(z), Df(z) \rangle \mu(dz) \\ &= - \int_H \langle l, Dg(z) \rangle f(z)\mu(dz) + 2 \int_H \langle Al, z \rangle g(z)f(z)\mu(dz) \quad \forall f \in C_b^1(H); \end{aligned}$$

consequently,

$$D^*G(z) = -\langle l, Dg(z) \rangle + 2g(z)\langle Al, z \rangle. \quad (3.5)$$

Accordingly,

$$\int_H \langle l, Dg(z) \rangle \rho(z)\mu(dz) = - \int_H D^*G(z)\rho(z)\mu(dz) + 2 \int_H \langle Al, z \rangle g(z)\rho(z)\mu(dz). \quad (3.6)$$

For any $g \in C_b^1(H)$, satisfying $\|g\|_\infty \leq 1$, by (3.1) the right hand side is dominated by

$$V(\rho)\|l\|_{H_1} + 4\|\rho\|_{L(\log L)^{1/2}}\|\langle Al, \cdot \rangle\|_{L^\psi} < \infty,$$

hence, $\rho \in BV_l(H)$.

(ii) Suppose $\rho \in L_+^1(H, \mu) \cap BV(H, H_1)$. By (i) and Theorem 2.3 for each $l \in D(A) \cap H_1$, there exists a finite signed measure ν_l on H for which Eq.(2.4) holds. Define

$$D_l^A \rho(dz) := 2\nu_l(dz) + 2\langle Al, z \rangle \rho(z)\mu(dz).$$

In view of (3.6), for any G of type (3.4), we have

$$\int_H D^*G(z)\rho(z)\mu(dz) = \int_H g(z)D_l^A \rho(dz), \quad (3.7)$$

which in turn implies

$$V(D_l^A \rho)(H) = \sup_{g \in C_b^1(H), \|g\|_\infty \leq 1} \int_H g(z)D_l^A \rho(dz) \leq V(\rho)\|l\|_{H_1}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $V(D_l^A \rho)$ denotes the total variation measure of the signed measure $D_l^A \rho$.

For the orthonormal basis $\{\frac{e_j}{c_j}\}$ of H_1 , we set

$$\gamma_\rho^A := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} V(D_{\frac{e_j}{c_j}}^A \rho), \quad v_j(z) := \frac{dD_{\frac{e_j}{c_j}}^A \rho(z)}{d\gamma_\rho^A(z)}, \quad z \in H, j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.9)$$

γ_ρ^A is a positive finite measure with $\gamma_\rho^A(H) \leq V(\rho)$ and v_j is Borel-measurable. Since $D_{\frac{e_j}{c_j}}^A \rho$ belongs to $S^{\rho+1}$, so does γ_ρ^A . Then for

$$G_n := \sum_{j=1}^n g_j \frac{e_j}{c_j} \in (C_b^1)_{D(A) \cap H_1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.10)$$

by (3.7) the following equation holds

$$\int_H D^* G_n(z) \rho(z) \mu(dz) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_H g_j(z) v_j(z) \gamma_\rho^A(dz). \quad (3.11)$$

Since $|v_j(z)| \leq 2^j$ γ_ρ^A -a.e. and $C_b^1(H)$ is dense in $L^1(H, \gamma_\rho^A)$, we can find $v_{j,m} \in C_b^1(H)$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v_{j,m} = v_j \gamma_\rho^A - a.e.,$$

Substituting

$$g_{j,m}(z) := \frac{v_{j,m}(z)}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n v_{k,m}(z)^2 + 1/m}}, \quad (3.12)$$

for $g_j(z)$ in (3.10) and (3.11) we get a bound

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int_H g_{j,m}(z) v_j(z) \gamma_\rho^A(dz) \leq V(\rho),$$

because $\|G_n(z)\|_{H_1}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n g_{j,m}(z)^2 \leq 1 \forall z \in H$. By letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\int_H \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n v_j(z)^2} \gamma_\rho^A(dz) \leq V(\rho) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now we define

$$\|d\rho\| := \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_j(z)^2} \gamma_\rho^A(dz) \quad (3.13)$$

and $\sigma_\rho : H \rightarrow H_1^*$ by

$$\sigma_\rho(z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_j(z)}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k(z)^2}} \cdot c_j e_j, & \text{if } z \in \{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k(z)^2 > 0\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

Then

$$\|d\rho\|(H) \leq V(\rho), \quad \|\sigma_\rho(z)\|_{H_1^*} = 1 \quad a.e., \quad (3.15)$$

$\|d\rho\|$ is $S^{\rho+1}$ -smooth and σ_ρ is Borel-measurable. By (3.11) we see that the desired equation (3.3) holds for $G = G_n$ as in (3.10). It remains to prove (3.3) for any G of type (3.4), i.e. $G = g \cdot l, g \in C_b^1(H), l \in D(A) \cap H_1$. In view of (3.6), Eq.(3.3) then reads

$$- \int_H \langle l, Dg(z) \rangle \rho(z) \mu(dz) + 2 \int_H g(z) \langle Al, z \rangle \rho(z) \mu(dz) = \int_H g(z)_{H_1} \langle l, \sigma_\rho(z) \rangle_{H_1^*} \|d\rho\|(dz). \quad (3.16)$$

We set

$$k_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \langle l, e_j \rangle e_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle l, \frac{e_j}{c_j} \rangle_{H_1} \frac{e_j}{c_j}, \quad G_n(z) := g(z) k_n.$$

Thus $k_n \rightarrow l$ in H_1 and $Ak_n \rightarrow Al$ in H as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But then also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_H \langle Dg, k_n \rangle \rho d\mu = \int_H \langle Dg, l \rangle \rho d\mu,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_H g(z) \langle Ak_n, z \rangle \rho(z) \mu(dz) - \int_H g(z) \langle Al, z \rangle \rho(z) \mu(dz) \right| \\ & \leq 2 \|g\|_\infty \|\rho\|_{L(\log L)^{1/2}} \|\langle Ak_n - Al, \cdot \rangle\|_{L^\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_H g(z)_{H_1} \langle k_n, \sigma_\rho(z) \rangle_{H_1^*} \|d\rho\|(dz) = \int_H g(z)_{H_1} \langle l, \sigma_\rho(z) \rangle_{H_1^*} \|d\rho\|(dz).$$

So letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields (3.16).

If $\rho \in QR(H)$, we can get the claimed result by the same arguments as above.

Uniqueness follows by the same argument as [13, Theorem 3.9].

(iii) Suppose $\rho \in L(\log)^{1/2}(H, \mu)$ and that Eq.(3.3) holds for some positive finite measure $\|d\rho\|$ and some map σ_ρ with the properties stated in (ii). Then clearly

$$V(\rho) \leq \|d\rho\|(H)$$

and hence $\rho \in BV(H, H_1)$. To obtain the converse inequality, set

$$\sigma_j(z) := \langle c_j e_j, \sigma_\rho(z) \rangle_{H_1^*} =_{H_1} \left\langle \frac{e_j}{c_j}, \sigma_\rho(z) \right\rangle_{H_1^*}, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Fix an arbitrary n . As in the proof of (ii) we can find functions

$$v_{j,m} \in C_b^1(H), \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} v_{j,m}(z) = \sigma_j(z) \|d\rho\| - a.e.$$

Define $g_{j,m}(z)$ by (3.12). Substituting $G_{n,m}(z) := \sum_{j=1}^n g_{j,m}(z) \frac{e_j}{c_j}$ for $G(z)$ in (3.3) then yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int_H g_{j,m}(z) \sigma_j(z) \|d\rho\|(dz) \leq V(\rho).$$

By letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\int_H \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(z)^2} \|d\rho\|(dz) \leq V(\rho) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We finally let $n \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain $\|d\rho\|(H) \leq V(\rho)$.

(iv) Obviously the duality relation (3.2) extends to $\rho \in W^{1,1}(H)$ replacing $f \in C_b^1(H)$. By defining $\|d\rho\|$ and $\sigma_\rho(z)$ in the stated way, the extended relation (3.2) is exactly (3.3). \square

Theorem 3.2 Let $\rho \in QR(H) \cap BV(H, H_1)$ and consider the measure $\|d\rho\|$ and σ_ρ from Theorem 3.1(ii). Then there is an \mathcal{E}^ρ -exceptional set $S \subset F$ such that $\forall z \in F \setminus S$ under P_z

there exists an \mathcal{M}_t -cylindrical Wiener process W^z , such that the sample paths of the associated distorted OU-process M^ρ on F satisfy the following: for $l \in D(A) \cap H_1$

$$\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle = \int_0^t \langle l, dW_s^z \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t {}_{H_1} \langle l, \sigma_\rho(X_s) \rangle_{H_1^*} dL_s^{\|\rho\|} - \int_0^t \langle Al, X_s \rangle ds \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad P_z\text{-a.s.} \quad (3.17)$$

Here $L_t^{\|\rho\|}$ is the real valued PCAF associated with $\|\rho\|$ by the Revuz correspondence.

In particular, if $\rho \in BV(H, H)$, then $\forall z \in F \setminus S$, $l \in D(A) \cap H$

$$\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle = \int_0^t \langle l, dW_s^z \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle l, \sigma_\rho(X_s) \rangle dL_s^{\|\rho\|} - \int_0^t \langle Al, X_s \rangle ds \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad P_z\text{-a.s.}$$

Proof Let $\{e_j\}$ be the orthonormal basis of H introduced above. Define for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$W_k^z(t) := \langle e_k, X_t - z \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t {}_{H_1} \langle e_k, \sigma_\rho(X_s) \rangle_{H_1^*} dL_s^{\|\rho\|} + \int_0^t \langle Ae_k, X_s \rangle ds. \quad (3.18)$$

By (2.1) and (3.16) we get for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{E}^\rho(e_k(\cdot), g) = \int_H g(z) \langle Ae_k, z \rangle \rho(z) \mu(dz) - \frac{1}{2} \int_H g(z) {}_{H_1} \langle e_k, \sigma_\rho(z) \rangle_{H_1^*} \|\rho\|(dz) \quad \forall g \in C_b^1(H).$$

By Theorem 2.3 it follows that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$N_t^{e_k} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t {}_{H_1} \langle e_k, \sigma_\rho(X_s) \rangle_{H_1^*} dL_s^{\|\rho\|} - \int_0^t \langle Ae_k, X_s \rangle ds. \quad (3.19)$$

Here we get from (3.18), (3.19) and the uniqueness of decomposition (2.2) that for \mathcal{E}^ρ -q.e. $z \in F$,

$$W_k^z(t) = M_t^{e_k} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad P_z\text{-a.s.},$$

where the \mathcal{E}^ρ -exceptional set and the zero measure set does not depend on e_k . Indeed, we can choose the capacity zero set $S = \cup_{j=1}^\infty S_j$, where S_j is the \mathcal{E}^ρ -exceptional set for e_j , and for $z \in F \setminus S$, we can use the same method to get a zero measure set independent of e_k . By Dirichlet form theory we get $\langle M^{e_i}, M^{e_j} \rangle_t = t \delta_{ij}$. So for $z \in F \setminus S$, W_k^z is an \mathcal{M}_t -Wiener process under P_z . Thus, with W^z being an \mathcal{M}_t -cylindrical Wiener process given by $W^z(t) = (W_k^z(t) e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, (3.17) is satisfied for P_z -a.e., where $z \in F \setminus S$. \square

4 Reflected OU-processes

In this section we consider the situation where $\rho = I_\Gamma \in BV(H, H_1)$, where $\Gamma \subset H$ and

$$I_\Gamma(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in \Gamma, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Gamma^c. \end{cases}$$

Denote the corresponding objects $\sigma_\rho, \|dI_\Gamma\|$ in Theorem 3.1(ii) by $-\mathbf{n}_\Gamma, \|\partial\Gamma\|$ respectively. Then formula (3.3) reads

$$\int_\Gamma D^* G(z) \mu(dz) = - \int_F {}_{H_1} \langle G(z), \mathbf{n}_\Gamma \rangle_{H_1^*} \|\partial\Gamma\|(dz) \quad \forall G \in (C_b^1)_{D(A) \cap H_1},$$

where the domain of integration F on the right hand side is the topological support of $I_\Gamma \cdot \mu$. F is contained in $\bar{\Gamma}$, but we shall show that the domain of integration on the right hand side can be restricted to $\partial\Gamma$. We need to use the associated distorted OU-process M^{I_Γ} on F , which will be called reflected OU-process on Γ .

First we consider a μ -measurable set $\Gamma \subset H$ satisfying

$$I_\Gamma \in BV(H, H_1) \cap \mathbf{H}. \quad (4.1)$$

Remark 4.1 We emphasize that if Γ is a convex closed set in H , then obviously $I_\Gamma \in \mathbf{H}$. Indeed, for each $z, l \in H$ the set $\{s \in \mathbb{R} | z + sl \in \Gamma\}$ is a closed interval in \mathbb{R} , whose indicator function hence trivially has the Hamza property. Hence, in particular, $I_\Gamma \in QR(H)$.

By a modification of [12, Theorem 4.2], we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 Let $\Gamma \subset H$ be μ -measurable satisfying condition (4.1). Then the support of $\|\partial\Gamma\|$ is contained in the boundary $\partial\Gamma$ of Γ , and the following generalized Gauss formula holds:

$$\int_\Gamma D^*G(z)\mu(dz) = - \int_{\partial\Gamma} \langle G(z), \mathbf{n}_\Gamma \rangle_{H_1^*} \|\partial\Gamma\|(dz) \quad \forall G \in (C_b^1)_{D(A) \cap H_1}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof For any G of type (3.4) we have from (2.1), (3.5) and (3.7) that

$$\mathcal{E}^{I_\Gamma}(l(\cdot), g) - \int_\Gamma g(z) \langle Al, z \rangle \mu(dz) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_F g(z) D_l^A I_\Gamma(dz). \quad (4.3)$$

Since the finite signed measure $D_l^A I_\Gamma$ charges no set of zero \mathcal{E}^{I_Γ} -capacity, Eq.(4.3) readily extends to any \mathcal{E}^{I_Γ} -quasicontinuous function $g \in \mathcal{F}_b^{I_\Gamma} := \mathcal{F}^{I_\Gamma} \cap L^\infty(\Gamma, \mu)$.

Denote by Γ^0 the interior of Γ . Then $\Gamma^0 \subset F \subset \bar{\Gamma}$. In view of the construction of the measure $\|dI_\Gamma\|$ in Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that for $\frac{e_j}{e_j} \in D(A) \cap H_1$

$$V(D_{\frac{e_j}{e_j}}^A I_\Gamma)(\Gamma^0) = 0.$$

By linearity and since positive constants interchange with sup, it suffices to show that,

$$V(D_{e_j}^A I_\Gamma)(\Gamma^0) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and set

$$U := \{z \in H : d(z, H \setminus \Gamma^0) > \varepsilon\}, \quad V := \{z \in H : d(z, H \setminus \Gamma^0) \geq \varepsilon\},$$

where d is the metric distance of the Hilbert space H . Then $\bar{U} \subset V$ and V is a closed set contained in the open set Γ^0 . We define a function h by

$$h(z) := 1 - E_z(e^{-\tau_V}), \quad z \in F, \quad (4.5)$$

where τ_V denotes the first exit time of M^{I_Γ} from the set V . The nonnegative function h is in the space $\mathcal{F}_b^{I_\Gamma}$ and furthermore it is \mathcal{E}^{I_Γ} -quasicontinuous because it is M^{I_Γ} finely continuous.

Moreover,

$$h(z) > 0 \quad \forall z \in U, \quad h(z) = 0 \quad \forall z \in F \setminus V. \quad (4.6)$$

Set

$$\nu_j(dz) := h(z)D_{e_j}^A I_\Gamma(dz) \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$I_g^j := \mathcal{E}^{I_\Gamma}(e_j(\cdot), gh) - \int_\Gamma g(z)h(z)\langle Ae_j, z \rangle \mu(dz). \quad (4.8)$$

Then Eq.(4.3) with the \mathcal{E}^{I_Γ} -quasicontinuous function $gh \in \mathcal{F}_b^{I_\Gamma}$ replacing g implies

$$I_g^j = -\frac{1}{2} \int_F g(z)\nu_j(dz).$$

In order to prove (4.4), it is enough to show that $I_g^j = 0$ for any function $g(z)$ of the type

$$g(z) = f(\langle e_j, z \rangle, \langle l_2, z \rangle, \dots, \langle l_m, z \rangle); l_2, \dots, l_m \in H, f \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^m), \quad (4.9)$$

for we have then $\nu_j = 0$.

On account of (2.8) we have the expression

$$\mathcal{E}^{I_\Gamma}(e_j(\cdot), gh) = \mathcal{E}^{I_\Gamma, e_j}(e_j(\cdot), gh) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_{e_j}} \int_{R_x} \frac{d(g\tilde{h})(se_j + x)}{ds} p_j(s) ds \mu_{e_j}(dx), \quad (4.10)$$

where $R_x = R(I_\Gamma(\cdot e_j + x))$, $F_x := \{s : se_j + x \in F\}$ for $x \in E_{e_j}$ and \tilde{h} is a $I_\Gamma \cdot \mu$ -version of h appearing in the description of (2.8). For $x \in E_{e_j}$ set

$$V_x := \{s : se_j + x \in V\}, \Gamma_x^0 := \{s : se_j + x \in \Gamma^0\}.$$

We then have the inclusion $V_x \subset \Gamma_x^0 \subset R_x \cap F_x$. By (4.6), $h(se_j + x) = 0$ for any $x \in E_{e_j}$ and for any $s \in R_x \setminus V_x$. On the other hand, there exists a Borel set $N \subset E_{e_j}$ with $\mu_{e_j}(N) = 0$ such that for each $x \in E_{e_j} \setminus N$,

$$h(se_j + x) = \tilde{h}(se_j + x) ds - a.e.$$

Here we set $h \equiv 0$ on $H \setminus F$. Since $\tilde{h}(\cdot e_j + x)$ is absolutely continuous in s , we can conclude that

$$\tilde{h}(se_j + x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in E_{e_j} \setminus N, \quad \forall s \in R_x \setminus V_x.$$

Fix $x \in E_{e_j} \setminus N$ and let I be any connected component of the one dimensional open set R_x . Furthermore, for any function g of type (4.9) we denote the support of $g(\cdot e_j + x)$ by K_x (which is a compact set) and choose a bounded open interval J containing K_x . Then $I \cap V_x \cap K_x$ is a closed set contained in the bounded open interval $I \cap J$ and

$$g\tilde{h}(se_j + x) = 0 \quad \forall s \in (I \cap J) \setminus (I \cap V_x \cap K_x).$$

Therefore, an integration by part gives

$$\int_{I \cap J} \frac{d(g\tilde{h})(se_j + x)}{ds} p_j(s) ds = \int_{I \cap J} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} (g\tilde{h})(se_j + x) s p_j(s) ds.$$

Combining this with (4.8) and (4.10), we arrive at

$$I_g^j = \int_{E_{e_j}} \int_{R_x} \frac{1}{2\lambda_j} (g\tilde{h})(se_j + x) s p_j(s) ds \mu_{e_j}(dx) - \int_H g(z)h(z)\langle Ae_j, z \rangle I_\Gamma(z) \mu(dz) = 0.$$

□

Now we state Theorem 3.2 for $\rho = I_\Gamma$.

Theorem 4.3 Suppose $\Gamma \subset H$ is a μ -measurable set satisfying condition (4.1). Then there is an \mathcal{E}^ρ -exceptional set $S \subset F$ such that $\forall z \in F \setminus S$, under P_z there exists an \mathcal{M}_t -cylindrical Wiener process W^z , such that the sample paths of the associated reflected OU-process M^ρ on F with $\rho = I_\Gamma$ satisfy the following: for $l \in D(A) \cap H_1$

$$\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle = \int_0^t \langle l, dW_s^z \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle l, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s) \rangle_{H_1^*} dL_s^{\|\partial\Gamma\|} - \int_0^t \langle Al, X_s \rangle ds \quad P_z\text{-a.s.} \quad (4.11)$$

Here, $L_t^{\|\partial\Gamma\|}$ is the real valued PCAF associated with $\|\partial\Gamma\|$ by the Revuz correspondence, which has the following additional property: $\forall z \in F \setminus S$

$$I_{\partial\Gamma}(X_s) dL_s^{\|\partial\Gamma\|} = dL_s^{\|\partial\Gamma\|} \quad P_z - a.s.. \quad (4.12)$$

In particular, if $\rho \in BV(H, H)$, then $\forall z \in F \setminus S, l \in D(A) \cap H$

$$\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle = \int_0^t \langle l, dW_s^z \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle l, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s) \rangle dL_s^{\|\partial\Gamma\|} - \int_0^t \langle Al, X_s \rangle ds \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad P_z - a.s..$$

Proof All assertions except for (4.12) follow from Theorem 3.2 for $\rho := I_\Gamma$. (4.12) follows by Theorem 4.2 and [10, Theorem 5.1.3]. □

5 Stochastic reflection problem on a regular convex set

In this section, we consider Γ satisfying [6] Hypothesis 1.1 (ii) with $K := \Gamma$, that is:

Hypothesis 5.1 There exists a convex C^∞ function $g : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g(0) = 0, g'(0) = 0$, and D^2g strictly positive definite, that is, $\langle D^2g(x)h, h \rangle \geq \gamma|h|^2 \forall h \in H$ for some $\gamma > 0$, such that

$$\Gamma = \{x \in H : g(x) \leq 1\}, \partial\Gamma = \{x \in H : g(x) = 1\}$$

Moreover, we also suppose that D^2g is bounded on Γ and g and all its derivatives grow at infinity at most polynomially.

Remark 5.2 By [6, Lemma 1.2], Γ is convex and closed and there exists some constant $\delta > 0$ such that $|Dg(x)| \leq \delta \forall x \in \Gamma$.

5.1 Reflected OU processes on regular convex sets

Under Hypothesis 5.1, by [7, Lemma A.1] we can prove that $I_\Gamma \in BV(H, H) \cap QR(H)$:

Theorem 5.3 Assume that Hypothesis 5.1 holds. Then $I_\Gamma \in BV(H, H) \cap QR(H)$.

Proof We first note that trivially by Remark 4.1 we have that $I_\Gamma \in QR(H)$. Let

$$\rho_\varepsilon(x) := \exp\left(-\frac{(g(x) - 1)^2}{\varepsilon}\right) 1_{\{g \geq 1\}}, x \in H.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_\varepsilon = I_\Gamma.$$

Moreover,

$$D\rho_\varepsilon = -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \rho_\varepsilon 1_{\{g \geq 1\}} Dg(g-1) \mu - a.e..$$

By [7, Lemma A.1] we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_H \varphi(x) 1_{\{g(x) \geq 1\}} (g(x)-1) \langle Dg(x), z \rangle \rho_\varepsilon(x) \mu(dx) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Gamma} \varphi(y) \langle n(y), z \rangle \mu_{\partial\Gamma}(dy) \quad \forall z \in H, \varphi \in C_b^1(H),$$

where $n := Dg/|Dg|$ is the exterior normal to $\partial\Gamma$ at y and $\mu_{\partial\Gamma}$ is the surface measure on $\partial\Gamma$ induced by μ (cf. [6], [7], [16]), whereas by (3.2) for any $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$ and $z \in D(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_H \varphi(x) 1_{\{g(x) \geq 1\}} (g(x)-1) \langle Dg(x), z \rangle \rho_\varepsilon(x) \mu(dx) \\ &= - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle D\rho_\varepsilon(x), \varphi(x)z \rangle \mu(dx) \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_H \rho_\varepsilon(x) D^*(\varphi z)(x) \mu(dx) \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \int_H 1_\Gamma(x) D^*(\varphi z)(x) \mu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_H 1_\Gamma(x) D^*(\varphi z)(x) \mu(dx) = - \int_{\partial\Gamma} \varphi(x) \langle n(x), z \rangle \mu_{\partial\Gamma}(dx) \quad \forall z \in D(A), \varphi \in C_b^1. \quad (5.1)$$

By the proof of [7, Lemma A.1], we get that g is a non-degenerate map. So we can use the co-area formula (see [16, Theorem 6.3.1, Ch. V] or [7, (A.4)]):

$$\int_H f \mu(dx) = \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{g=r} f(y) \frac{1}{|Dg(y)|} \mu_{\Sigma_r}(dy) \right] dr.$$

By [16, Theorem 6.2, Ch. V] the surface measure is defined for all $r \geq 0$, moreover [16, Theorem 1.1, Corollary 6.3.2, Ch. V] imply that $r \mapsto \mu_{\Sigma_r}$ is continuous in the topology induced by $D_r^p(H)$ for some $p \in (1, \infty), r \in (0, \infty)$ (cf [16]) on the measures on $(H, \mathcal{B}(H))$. Take $f \equiv 1$ in the co-area formula, then by the continuity property of the surface measure with respect to r we have that $\frac{1}{|Dg(y)|} \mu_{\Sigma_r}(dy)$ is a finite measure supported in $\{g = r\}$. By Remark 5.2 and since $\mu_{\partial\Gamma} = \mu_{\Sigma_1}$, we have that $\mu_{\partial\Gamma}$ is a finite measure. And hence by Theorem 3.1 (iii), we get $I_\Gamma \in BV(H, H)$. □

Thus by Theorem 4.3 we immediately get the following.

Theorem 5.4 Assume Hypothesis 5.1. Then there exists an \mathcal{E}^ρ -exceptional set $S \subset F$ such that $\forall z \in F \setminus S$, under P_z there exists an \mathcal{M}_t -cylindrical Wiener process W^z , such that the

sample paths of the associated reflected OU-process M^ρ on F with $\rho = I_\Gamma$ satisfy the following: for $l \in D(A) \cap H_1$

$$\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle = \int_0^t \langle l, dW_s^z \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle l, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s) dL_s^{\|\partial\Gamma\|} \rangle - \int_0^t \langle Al, X_s \rangle ds \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad P_z - a.e.$$

where $\mathbf{n}_\Gamma := \frac{Dg}{|Dg|}$ is the exterior normal to Γ and

$$\|\partial\Gamma\| = \mu_{\partial\Gamma},$$

where $\mu_{\partial\Gamma}$ is the surface measure induced by μ (c.f [6], [7], [16]).

Remark 5.5 It can be shown that for $x \in \partial\Gamma$, $\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(x) = \frac{Dg}{|Dg|}$ is the exterior normal to Γ , i.e the unique element in H of unit length such that

$$\langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(x), y - x \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in \Gamma.$$

5.2 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

Let $\Gamma \subset H$ and our linear operator A satisfy Hypothesis 5.1 and Hypothesis 2.1, respectively. Consider the following stochastic differential inclusion in the Hilbert space H ,

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) + (AX(t) + N_\Gamma(X(t)))dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

where $W(t)$ is a cylindrical Wiener process in H on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$ and $N_\Gamma(x)$ is the normal cone to Γ at x , i.e.

$$N_\Gamma(x) = \{z \in H : \langle z, y - x \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall y \in \Gamma\}.$$

Definition 5.6 A pair of continuous $H \times \mathbb{R}$ -valued and \mathcal{F}_t -adapted processes $(X(t), L(t)), t \in [0, T]$, is called a solution of (5.2) if the following conditions hold.

- (i) $X(t) \in \Gamma$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ $P - a.s.$;
- (ii) L is an increasing process with the property that

$$I_{\partial\Gamma}(X_s) dL_s = dL_s \quad P - a.s.$$

and for any $l \in D(A)$ we have

$$\langle l, X_t - x \rangle = \int_0^t \langle l, dW_s \rangle - \int_0^t \langle l, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s) dL_s \rangle - \int_0^t \langle Al, X_s \rangle ds \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad P - a.s.$$

where \mathbf{n}_Γ is the exterior normal to Γ .

Remark 5.7 By Remark 5.5 we know that $\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(x) \in N_\Gamma(x)$ for all $x \in \Gamma$. Hence by Definition 5.6 (ii) it follows that Definition 5.6 is appropriate to define a solution for the multi-valued equation (5.2).

We denote the semigroup with the infinitesimal generator $-A$ by $S(t), t \geq 0$.

Definition 5.8 A pair of continuous $H \times \mathbb{R}$ valued and \mathcal{F}_t -adapted processes $(X(t), L(t)), t \in [0, T]$ is called a mild solution of (5.2) if

- (i) $X(t) \in \Gamma$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ $P - a.s.$;
- (ii) L is an increasing process with the property

$$I_{\partial\Gamma}(X_s)dL_s = dL_s \quad P - a.s.$$

and

$$X_t = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)dW_s - \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s)dL_s \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad P - a.s.$$

where \mathbf{n}_Γ is the exterior normal to Γ . In particular, the appearing integrals have to be well defined.

Lemma 5.9 The process given by

$$\int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s)dL_s$$

is P -a.s. continuous and adapted to $\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]$. This especially implies that it is predictable.

Proof As $|S(t-s)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s)| \leq M_T|\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s)|, s \in [0, T]$, the integrals $\int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s)dL_s, t \in [0, T]$, are well defined. For $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^s S(s-u)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u)dL_u - \int_0^t S(t-u)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u)dL_u \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^s [S(s-u) - S(t-u)]\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u)dL_u \right| + \left| \int_s^t S(t-u)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u)dL_u \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^s |[S(s-u) - S(t-u)]\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u)|dL_u + \int_s^t |S(t-u)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u)|dL_u, \end{aligned}$$

where the first summand converges to zero as $s \uparrow t$ or $t \downarrow s$, because

$$|1_{[0,s)}(u)[S(s-u) - S(t-u)]\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \uparrow t \text{ or } t \downarrow s.$$

For the second summand we have

$$\int_s^t |S(t-u)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u)|dL_u \leq M_T(L_t - L_s) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \uparrow t \text{ or } t \downarrow s.$$

By the same arguments as in [25, Lemma 5.1.9] we conclude that the integral is adapted to $\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]$. \square

Theorem 5.10 $(X(t), L_t), t \in [0, T]$, is a solution of (5.2) if and only if it is a mild solution.

Proof (\Rightarrow) First, we prove that for arbitrary $\zeta \in C^1([0, T], D(A))$ the following equation holds:

$$\langle X_t, \zeta_t \rangle = \langle x, \zeta_0 \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \zeta_s, dW_s \rangle - \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s), \zeta_s \rangle dL_s + \int_0^t \langle X_s, -A\zeta_s + \zeta'_s \rangle ds \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad P - a.s.. \quad (5.3)$$

If $\zeta_s = \eta f_s$ for $f \in C^1([0, T])$ and $\eta \in D(A)$, by Itô's formula we have the above relation for such ζ . Then by [25, Lemma G.0.10] and the same arguments as the proof of Proposition G.0.11 we obtain the above formula for all $\zeta \in C^1([0, T], D(A))$. As in [25, Proposition G.0.11], for the resolvent $R_n := (n + A)^{-1} : H \rightarrow D(A)$ and $t \in [0, T]$ choosing $\zeta_s := S(t - s)nR_n\eta, \eta \in H$, we deduce from (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X_t, nR_n\eta \rangle &= \langle x, S(t)nR_n\eta \rangle + \int_0^t \langle S(t - s)nR_n\eta, dW_s \rangle - \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s), S(t - s)nR_n\eta \rangle dL_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle X_s, AS(t - s)nR_n\eta \rangle + \langle X_s, -AS(t - s)nR_n\eta \rangle ds \\ &= \langle S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t - s)dW_s + \int_0^t S(t - s)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s)dL_s, nR_n\eta \rangle \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad P - a.s.. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $(X(t), L_t), t \in [0, T]$, is a mild solution.

(\Leftarrow) By Lemma 5.9 and [25, Theorem 5.1.3], we have

$$\int_0^t S(t - s)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s)dL_s \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t S(t - s)dW_s, t \in [0, T],$$

have predictable versions. And we use the same notation for the predictable versions of the respective processes. As (X_t, L_t) is a mild solution, for all $\eta \in D(A)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \langle X_s, A\eta \rangle ds &= \int_0^t \langle S(s)x, A\eta \rangle ds - \int_0^t \langle \int_0^s S(s - u)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u)dL_u, A\eta \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle \int_0^s S(s - u)dW_u, A\eta \rangle ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad P - a.s.. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion that $(X(t), L_t), t \in [0, T]$, is a solution of (5.2) now follows as in the proof of [25, Proposition G.0.9] because

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \langle \int_0^s S(s - u)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u)dL_u, A\eta \rangle ds &= \int_0^t \int_0^s \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_u), -\frac{d}{ds}S(s - u)\eta \rangle dL_u ds \\ &= - \langle \int_0^t S(t - s)\mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s)dL_s, \eta \rangle + \langle \int_0^t \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s)dL_s, \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Below, we prove (5.2) has a unique solution in the sense of Definition 5.6.

Theorem 5.11 Let $\Gamma \subset H$ satisfy Hypothesis 5.1. Then the stochastic inclusion (5.2) admits at most one solution in the sense of Definition 5.6.

Proof Let (u, L^1) and (v, L^2) be two solutions of (5.2), and let $\{e_k\}_{k \in N}$ be the eigenbasis of A from above. We then have

$$\langle e_k, u(t) - v(t) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \alpha_k e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)) \rangle dL_s^1 - \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)) \rangle dL_s^2 = 0$$

Setting $\phi_k(t) := \langle e_k, u(t) - v(t) \rangle$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_k^2(t) &= 2 \int_0^t \phi_k(s) d\phi_k(s) \\
&= -2 \left(\int_0^t \langle \alpha_k e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^1 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^2 \right) \\
&\leq -2 \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^1 + 2 \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

By dominated convergence theorem for all $t \geq 0$ we have $P - a.s.$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k \leq N} \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^1 \\
&\rightarrow \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^1 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k \leq N} \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^2 \\
&\rightarrow \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^2 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over $k \leq N$ in (5.4) and letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ yield that for all $t \geq 0$ $P - a.s.$

$$|u(t) - v(t)|^2 \leq 2 \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)), v(s) - u(s) \rangle dL_s^1 + 2 \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^2$$

By Remark 5.5 it follows that

$$|u(t) - v(t)|^2 \leq 0,$$

which implies

$$u(t) = v(t),$$

and thus

$$L^1(t) = L^2(t).$$

□

Combining Theorem 5.4 and 5.11 with the Yamada-Watanabe Theorem, we now obtain the following:

Theorem 5.12 If Γ satisfies Hypothesis 5.1, then there exists a Borel set $M \subset H$ with $I_\Gamma \cdot \mu(M) = 1$ such that for every $x \in M$, (5.2) has a pathwise unique continuous strong solution in the sense that for every probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$ with an \mathcal{F}_t -Wiener process W , there exists a unique pair of \mathcal{F}_t -adapted processes (X, L) satisfying Definition 5.6 and $P(X_0 = x) = 1$. Moreover $X(t) \in M$ for all $t \geq 0$ P -a.s.

Proof By Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.11, one sees that [15, Theorem 3.14] a) is satisfied for the solution (X, L) . So, the assertion follows from [15, Theorem 3.14] b). \square

Remark 5.13 Following the same arguments as in the proof of [26, Theorem 2.1], we can give an alternative proof of Theorem 5.12 for a stronger notion of strong solutions (see e.g. [26]). Also, because of Theorem 5.10, by a modification of [20, Theorem 12.1], we can prove the Yamada Watanabe Theorem for the mild solution in Definition 5.8, and then also a corresponding version of Theorem 5.12 for mild solutions for (5.2). This will be contained in forthcoming work.

5.3 The non-symmetric case

In this section, we extend our results to the non-symmetric case. For $\Gamma \subset H$ satisfying Hypothesis 5.1, we consider the non-symmetric Dirichlet form,

$$\mathcal{E}^\Gamma(u, v) = \int_\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle Du(z), Dv(z) \rangle + \langle B(z), Du(z) \rangle v(z) \right) \mu(dz), \quad u, v \in C_b^1(\Gamma),$$

where B is a map from H to H such that

$$B \in L^\infty(\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma, \mu), \quad \int_\Gamma \langle B, Du \rangle d\mu \geq 0 \text{ for all } u \in C_b^1(\Gamma), u \geq 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Then $(\mathcal{E}, C_b^1(\Gamma))$ is a densely defined bilinear form on $L^2(\Gamma; \mu)$ which is positive definite, since for all $u \in C_b^1(\Gamma)$

$$\mathcal{E}^\Gamma(u, u) = \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{2} (\langle Du(z), Du(z) \rangle + \langle B(z), Du^2(z) \rangle(z)) \mu(dz) \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, by the same argument as [17, II.3.e] we have $(\mathcal{E}, C_b^1(\Gamma))$ is closable on $L^2(\Gamma, \mu)$ and its closure $(\mathcal{E}^\Gamma, \mathcal{F}^\Gamma)$ is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(\Gamma, \mu)$. We denote the extended Dirichlet space of $(\mathcal{E}^\Gamma, \mathcal{F}^\Gamma)$ by \mathcal{F}_e^Γ : Recall that $u \in \mathcal{F}_e^\Gamma$ if and only if $|u| < \infty$ $I_\Gamma \cdot \mu - a.e.$ and there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in \mathcal{F}^Γ such that $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma(u_m - u_n, u_m - u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \geq m \rightarrow \infty$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ $I_\Gamma \cdot \mu - a.e.$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This Dirichlet form satisfies the weak sector condition

$$|\mathcal{E}_1^\Gamma(u, v)| \leq K \mathcal{E}_1^\Gamma(u, u)^{1/2} \mathcal{E}_1^\Gamma(v, v)^{1/2}.$$

Furthermore, we have:

Theorem 5.14 Suppose $\Gamma \subset H$ satisfies Hypothesis 5.1. Then $(\mathcal{E}^\Gamma, \mathcal{F}^\Gamma)$ is a quasi-regular local Dirichlet form on $L^2(\Gamma; \mu)$.

Proof The assertion follows by [17 IV,4b] and [28]. \square

By virtue of Theorem 5.14 and [17], there exists a diffusion process $M^\Gamma = (X_t, P_z)$ on Γ associated with the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^\Gamma, \mathcal{F}^\Gamma)$. Since constant functions are in \mathcal{F}^Γ and $\mathcal{E}^\Gamma(1, 1) = 0$, M^Γ is recurrent and conservative. We denote by \mathbf{A}_+^Γ the set of all positive continuous additive functionals (PCAF in abbreviation) of M^Γ , and define $\mathbf{A}^\Gamma = \mathbf{A}_+^\Gamma - \mathbf{A}_+^\Gamma$. For $A \in \mathbf{A}^\Gamma$, its total variation process is denoted by $\{A\}$. We also define $\mathbf{A}_0^\Gamma = \{A \in \mathbf{A}^\Gamma | E_{I_\Gamma \cdot \mu}(\{A\}_t) <$

$\infty \forall t > 0$. Each element in \mathbf{A}_+^Γ has a corresponding positive \mathcal{E}^Γ -smooth measure on Γ by the Revuz correspondence. The totality of such measures will be denoted by S_+^Γ . Accordingly, \mathbf{A}^Γ corresponds to $S^\Gamma = S_+^\Gamma - S_+^\Gamma$, the set of all \mathcal{E}^Γ -smooth signed measure in the sense that $A_t = A_t^1 - A_t^2$ for $A_t^k \in \mathbf{A}_+^\rho$, $k = 1, 2$ whose Revuz measures are ν^k , $k = 1, 2$ and $\nu = \nu^1 - \nu^2$ is the Hahn-Jordan decomposition of ν . The element of \mathbf{A} corresponding to $\nu \in S$ will be denoted by A^ν .

Note that for each $l \in H$ the function $u(z) = \langle l, z \rangle$ belongs to the extended Dirichlet space \mathcal{F}_e^Γ and

$$\mathcal{E}^\Gamma(l(\cdot), v) = \int_\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle l, Dv(z) \rangle + \langle B(z), l \rangle v(z) \right) \mu(dz) \quad \forall v \in C_b^1(\Gamma). \quad (5.6)$$

On the other hand, the AF $\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle$ of M^Γ admits a decomposition into a sum of a martingale AF (M_t) of finite energy and CAF (N_t) of zero energy. More precisely, for every $l \in H$

$$\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle = M_t^l + N_t^l \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad P_z - a.s. \quad (5.7)$$

for \mathcal{E}^ρ -q.e. $z \in \Gamma$.

Then we have the following:

Theorem 5.15 Suppose $\Gamma \subset H$ satisfies Hypothesis 5.1.

- (1) The next three conditions are equivalent:
(i) $N^l \in A_0$.
(ii) $|\mathcal{E}^\Gamma(l(\cdot), v)| \leq C \|v\|_\infty \quad \forall v \in C_b^1(\Gamma)$.
(iii) There exists a finite (unique) signed measure ν_l on Γ such that

$$\mathcal{E}^\Gamma(l(\cdot), v) = - \int_\Gamma v(z) \nu_l(dz) \quad \forall v \in C_b^1(\Gamma). \quad (5.8)$$

In this case, ν_l is automatically smooth, and

$$N^l = A^{\nu_l}.$$

- (2) M^l is a martingale AF with quadratic variation process

$$\langle M^l \rangle_t = t |l|^2, t \geq 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Proof (1) By [21, Theorem 5.2.7] and the same arguments as in [11], we can extend Theorem 6.2 in [11] to our nonsymmetric case to prove the assertions.

(2) Since

$$\mathcal{E}^\Gamma(u, v) = \int_\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle Du(z), Dv(z) \rangle + \langle B(z), Du(z) \rangle v(z) \right) \mu(dz), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F}^\Gamma,$$

by [21 Theorem 5.1.5] for $u \in C_b^1(\Gamma)$, $f \in \mathcal{F}^\Gamma$ bounded we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \tilde{f}(x) \mu_{\langle M^l \rangle} (dx) &= 2\mathcal{E}^\Gamma(u, uf) - \mathcal{E}^\Gamma(u^2, f) \\ &= 2 \int_\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle Du(z), D(u\tilde{f})(z) \rangle + \langle B(z), Du(z) \rangle u(z) \tilde{f}(z) \right) \mu(dz) \\ &\quad - \int_\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle D(u(z)^2), D\tilde{f}(z) \rangle + \langle B(z), D(u^2)(z) \rangle \tilde{f}(z) \right) \mu(dz) \\ &= \int_\Gamma \langle Du(z), Du(z) \rangle \tilde{f}(z) \mu(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Here \tilde{f} denotes the \mathcal{E}^Γ -quasi-continuous version of f , $\mu_{\langle M^{[u]} \rangle}$ is the Revuz measure for $\langle M^{[u]} \rangle$ and $M^{[u]}$ is the martingale additive functional in the Fukushima decomposition for $u(X_t)$. Hence we have

$$\mu_{\langle M^{[u]} \rangle}(dz) = I_\Gamma \langle Du(z), Du(z) \rangle \cdot \mu(dz).$$

By [21, (5.1.3)] we also have

$$e(\langle M^l \rangle) = e(M^l) = \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{2} \langle l, l \rangle \mu(dz)$$

where $e(M^l)$ is the energy of M^l . Then (5.9) easily follows. \square

By Theorem 3.1 we can now prove the following:

Theorem 5.16 Suppose $\Gamma \subset H$ satisfies Hypothesis 5.1. Then there is an \mathcal{E}^Γ -exceptional set $S \subset \Gamma$ such that $\forall z \in \Gamma \setminus S$, under P_z there exists an \mathcal{M}_t -cylindrical Wiener process W^z , such that the sample paths of the associated OU-process M^Γ on Γ satisfy the following: for $l \in D(A) \cap H_1$

$$\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle = \int_0^t \langle l, dW_s^z \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle l, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s) \rangle_{H_1^*} dL_s^{\|\partial\Gamma\|} - \int_0^t \langle Al, X_s \rangle ds - \langle l, \int_0^t B(X_s) \rangle ds \quad P_z\text{-a.s.} \quad (5.11)$$

Here, $L_t^{\|\partial\Gamma\|}$ is the real valued PCAF associated with $\|\partial\Gamma\|$ by the Revuz correspondence, which has the following additional property: $\forall z \in \Gamma \setminus S$

$$I_{\partial\Gamma}(X_s) dL_s^{\|\partial\Gamma\|} = dL_s^{\|\partial\Gamma\|} \quad P_z\text{-a.s.} \quad (5.12)$$

Here $\mathbf{n}_\Gamma := \frac{Dg}{|Dg|}$ is the exterior normal to Γ , and

$$\|\partial\Gamma\| = \mu_{\partial\Gamma},$$

where $\mu_{\partial\Gamma}$ the surface measure induced by μ .

Proof By (5.6) and (3.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^\Gamma(l(\cdot), v) &= \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{2} \langle l, Dv(z) \rangle + \langle B(z), l \rangle v(z) \mu(dz) \\ &= \int_\Gamma \langle B(z), l \rangle v(z) \mu(dz) + \int_\Gamma v(z) \langle Al, z \rangle \mu(dz) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Gamma} v(z) \langle l, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(z) \rangle \|\partial\Gamma\|(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 5.15

$$N_t^l = -\langle Al, \int_0^t X_s(\omega) ds \rangle - \langle l, \int_0^t B(X_s(\omega)) ds \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle l, \int_0^t \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s(\omega)) dL_s^{\|\partial\Gamma\|}(\omega) \rangle.$$

By Theorem 5.15 and the same method as in Theorem 3.2 one then proves the first assertion, and the last assertion follows by Theorem 5.3 and 5.4. \square

Let $\Gamma \subset H$ and our linear operator A satisfy Hypothesis 5.1 and Hypothesis 2.1, respectively. As in Section 5.2 we shall now prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution of the following stochastic differential inclusion on the Hilbert space H ,

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) + (AX(t) + B(X(t)) + N_\Gamma(X(t)))dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases} \quad (5.13)$$

where B satisfies condition (5.5), $W(t)$ is a cylindrical Wiener process in H on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$ and $N_\Gamma(x)$ is the normal cone to Γ at x , i.e.

$$N_\Gamma(x) = \{z \in H : \langle z, y - x \rangle \leq 0 \ \forall y \in \Gamma\}.$$

Definition 5.17 A pair of continuous $H \times \mathbb{R}$ -valued and \mathcal{F}_t -adapted processes $(X(t), L(t)), t \in [0, T]$, is called a solution of (5.13) if the following conditions hold.

- (i) $X(t) \in \Gamma$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ P -a.s;
- (ii) L is an increasing process with the property that

$$I_{\partial\Gamma}(X_s)dL_s = dL_s \ P - a.s,$$

and for any $l \in D(A)$ we have

$$\langle l, X_t - x \rangle = \int_0^t \langle l, dW_s \rangle - \int_0^t \langle l, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(X_s) \rangle dL_s - \int_0^t \langle l, B(X_s) \rangle ds - \int_0^t \langle Al, X_s \rangle ds \ \forall t \geq 0 \ P - a.s.,$$

where \mathbf{n}_Γ is the exterior normal to Γ .

Below we prove (5.13) has a unique solution in the sense of Definition 5.17.

Theorem 5.18 Let $\Gamma \subset H$ satisfy Hypothesis 5.1 and B satisfy the monotonicity condition

$$\langle B(u) - B(v), u - v \rangle \geq -\alpha|u - v|^2 \quad (5.14)$$

for all $u, v \in \text{dom}(G)$, for some $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ independent of u, v . The stochastic inclusion (5.13) admits at most one solution in the sense of Definition 5.17.

Proof Let (u, L^1) and (v, L^2) be two solutions of (5.13), and let $\{e_k\}_{k \in N}$ be the eigenbasis of A from above. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_k, u(t) - v(t) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \alpha_k e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle e_k, B(u(s)) - B(v(s)) \rangle ds \\ + \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)) \rangle dL_s^1 - \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)) \rangle dL_s^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\phi_k(t) := \langle e_k, u(t) - v(t) \rangle$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_k^2(t) &= 2 \int_0^t \phi_k(s) d\phi_k(s) \\
&= -2 \left(\int_0^t \langle \alpha_k e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle e_k, B(u(s)) - B(v(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^1 - \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^2 \right) \\
&\leq -2 \int_0^t \langle e_k, B(u(s)) - B(v(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle ds \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^1 + 2 \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

By the same argument as Theorem 5.11, we have the following $P - a.s$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k \leq N} \int_0^t \langle e_k, B(u(s)) - B(v(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle ds \\
&\rightarrow \int_0^t \langle B(u(s)) - B(v(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle ds \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty, \\
&\sum_{k \leq N} \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^1 \\
&\rightarrow \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^1 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k \leq N} \int_0^t \langle e_k, \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)) \rangle \langle e_k, u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^2 \\
&\rightarrow \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^2 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over $k \leq N$ in (5.15) and letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ yield that for all $t \geq 0$, $P - a.s$

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(t) - v(t)|^2 &+ 2 \int_0^t \langle B(u(s)) - B(v(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle ds \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(u(s)), v(s) - u(s) \rangle dL_s^1 + 2 \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{n}_\Gamma(v(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle dL_s^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By Remark 5.4 it follows that

$$|u(t) - v(t)|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle B(u(s)) - B(v(s)), u(s) - v(s) \rangle ds \leq 0.$$

By (5.14) and Gronwall's Lemma it follows that

$$u(t) = v(t),$$

and thus

$$L^1(t) = L^2(t).$$

□

Combining Theorem 5.16 and 5.18 with the Yamada-Watanabe Theorem, we obtain the following:

Theorem 5.19 If Γ satisfies Hypothesis 5.1 and B in (5.13) satisfies (5.14), then there exists a Borel set $M \subset H$ with $I_\Gamma \cdot \mu(M) = 1$ such that for every $x \in M$, (5.13) has a pathwise unique continuous strong solution in the sense that for every probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$ with an \mathcal{F}_t -Wiener process W there exists a unique pair of \mathcal{F}_t -adapted processes (X, L) satisfying Definition 5.17 and $P(X_0 = x) = 1$. Moreover $X(t) \in M$ for all $t \geq 0$ P -a.s.

Proof The proof is completely analogous to that of Theorem 5.12. □

6 Reflected OU-processes on a class of convex sets

Below for a topological space X we denote its Borel σ -algebra by $\mathcal{B}(X)$. In this section, we consider the case where $H := L^2(0, 1)$, $\rho = I_{K_\alpha}$, where $K_\alpha := \{f \in H \mid f \geq -\alpha\}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, and $A = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2}$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions on $(0, 1)$. So in this case $e_j = \sqrt{2} \sin(j\pi r)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, is the corresponding eigenbases. We recall that (cf [28]) we have $\mu(C_0([0, 1])) = 1$. In [28], L.Zambotti proved the following integration by parts formulae in this situation:

For $\alpha > 0$,

$$\int_{K_\alpha} \langle l, D\varphi \rangle d\mu = - \int_{K_\alpha} \varphi(x) \langle x, l'' \rangle \mu(dx) - \int_0^1 dr l(r) \int \varphi(x) \sigma_\alpha(r, dx), \quad \forall l \in D(A), \varphi \in C_b^1(H),$$

for $\alpha = 0$,

$$\int_{K_0} \langle l, D\varphi \rangle d\nu = - \int_{K_0} \varphi(x) \langle x, l'' \rangle \nu(dx) - \int_0^1 dr l(r) \int \varphi(x) \sigma_0(r, dx), \quad \forall l \in D(A), \varphi \in C_b^1(H), \quad (6.1)$$

where ν is the law of the Bessel Bridge of dimension 3 over $[0, 1]$ which is zero at 0 and 1, $\sigma_\alpha(r, dx) = \sigma_\alpha(r) \mu_\alpha(r, dx)$, and for $\alpha > 0$, σ_α is a positive bounded function, and for $\alpha = 0$, $\sigma_0(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi r^3(1-r)^3}}$, where $\mu_\alpha(r, dx)$, $\alpha \geq 0$, are probability kernels from $(H, \mathcal{B}(H))$ to $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]))$.

Remark 6.1 Since each l in $D(A)$ has a second derivative in L^2 , its first derivative is bounded, hence l goes faster than linear to zero at any point where l is zero, in particular at the boundary points $r = 0$ and $r = 1$. Hence the second integral in the right hand side of the above equality is well-defined.

We know by (3.5) that for all $l \in D(A)$

$$D^*(\varphi(\cdot)l) = -\langle l, D\varphi \rangle - \varphi \langle l'', \cdot \rangle.$$

Hence for $\alpha > 0$,

$$\int_{K_\alpha} D^*(\varphi(\cdot)l) d\mu = \int_0^1 l(r) \int \varphi(x) \sigma_\alpha(r, dx) dr \quad \forall l \in D(A), \varphi \in C_b^1(H). \quad (6.2)$$

Now take

$$c_j := \begin{cases} (j\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}, & \text{if } \alpha > 0 \\ (j\pi)^\beta, & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{3}{2}]$ and $\beta \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2]$ respectively, and define

$$H_1 := \{x \in H \mid \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_j \rangle^2 c_j^2 < \infty\},$$

equipped with the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{H_1} := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^2 \langle x, e_j \rangle \langle y, e_j \rangle.$$

We note that $D(A) \subset H_1$ continuously for all $\alpha \geq 0$, since $\varepsilon \leq \frac{3}{2}, \beta \leq 2$. Furthermore, $(H_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_1})$ is a Hilbert space such that $H_1 \subset H$ continuously and densely. Identifying H with its dual we obtain the continuous and dense embeddings

$$H_1 \subset H (\equiv H^*) \subset H_1^*.$$

It follows that

$${}_{H_1} \langle z, v \rangle_{H_1^*} = \langle z, v \rangle_H \forall z \in H_1, v \in H,$$

and that (H_1, H, H_1^*) is a Gelfand triple.

The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.2 If $\alpha > 0$, then $I_{K_\alpha} \in BV(H, H_1) \cap \mathbf{H}$.

Proof First for σ_α as in (6.2) we show that for each $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ the function $r \mapsto \sigma_\alpha(r, B)$ is in H_1^* and that the map $B \mapsto \sigma_\alpha(\cdot, B)$ is in fact an H_1^* -valued measure of bounded variation, i.e

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\sigma_\alpha(\cdot, B_n)\|_{H_1^*} : B_n \in \mathcal{B}(H), n \in \mathbb{N}, H = \dot{\cup}_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right\} < \infty,$$

that is,

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^{-2} \left(\int_0^1 \sigma_\alpha(r, B_n) \sin(j\pi r) dr \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} : B_n \in \mathcal{B}(H), n \in \mathbb{N}, H = \dot{\cup}_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right\} < \infty,$$

where $\dot{\cup}_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ means disjoint union.

For $\alpha > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^{-2} \left(\int_0^1 \sigma_\alpha(r, B_n) \sin(j\pi r) dr \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^{-2} \left(\int_0^1 \sigma_\alpha(r, B_n) dr \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \sigma_\alpha(r, B_n) dr \\ & = C \int_0^1 \sigma_\alpha(r) dr < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus σ_α in (6.2) is of bounded variation as an H_1^* -valued measure. Hence by the theory of vector-valued measures (cf [2, Section 2.1]), there is a unit vector field $n_\alpha : H \rightarrow H_1^*$, such that $\sigma_\alpha = n_\alpha \|\sigma_\alpha\|$, where $\|\sigma_\alpha\|(B) := \sup\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|\sigma_\alpha(\cdot, B_n)\|_{H_1^*} : B_n \in \mathcal{B}(H), n \in \mathbb{N}, B = \dot{\cup}_{n=1}^\infty B_n\}$ is a nonnegative measure, which is finite by the above proof. So (6.2) becomes

$$\int_{K_\alpha} D^*(\varphi(\cdot)l)d\mu = \int_{H_1} \langle \varphi(x)l, n_\alpha(x) \rangle_{H_1^*} \|\sigma_\alpha\|(dx) \quad \forall l \in D(A), \varphi \in C_b^1(H),$$

which by linearity extends to all $G \in (C_b^1)_{D(A) \cap H_1}$. Thus by Theorem 3.1(iii), we get that $I_{K_\alpha} \in BV(H, H_1)$.

$I_{K_\alpha} \in \mathbf{H}$ follows by Remark 4.1. □

Remark 6.3 It has been proved by Guan Qingyang that I_{K_α} is not in $BV(H, H)$.

Theorem 6.4 For $\alpha = 0$, then there exist a positive finite measure $\|\sigma_0\|$ on H and a Borel-measurable map $n_0 : H \rightarrow H_1^*$ such that $\|n_0(z)\|_{H_1^*} = 1$ *a.e.*, and

$$-\int_{K_0} \langle l, D\varphi \rangle d\nu - \int_{K_0} \varphi(x) \langle x, l'' \rangle \nu(dx) = \int_{H_1} \langle \varphi(x)l, n_0(x) \rangle_{H_1^*} \|\sigma_0\|(dx), \quad \forall l \in D(A), \varphi \in C_b^1(H), \quad (6.4)$$

Proof For $\alpha = 0$ using that $|\sin(j\pi r)| \leq 2j\pi r(1-r) \quad \forall r \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j^{-2} \left(\int_0^1 \sigma_0(r, B_n) \sin(j\pi r) dr \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j^{-2} \left(\int_0^1 \sigma_0(r, B_n) 2j\pi r(1-r) dr \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^1 \sigma_0(r, B_n) r(1-r) dr \\ & = C \int_0^1 \sigma_0(r) r(1-r) dr < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus σ_0 in (6.1) is of bounded variation as an H_1^* -valued measure. Hence by the theory of vector-valued measures (cf [2, Section 2.1]), there is a unit vector field $n_0 : H \rightarrow H_1^*$, such that $\sigma_0 = n_0 \|\sigma_\alpha\|$, where $\|\sigma_0\|(B) := \sup\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|\sigma_0(\cdot, B_n)\|_{H_1^*} : B_n \in \mathcal{B}(H), n \in \mathbb{N}, B = \dot{\cup}_{n=1}^\infty B_n\}$ is a nonnegative measure, which is finite by the above proof. So the result follows by (6.1). □

Since here $\mu(K_0) = 0$, we have to change the reference measure of the Dirichlet form. Consider

$$\mathcal{E}^{K_0}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_0} \langle Du, Dv \rangle d\nu, \quad u, v \in C_b^1(K_0).$$

Since $I_{K_0} \in \mathbf{H}$ by Remark 4.1, the closure of $(\mathcal{E}^{K_0}, C_b^1(K_0))$ is also a quasi-regular local Dirichlet form on $L^2(F; \rho \cdot \nu)$ in the sense of [17, IV Definition 3.1]. As before, there exists a diffusion process $M^{I_{K_0}} = (\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \{\mathcal{M}_t\}, \theta_t, X_t, P_z)$ on F associated with this Dirichlet form. $M^{I_{K_0}}$ will also be called distorted OU-process on K_0 . As before, $M^{I_{K_0}}$ is recurrent and conservative. As before, we also have the associated PCAF and the Revuz correspondence.

Combining these two cases: for $\alpha > 0$ by Theorem 3.2 and for $\alpha = 0$ by the same argument as Theorem 3.2, since we have (6.4), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.5 Let $\rho := I_{K_\alpha}, \alpha \geq 0$ and consider the measure $\|\sigma_\alpha\|$ and n_α appearing in Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.4. Then there is an \mathcal{E}^ρ -exceptional set $S \subset F$ such that $\forall z \in F \setminus S$, under P_z there exists an \mathcal{M}_t -cylindrical Wiener process W^z , such that the sample paths of the associated distorted OU-process M^ρ on F satisfy the following: for $l \in D(A)$

$$\langle l, X_t - X_0 \rangle = \int_0^t \langle l, dW_s \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t {}_{H_1} \langle l, n_\alpha(X_s) \rangle_{H_1^*} dL_s^{\|\sigma_\alpha\|} - \int_0^t \langle Al, X_s \rangle ds \quad P_z - a.e. \quad (6.5)$$

Here $L_t^{\|\sigma_\alpha\|}$ is the real valued PCAF associated with $\|\sigma_\alpha\|$ by the Revuz correspondence with respect to M^ρ , satisfying

$$I_{\{X_s + \alpha \neq 0\}} dL_s^{\|\sigma_\alpha\|} = 0, \quad (6.6)$$

and for $l \in H_1$ with $l(r) \geq 0$ we have

$$\int_0^t {}_{H_1} \langle l, n_\alpha(X_s) \rangle_{H_1^*} dL_s^{\|\sigma_\alpha\|} \geq 0. \quad (6.7)$$

Furthermore, for all $z \in F$

$$P_z[X_t \in C_0[0, 1] \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty)] = 1. \quad (6.8)$$

Proof For $\alpha > 0$, the first part of the assertion follows by Theorem 3.2 and the uniqueness part of Theorem 3.1 (ii). For $\alpha = 0$, the assertion follows by the same argument as in Theorem 3.2. (6.6) and (6.7) follow by the property of σ_α in [28]. By [22, p.135 Theorem 2.4], we have $C_0[0, 1]$ is a Borel subset of $L^2[0, 1]$. By [10, (5.1.13)], we have

$$E_{\rho\mu} \left[\int_{k-1}^k 1_{F \setminus C_0[0,1]}(X_s) ds \right] = \rho\mu(F \setminus C_0[0, 1]) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

hence

$$E_{\rho\mu} \left[\int_0^\infty 1_{F \setminus C_0[0,1]}(X_s) ds \right] = 0.$$

Since $E_x \left[\int_0^\infty 1_{F \setminus C_0[0,1]}(X_s) ds \right]$ is a 0-excessive function in $x \in K_\alpha$, it is finely continuous with respect to the process X . Then for \mathcal{E}^ρ -q.e. $z \in F$,

$$E_z \left[\int_0^\infty 1_{F \setminus C_0[0,1]}(X_s) ds \right] = 0,$$

thus, for \mathcal{E}^ρ -q.e. $z \in F$,

$$P_z \left[\int_0^\infty 1_{F \setminus C_0[0,1]}(X_s) ds = 0 \right] = 1.$$

As a consequence, we have that $\Lambda_0 := \{X_t \in C_0[0, 1] \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty)\}$ is measurable and for \mathcal{E}^ρ -q.e. $z \in F$

$$P_z(\Lambda_0) = 1.$$

As $\Lambda_0 = \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{Q}, t > 0} \theta_t^{-1} \Lambda_0$ and since by [4] we have that the semigroup associated with X_t is strong Feller, by the Markov property as in [8, Lemma 7.1], we obtain that for any $z \in F, t \in \mathbb{Q}, t > 0$,

$$P_z(\theta_t^{-1} \Lambda_0) = 1.$$

Hence for any $z \in F$ we have

$$P_z[X_t \in C_0[0, 1] \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty)] = 1.$$

□

Remark 6.6 We emphasize that in the present situation it was proved in [19, Theorem 1.3] that for all initial conditions $x \in H$, there exists a unique strong solution to (1.1). By [28] the solution in [19] is associated to our Dirichlet form, hence satisfies (6.5) by Theorem 6.5. Hence it follows that the solution in [19, Theorem 1.3] is solution to an infinite-dimensional Skorohod problem.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Professor Zhiming Ma and Qingyang Guan for their helpful discussions and suggestions and also Giuseppe Da Prato and Ben Goldys for reaching an earlier version of this paper.

References

- [1] L. Ambrosio, G. Da Prato and D. Pallara, BV functions in a Hilbert space with respect to a Gaussian measure, preprint
- [2] L. Ambrosio, S. Maniglia, M. Miranda Jr and D. Pallara, BV functions in abstract Wiener spaces, *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **258** (2010), 785-813
- [3] L. Ambrosio, M. Miranda Jr and D. Pallara, Sets with finite perimeter in Wiener spaces, perimeter measure and boundary rectifiability, preprint
- [4] L. Ambrosio, G. Savaré and L. Zambotti, Existence and stability for Fokker-Planck equations with log-concave reference measure. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*. In press.
- [5] S. Albeverio and M. Röckner, Classical Dirichlet forms on topological vector spaces—closability and a Cameron-Martin formula, *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **88** (1990), 395-436
- [6] V. Barbu, G. Da Prato and L. Tubaro, Kolmogorov equation associated to the stochastic reflection problem on a smooth convex set of a Hilbert spaces, *The Annals of Probability*. **4** (2009), 1427-1458
- [7] V. Barbu, G. Da Prato and L. Tubaro, Kolmogorov equation associated to the stochastic reflection problem on a smooth convex set of a Hilbert spaces, preprint, 2010
- [8] G. Da Prato and M. Röckner, Singular dissipative stochastic equations in Hilbert spaces. *Probability Theory Related Fields*. **124** (2002), 261-303

- [9] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner and F.Y. Wang, Singular stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces: Harnack inequalities for their transition semigroups, *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **257** (2009), 992-1017
- [10] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda, "Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes," de Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 1994
- [11] M. Fukushima, On semimartingale characterizations of functionals of symmetric Markov processes, *Electron. J. Probab.* **4**(1999), 1-32
- [12] M. Fukushima, BV functions and distorted Ornstein Uhlenbeck processes over the abstract Wiener space, *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **174** (2000), 227-249
- [13] M. Fukushima, and Masanori Hino, On the space of BV functions and a related stochastic calculus in infinite dimensions, *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **183** (2001), 245-268
- [14] M. Hino, Sets of finite perimeter and the Hausdorff-Gauss measure on the Wiener space, *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **258** (2010), 1656-1681
- [15] T. G. Kurtz, The Yamada-Watanabe-Engelbert theorem for general stochastic equations and inequalities, *Electronic Journal of Probability*. **12** (2007), 951-965
- [16] P. Malliavin, "Stochastic Analysis." Springer, Berlin, 1997
- [17] Z. M. Ma, and M. Röckner, "Introduction to the theory of (non-symmetric) Dirichlet forms," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1992
- [18] C. Marinelli and M. Röckner, On uniqueness of mild solutions for dissipative stochastic evolution equations, to appear in *Infinite dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*
- [19] D. Nualart, and É. Pardoux, (1992). White noise driven quasilinear SPDEs with reflection. *Probability Theory Related Fields* **93** 77-89
- [20] M. Ondreját, Uniqueness for stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces. *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* **426**, 2004.
- [21] Y. Oshima, Lectures on Dirichlet forms, Preprint Erlangen(1988)
- [22] K. R. Parthasarathy: Probability measures on metric spaces. New York-London: Academic Press 1967
- [23] C. Prevot and M. Röckner, Concise course on stochastic partial differential equations, Springer 2007
- [24] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, "Theory of Orlicz Spaces," Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol 146, Dekker, New York, 1991
- [25] M. Röckner, Introduction to stochastic partial differential equations, Lecture notes 2010

- [26] M. Röckner, B.Schmuland, X.Zhang, Yamada-Watanabe theorem for stochastic evolution equations in infinite dimensions *Condensed Matter Physics***54** (2008), 247C259
- [27] M. Röckner and B. Schmuland, Tightness of general $C_{1,p}$ capacities on Banach space *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **108** (1992), 1-12
- [28] L. Zambotti, Integration by parts formulae on convex sets of paths and applications to SPDEs with reflection, *Probability Theory Related Fields*. **123** (2002), 579-600