Gröbner-Shirshov Bases and Hilbert Series of Free Dendriform Algebras^{*}

Yuqun Chen and Bin Wang

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China yqchen@scnu.edu.cn windywang2004@126.com

Abstract: In this paper, we give a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the free dendriform algebra as a quotient algebra of an *L*-algebra. As applications, we obtain a normal form of the free dendriform algebra. Moreover, Hilbert series and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of finitely generated free dendriform algebras are obtained.

Key words: Gröbner-Shirshov basis; *L*-algebra; dendriform algebra; Hilbert series; Gelfand-Kirillov dimension.

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 13P10, 16S15, 17D99, 16P90.

1 Introduction

The theories of Gröbner-Shirshov bases and Gröbner bases were invented independently by A.I. Shirshov ([8], 1962) for (commutative, anti-commutative) non-associative alegbras, by H. Hironaka ([4], 1964) for infinite series algebras (both formal and convergent) and by B. Buchberger (first publication in [3], 1965) for polynomial algebras. Gröbner–Shirshov technique is very useful in the study of presentations of many kinds of algebras defined by generators and defining relations.

An *L*-algebra (see [5]) is a vector space over a field *k* with two operations \prec , \succ satisfying one identity: $(x \succ y) \prec z = x \succ (y \prec z)$. A dendriform algebra (see [6, 7]) is an *L*-algebra with two identities: $(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y \prec z) + x \prec (y \succ z)$ and $x \succ (y \succ z) = (x \succ y) \succ z + (x \prec y) \succ z$.

The Composition-Diamond lemma for L-algebras is established in a recent paper [1]. In this paper, by using the Composition-Diamond lemma for L-algebras in [1], we give a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the free dendriform algebra as a quotient algebra of a free L-algebra and then a normal form of a free dendriform algebra is obtained. As applications, we obtain the Hilbert series and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the free dendriform algebra generated by a finite set.

^{*}Supported by the NNSF of China (No.10771077) and the NSF of Guangdong Province (No.06025062).

2 L-algebras

We first introduce some concepts and results from the literature which are related to the Gröbner-Shirshov bases for L-algebras. We will use some definitions and notations which are mentioned in [1].

Let k be a field, X a set of variables, Ω a set of multilinear operations, and

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} \Omega_n$$

where $\Omega_n = \{\delta_i^{(n)} | i \in I_n\}$ is the set of *n*-ary operations, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ Now, we define " Ω -words".

Define

$$(X,\Omega)_0 = X.$$

For $m \ge 1$, define

$$(X,\Omega)_m = X \cup \Omega((X,\Omega)_{m-1})$$

where

$$\Omega((X,\Omega)_{m-1}) = \bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} \{ \delta_i^{(t)}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t) | \delta_i^{(t)} \in \Omega_t, u_j \in (X,\Omega)_{m-1} \}.$$

Let

$$(X,\Omega) = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} (X,\Omega)_m.$$

Then each element in (X, Ω) is called an Ω -word.

Definition 2.1 [5] An L-algebra is a k-vector space L equipped with two bilinear operations \prec , \succ : $L^{\bigotimes 2} \to L$ verifying the so-called entanglement relation:

$$(x \succ y) \prec z = x \succ (y \prec z), \forall x, y, z \in L.$$

Let $\Omega = \{\prec, \succ\}$. In this case, we call an Ω -word as an *L*-word.

Definition 2.2 [1] An L-word u is a normal L-word if u is one of the following:

- i) u = x, where $x \in X$.
- *ii)* $u = v \succ w$, where v and w are normal L-words.
- *iii)* $u = v \prec w$ with $v \neq v_1 \succ v_2$, where v_1, v_2, v, w are normal L-words.

We denote u by [u] if u is a normal L-word.

We denote the set of all the normal *L*-words by *N*. Then, the free L-algebra has an expression $L(X) = kN = \{\sum \alpha_i u_i \mid \alpha_i \in k, u_i \in N\}$ with *k*-basis *N* and the operations \prec, \succ : for any $u, v \in N$,

$$u \prec v = [u \prec v], \quad u \succ v = [u \succ v].$$

Clearly, $[u \succ v] = u \succ v$ and

$$[u \prec v] = \begin{cases} u \prec v & \text{if } u = u_1 \prec u_2, \text{ or } u \in X, \\ u_1 \succ [u_2 \prec v] & \text{if } u = u_1 \succ u_2. \end{cases}$$

Now, we order N in the same way as in [1].

Let X be a well ordered set. We denote \succ by δ_1 , \prec by δ_2 . For any normal L-word u, define

$$wt(u) = \begin{cases} (1, x), & \text{if } u = x \in X; \\ (|u|, \delta_i, u_1, u_2), & \text{if } u = \delta_i(u_1, u_2) \in N, \end{cases}$$

where |u| is the number of $x \in X$ in u. Then we order N as follows:

 $u > v \iff wt(u) > wt(v)$ lexicographically

by induction on |u| + |v|, where $\delta_2 > \delta_1$.

Let $\star \notin X$. By a \star -*L*-word we mean any expression in $(X \cup \{\star\}, \{\prec, \succ\})$ with only one occurrence of \star .

Let u be a \star -L-word and $s \in L(X)$. Then we call $u|_s = u|_{\star \mapsto s}$ an s-word in L(X).

An s-word $u|_s$ is called a normal s-word if $u|_{\overline{s}} \in N$.

It is shown in [1] that the above ordering on N is monomial in the sense that for any \star -L-word w and any $u, v \in N, u > v$ implies $[w|_u] > [w|_v]$.

Assume that L(X) is equipped with the monomial ordering > as above. For any L-polynomial $f \in L(X)$, let \overline{f} be the leading normal L-word of f. If the coefficient of \overline{f} is 1, then f is called monic.

Definition 2.3 [1] Let $f, g \in L(X)$ are two monic polynomials.

1) Composition of right multiplication.

If $\overline{f} = u_1 \succ u_2$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in N$, then for any $v \in N$, $f \prec v$ is called a composition of right multiplication.

2) Composition of inclusion.

If $w = \overline{f} = u|_{\overline{g}}$ where $u|_g$ is a normal g-word, then

$$(f,g)_w = f - u|_g$$

is called the composition of inclusion and w is called the ambiguity of the composition $(f,g)_{\omega}$.

Definition 2.4 [1] Let the ordering on N be as before, $S \subset L(X)$ a monic set and $f, g \in S$.

1) The composition of right multiplication $f \prec v$ is called trivial modulo S, denoted by $f \prec v \equiv 0 \mod(S)$, if

$$f \prec v = \sum \alpha_i u_i |_{s_i},$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $s_i \in S$, $u_i|_{s_i}$ normal s_i -word, and $u_i|_{\overline{s_i}} \leq \overline{f \prec v}$.

2) The composition of inclusion $(f,g)_w$ is called trivial modulo (S,w), denoted by $(f,g)_w \equiv 0 \mod(S,w)$, if

$$(f,g)_w = \sum \alpha_i u_i |_{s_i},$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $s_i \in S$, $u_i|_{s_i}$ normal s_i -word, and $u_i|_{\overline{s_i}} < w$.

S is called a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in L(X) if any composition of polynomials in S is trivial modulo S (and w).

Theorem 2.5 [1] (Composition-Diamond lemma for L-algebras) Let $S \subset L(X)$ be a monic set and the ordering on N as before. Let Id(S) be the ideal of L(X) generated by S. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (I) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in L(X).
- (II) $f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow \overline{f} = u|_{\overline{s}}$ for some $s \in S$, where $u|_s$ is a normal s-word.
- (III) The set $Irr(S) = \{u \in N | u \neq v|_{\overline{s}}, s \in S, v|_s \text{ is a normal s-word}\}$ is a k-basis of the L-algebra L(X|S) = L(X)/Id(S).

3 Gröbner-Shirshov bases for free dendriform algebras

In this section, we give a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the free dendriform algebra DD(X) generated by X. As an application, we obtain a normal form of DD(X).

Definition 3.1 [6] A dendriform algebra is a k-vector space DD with two bilinear operations \prec , \succ subject to the three axioms below: for any $x, y, z \in DD$,

- 1) $(x \succ y) \prec z = x \succ (y \prec z),$
- 2) $(x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y \prec z) + x \prec (y \succ z),$
- 3) $x \succ (y \succ z) = (x \succ y) \succ z + (x \prec y) \succ z$.

Thus, any dendriform algebra is an *L*-algebra.

It is clear that the free dendriform algebra generated by X, denoted by DD(X), has an expression

$$\begin{split} L(X &| (x \prec y) \prec z = x \prec (y \prec z) + x \prec (y \succ z), \\ x \succ (y \succ z) = (x \succ y) \succ z + (x \prec y) \succ z, \ x, y, z \in N). \end{split}$$

The following theorem gives a Gröbner-Shirshov basis for DD(X).

Theorem 3.2 Let the ordering on N be as before. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, y, z) &= (x \prec y) \prec z - x \prec (y \prec z) - x \prec (y \succ z), \\ f_2(x, y, z) &= (x \prec y) \succ z + (x \succ y) \succ z - x \succ (y \succ z), \\ f_3(x, y, z, v) &= ((x \succ y) \succ z) \succ v - (x \succ y) \succ (z \succ v) + (x \succ (y \prec z)) \succ v. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $S = \{f_1(x, y, z), f_2(x, y, z), f_3(x, y, z, v) | x, y, z, v \in N\}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in L(X).

Proof. All the possible compositions of right multiplication in S are as follows. 1) $f_2(x, y, z) \prec u, \ u \in N$. We have

$$f_{2}(x, y, z) \prec u = ((x \prec y) \succ z) \prec u + ((x \succ y) \succ z) \prec u - (x \succ (y \succ z)) \prec u$$
$$= (x \prec y) \succ (z \prec u) + (x \succ y) \succ (z \prec u) - x \succ ((y \succ z) \prec u)$$
$$\equiv -(x \succ y) \succ (z \prec u) + x \succ (y \succ (z \prec u)) + (x \succ y) \succ (z \prec u)$$
$$-x \succ ((y \succ z) \prec u)$$
$$\equiv 0 \ mod(S).$$

2) $f_3(x, y, z, v) \prec u, u \in N$. We have

$$f_{3}(x, y, z, v) \prec u = (((x \succ y) \succ z) \succ v) \prec u - ((x \succ y) \succ (z \succ v)) \prec u +((x \succ (y \prec z)) \succ v) \prec u = ((x \succ y) \succ z) \succ (v \prec u) - (x \succ y) \succ (z \succ (v \prec u)) +(x \succ (y \prec z)) \succ (v \prec u) \equiv (x \succ y) \succ (z \succ (v \prec u)) - (x \succ (y \prec z)) \succ (v \prec u) -(x \succ y) \succ (z \succ (v \prec u)) + ((x \succ (y \prec z)) \succ v) \prec u \equiv 0 \mod(S).$$

We denote by $f_i \wedge f_j$ an inclusion composition of the polynomials f_i and f_j , i, j = 1, 2, 3. All the possible ambiguities in S are listed as follows:

3.1 $w_{3.1} = (x|_{((a \prec b) \prec c)} \prec y) \prec z,$ 3.3 $w_{3.3} = (x \prec y) \prec z|_{((a \prec b) \prec c)},$

4)
$$f_1(x, y, z) \land f_2(a, b, c) :$$

4.1 $w_{4.1} = (x|_{((a \prec b) \succ c)} \prec y) \prec z,$
4.3 $w_{4.3} = (x \prec y) \prec z|_{((a \prec b) \succ c)}.$

3) $f_1(x, y, z) \wedge f_1(a, b, c)$:

5)
$$f_1(x, y, z) \land f_3(a, b, c, d)$$
:
5.1 $w_{5.1} = (x|_{(((a \succ b) \succ c) \succ d)} \prec y) \prec z,$
5.3 $w_{5.3} = (x \prec y) \prec z|_{(((a \succ b) \succ c) \succ d)}.$

6) $f_2(a, b, c) \wedge f_1(x, y, z)$:

3.2
$$w_{3.2} = (x \prec y|_{((a \prec b) \prec c)}) \prec z,$$

3.4 $w_{3.4} = ((a \prec b) \prec c) \prec z.$

4.2
$$w_{4.2} = (x \prec y|_{((a \prec b) \succ c)}) \prec z,$$

5.2
$$w_{3.2} = (x \prec y|_{(((a \succ b) \succ c) \succ d)}) \prec z,$$

6.1
$$w_{6.1} = (a|_{((x \prec y) \prec z)} \prec b) \succ c,$$

6.3 $w_{6.3} = (a \prec b) \succ c|_{((x \prec y) \prec z)},$
7) $f_2(a, b, c) \land f_2(x, y, z):$
7.1 $w_{7.1} = (a|_{((x \prec y) \succ z)} \prec b) \succ c,$
7.3 $w_{7.3} = (a \prec b) \succ c|_{((x \prec y) \succ z)}.$
8) $f_2(a, b, c) \land f_3(x, y, z, v):$
8.1 $w_{8.1} = (a|_{(((x \succ y) \succ z) \succ v)} \prec b) \succ c,$
8.3 $w_{8.1} = (a \prec b) \succ c|_{(((x \succ y) \succ z) \succ v)}.$
9) $f_3(x, y, z, v) \land f_1(a, b, c):$
9.1 $w_{9.1} = ((x|_{((a \prec b) \prec c)} \succ y) \succ z) \succ v,$
9.3 $w_{9.3} = ((x \succ y) \succ z|_{((a \prec b) \prec c)}) \succ v,$
10) $f_3(x, y, z, v) \land f_2(a, b, c):$
10.1 $w_{10.1} = ((x|_{((a \prec b) \succ c)} \succ y) \succ z) \succ v,$
10.3 $w_{10.3} = ((x \succ y) \succ z|_{((a \prec b) \succ c)}) \succ v.$
11) $f_3(x, y, z, v) \land f_3(a, b, c, d):$
11.1 $w_{11.1} = ((x|_{(((a \succ b) \succ c) \succ d)} \succ y) \succ z) \succ v.$

6.2 $w_{6.2} = (a \prec b|_{((x \prec y) \prec z)}) \succ c,$ 6.4 $w_{6.4} = ((x \prec y) \prec z) \succ c.$

7.2
$$w_{7.2} = (a \prec b|_{((x \prec y) \succ z)}) \succ c,$$

8.2
$$w_{8.2} = (a \prec b|_{(((x \succ y) \succ z) \succ v)}) \succ c,$$

9.2 $w_{9.2} = ((x \succ y|_{((a \prec b) \prec c)}) \succ z) \succ v,$ 9.4 $w_{9.4} = ((x \succ y) \succ z) \succ v|_{((a \prec b) \prec c)}.$

 $10.2 \ w_{10.2} = ((x \succ y|_{((a \prec b) \succ c)}) \succ z) \succ v,$ $10.4 \ w_{10.4} = ((x \succ y) \succ z) \succ v|_{((a \prec b) \succ c)},$

$$\begin{aligned} &11) \ J_{3}(x, y, z, v) \land J_{3}(a, b, c, a): \\ &11.1 \ w_{11.1} = ((x|_{(((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ d)} \succ y) \succ z) \succ v, \\ &11.2 \ w_{11.2} = ((x\succ y)|_{(((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ d)}) \succ z) \succ v, \\ &11.3 \ w_{11.3} = ((x\succ y) \succ z|_{(((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ d)}) \succ v, \\ &11.4 \ w_{11.4} = ((x\succ y) \succ z) \succ v|_{(((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ d)}, \\ &11.5 \ w_{11.5} = (((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ d) \succ v, \\ &11.6 \ w_{11.6} = ((((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ d)\succ z)\succ v. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that all compositions are trivial mod(S, w). Here, for example, we only check Case 6.4, Case 10.5 and Case 11.6. The others are easy to check.

Case 6.4:

$$\begin{array}{l} (f_2(a,b,c),f_1(x,y,z))_{w_{6.4}} \\ \equiv & (x \prec (y \prec z)) \succ c + (x \prec (y \succ z)) \succ c - (x \prec y) \succ (z \succ c) \\ & +((x \prec y) \succ z) \succ c \\ \\ \equiv & x \succ ((y \prec z) \succ c) - (x \succ (y \prec z)) \succ c + x \succ ((y \succ z) \succ c) \\ & -(x \succ (y \succ z)) \succ c - x \succ (y \succ (z \succ c)) + (x \succ y) \succ (z \succ c) \\ & +(x \succ (y \succ z)) \succ c - ((x \succ y) \succ z) \succ c \\ \\ \equiv & x \succ (y \succ (z \succ c)) - (x \succ (y \prec z)) \succ c - x \succ (y \succ (z \succ c)) \\ & +(x \succ y) \succ (z \succ c) - ((x \succ y) \succ z) \succ c \\ \\ \equiv & 0 \mod(S, w_{6.4}). \end{array}$$

Case 10.5:

$$\begin{array}{l} (f_3(x,y,z,v),f_2(a,b,c))_{w_{10.5}} \\ \equiv & ((a\succ (b\succ c))\succ z)\succ v-(((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ z)\succ v \\ & -((a\prec b)\succ c)\succ (z\succ v)+((a\prec b)\succ (c\prec z))\succ v \\ \end{array} \\ \equiv & (a\succ (b\succ c))\succ (z\succ v)-(a\succ ((b\succ c)\prec z))\succ v \\ & -((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ (z\succ v)+((a\succ b)\succ (c\prec z))\succ v \\ & -(a\succ (b\succ c))\succ (z\succ v)+((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ (z\succ v) \\ & +(a\succ (b\succ (c\prec z))\succ v-((a\succ b)\succ (c\prec z))\succ v \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$

Case 11.6:

$$\begin{array}{l} (f_3(x,y,z,v),f_3(a,b,c,d))_{w_{11.6}} \\ \equiv & (((a\succ b)\succ (c\succ d))\succ z)\succ v - (((a\succ (b\prec c))\succ d)\succ z)\succ v \\ & -(((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ d)\succ (z\succ v) + (((a\succ b)\succ c)\succ (d\prec z))\succ v \\ \equiv & ((a\succ b)\succ (c\succ d))\succ (z\succ v) - ((a\succ b)\succ ((c\succ d)\prec z))\succ v \\ & -((a\succ (b\prec c))\succ d)\succ (z\succ v) + ((a\succ (b\prec c))\succ (d\prec z))\succ v \\ & -((a\succ b)\succ (c\succ d))\succ (z\succ v) + ((a\succ (b\prec c))\succ d)\succ (z\succ v) \\ & +((a\succ b)\succ (c\succ (d\prec z))\succ v - ((a\succ (b\prec c))\succ (d\prec z))\succ v \\ & = & 0 \mod(S, w_{11.6}). \end{array}$$

The proof is complete.

Definition 3.3 An L-word u is called a normal DD-word, denoted by [u], if

1)
$$u = x, x \in X$$
,
2) $u = x \prec \lceil v \rceil, x \in X$,
3) $u = x \succ \lceil v \rceil, x \in X$,
4) $u = (x \succ \lceil u_1 \rceil) \succ \lceil u_2 \rceil, x \in X$.

Remark From Definition 2.2 and Definition 3.3, we know that any normal DD-word is a normal L-word.

The following corollary follows from Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.4 The set $Irr(S) = \{u \mid u \text{ is a normal } DD\text{-word}\}$ is a k-basis of the free dendriform algebra DD(X).

4 Hilbert series and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the free dendriform algebra

In this section, we give Hilbert series of the free dendriform algebra DD(X) where |X| is finite. As an application, we prove that Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the free dendriform algebra DD(X) is infinite.

We introduce some basic definitions and concepts that we will use throughout this section.

Definition 4.1 Let $V = (V, \prec, \succ)$ be a dendriform algebra. Then V is called a finitely graded algebra if

$$V = \bigoplus_{m \ge 1} V_m$$

as k-vector spaces such that

 $\dim_k V_m < \infty \text{ and } \delta(V_i, V_j) \subseteq V_{i+j} \text{ for all } i, j \ge 1, \ \delta \in \{\prec, \succ\}.$

Definition 4.2 Let $V = \bigoplus_{m \ge 1} V_m$ be a finitely graded dendriform algebra and $\dim_k(V_m)$, the dimension of the vector space V_m . Then the Hilbert series of V is defined to be

$$\mathcal{H}(V,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} dim_k(V_m)t^m.$$

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ and DD_m the subspace of DD(X) generated by all normal DD-words in DD(X) of degree m. Then

$$DD(X) = \bigoplus_{m \ge 1} DD_m$$

is a finitely graded dendriform algebra.

By the definition of normal DD-words, one has

$$dim_k(DD_1) = n, \ dim_k(DD_2) = 2n^2.$$

Assume that for any $m \ge 1$, $dim_k(DD_m) = f(m)n^m$. Then f(1) = 1, f(2) = 2. For convenience, let f(0) = 1.

For any m > 2, it is clear that DD_m has a k-basis

$$\{x \prec \lceil u \rceil | x \in X, \ |u| > 1, \lceil u \rceil \text{ is a normal } DD\text{-word} \}$$

$$\bigcup \{x \succ \lceil u \rceil | x \in X, \ |u| > 1 \lceil u \rceil \text{ is a normal } DD\text{-word} \}$$

$$\bigcup \{(x \succ \lceil u_1 \rceil) \succ \lceil u_2 \rceil | x \in X, \ |u_1|, \ |u_2| \ge 1, \ \lceil u_1 \rceil, \lceil u_2 \rceil \text{ are normal } DD\text{-words} \}.$$

It follows that

$$f(m) = 2 \times f(m-1) + 1 \times 1 \times f(m-2) + 1 \times f(m-2) \times 1$$
$$+1 \times \sum_{i=2}^{m-3} f(i)f(m-3-i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f(i)f(m-1-i).$$

Therefore, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3 Let X be a finite set with |X| = n. Then the Hilbert series of the free dendriform algebra DD(X) is

$$\mathcal{H}(DD(X),t) = \sum_{m \ge 1} f(m)n^m t^m,$$

where f(m) satisfies the recursive relation (f(0) = 1):

$$f(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f(i)f(m-1-i), \quad m \ge 1.$$

Now, we describe the Hilbert series of DD(X) with another way.

Let A, B, C be the subspaces of DD(X) with k-bases

$$\{x \prec \lceil u \rceil \mid x \in X, \ \lceil u \rceil \text{ is a normal } DD\text{-word} \}, \\ \{x \succ \lceil u \rceil \mid x \in X, \ \lceil u \rceil \text{ is a normal } DD\text{-word} \}, \\ \{(x \succ \lceil u_1 \rceil) \succ \lceil u_2 \rceil \mid x \in X, \ \lceil u_1 \rceil, \lceil u_2 \rceil \text{ are normal } DD\text{-words} \},$$

respectively. Assume that their Hilbert series are $\mathcal{H}(A, t)$, $\mathcal{H}(B, t)$, $\mathcal{H}(C, t)$, respectively. Clearly, we have

$$\mathcal{H}(B,t) = \mathcal{H}(A,t).$$

Noting that A has a k-basis

 $\{x_i \prec x_j | x_i, x_j \in X\} \bigcup \{x \prec \lceil u \rceil | |u| > 1, x \in X, \lceil u \rceil \text{ is a normal } DD\text{-word}\},\$

we have

$$\mathcal{H}(A,t) = n^2 t^2 + nt \times (\mathcal{H}(A,t) + \mathcal{H}(B,t) + \mathcal{H}(C,t))$$

= $n^2 t^2 + nt \times (2\mathcal{H}(A,t) + \mathcal{H}(C,t)).$ (1)

Since C has a k-basis

$$\{(x_i \succ x_j) \succ x_k | x_i, x_j, x_k \in X\}$$

$$\bigcup \{(x_i \succ x_j) \succ \lceil u \rceil | x_i, x_j \in X, |u| > 1, \lceil u \rceil \text{ is a normal } DD\text{-word}\}$$

$$\bigcup \{(x_i \succ \lceil u \rceil) \succ x_j | x_i, x_j \in X, |u| > 1, \lceil u \rceil \text{ is a normal } DD\text{-word}\}$$

$$\bigcup \{(x \succ \lceil u \rceil) \succ \lceil v \rceil | |u|, |v| > 1, \lceil u \rceil, \lceil v \rceil \text{ are normal } DD\text{-words}\},$$

we have

$$\mathcal{H}(C,t) = n^{3}t^{3} + 2n^{2}t^{2} \times (\mathcal{H}(A,t) + \mathcal{H}(B,t) + \mathcal{H}(C,t)) + nt \times (\mathcal{H}(A,t) + \mathcal{H}(B,t) + \mathcal{H}(C,t))^{2}$$

= $nt \times (nt + (2\mathcal{H}(A,t) + \mathcal{H}(C,t)))^{2}$ (2)

From equations (1) and (2), we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}(A,t) = \frac{1 - 2nt \pm \sqrt{1 - 4nt}}{2}.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}(A, 0) = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}(A,t) = \frac{1 - 2nt - \sqrt{1 - 4nt}}{2}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{H}(C,t) = \frac{1 - (1 - 2nt)\sqrt{1 - 4nt}}{2nt} - 2 + nt.$$

Thus, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4 Let X be a finite set with |X| = n. The Hilbert series of the free dendriform algebra DD(X) is

$$\mathcal{H}(DD(X),t) = \frac{1 - 2nt - \sqrt{1 - 4nt}}{2nt}.$$

We now give an exact expression of the function $\mathcal{H}(DD(X), t)$. For $t \leq \frac{1}{4n}$, we have

$$\sqrt{1-4nt} = (1+(-4nt))^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-1)\cdots(\frac{1}{2}-i+1)}{i!} \times (-1)^{i} 4^{i} n^{i} t^{i}$$

From this and Lemma 4.3 we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5 Let X be a finite set with |X| = n. Then the Hilbert series of the free dendriform algebra DD(X) is

$$\mathcal{H}(DD(X),t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 \times 3 \times \cdots (2m-1) \times 2^m}{(m+1)!} n^m t^m$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2m)! \times n^m \times t^m}{(m+1)!m!}.$$

Therefore, $dim_k(DD_m) = \frac{(2m)! \times n^m}{(m+1)!m!}, m \ge 1.$

Now, by using Theorem 4.5, we show that Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the free dendriform algebra DD(X) is infinite when |X| is finite.

Definition 4.6 [2] Let R be a finitely presented algebra over a field k and x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be its generators. Consider $R = \bigcup_{d \in N} V_{(d)}$, where $V_{(d)}$ is spanned by all the monomials in x_i of length $\leq d$. The quantity

$$GKR = \overline{\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\log \dim_k V_{(d)}}{\log d}}$$

is called the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of R.

Theorem 4.7 Let X be a finite set with |X| = n. Then the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of free dendriform algebra DD(X) is

$$GKDD(X) = \infty.$$

Proof. For a fixed natural d, let $DD_{(d)}$ be the subspace spanned by all the monomials in x_i of length $\leq d$. Then

$$dimDD_{(d)} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} dim_k(DD_i) \ge dim_k(DD_d).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} GKDD(X) &\geq \overline{\lim_{d \to \infty}} \frac{\log \dim_k (DD_d)}{\log d} = \overline{\lim_{d \to \infty}} \frac{\ln \frac{(2d)! \times n^d}{(d+1)! d!}}{\ln d} \\ &= \overline{\lim_{d \to \infty}} \frac{d \ln 2n + \sum_{i=1}^d \ln (2i-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \ln i}{\ln d} \\ &= \overline{\lim_{d \to \infty}} d \ln 2n + \overline{\lim_{d \to \infty}} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\ln \frac{2i-1}{i}}{\ln d} - 1 \\ &= \overline{\lim_{d \to \infty}} (d \ln 2n) + \overline{\lim_{d \to \infty}} (\frac{d}{\ln d} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\ln (2 - \frac{1}{i})}{d}) - 1 \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

| - 12 | _ | |
|------|---|--|
| | | |

References

- [1] L.A. Bokut, Yuqun Chen and Jiapeng Huang, Gröbner-Shirshov Bases for L-algebras, arXiv: 1005.0118v1
- [2] L.A. Bokut, G.P. Kukin, Algorithmic and Combinatorial Algebra, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1994).
- [3] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations [in German], *Aequationes Math.* 4(1970) 374-383.
- [4] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero I, II, Ann. Math. 79 (1964) 109-203, 205-326.
- [5] P. Leroux, L-algebras, triplicial-algebras, within an equivalence of categories motivated by grahs, arXiv: 0709.3453v2
- [6] J.L. Loday, Dialgebras, in dialgebras and related operads, *Lecture Notes in Mathe*matics, Vol. 1763. Berlin: Springer Verl. (2001) 7-66.
- [7] J.L. Loday, Encyclopedia of types of algebras, http://www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/ loday/PAPERS/EncyclopALG(root).pdf.

- [8] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, Sibirsk. Mat. Z. 3 (1962) 292-296 (in Russian); English translation in SIGSAM Bull. 33 (2) (1999) 3-6.
- [9] Selected works of A.I. Shirshov, Eds L.A. Bokut, V. Latyshev, I. Shestakov, E. Zelmanov, Trs M. Bremner, M. Kochetov, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin (2009).