# Properties of the String Operator in the Eight-Vertex Model.

Klaus Fabricius Physics Department, University of Wuppertal 42097 Wuppertal, Germany. \*

November 22, 2010

### Abstract

The construction of creation operators of exact strings in eigenvectors of the eight vertex model at elliptic roots of unity of the crossing parameter which allow the generation of the complete set of degenerate eigenstates is based on the conjecture that the 'naive' string operator vanishes. In this note we present a proof of this conjecture. Furthermore we show that for chains of odd length the string operator is either proportional to the symmetry operator S or vanishes depending on the precise form of the crossing parameter.

# 1 Introduction

The eight-vertex model of Baxter is a lattice model whose transfer matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{T}_8(v)|_{\mu,\nu} = \text{Tr}W(\mu_1, \nu_1)W(\mu_2, \nu_2)\cdots W(\mu_N, \nu_N)$$
(1)

where  $\mu_i, \nu_i = \pm 1$  and  $W(\mu, \nu)$  is a 2 × 2 matrix whose non vanishing elements are given as

$$W(+1,+1)|_{+1,+1} = W(-1,-1)|_{-1,-1} = \rho\Theta(2\eta)\Theta(\lambda-\eta)\mathsf{H}(\lambda+\eta) = a(\lambda)$$

$$W(-1,-1)|_{+1,+1} = W(+1,+1)|_{-1,-1} = \rho\Theta(2\eta)\mathsf{H}(\lambda-\eta)\Theta(\lambda+\eta) = b(\lambda)$$

$$W(-1,+1)|_{+1,-1} = W(+1,-1)|_{-1,+1} = \rho\mathsf{H}(2\eta)\Theta(\lambda-\eta)\Theta(\lambda+\eta) = c(\lambda)$$

$$W(+1,-1)|_{+1,-1} = W(-1,+1)|_{-1,+1} = \rho\mathsf{H}(2\eta)\mathsf{H}(\lambda-\eta)\mathsf{H}(\lambda+\eta) = d(\lambda)$$
(2)

where H(u) and  $\Theta(u)$  are Jacobi's Theta functions defined in appendix A. There are several paths leading to its solution. All have been either developed or at least initiated by Baxter in a series of famous papers [1]-[4]. The range of validity of the solutions depends on several parameters of the model: It turns out to be essential whether the size N of the lattice is even or odd. Furthermore it is important whether the crossing parameter is generic or restricted to elliptic 'root of unity' values. For details see [1]-[4] and [5]-[8]. We only mention that the TQ equation determines the eigenvalues of transfer matrix T for even N and unrestricted crossing parameter  $\eta$  [2]. There exist well developed methods for the determination of eigenvectors of T for even N and 'root of unity' values of  $\eta$ 

$$\eta = 2m_1 K/L + im_2 K'/L \tag{3}$$

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ e-mail Fabricius@theorie.physik.uni-wuppertal.de

See [4],[9],[10]. Concerning the problem to obtain eigenvectors at generic  $\eta$  information is given in [11], footnote 18, in [9] after equ. (5.15) and in [10] on page 497. In the following we restrict the crossing parameter  $\eta$  to elliptic roots of unity and for simplicity to the case  $m_2 = 0$ . Like in the six vertex model at root of unity the transfer matrix of the eight-vertex model and the Hamiltonian of the related XYZ spin chain have numerous degenerate multiplets of eigenvalues. The symmetry algebra responsible for the degeneracies is well understood in the six vertex model where it is the  $sl_2$  loop algebra [12]. The problem to construct the operators which create the degenerate eigenvectors has been solved in the six vertex model in [13]. This solves simultaneously the problem to construct the current of the  $sl_2$  loop symmetry studied in [12]. The question arises if a similar construction is necessary in the eight vertex model where the eigenvectors depend on free parameters s,t which have no influence on singlet states but affect the degenerate states. Eigenvectors of the transfer matrix are given by [9]

$$\psi_k = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \exp(2\pi i l k/L) \prod_{m=1}^n B_{l+m,l-m}(\lambda_m, s, t) \Omega_N^{l-n}(s)$$
(4)

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are Bethe roots. Do eigenvectors obtained by variation of s and t span a complete degenerate subspace? That the answer is no has been shown in [14]. To generate the full degenerate multiplet a new string operator is needed: the creation operator of a complete B-strings is

$$B_l^{L_s,1}(\lambda_c) = \sum_{j=1}^{L_s} B_{l+1,l-1}(\lambda_1) \cdots \left( \frac{\partial B_{l+j,l-j}}{\partial \eta}(\lambda_j) - \hat{Z}_j \frac{\partial B_{l+j,l-j}}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda_j) \right) \cdots B_{l+L_s,l-L_s}(\lambda_{L_s})$$
 (5)

where the arguments  $\lambda_k$  form an exact string of length  $L_s$ 

$$\lambda_k = \lambda_c - 2(k-1)\eta, \quad k = 1, \dots L_s \tag{6}$$

 $\lambda_c$  is the string center. This is in addition to s and t a third free parameter.

 $Z_1(\lambda_c)$  is defined in appendix C.

This problem has also been studied in the framework of the Felder-Varchenko [10] formalism by Deguchi [16].

We note that the string length  $L_s$  and the integer L occurring in (3) and (4) are related by the rule [14]

$$L_s = L$$
 for odd  $L$ ,  $L_s = L/2$  for even  $L$  (7)

We now turn to the precise topic of this paper. The construction of the string operator in [14] rests on the conjecture that the 'naive' string operator vanishes:

$$B_s = B_{l+1,l-1}(\lambda_1) \cdots B_{l+L_s,l-L_s}(\lambda_{L_s}) = 0$$
 (8)

In the six vertex model the equivalent relation is (see [15])

$$B(\lambda_1) \cdots B(\lambda_{L_s}) = 0$$
 (9)

We intend to fill this gap by showing that (8) is satisfied for even N in the eight vertex model. Furthermore we find that for odd N  $B_s$  does NOT vanish but is given by a symmetry operator of the model. It is proportional to

$$S = \sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma^3 \tag{10}$$

provided that  $2L_s\eta$  is an odd multiple of 2K. If  $\eta$  is an even multiple of 2K  $B_s$  vanishes also for odd N.

# 2 The formalism.

In the following we work in the framework of the algebraic Bethe ansatz for the eight vertex model by Takhtadzhan and Faddeev [9] and use their notation. For convenience some of their basic tools are listed in appendix B.

We shall study the action of operators of type

$$\mathcal{O}_{j+1,l-1}^{N} = B_{j+1,l-1}^{N}(\lambda_1) \cdots B_{j+L_s,l-L_s}^{N}(\lambda_{L_s})$$
(11)

on vectors denoted by  $\Omega^N$ . The arguments  $\lambda_k$  are defined in (6). The superscript N indicates the size of the one dimensional system. This is needed because our result will be established recursively by relating systems of sizes N and N-1.  $\Omega^N$  is any element of the set of  $2^N$  independent basis vectors

$$\Omega^N = Z_{l_1} \otimes Z_{l_2} \otimes \dots \otimes Z_{L_N} \tag{12}$$

where  $Z_l$  stands for  $X_l(\eta)$  or  $Y_l(\eta)$ .  $X_k(\lambda)$  and  $Y_k(\lambda)$  are defined in appendix B in equs. (104) and (105). We note that in the algebraic Bethe-Ansatz [9] the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix are obtained by the action of  $B_{k,l}$  operators on the system of generating vectors defined in [9] equ. (4.18) and (112). The necessity to work in our case with more general basis vectors arises from the intention to prove the operator relation (8). This set of basis vectors is described in detail in (44).

In order to demonstrate the formalism to be used transparently we display the string operator acting on a basis element of the space of states as (in this example for a system of size N = 4).

The  $\mathcal{L}$  matrices appearing in the k th row (counted from the bottom) depend on  $\lambda_k$ . This is a detailed representation of the expression defined in (11) acting on a state vector  $\Omega^N$ . A single row enclosed between  $\tilde{Y}$  and Y represents a B operator written explicitly in terms of local transition matrices as defined in equ. (115). The leftmost operator in (11) appears in the lowest row, the basis vector  $\Omega^N$  in the top row. Expression (13) can be either understood as row operators acting on the upper direct product of  $Z_l$  vectors or as column operators which act on the direct product of  $Y_l$  vectors appearing in the rightmost column. We prefer the second choice as it takes from the outset into account that the spectral parameters  $\lambda_k$  form a complete string which leads to considerable simplifications. The local transition matrix  $\mathcal{L}$  is related to the R matrix by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\mu}^{\beta,\nu}(\lambda) = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\mu}^{\nu,\beta}(\lambda,\eta) \tag{14}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are quantum indices and  $\mu, \nu$  auxiliary indices in the terminology introduced in [9]. To apply the method of intertwining vectors we rewrite (13) in terms of the R matrix  $\mathcal{R}$ 

Note that the  $\mathcal{R}$  matrices in the k-th row (counted from the bottom) depend on  $\lambda_k$ . For an application of a similar technique see [18].

#### $\mathbf{3}$ Reduction of a column.

We derive a relation between  $\mathcal{O}_{k,l}^N$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{k,l}^{N-1}$ . To accomplish this we remove the last column of (15) by making use of (126)-(137). Concentrating on this last column we find

$$\begin{array}{ll}
X_{l-L_{s}+1,\sigma} \\
\mathcal{R}_{\tau,\rho}^{\rho',\sigma} Y_{l-L_{s},\rho'}(\lambda_{L_{s}}) \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{R}_{\delta,\nu_{3}}^{\nu'_{3},\epsilon} Y_{l-k-1,\nu'_{3}}(\lambda_{k+1}) \\
\mathcal{R}_{\gamma,\nu_{2}}^{\nu'_{2},\epsilon} Y_{l-k,\nu'_{2}}(\lambda_{k}) \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l-k,\nu_{3}}(\lambda_{k+1}) \\
\mathcal{R}_{\beta_{1},\nu_{1}}^{\nu'_{1},\gamma} Y_{l-k+1,\nu'_{1}}(\lambda_{k-1}) \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l-k,\nu_{1}}(\lambda_{k-1}) \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l-k,\nu_{1}}(\lambda_{k-1}) \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l-k,\nu_{1}}(\lambda_{k-1}) \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l-k,\nu_{1}}(\lambda_{k-1}) \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l-k,\nu_{1}}(\lambda_{1})
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
Y_{l+1-L_{s},\rho}(\lambda_{L_{s}}) \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l-k,\nu_{3}}(\lambda_{k+1}) \\
Y_{l-k,\nu_{3}}(\lambda_{k+1}) \\
Y_{l-k+1,\nu_{2}}(\lambda_{k}) \\
Y_{l-k+1,\nu_{2}}(\lambda_{k}) \\
Y_{l-k+2,\nu_{1}}(\lambda_{k-1}) \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l,\mu}(\lambda_{1})
\end{array}$$

$$X_{l+1,\alpha}(\eta) \\
X_{l+1,\alpha}(\eta) \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l-k+2,\nu_{1}}(\lambda_{k-1}) \\
\vdots \\
Y_{l,\mu}(\lambda_{1})$$

We note that on the left hand side  $\alpha$  is the only free quantum index, all the other from  $\beta$  to  $\sigma$  are summed over whereas there are  $L_s$  free auxiliary indices from  $\mu$  to  $\rho$ . This simplifies on account of the intertwining relations (126)-(133) to the direct products on the right side here written as columns in order to show clearly the origin of each factor Y. The coefficients  $f_k, k = 0, \dots L_s$  follow after repeated use of (126)-(133), but we will obtain them more transparently from (16). To extract  $f_m$  multiply (16) by

$$\tilde{X}_l(\lambda_1) \otimes \tilde{X}_{l-1}(\lambda_2) \otimes \cdots \tilde{Y}_{l+1-m}(\lambda_m) \cdots \otimes \tilde{X}_{l+1-L-1}(\lambda_L)$$
 (17)

Note that all components are of type  $\tilde{X}$  except  $\tilde{Y}_{l-1+m}$ . Applying (108) and (109) we find

The left hand side follows after repeated application of equs. (123)-(125) in appendix B.1. We find

Result:

$$f_k(l) = h(2\eta) \frac{g(\tau_{l-k+1} + \lambda_k - \eta)}{g(\tau_{l-k+1})} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} h(\lambda_j + \eta) \prod_{j=k+1}^{L_s} h(\lambda_j - \eta)$$
(20)

$$f_{0X} = \prod_{j=1}^{L_s} h(\lambda_j - \eta)$$
 (21)

To proceed further we insert (16) into (15).

$$B_{j+1,l-1}^{N}(\lambda_{1})B_{j+2,l-2}^{N}(\lambda_{2})\cdots B_{l+L_{s},l-L_{s}}^{N}(\lambda_{L_{s}})\Omega^{N} = \sum_{k=1}^{L_{s}} f_{k}B_{j+1,l-2}^{N-1}(\lambda_{1})\cdots B_{j+k-1,l-k}^{N-1}(\lambda_{k-1})A_{j+k,l-k+1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{k})B_{j+k+1,l-k}^{N-1}(\lambda_{k+1})\cdots B_{j+L_{s},l+1-L_{s}}^{N-1}(\lambda_{L_{s}})\Omega^{N-1} \otimes Y_{l-1}(\eta) + f_{0X}B_{j+1,l}^{N-1}(\lambda_{1})B_{j+2,l-1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{2})\cdots B_{j+L_{s},l-L_{s}+1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{L_{s}})\Omega^{N-1} \otimes X_{l+1}(\eta)$$

$$(22)$$

We commute the operator A using relations (140) and (142) until it is positioned directly in front of  $\Omega$ .

$$B_{j+1,l-1}^{N}(\lambda_{1})B_{j+2,l-2}^{N}(\lambda_{2})\cdots B_{l+L_{s},l-L_{s}}^{N}(\lambda_{L_{s}})\Omega^{N} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{L_{s}} (-1)^{L_{s}-k} f_{k} \prod_{m=k}^{L_{s}-1} \beta_{l-m}(\lambda_{m},\lambda_{m+1}) \right\}$$

$$B_{j+1,l-2}^{N-1}(\lambda_{1})\cdots B_{j+L_{s}-1,l-L_{s}}^{N-1}(\lambda_{L_{s}-1})A_{j+L_{s},l-L_{s}+1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{L_{s}})\Omega^{N-1} \otimes Y_{l-1}(\eta) + f_{0X}B_{j+1,l}^{N-1}(\lambda_{1})B_{j+2,l-1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{2})\cdots B_{j+L_{s},l-L_{s}+1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{L_{s}})\Omega^{N-1} \otimes X_{l+1}(\eta)$$

$$(23)$$

The observation that the expression in braces vanishes is fundamental to that what follows. This will allow us to obtain our result recursively. So we prove that

$$F = \sum_{k=1}^{L_s} (-1)^{L_s - k} f_k(l) \prod_{m=k}^{L_s - 1} \beta_{l-m}(\lambda_m, \lambda_{m+1}) = 0.$$
(24)

We obtain from (142) that

$$F = g(\tau_{l-L_s}) \sum_{k=1}^{L_s} \frac{f_k(l)}{g(\tau_{l-k})}$$
 (25)

and after insertion of (20)

$$F = h(2\eta)g(\tau_{l-L_s}) \sum_{k=1}^{L_s} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} h(\lambda_j + \eta) \right\} \frac{g(\tau_{l-k+1} + \lambda_k - \eta)}{g(\tau_{l-k+1})g(\tau_{l-k})} \left\{ \prod_{j=k+1}^{L_s} h(\lambda_j - \eta) \right\}$$
(26)

$$F \prod_{r=0}^{L_s-1} g(\tau_{l-r}) = h(2\eta) \sum_{k=1}^{L_s} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} h(\lambda_j + \eta) \prod_{j=k+1}^{L_s} h(\lambda_j - \eta) \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} h(\tau_{l-r}) \prod_{j=k+1}^{L} h(\tau_{l-r}) h(\tau_{l-k+1} + \lambda_k - \eta)$$
(27)

We now insert (6) to make use of the fact that the arguments  $\lambda_k$  form an exact string.

$$F \prod_{r=0}^{L_s-1} g(\tau_{l-r}) = h(2\eta) \sum_{k=1}^{L_s} h(\tau_l + \lambda_c - (4k-3)\eta) \times$$

$$\left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} h(\lambda_c - (2j-3)\eta) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j=k+1}^{L_s} h(\lambda_c - (2j-1)\eta) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} h(\tau_l - 2r\eta) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j=k+1}^{L_s} h(\tau_l - 2r\eta) \right\}$$
(28)

We write this as

$$F \prod_{r=0}^{L_s-1} g(\tau_{l-r}) = \sum_{k=1}^{L_s} p_k(\lambda_c)$$
 (29)

A close inspection reveals that if for example  $\lambda_0$  is a zero of  $p_1(\lambda_c)$  then  $\lambda_0$  is also a zero of  $\sum_{k=2}^{L_s} p_k(\lambda_c)$ . One finds that if  $\lambda_0$  is a zero of  $p_1$  all but two of the other  $p_k$  have the same zero  $\lambda_0$  and that the remaining two terms cancel for  $\lambda_c = \lambda_0$ . Then  $\sum_{k=2}^{L_s} \frac{p_k(\lambda_c)}{p_1(\lambda_c)}$  is doubly periodic and does not have poles. It follows that it is a constant. It is easily shown that this constant is -1. This proves that

$$F = 0 (30)$$

The corresponding column acting on a Y-type vector is

$$Y_{l-L_{s}-1,\sigma} \\ R_{\tau,\rho}^{\rho',\sigma} Y_{l-L_{s},\rho'}(\lambda_{L_{s}}) \\ \vdots \\ R_{\delta,\nu_{3}}^{\nu'_{3},\epsilon} Y_{l-k-1,\nu'_{3}}(\lambda_{k+1}) \\ R_{\gamma,\nu_{2}}^{\nu'_{2},\delta} Y_{l-k,\nu'_{2}}(\lambda_{k}) \\ \vdots \\ Y_{l-k-2,\nu_{3}}(\lambda_{k+1}) \\ Y_{l-k-1,\nu_{2}}(\lambda_{k}) \\ Y_{l-k,\nu_{1}}(\lambda_{k-1}) \\ \vdots \\ Y_{l-k-2,\nu_{3}}(\lambda_{k+1}) \\ Y_{l-k-1,\nu_{2}}(\lambda_{k}) \\ Y_{l-k,\nu_{1}}(\lambda_{k-1}) \\ \vdots \\ Y_{l-2,\mu}(\lambda_{1}) \end{pmatrix} Y_{l-1,\alpha}(\eta)$$

$$(31)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\mu}^{\mu',\beta} Y_{l-1,\mu'}(\lambda_{1})$$

$$f_{0Y} = \prod_{j=1}^{L_s} h(\lambda_j + \eta) \tag{32}$$

We note that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{L_s} h(\lambda_j + \eta) = \pm \prod_{j=1}^{L_s} h(\lambda_j - \eta)$$
(33)

where the minus sign holds if  $2L_s\eta$  is an odd multiple of 2K and the plus sign if  $2L_s\eta$  is a multiple of 4K. Because of (24) equ. (23) is considerably simplified:

$$B_{j+1,l-1}^{N}(\lambda_1)B_{j+2,l-2}^{N}(\lambda_2)\cdots B_{j+L_s,l-L_s}^{N}(\lambda_{L_s})\Omega^{N-1}\otimes X_{l+1-L_s}(\eta) = f_{0X}B_{j+1,l}^{N-1}(\lambda_1)B_{j+2,l-1}^{N-1}(\lambda_2)\cdots B_{j+L_s,l-L_s+1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{L_s})\Omega^{N-1}\otimes X_{l+1,\alpha}(\eta)$$
(34)

$$f_{0X}B_{j+1,l}^{N-1}(\lambda_1)B_{j+2,l-1}^{N-1}(\lambda_2)\cdots B_{j+L_s,l-L_s+1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{L_s})\Omega^{N-1}\otimes X_{l+1,\alpha}(\eta)$$
(35)

and from (31) follows directly

$$B_{j+1,l-1}^{N}(\lambda_{1})B_{j+2,l-2}^{N}(\lambda_{2})\cdots B_{j+L_{s},l-L_{s}}^{N}(\lambda_{L_{s}})\Omega^{N-1}\otimes Y_{l-1-L_{s}}(\eta) = f_{0Y}B_{j+1,l-2}^{N-1}(\lambda_{1})B_{j+2,l-3}^{N-1}(\lambda_{2})\cdots B_{j+L_{s},l-L_{s}-1}^{N-1}(\lambda_{L_{s}})\Omega^{N-1}\otimes Y_{l-1,\alpha}(\eta)$$
(36)

(37)

We have found that the string operator acting on a chain of length N is related to a string operator acting on a chain of length N-1. This happens because the first column on the right hand side of (16) which would destroy this simple relationship does not contribute on account of (24).

# 4 The recursion process.

To continue we introduce a shorter notation. For a column headed by an X vector we write

$$C_X(l) = \mathcal{R}(\lambda_1)_{\alpha\mu_1}^{\nu_1\beta_1} \mathcal{R}(\lambda_2)_{\beta_1\mu_2}^{\nu_2\beta_2} \cdots \mathcal{R}(\lambda_{L_s})_{\beta_{L_s-1\mu_{L_s}}}^{\nu_l\beta_{L_s}} X_{l\beta_{L_s}}(\eta)$$
(38)

and for a column headed by a Y vector

$$C_Y(l) = \mathcal{R}(\lambda_1)_{\alpha\mu_2}^{\nu_1\beta_1} \mathcal{R}(\lambda_1)_{\beta_1\mu_2}^{\nu_2\beta_2} \cdots \mathcal{R}(\lambda_{L_s})_{\beta_{L_s-1}\mu_{L_s}}^{\nu_l\beta_{L_s}} Y_{l\beta_{L_s}}(\eta)$$
(39)

The direct products of Y and X as occurring in (16) will be abbreviated by

$$V_Y(l) = Y_l(\lambda_1) \otimes Y_{l-1}(\lambda_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{l-L_s+1}(\lambda_{L_s})$$

$$\tag{40}$$

$$V_{Y1X}(l,k) = Y_l(\lambda_1) \otimes Y_{l-1}(\lambda_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{l+2-k}(\lambda_{k-1}) \otimes X_{l+3-k}(\lambda_k) \otimes Y_{l+2-k}(\lambda_{k+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{l+3-L_s}(\lambda_{L_s})$$
(41)

where k marks the position of X. In this notation equs. (16) and (31) read

$$C_X(l-L_s+1)V_Y(l-1) = \sum_{k=1}^{L_s} f_k V_{Y1X}(l-2,k) \otimes Y_{l-1}(\eta) + f_{0X}V_Y(l) \otimes X_{l+1}(\eta)$$
(42)

$$C_Y(l - L_s - 1)V_Y(l - 1) = f_{0Y}V_Y(l - 2) \otimes Y_{l-1}(\eta)$$
(43)

We use the results obtained in the last section to study the action of B-strings on the set of vectors described in (12). These states have the local structure

$$\cdots \otimes X_{l+1}(\eta) \otimes X_{l}(\eta) \otimes \cdots$$

$$\cdots \otimes Y_{l-1}(\eta) \otimes X_{l}(\eta) \otimes \cdots$$

$$\cdots \otimes X_{l+1}(\eta) \otimes Y_{l}(\eta) \otimes \cdots$$

$$\cdots \otimes Y_{l-1}(\eta) \otimes Y_{l}(\eta) \otimes \cdots$$

$$\cdots \otimes Y_{l-1}(\eta) \otimes Y_{l}(\eta) \otimes \cdots$$

$$(44)$$

i.e. the indices of neighboring vectors differ by  $\pm 1$  depending on their order as shown in (44). This rule for the indices of consecutive X and Y guarantees that relation (127) is in all cases applicable. In a system of length N these vectors span a linear space of dimension  $2^N$ . They are obviously closely related to the family of vectors introduced by Baxter in [4] equ. (1.3). Then

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{L_s} m(\lambda_k)\right) B_{l+1,l-1}^N(\lambda_1) B_{l+2,l-2}^N(\lambda_2) \cdots B_{l+L_s,l-L_s}^N(\lambda_{L_s}) \Omega^N = V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1) \mathcal{C}_{Z_1}(l_1) \cdots \mathcal{C}_{Z_{N-1}}(l_{N-1}) \mathcal{C}_X(l-L_s+1) V_Y(l-1) \tag{45}$$

if  $\Omega^N = \Omega^{N-1} \otimes X_{l+1-L_s}(\eta)$  and

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{L_s} m(\lambda_k)\right) B_{l+1,l-1}^N(\lambda_1) B_{l+2,l-2}^N(\lambda_2) \cdots B_{l+L_s,l-L_s}^N(\lambda_{L_s}) \Omega^N = V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1) \mathcal{C}_{Z_1}(l_1) \cdots \mathcal{C}_{Z_{N-1}}(l_{N-1}) \mathcal{C}_Y(l-L_s-1) V_Y(l-1) \tag{46}$$

if 
$$\Omega^N = \Omega^{N-1} \otimes Y_{l-1-L_s}(\eta)$$

We have shown (see equs. (23) and (24)) that equs. (42) and (43) if inserted into B-strings effectively read

$$C_X(l - L_s + 1)V_Y(l - 1) = f_{0X}V_Y(l) \otimes X_{l+1}(\eta)$$
(47)

$$C_Y(l - L_s - 1)V_Y(l - 1) = f_{0Y}V_Y(l - 2) \otimes Y_{l-1}(\eta)$$
(48)

where  $f_{0Y} = \pm f_{0X}$ 

### 4.1 The leftmost column.

The last step in the recursive determination is the treatment of the first column.

### Case a:

If

$$\Omega^{N} = X_{l+n_{x}-n_{y}-L_{s}} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{l+1-L_{s}} \qquad \text{or} \quad \Omega^{N} = X_{l+n_{x}-n_{y}-L_{s}} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{l-1-L_{s}}$$

$$\tag{49}$$

the first column is

$$C_X(l + n_x - n_y - L_s)V_Y(l + n_x - n_y - 2)$$
(50)

### Case b:

and if

$$\Omega^{N} = Y_{l+n_{x}-n_{y}-L_{s}} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{l+1-L_{s}} \qquad \text{or} \quad \Omega^{N} = Y_{l+n_{x}-n_{y}-L_{s}} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{l-1-L_{s}}$$
 (51)

the first column is

$$C_Y(l + n_x - n_y - L_s)V_Y(l + n_x - n_y)$$
(52)

In (49) and (51) the gap between the leading and the trailing X or Y is filled with vectors having indices according to the rule illustrated by (44).

#### 4.1.1 Case a.

From (42) follows

$$V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)\mathcal{C}_{X}(l+n_{x}-n_{y}-L_{s})V_{Y}(l+n_{x}-n_{y}-2) = \sum_{k=1}^{L_{s}} f_{k}(l+M-1)(V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)V_{Y1X}(l+M-3,k)) \otimes Y_{l+M-2}(\eta) + f_{0X}(V_{\tilde{V}}(l+1)V_{Y}(l+M-1)) \otimes X_{l+M}(\eta)$$
(53)

where  $M = n_x - n_y$ 

$$\left(V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)V_{Y1X}(l+M-3,k)\right) = \left\{\prod_{m=1}^{k-1} \tilde{Y}_{l+m}(\lambda_m)Y_{l+M-2-m}(\lambda_m)\right\} \tilde{Y}_{l+k}(\lambda_m)Y_{l+M-k}(\lambda_k) \left\{\prod_{m=k+1}^{L_s} \tilde{Y}_{l+m}(\lambda_m)Y_{l+M-m}(\lambda_m)\right\}$$
(54)

This expression is most conveniently handled once we recognize that it is

$$(V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)V_{Y1X}(l+M-3,k)) = \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} m(\lambda_k)$$
(55)

$$\left\{ \prod_{m=1}^{k-1} B_{l+m,l+M-2-m}^{(0)}(\lambda_m) \right\} A_{l+k,l+M-k}^{(0)}(\lambda_m) \left\{ \prod_{m=k+1}^{L_s} B_{l+m,l+M-m}^{(0)}(\lambda_m) \right\}$$
(56)

for a chain of length zero and that the permutation relations for A and B still hold in this case (see (144) in Appendix B.3).

$$(V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)V_{Y1X}(l+M-3,k)) = \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} m(\lambda_k)$$
(57)

$$(-1)^{L_s-k} \prod_{k+1}^{L_s} \beta_{l+M-m}(\lambda_{m-1}, \lambda_m) \prod_{m=1}^{k=1} B_{l+m,l+M-2-m}^{(0)}(\lambda_m) A_{l+L_s,l+M-L_s}^{(0)}(\lambda_{L_s})$$
(58)

Insert  $\beta_{l+M-m}(\lambda_{m-1}, \lambda_m)$  using (142)

$$(V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)V_{Y1X}(l+M-3,k)) = \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} m(\lambda_k)$$
(59)

$$\frac{g(\tau_{l+M-L_s-1})}{g(\tau_{l+M-k-1})} \prod_{m=1}^{L_s-1} B_{l+m,l+M-2-m}^{(0)}(\lambda_m) A_{l+L_s,l+M-L_s}^{(0)}(\lambda_{L_s})$$
(60)

It follows for the first term on the right hand side of (54)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{L_s} f_k(l+M-1)(V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)V_{Y1X}(l+M-3,k)) \otimes Y_{l+M-2}(\eta) = \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} m(\lambda_k)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{L_s} f_k(l+M-1) \frac{g(\tau_{l+M-L_s-1})}{g(\tau_{l+M-k-1})} \prod_{k=1}^{L_s-1} B_{l+m,l+M-2-m}^{(0)}(\lambda_m) A_{l+L_s,l+M-L_s}^{(0)}(\lambda_{L_s})$$
(61)

We have already shown that (see equ.(25))

$$\sum_{k=1}^{L_s} f_k(l+M-1) \frac{g(\tau_{l+M-L_s-1})}{g(\tau_{l+M-k-1})} = 0$$
(62)

Therefore equ. (54) is reduced to

$$V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)\mathcal{C}_X(l+n_x-n_y-L_s)V_Y(l+n_x-n_y-2) = f_{0X}(V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)V_Y(l+M-1)) \otimes X_{l+M}(\eta)$$
 (63)

We claim that for even N

$$(V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)V_{Y}(l+M-1)) = \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} \tilde{Y}_{l+k}^{\mu}(\lambda_k)Y_{l+M-k,\mu}(\lambda_k) = 0$$
(64)

The last expression vanishes if for some  $1 \le k \le L_s$ 

$$2k\eta = (M - k)2\eta + 4rK\tag{65}$$

or

$$k = M/2 + r_1 L_s \tag{66}$$

For even N also M is even and by appropriately adjusting  $r_1$  an integer k is found which satisfies (65).

### 4.1.2 Case b.

From (43) follows

$$V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)C_{Y}(l+M-L)V_{Y}(l+M) = f_{0Y}(V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)V_{Y}(l+M-1)) \otimes Y_{l+M}(\eta)$$
(67)

In the same manner as above we can show that this is zero if M is even.

This completes the proof of equation (8) for even N.

$$B_{l+1,l-1}(\lambda_1)\cdots B_{l+L_s,l-L_s}(\lambda_{L_s}) = 0$$
 (68)

# 5 Chains of odd length.

We remark that in the algebraic Bethe Ansatz eigenvectors are obtained for even N. The eight vertex model for chains of odd length N is nevertheless interesting as demonstrated in [17], [19], [20]. We therefore present also our result for odd N which surprisingly shows that the string operator does not vanish but is proportional to a simple symmetry operator. In this case we have to collect the contributions of each column in the stepwise reduction of a system of size = N to a system of size = 1 and to multiply finally by the result for the remaining system of size = 1 obtained in the preceding section. We observe that the explicit form of X and Y given in (104) and (105) shows that for integer m

$$X_{l-L_s}(\eta) = -\sigma_3 X_l(\eta)$$
  $Y_{l-L_s}(\eta) = \sigma_3 Y_l(\eta)$  if  $2L_s \eta = (2m+1)2K$  (69)

$$X_{l-L_s}(\eta) = X_l(\eta) \qquad Y_{l-L_s}(\eta) = Y_l(\eta) \qquad \text{if} \quad 2L_s \eta = m4K \tag{70}$$

We first treat the case that  $2L_s\eta=(2m+1)2K$ . We conclude from equs. (34), (36),(54),(67) and (69) that the action of the string operator  $B_s$  on a state  $\Omega(n_X,n_Y)$  characterized in (12) and (44) where  $n_X$  and  $n_Y$  denote the number of X- and Y-vectors is given by

$$B_{l+1,l-1}(\lambda_1)\cdots B_{l+L_s,l-L_s}(\lambda_{L_s})\Omega(n_X,n_Y) = -\left(\prod_{k=1}^{L_s} m(\lambda_k)^{-1}\right)C(\lambda_c,M)f_{0X}^N\sigma_3\otimes\sigma_3\otimes\cdots\otimes\sigma_3\Omega(n_X,n_Y)$$
(71)

where

$$C(\lambda_c, M) = (V_{\tilde{Y}}(l+1)V_Y(l+M-1)) = \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} \tilde{Y}_{l+k}^{\mu}(\lambda_k)Y_{l+M-k,\mu}(\lambda_k)$$
(72)

and where (69) and  $f_{0Y} = -f_{0X}$  as well as  $n_X + n_Y = N$  and that N is odd have been taken into account. If  $2L_s \eta = m4K$  we use (70) and  $f_{0Y} = +f_{0X}$ :

$$B_{l+1,l-1}(\lambda_1) \cdots B_{l+L_s,l-L_s}(\lambda_{L_s}) \Omega(n_X, n_Y) = \left( \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} m(\lambda_k)^{-1} \right) C(\lambda_c, M) f_{0X}^N \Omega(n_X, n_Y)$$
 (73)

We claim that  $C(\lambda_c, M)$  does not depend on  $M = n_X - n_Y$ . Proof: From (72) and appendix B follows

$$C(\lambda, M) = \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} \frac{1}{g(\tau_{l+k})g(\tau_{l+M-k})} (\Theta(u)H(v) - H(u)\Theta(v))$$
(74)

with

$$u = t + 2(l+k)\eta + \lambda_k \qquad v = t + 2(l+M-k)\eta + \lambda_k \qquad \lambda_k = \lambda_c - 2(k-1)\eta \tag{75}$$

We rewrite this by using the relations of appendix A

$$C(\lambda, M) = \frac{2}{\mathsf{H}(K)\Theta(K)} \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} \frac{1}{g(\tau_{l+k})g(\tau_{l+M-k})} \mathsf{H}((M/2 - k)2\eta)\Theta((M/2 - k)2\eta) \times \\ \mathsf{H}(t + \lambda_c + (l+1 + M/2 - k)2\eta + K)\Theta(t + \lambda_c + (l+1 + M/2 - k)2\eta + K)$$
(76)

We compare  $C(\lambda, M)$  with  $C(\lambda, M + 2)$ . If  $\eta = 2m_1K/L$ 

$$\prod_{k=1}^{L_s} g(\tau_{l+M+2-k}) = \prod_{k=-1}^{L_s-2} g(\tau_{l+M-k}) = \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} g(\tau_{l+M-k})$$
(77)

as an even number of shifts by 2K occur. Similarly a shift of M by 2 is compensated by a shift of k by 1 in

$$\prod_{k=1}^{L_s} \mathsf{H}((M/2-k)2\eta)\Theta((M/2-k)2\eta)\mathsf{H}(t+\lambda_c+(l+1+M/2-k)2\eta+K)\Theta(t+\lambda_c+(l+1+M/2-k)2\eta+K) \ \ (78)$$

It follows

$$C(\lambda_c, M+2) = C(\lambda_c, M) \tag{79}$$

and as M is odd the simplest choice ist

$$C(\lambda_c, M) = C(\lambda_c, -1) = \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} \tilde{Y}_{l+k}^{\mu}(\lambda_k) Y_{l-1-k, \mu}(\lambda_k)$$
(80)

Because of the presence of  $\mathsf{H}((M/2-k)2\eta)$  in (78)  $C(\lambda,M)$  vanishes if  $2L_s\eta=m4K$  for integer m. However it does not vanish if  $2L_s\eta=(2m+1)2K$  This means that for odd N  $B_{l+1,l-1}(\lambda_1)\cdots B_{l+L_s,l-L_s}(\lambda_{L_s})$  is proportional to the operator  $S=\sigma_3\otimes\sigma_3\cdots\otimes\sigma_3$ .

# 6 Summary of Results

We have studied the properties of the operator

$$B_s(\lambda_c) = B_{l+1,l-1}(\lambda_1) \cdots B_{l+L_s,l-L_s}(\lambda_{L_s})$$
(81)

at roots of unity

$$\eta = 2m_1 K/L \tag{82}$$

- 1. For even N:  $B_s = 0$ .
- 2. For odd N and  $2L_s\eta \equiv 0 \pmod{4K}$ :  $B_s = 0$
- 3. For odd N and  $2L_s\eta \equiv 2K \pmod{4K}$ :

$$B_{l+1,l-1}(\lambda_1)\cdots B_{l+L_s,l-L_s}(\lambda_{L_s})\Omega(n_X,n_Y) = f(\lambda_c)\sigma_3\otimes\sigma_3\otimes\cdots\otimes\sigma_3\Omega(n_X,n_Y)$$
(83)

$$f(\lambda_c) = -\left(\prod_{k=1}^{L_s} m(\lambda_k)^{-1}\right) f_{0X}^N \prod_{k=1}^{L_s} \tilde{Y}_{l+k}^{\mu}(\lambda_k) Y_{l-1-k,\mu}(\lambda_k)$$
(84)

To clarify what happens for odd N we give some examples.

If  $\eta = K/3$  then because of (82) L = 6 and  $L_s = 3$ ,  $2L_s\eta = 2K$  and (83) applies.

If  $\eta = 2K/3$  then L = 3 and  $L_s = 3$ ,  $2L_s\eta = 4K$  and  $B_s = 0$ 

If  $\eta = K/2$  then L = 4 and  $L_s = 2$ ,  $2L_s \eta = 2K$  and (83) applies.

# 7 Conclusions

A common feature of the six vertex model and the eight vertex model for crossing parameters at roots of unity is the occurrence of degneracies in the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix T and the Hamiltonian H. This is by no means an exceptional case, because analytic solutions of the eight-vertex model which include the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix T exist only for root of unity  $\eta$ . For the six vertex model the underlying symmetry algebra is fairly well understood. It has been shown in [12] that the  $sl_2$  loop algebra symmetry is responsible for the degeneracies of eigenvalues and its Chevalley generators have been explicitly constructed. The picture was completed by the construction of the generating function of the operators in the mode basis (the current) in [13] which allowed the determination of the evaluation parameters. This current plays two different roles: it generates the  $sl_2$  loop algebra operators in the mode basis and it is the operator which creates exact Bethe strings in the algebraic Bethe ansatz. It turns out that the set of B operators is itself not complete in the sense that it is not capable of creating the complete eigenstate of T. To achieve this the current operators have to be incorporated.

We now turn to the eight vertex model. The result of this paper given in the summary completes the construction of the operator which generates complete Bethe strings and is in this respect a generalization of the six vertex current operator introduced in [13]. Expression (5) is certainly a symmetry operator as it maps degenerate subspaces onto itself. But the symmetry operators which generalize the Chevalley

operators found and studied in [12] are still elusive.

# Acknowledgment

The author is pleased to thank Prof. Barry McCoy for his interest in this work and for many helpful comments.

# A Theta functions

The definition of Jacobi Theta functions of nome q (see (21.62) [21]) is

$$\mathsf{H}(v) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q^{(n-1/2)^2} \sin[(2n-1)\pi v/(2K)] \tag{85}$$

$$\Theta(v) = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n^2} \cos(nv\pi/K)$$
(86)

where K and K' are the standard elliptic integrals of the first kind and

$$q = \exp{-\pi K'/K}. (87)$$

These theta functions satisfy the quasi periodicity relations

$$H(v+2K) = -H(v)$$
  $H(v+2iK') = -q^{-1}\exp(-\pi i v/K)H(v)$  (88)

$$\Theta(v + 2K) = \Theta(v) \qquad \Theta(v + 2iK') = -q^{-1} \exp(-\pi i v/K) \Theta(v). \tag{89}$$

 $\Theta(v)$  and H(v) are related by

$$\Theta(v + iK') = iq^{-1/4} \exp{-\frac{\pi iv}{2K}} \mathsf{H}(v) \qquad \qquad \mathsf{H}(v + iK') = iq^{-1/4} \exp{-\frac{\pi iv}{2K}} \Theta(v). \tag{90}$$

They satisfy the addition theorem

$$\mathsf{H}(u)\Theta(v) - \Theta(u)\mathsf{H}(v) = 2\mathsf{H}((u-v)/2)\Theta((u-v)/2)\mathsf{H}((u+v)/2 + K)\Theta((u+v)/2 + K)/(\mathsf{H}(K)\Theta(K)) \ \ (91)$$

# B The algebraic Bethe ansatz

We only list those definitions and identities which we make use of. We follow the formalism of [9]. The monodromy matrix is defined as

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{L}_N \cdots \mathcal{L}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \tag{92}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_n$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix in auxiliary space with entries which are  $2 \times 2$  matrices in spin space acting on the n th spin in the spin chain and A, B, C, D are  $2^N \times 2^N$  matrices in spin space.

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n & \beta_n \\ \gamma_n & \delta_n \end{pmatrix} \tag{93}$$

$$\alpha_n = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta_n = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$
(94)

a, b, c and d are defined in equ.(2). The R matrix is

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\kappa}^{\rho,\beta}(\lambda,\mu) = \frac{1}{2}(a_R + b_R)E \otimes E + \frac{1}{2}(a_R - b_R)\sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^3 + c_R(\sigma^- \otimes \sigma^+ + \sigma^+ \otimes \sigma^-) + d_R(\sigma^+ \otimes \sigma^+ + \sigma^- \otimes \sigma^-)$$
(95)

where on the right hand side the first factor of the direct products carries the indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\rho$  and the second factor  $\kappa$ ,  $\beta$ .

$$a_R = \Theta(2\eta)\Theta(\lambda - \mu)\mathsf{H}(\lambda - \mu + 2\eta) \tag{96}$$

$$b_R = \mathsf{H}(2\eta)\Theta(\lambda - \mu)\Theta(\lambda - \mu + 2\eta) \tag{97}$$

$$c_R = \Theta(2\eta) \mathsf{H}(\lambda - \mu) \Theta(\lambda - \mu + 2\eta) \tag{98}$$

$$d_R = \mathsf{H}(2\eta)\mathsf{H}(\lambda - \mu)\mathsf{H}(\lambda - \mu + 2\eta) \tag{99}$$

$$a = a_R(\lambda, \eta)$$
  $b = c_R(\lambda, \eta)$   $c = b_R(\lambda, \eta)$   $d = d_R(\lambda, \eta)$  (100)

and the local transition matrix (93),(94) is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\kappa}^{\beta,\rho}(\lambda) = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha,\kappa}^{\rho,\beta}(\lambda,\eta) \tag{101}$$

In order to construct a generating vector suitable for the eight-vertex model a gauge transformed monodromy matrix is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_{k,l} = M_k^{-1}(\lambda)\mathcal{T}(\lambda)M_l(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k,l} & B_{k,l} \\ C_{k,l} & D_{k,l} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(102)

where the matrices  $M_k$  introduced by Baxter [3] are

$$M_k = \begin{pmatrix} x_k^1 & y_k^1 \\ x_k^2 & y_k^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad M_k^{-1} = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \begin{pmatrix} y_k^2 & -y_k^1 \\ -x_k^2 & x_k^1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (103)

The columns of M and rows of  $M^{-1}$ , called intertwining vectors ([18]) are of central importance:

$$X_k(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} x_k^1(\lambda) \\ x_k^2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{H}(s + 2k\eta - \lambda) \\ \Theta(s + 2k\eta - \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$
 (104)

$$Y_k(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} y_k^1(\lambda) \\ y_k^2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{q(\tau_k)} \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{H}(t + 2k\eta + \lambda) \\ \Theta(t + 2k\eta + \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$
 (105)

$$g(u) = H(u)\Theta(u), \qquad \tau_l = (s+t)/2 + 2l\eta - K$$
 (106)

$$\tilde{Y}_k(\lambda) = (y_k^2(\lambda), -y_k^1(\lambda)) \qquad \tilde{X}_k(\lambda) = (-x_k^2(\lambda), \ x_k^1(\lambda)) \tag{107}$$

$$(\tilde{X}_k^{\mu}(\lambda)X_{k,\mu}(\lambda)) = 0 \qquad (\tilde{Y}_k^{\mu}(\lambda)Y_{k,\mu}(\lambda)) = 0 \tag{108}$$

$$(\tilde{X}_k^{\mu}(\lambda)Y_{k,\mu}(\lambda)) = m(\lambda) \qquad (\tilde{Y}_k^{\mu}(\lambda)X_{k,\mu}(\lambda)) = m(\lambda) \tag{109}$$

$$m(\lambda) = \frac{2g(\lambda + (t - s)/2)}{g(K)} \tag{110}$$

The local vectors  $X_k$  defined in (104) generalize the local vacuum of the six vertex model

$$e^{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{111}$$

to the eight vertex model and build up the generating vectors (4.18) in [9]

$$\Omega_N^l = \omega_1^l \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_N^l \tag{112}$$

$$\omega_k^l = X_{k+l}(\eta) \tag{113}$$

The elements of  $\mathcal{T}_{k,l}$  are

$$A_{k,l}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{Y}_k(\lambda) \mathcal{T}(\lambda) X_l(\lambda)$$
(114)

$$B_{k,l}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{Y}_k(\lambda) \mathcal{T}(\lambda) Y_l(\lambda)$$
(115)

$$C_{k,l}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{X}_k(\lambda) \mathcal{T}(\lambda) X_l(\lambda) \tag{116}$$

$$D_{k,l}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{X}_k(\lambda) \mathcal{T}(\lambda) Y_l(\lambda)$$
(117)

The gauge transformed local transition matrix is

$$\mathcal{L}^{l} = M_{l}^{-1}(\lambda)\mathcal{L}(\lambda)M_{l-1}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{l} & \beta^{l} \\ \gamma^{l} & \delta^{l} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(118)

$$\alpha^{l}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{Y}_{l}^{\mu}(\lambda) \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\mu}^{\beta,\nu}(\lambda) X_{l-1,\nu}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{Y}_{l}^{\mu}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}(\lambda,\eta)_{\alpha,\mu}^{\nu,\beta} X_{l-1,\nu}(\lambda)$$
(119)

$$\beta^{l}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{Y}_{l}^{\mu}(\lambda) \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\mu}^{\beta,\nu}(\lambda) Y_{l-1,\nu}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{Y}_{l}^{\mu}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}(\lambda,\eta)_{\alpha,\mu}^{\nu,\beta} Y_{l-1,\nu}(\lambda)$$
(120)

$$\gamma^{l}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{X}_{l}^{\mu}(\lambda) \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\mu}^{\beta,\nu}(\lambda) X_{l-1,\nu}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{X}_{l}^{\mu}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}(\lambda,\eta)_{\alpha,\mu}^{\nu,\beta} X_{l-1,\nu}(\lambda)$$
(121)

$$\delta^{l}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{X}_{l}^{\mu}(\lambda) \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\mu}^{\beta,\nu}(\lambda) Y_{l-1,\nu}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{m(\lambda)} \tilde{X}_{l}^{\mu}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}(\lambda,\eta)_{\alpha,\mu}^{\nu,\beta} Y_{l-1,\nu}(\lambda)$$
(122)

# **B.1** Action of $\alpha^l, \beta^l, \gamma^l, \delta^l$

To compute the left hand side of equ. (18) we need the relations

$$\delta^{l}(\lambda)X_{l}(\eta) = h(\lambda - \eta)X_{l+1}(\eta) \tag{123}$$

$$\beta^{l}(\lambda)X_{l}(\eta) = h(2\eta)\frac{g(\tau_{l} + \lambda - \eta)}{g(\tau_{l})}Y_{l-1}(\eta)$$
(124)

$$\delta^{l}(\lambda)Y_{l-2}(\eta) = h(\lambda + \eta) \frac{(\tilde{X}_{l}^{\mu}(\lambda)Y_{l-2,\mu}(\lambda))}{m(\lambda)} Y_{l-1}(\eta)$$
(125)

They follow from equs. (126)-(137). Equ. (123) is identical with equ. (4.16) of [9].

### **B.2** Intertwining vectors

We make extensive use of the following powerful relations describing the action of the R-matrix on intertwining vectors [3], [9].

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda,\mu)(X_l(\lambda)\otimes X_{l+1}(\mu)) = h(\lambda-\mu+2\eta)X_l(\mu)\otimes X_{l+1}(\lambda)$$
(126)

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda,\mu)(Y_{l+1}(\lambda)\otimes Y_l(\mu)) = h(\lambda - \mu + 2\eta)Y_{l+1}(\mu)\otimes Y_l(\lambda)$$
(127)

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda,\mu)(Y_k(\lambda)\otimes X_l(\mu)) = f_{1,k,l}^{YX}(\lambda-\mu)Y_k(\mu)\otimes X_l(\lambda) + f_{2,k,l}^{YX}(\lambda-\mu)X_{l+1}(\mu)\otimes Y_{k+1}(\lambda)$$
(128)

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda,\mu)(X_k(\lambda)\otimes Y_l(\mu)) = f_{1,k,l}^{XY}(\mu-\lambda)X_k(\mu)\otimes Y_l(\lambda) + f_{2,k,l}^{XY}(\lambda-\mu)Y_{l-1}(\mu)\otimes X_{k-1}(\lambda)$$
(129)

$$(\tilde{Y}_{l}(\mu) \otimes \tilde{Y}_{l+1}(\lambda)) \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \mu) = h(\lambda - \mu + 2\eta) \tilde{Y}_{l}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{Y}_{l+1}(\mu)$$
(130)

$$(\tilde{X}_{l+1}(\mu) \otimes \tilde{X}_l(\lambda)) \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \mu) = h(\lambda - \mu + 2\eta) \tilde{X}_{l+1}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{X}_l(\mu)$$
(131)

$$(\tilde{X}_k(\mu) \otimes \tilde{Y}_l(\lambda)) \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \mu) = f_{1,l,k}^{YX}(\lambda - \mu) \tilde{X}_k(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{Y}_l(\mu) + f_{2,l,k}^{YX}(\lambda - \mu) \tilde{Y}_{l+1}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{X}_{k+1}(\mu)$$
(132)

$$(\tilde{Y}_{k}(\mu) \otimes \tilde{X}_{l}(\lambda))\mathcal{R}(\lambda,\mu) = f_{1,l,k}^{XY}(\mu-\lambda)\tilde{Y}_{k}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{X}_{l}(\mu) + f_{2,l,k}^{XY}(\lambda-\mu)\tilde{X}_{l-1}(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{Y}_{k-1}(\mu)$$
(133)

with

$$f_{1,k,l}^{YX}(\lambda - \mu) = \frac{h(2\eta)g(\tau_{(k+l+1)/2} + \lambda - \mu)}{g(\tau_{(k+l+1)/2})}$$
(134)

$$f_{2,k,l}^{YX}(\lambda - \mu) = \frac{h(\lambda - \mu)g(\tau_{(k+l-1)/2})g(\tau_{k+1})}{g(\tau_{(k+l+1)/2})g(\tau_k)}$$
(135)

$$f_{1,k,l}^{XY}(\mu - \lambda) = \frac{h(2\eta)g(\tau_{(k+l-1)/2} + \mu - \lambda)}{g(\tau_{(k+l-1)/2})}$$
(136)

$$f_{2,k,l}^{XY}(\lambda - \mu) = \frac{h(\lambda - \mu)g(\tau_{(k+l+1)/2})g(\tau_{l-1})}{g(\tau_{(k+l-1)/2})g(\tau_l)}$$
(137)

# **B.3** Permutation relations for $A_{k,l}, \dots, D_{k,l}$

 $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  defined in (92) and (95) satisfy the RTT equation

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda,\mu)(\mathcal{T}(\lambda)\otimes\mathcal{T}(\mu)) = (\mathcal{T}(\mu)\otimes\mathcal{T}(\lambda))\mathcal{R}(\lambda,\mu) \tag{138}$$

which combined with equs. (126)-(133) leads to the following fundamental permutation relations:

$$B_{k,l+1}(\lambda)B_{k+1,l}(\mu) = B_{k,l+1}(\mu)B_{k+1,l}(\lambda) \tag{139}$$

$$A_{k,l}(\lambda)B_{k+1,l-1}(\mu) = \alpha(\lambda,\mu)B_{k,l-2}(\mu)A_{k+1,l-1}(\lambda) - \beta_{l-1}(\lambda,\mu)B_{k,l-2}(\lambda)A_{k+1,l-1}(\mu)$$
(140)

$$D_{k,l}(\lambda)B_{k+1,l-1}(\mu) = \alpha(\mu,\lambda)B_{k+2,l}(\mu)D_{k+1,l-1}(\lambda) + \beta_{k+1}(\lambda,\mu)B_{k+2,l}(\lambda)D_{k+1,l-1}(\mu)$$
(141)

where

$$\alpha(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{h(\lambda - \mu - 2\eta)}{h(\lambda - \mu)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{h(2\eta)h(\tau_k + \mu - \lambda)}{h(\tau_k)h(\mu - \lambda)}$$
(142)

and where

$$h(u) = \Theta(0)\Theta(u)H(u) \tag{143}$$

As follows from (126)-(137) these relations continue to be formally valid for a chain of length zero. We will need equ. (140) in this limit:

$$(\tilde{Y}_k(\lambda)X_l(\lambda))(\tilde{Y}_{k+1}(\mu)Y_{l-1}(\mu)) =$$

$$\alpha(\lambda,\mu)(\tilde{Y}_k(\mu)Y_{l-2}(\mu))(\tilde{Y}_{k+1}(\lambda)X_{l-1}(\lambda)) - \beta_{l-1}(\lambda,\mu)(\tilde{Y}_k(\lambda)Y_{l-2}(\lambda))(\tilde{Y}_k(\mu)X_{l-1}(\mu))$$

$$(144)$$

# C The string operator.

The string operator (5) found in [14] is written in terms of the quantities

$$\hat{Z}_1(\lambda_c) = \frac{\hat{X}(\lambda_c)}{\hat{Y}(\lambda_c)} \tag{145}$$

with

$$\hat{X}(\lambda_c) = -2\sum_{k=0}^{L_s - 1} k \frac{\omega^{-2(k+1)} \rho_{k+1}}{P_k P_{k+1}}$$
(146)

$$\hat{Y}(\lambda_c) = \sum_{k=0}^{L_s - 1} \frac{\omega^{-2(k+1)} \rho_{k+1}}{P_k P_{k+1}}$$
(147)

and

$$\hat{Z}_j(\lambda_c) = \hat{Z}_1(\lambda_c - (j-1)2\eta) \tag{148}$$

where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i m/L}$  is a L th root of unity.

$$\rho_k = h^N(\lambda_c - (2k - 1)\eta) \qquad P_k = \prod_{m=1}^{n_r} h(\lambda_c - \lambda_m^r - 2k\eta).$$
 (149)

 $\lambda_m^r, m=1,\cdots,n_r$  denote regular Bethe-roots,  $\lambda_c$  denotes the string center.

# References

- [1] R.J. Baxter, Partition Function of the eight-vertex model, Ann. Phys. 70, (1972) 193-228,
- [2] R.J. Baxter, Eight-vertex model in lattice statistics and the one-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain I. Some fundamental eigenvectors, Ann. Phys. 76 (1973) 1-23.
- [3] R.J. Baxter, Eight-vertex model in lattice statistics and the one-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain II. Equivalence to a generalized ice-type lattice model, Ann. Phys. 76 (1973) 25-47.
- [4] R.J. Baxter, Eight-vertex model in lattice statistics and the one-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg chain III, Eigenvectors of the transfer matrix and Hamiltonian, Ann. Phys. 76 (1973) 48-70.
- [5] K. Fabricius and B.M. McCoy, New Developments in the Eight Vertex model, J. Stat. Phys. 111 (2003) 323-337.
- [6] K. Fabricius, A new Q matrix in the eight-vertex model, J. Phys. A 40 (2007) 4075-4086.
- [7] K. Fabricius, and B.M. McCoy, The TQ equation of the eight-vertex model for complex elliptic roots of unity. J. Phys. A 40 (2007) 14893-14926.
- [8] K. Fabricius, and B.M. McCoy, New Q Matrices and Their Functional Equations for the Eight Vertex Model at Elliptic Roots of Unity. J. Stat. Phys. (2009) 134, 643-668.

- [9] L.A. Takhtadzhan and L.D. Faddeev, "The Quantum Method of the Inverse Problem and the Heisenberg XYZ Model", Russian Math. Surveys 34:5 (1979) 11 68; translated from Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 34:5 (1979) 13 63.
- [10] G.Felder and A. Varchenko, Algebraic Bethe ansatz for the elliptic quantum group  $E_{\tau,\eta}(sl_2)$ , Nucl. Phys. B 480 (1996) 485-503
- [11] R.J. Baxter, Completeness of the Bethe Ansatz for the Six and Eight-Vertex Models. J.Stat. Phys. 108,1-48 (2002)
- [12] T. Deguchi, K. Fabricius and B.M. McCoy, The  $sl_2$  loop algebra symmetry of the six-vertex model at roots of unity, J. Stat. Phys. 102 (2001) 701.
- [13] K. Fabricius and B. McCoy, Evaluation Parameters and Bethe Roots for the Six-Vertex Model at Roots of Unity. MathPhys Odyssey 2001, Progress in Mathematical Physics 23. ed. M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa (Birkhäuser Boston 2002) 119-144.
- [14] K.Fabricius and B.M. McCoy, An elliptic current operator for the eight vertex model, J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen. 39 (2006), 14869-14886.
- [15] V. Tarasov, Cyclic monodromy matrices for sl(n) trigonometric R-matrices.Comm.Math.Phys. 158(1993)459.
- [16] T. Deguchi, Construction of some missing eigenvectors of the XYZ spin chain at the discrete coupling constants and the exponentially large spectral degeneracy of the transfer matrix, J. Phys. A35 (2002) 879-895.
- [17] K.Fabricius and B.M. McCoy, New Developments in the Eight-Vertex Model II: Chains of Odd Length. JSP 120(2005), 37
- [18] C. Destri, H.J. de Vega, and H.J. Giacomini, The Six-Vertex Model Eigenvectors as Critical Limit of the Eight-Vertex Model Bethe Ansatz, J. Stat. Phys. 56 (1989), 291.
- [19] V.V.Bazhanov and V.V. Mangazeev, Eight-vertex model and non-stationary Lame equation. J.Phys.A, Math.Gen. 38(2005) L145-153.
- [20] V.V.Bazhanov and V.V. Mangazeev, The eight-vertex model and Painleve VI equation II, eigen-vector results. J.Phys.A, Math.Theor. 43(2010) 085206
- [21] E.T.Whittaker and G.N.Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, fourth edition, Cambridge University Press.