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#### Abstract

In 1962, de Vries [2] proved a duality theorem for the category HC of compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps. The composition of the morphisms of the dual category obtained by him differs from the set-theoretic one. Here we obtain a new category dual to the category HLC of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps for which the composition of the morphisms is a natural one but the morphisms are multi-valued maps.
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## 1 Introduction

In 1962, de Vries [2] proved a duality theorem for the category HC of compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps. This theorem was the first realization in a full extent of the ideas of the so-called region-based theory of space, although, as it seems, de Vries did not know of the existence of such a theory. The region-based theory of space is a kind of point-free geometry and can be considered as an alternative to the well known Euclidean point-based theory of space. Its main idea goes back to Whitehead [23] (see also [22]) and de Laguna [1] and is based on a certain criticism of the Euclidean approach to the geometry, where the points (as well as straight lines and planes) are taken as the basic primitive notions. A. N. Whitehead and T. de Laguna noticed that points, lines and planes are quite abstract entities which have not a separate existence in reality and proposed to put the theory of space on the base of

[^0]some more realistic spatial entities. In Whitehead [23], the notion of region is taken as a primitive notion: it is an abstract analog of a spatial body; also some natural relations between regions are regarded. In [22] Whitehead considers only some mereological relations like "part-of" and "overlap", while in [23] he adopts from de Laguna [1] the relation of "contact" ("connectedness" in Whitehead's original terminology) as the only primitive relation between regions. In this way the region-based theory of space appeared as an extension of mereology - a philosophical discipline of "parts and wholes".

Let us note that neither A. N. Whitehead nor T. de Laguna presented their ideas in a detailed mathematical form. Their ideas attracted some mathematicians and mathematically oriented philosophers to present various versions of region-based theory of space at different levels of abstraction. Here we can mention A. Tarski [20], who rebuilt Euclidean geometry as an extension of mereology with the primitive notion of sphere. Remarkable is also Grzegorczyk's paper [13]. Models of Grzegorczyk's theory are complete Boolean algebras of regular closed sets of certain topological spaces equipped with the relation of separation which in fact is the complement of Whitehead's contact relation. On the same line of abstraction is also the point-free topology [14].

Let us mention that Whitehead's ideas of region-based theory of space flourished and in a sense were reinvented and applied in some areas of computer science: Qualitative Spatial Reasoning (QSR), knowledge representation, geographical information systems, formal ontologies in information systems, image processing, natural language semantics etc. The reason is that the language of region-based theory of space allows us to obtain a more simple description of some qualitative spatial features and properties of space bodies. One of the most popular among the community of QSR-researchers is the system of Region Connection Calculus (RCC) introduced by Randell, Cui and Cohn [18].

A celebrated duality for the category HC is the Gelfand Duality Theorem [9, $10,11,12]$. The de Vries Duality Theorem, however, is the first complete realization of the ideas of de Laguna [1] and Whitehead [23]: the models of the regions in de Vries' theory are the regular closed sets of compact Hausdorff spaces (regarded with the well known Boolean structure on them) and the contact relation $\rho$ between these sets is defined by $F \rho G \Longleftrightarrow F \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

The composition of the morphisms of de Vries' category DHC dual to the category HC differs from their set-theoretic composition. In 1973, V. V. Fedorchuk [8] noted that the complete DHC-morphisms (i.e., those DHC-morphisms which are complete Boolean homomorphisms) have a very simple description and, moreover, the DHC-composition of two such morphisms coincides with their set-theoretic composition. He considered the cofull subcategory (i.e. such a subcategory which has the same objects as the whole category) DQHC of the category DHC determined by the complete DHC-morphisms. He proved that the restriction of de Vries' duality functor to it produces a duality between the category DQHC and the category QHC of compact Hausdorff spaces and quasi-open maps (a class of maps introduced by Mardešic and Papic in [16]).

It is natural to try to extend de Vries' Duality Theorem to the category HLC
of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps. An important step in this direction was done by Roeper [19]. Being guided by the ideas of de Laguna [1] and Whitehead [23], he defined the notion of region-based topology which is now known as local contact algebra (briefly, LCA or LC-algebra) (see [5]), because the axioms which it satisfies almost coincide with the axioms of local proximities of Leader [15]. In his paper [19], Roeper proved the following theorem: there is a bijective correspondence between all (up to homeomorphism) locally compact Hausdorff spaces and all (up to isomorphism) complete LC-algebras. In [4], using Roeper's theorem, the Fedorchuk Duality Theorem was extended to the category of locally compact Hausdorff spaces and skeletal (in the sense of [17]) maps. Quite recently, in the paper [3], de Vries' Duality Theorem [2] was extended to the category HLC. The composition of the morphisms of the obtained there dual category is not the usual composition of maps (i.e., the situaton is the same as in the case of de Vries' Duality Theorem). We now obtain a new duality theorem for the category HLC such that the composition of the morphisms of the dual category is a natural one (like in the Fedorchuk Duality Theorem for the category QHC); however, the morphisms of the dual category are multi-valued maps.

Let us fix the notation.
If $\mathcal{C}$ denotes a category, we write $X \in|\mathcal{C}|$ if $X$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}$, and $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ if $f$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{C}$ with domain $X$ and codomain $Y$.

All lattices are with top (= unit) and bottom (= zero) elements, denoted respectively by 1 and 0 . We do not require the elements 0 and 1 to be distinct. The operation "complement" in Boolean algebras is denoted by "*". The (positive) natural numbers are denoted by $\mathbb{N}$ (resp., by $\mathbb{N}^{+}$). The Alexandroff (one-point) compactification of a locally compact Hausdorff space $X$ is denoted by $\alpha X$. If $X$ is a set then we will denote by $i d_{X}$ the identity function on $X$.

## 2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. An algebraic system $\left(B, 0,1, \vee, \wedge,{ }^{*}, C\right)$ is called a contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, contact algebra (abbreviated as CA or C-algebra) ([5]) if the system $\left(B, 0,1, \vee, \wedge,{ }^{*}\right)$ is a Boolean algebra and $C$ is a binary relation on $B$, satisfying the following axioms:
(C1) If $a \neq 0$ then $a C a$;
(C2) If $a C b$ then $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$;
(C3) $a C b$ implies $b C a$;
(C4) $a C(b \vee c)$ iff $a C b$ or $a C c$.
We shall simply write $(B, C)$ for a contact algebra. The relation $C$ is called a contact relation. When $B$ is a complete Boolean algebra, we will say that $(B, C)$ is a complete contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, complete contact algebra (abbreviated as CCA or CC-algebra).

We will say that two C-algebras $\left(B_{1}, C_{1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{2}, C_{2}\right)$ are $C A$-isomorphic iff there exists a Boolean isomorphism $\varphi: B_{1} \longrightarrow B_{2}$ such that, for each $a, b \in B_{1}, a C_{1} b$ iff $\varphi(a) C_{2} \varphi(b)$. Note that in this paper, by a "Boolean isomorphism" we understand
an isomorphism in the category Bool of Boolean algebras and Boolean homomorphisms.

A contact algebra $(B, C)$ is called a normal contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, normal contact algebra (abbreviated as NCA or NC-algebra) ([2],[8]) if it satisfies the following axioms (we will write " $-C$ " for "not $C$ "):
(C5) If $a(-C) b$ then $a(-C) c$ and $b(-C) c^{*}$ for some $c \in B$;
(C6) If $a \neq 1$ then there exists $b \neq 0$ such that $b(-C) a$.
Note that the axioms of NC-algebras are very similar to the Efremovič axioms of proximity spaces [7].

A normal CA is called a complete normal contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, complete normal contact algebra (abbreviated as CNCA or CNC-algebra) if it is a CCA. The notion of normal contact algebra was introduced by Fedorchuk [8] under the name Boolean $\delta$-algebra as an equivalent expression of the notion of compingent Boolean algebra of de Vries (see its definition below). We call such algebras "normal contact algebras" because they form a subclass of the class of contact algebras and naturally arise in normal Hausdorff spaces.

Note that if $0 \neq 1$ then the axiom (C2) follows from the axioms (C6) and (C4).
For any CA $(B, C)$, we define a binary relation " $<_{C}$ " on $B$ (called nontangential inclusion) by " $a<_{C} b \leftrightarrow a(-C) b^{*}$ ". Sometimes we will write simply " $\ll$ " instead of " $<_{C}$ ".

The relations $C$ and $\ll$ are inter-definable. For example, normal contact algebras could be equivalently defined (and exactly in this way they were introduced (under the name of compingent Boolean algebras) by de Vries in [2]) as a pair of a Boolean algebra $B=\left(B, 0,1, \vee, \wedge,{ }^{*}\right)$ and a binary relation $\ll$ on $B$ subject to the following axioms:
$(\ll 1) a \ll b$ implies $a \leq b ;$
$(\ll 2) 0 \ll 0 ;$
$(\ll 3) a \leq b \ll c \leq t$ implies $a \ll t$;
$(\ll 4) a \ll c$ and $b \ll c$ implies $a \vee b \ll c$;
$(\ll 5)$ If $a \ll c$ then $a \ll b \ll c$ for some $b \in B$;
$(\ll 6)$ If $a \neq 0$ then there exists $b \neq 0$ such that $b \ll a$;
$(\ll 7) a \ll b$ implies $b^{*} \ll a^{*}$.
Note that if $0 \neq 1$ then the axiom $(\ll 2)$ follows from the axioms $(\ll 3),(\ll 4)$, $(\ll 6)$ and ( $\ll 7$ ).

Obviously, contact algebras could be equivalently defined as a pair of a Boolean algebra $B$ and a binary relation $\ll$ on $B$ subject to the axioms $(\ll 1)-(\ll 4)$ and $(\ll 7)$.

It is easy to see that axiom (C5) (resp., (C6)) can be stated equivalently in the form of $(\ll 5)$ (resp., $(\ll 6)$ ).

Example 2.2. Recall that a subset $F$ of a topological space $(X, \tau)$ is called regular closed if $F=\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(F))$. Clearly, $F$ is regular closed iff it is the closure of an open set.

For any topological space $(X, \tau)$, the collection $R C(X, \tau)$ (we will often write simply $R C(X)$ ) of all regular closed subsets of $(X, \tau)$ becomes a complete Boolean
algebra $\left(R C(X, \tau), 0,1, \wedge, \vee,{ }^{*}\right)$ under the following operations: $1=X, 0=\emptyset, F^{*}=$ $\operatorname{cl}(X \backslash F), F \vee G=F \cup G, F \wedge G=\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(F \cap G))$. The infinite operations are given by the formulas: $\bigvee\left\{F_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}=\operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcup\left\{F_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}\right)$, and $\bigwedge\left\{F_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}=$ $\operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\bigcap\left\{F_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}\right)\right)$.

It is easy to see that setting $F \rho_{(X, \tau)} G$ iff $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$, we define a contact relation $\rho_{(X, \tau)}$ on $R C(X, \tau)$; it is called a standard contact relation. So, $\left(R C(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)}\right)$ is a CCA (it is called a standard contact algebra). We will often write simply $\rho_{X}$ instead of $\rho_{(X, \tau)}$. Note that, for $F, G \in R C(X), F \ll_{\rho_{X}} G$ iff $F \subseteq \operatorname{int}_{X}(G)$.

Clearly, if $(X, \tau)$ is a normal Hausdorff space then the standard contact algebra $\left(R C(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)}\right)$ is a complete NCA.

A subset $U$ of $(X, \tau)$ such that $U=\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(U))$ is said to be regular open.
Definition 2.3. Let $(B, C)$ be a $C A$. Then a non-empty subset $\sigma$ of $B$ is called a cluster in $(B, C)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(K1) If $a, b \in \sigma$ then $a C b$;
(K2) If $a \vee b \in \sigma$ then $a \in \sigma$ or $b \in \sigma$;
(K3) If $a C b$ for every $b \in \sigma$, then $a \in \sigma$.
The set of all clusters in $(B, C)$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Clust}(B, C)$.
Proposition 2.4. ([4], [19]) Let $(B, C)$ be a normal contact algebra, $\sigma$ be a cluster in $(B, C), a \in B$ and $a \notin \sigma$. Then there exists $b \in B$ such that $b \notin \sigma$ and $a \ll b$.

The following notion is a lattice-theoretical counterpart of the Leader's notion of local proximity ([15]):

Definition 2.5. ([19]) An algebraic system

$$
\underline{B}_{l}=\left(B, 0,1, \vee, \wedge,^{*}, \rho, \mathbb{B}\right)
$$

is called a local contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, local contact algebra (abbreviated as LCA or LC-algebra) if $\left(B, 0,1, \vee, \wedge,{ }^{*}\right)$ is a Boolean algebra, $\rho$ is a binary relation on $B$ such that $(B, \rho)$ is a CA , and $\mathbb{B}$ is an ideal (possibly non proper) of $B$, satisfying the following axioms:
(BC1) If $a \in \mathbb{B}, c \in B$ and $a<_{\rho} c$ then $a<_{\rho} b<_{\rho} c$ for some $b \in \mathbb{B}$;
(BC2) If $a \rho b$ then there exists an element $c$ of $\mathbb{B}$ such that $a \rho(c \wedge b)$;
(BC3) If $a \neq 0$ then there exists $b \in \mathbb{B} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $b<_{\rho} a$.
We shall simply write $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ for a local contact algebra. We will say that the elements of $\mathbb{B}$ are bounded and the elements of $B \backslash \mathbb{B}$ are unbounded. When $B$ is a complete Boolean algebra, the LCA $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ is called a complete local contact Boolean algebra or, briefly, complete local contact algebra (abbreviated as CLCA or CLC-algebra).

We will say that two local contact algebras $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ and $\left(B_{1}, \rho_{1}, \mathbb{B}_{1}\right)$ are $L C A$ isomorphic if there exists a Boolean isomorphism $\varphi: B \longrightarrow B_{1}$ such that, for $a, b \in B$, $a \rho b$ iff $\varphi(a) \rho_{1} \varphi(b)$, and $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{B}_{1}$ iff $a \in \mathbb{B}$.
Remark 2.6. Note that if $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ is a local contact algebra and $1 \in \mathbb{B}$ then $(B, \rho)$ is a normal contact algebra. Conversely, any normal contact algebra $(B, C)$ can be regarded as a local contact algebra of the form $(B, C, B)$.

Notation 2.7. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space. We denote by $C R(X, \tau)$ the family of all compact regular closed subsets of $(X, \tau)$. We will often write $C R(X)$ instead of $C R(X, \tau)$.

Fact 2.8. ([19]) Let $(X, \tau)$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then the triple

$$
\left(R C(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)}, C R(X, \tau)\right)
$$

is a complete local contact algebra; it is called a standard local contact algebra.
Definition 2.9. ([21]) Let $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be a local contact algebra. Define a binary relation " $C_{\rho}$ " on $B$ by
(1) $a C_{\rho} b \Longleftrightarrow(a \rho b$ or $a, b \notin \mathbb{B})$.

It is called the Alexandroff extension of $\rho$ relatively to the LCA $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ (or, when there is no ambiguity, simply, the Alexandroff extension of $\rho$ ).

Lemma 2.10. ([21]) Let $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be a local contact algebra. Then $\left(B, C_{\rho}\right)$, where $C_{\rho}$ is the Alexandroff extension of $\rho$, is a normal contact algebra.

Definition 2.11. Let $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be a local contact algebra. We will say that $\sigma$ is a cluster in $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ if $\sigma$ is a cluster in the NCA $\left(B, C_{\rho}\right)$. A cluster $\sigma$ in $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ is called bounded if $\sigma \cap \mathbb{B} \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.12. [21] Let $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be a local contact algebra and let $1 \notin \mathbb{B}$. Then $\sigma_{\infty}^{(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})}=\{b \in B \mid b \notin \mathbb{B}\}$ is a cluster in $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$. (Sometimes we will simply write $\sigma_{\infty}$ instead of $\sigma_{\infty}^{(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})}$.)

Notation 2.13. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space. If $x \in X$ then we set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}=\{F \in R C(X) \mid x \in F\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in X, \sigma_{x}$ is a bounded cluster in the standard local contact algebra $\left(R C(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)}, C R(X, \tau)\right)$.

The next theorem was proved by Roeper [19] (but its particular case concerning compact Hausdorff spaces and NC-algebras was proved by de Vries [2]).

Theorem 2.14. (P. Roeper [19] for locally compact spaces and de Vries [2] for compact spaces) There exists a bijective correspondence $\Psi^{t}$ between the class of all (up to homeomorphism) locally compact Hausdorff spaces and the class of all (up to isomorphism) CLC-algebras; its restriction to the class of all (up to homeomorphism) compact Hausdorff spaces gives a bijective correspondence between the later class and the class of all (up to isomorphism) CNC-algebras.

We will now recall (following [21]) the definition of the correspondence $\Psi^{t}$ (mentioned in the above theorem) and some other facts and notation which will be used later on.

Let $(X, \tau)$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{t}(X, \tau)=\left(R C(X, \tau), \rho_{(X, \tau)}, C R(X, \tau)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\underline{B}_{l}=(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be a complete local contact algebra. Let $C=C_{\rho}$ be the Alexandroff extension of $\rho$. Then $(B, C)$ is a complete normal contact algebra. Put $X=\operatorname{Clust}(B, C)$ and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the topology on $X$ having as a closed base the family $\left\{\lambda_{(B, C)}(a) \mid a \in B\right\}$ where, for every $a \in B, \lambda_{(B, C)}(a)=\{\sigma \in X \mid a \in \sigma\}$. Sometimes we will write simply $\lambda_{B}$ instead of $\lambda_{(B, C)}$. Note that $X \backslash \lambda_{B}(a)=\operatorname{int}\left(\lambda_{B}\left(a^{*}\right)\right)$, the family $\left\{\operatorname{int}\left(\lambda_{B}(a)\right) \mid a \in B\right\}$ is an open base of $(X, \mathcal{T})$ and, for every $a \in B, \lambda_{B}(a) \in$ $R C(X, \mathcal{T})$. It can be proved that $\lambda_{B}:(B, C) \longrightarrow\left(R C(X), \rho_{X}\right)$ is a CA-isomorphism. Further, $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is a compact Hausdorff space.

Let $1 \in \mathbb{B}$. Then $C=\rho$ and $\mathbb{B}=B$, so that $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})=(B, C, B)=(B, C)$ is a complete normal contact algebra, and we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{a}(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})=\Psi^{a}(B, C, B)=\Psi^{a}(B, C)=(X, \mathcal{T}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $1 \notin \mathbb{B}$. Then the set $\sigma_{\infty}=\{b \in B \mid b \notin \mathbb{B}\}$ is a cluster in $(B, C)$ and, hence, $\sigma_{\infty} \in X$. Let $L=X \backslash\left\{\sigma_{\infty}\right\}$. Then $L=\operatorname{BClust}(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$, i.e. $L$ is the set of all bounded clusters of $\left(B, C_{\rho}\right)$ (sometimes we will write $L_{\underline{B}_{l}}$ or $L_{B}$ instead of $L$ ); let the topology $\tau\left(=\tau_{\underline{B}_{l}}\right)$ on $L$ be the subspace topology, i.e. $\tau=\left.\mathcal{T}\right|_{L}$. Then $(L, \tau)$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{a}(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})=(L, \tau) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{\underline{B}_{l}}^{l}(a)=\lambda_{\left(B, C_{\rho}\right)}(a) \cap L$, for each $a \in B$. We will write simply $\lambda_{B}^{l}$ (or even $\lambda_{(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})}$ when $\left.\mathbb{B} \neq A\right)$ instead of $\lambda_{\underline{B}_{l}}^{l}$ when this does not lead to ambiguity. One can show that:
(I) $L$ is a dense subset of $X$;
(II) $\lambda_{B}^{l}$ is a Boolean isomorphism of the Boolean algebra $B$ onto the Boolean algebra $R C(L, \tau)$;
(III) $b \in \mathbb{B}$ iff $\lambda_{B}^{l}(b) \in C R(L)$;
(IV) $a \rho b$ iff $\lambda_{B}^{l}(a) \cap \lambda_{B}^{l}(b) \neq \emptyset$.

Hence, $X=\alpha L$ and $\lambda_{B}^{l}:(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow\left(R C(L), \rho_{L}, C R(L)\right)$ is an LCA-isomorphism.
Note also that for every $b \in B, \operatorname{int}_{L_{B}}\left(\lambda_{B}^{l}(b)\right)=L_{B} \cap \operatorname{int}_{X}\left(\lambda_{B}(b)\right)$.
For every CLCA $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ and every $a \in B$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\underline{B}_{l}}^{g}(a)=\lambda_{\left(B, C_{\rho}\right)}(a) \cap \Psi^{a}(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will write simply $\lambda_{B}^{g}$ instead of $\lambda_{\underline{B}_{l}}^{g}$ when this does not lead to ambiguity. Thus, when $1 \in \mathbb{B}$, we have that $\lambda_{B}^{g}=\lambda_{B}$, and if $1 \notin \mathbb{B}$ then $\lambda_{B}^{g}=\lambda_{B}^{l}$. Hence we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{B}^{g}:(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow\left(\Psi^{t} \circ \Psi^{a}\right)(B, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \text { is an LCA-isomorphism. } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have that:
the family $\left\{\operatorname{int}_{\Psi^{a}(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})}\left(\lambda_{B}^{g}(a)\right) \mid a \in \mathbb{B}\right\}$ is an open base of $\Psi^{a}(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$.
Let $(L, \tau)$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $B=R C(L, \tau), \mathbb{B}=C R(L, \tau)$ and $\rho=\rho_{L}$. Then $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})=\Psi^{t}(L, \tau)$. It can be shown that the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{(L, \tau)}:(L, \tau) \longrightarrow \Psi^{a}\left(\Psi^{t}(L, \tau)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by $t_{(L, \tau)}(x)=\{F \in R C(L, \tau) \mid x \in F\}\left(=\sigma_{x}\right)$, for all $x \in L$, is a homeomorphism.

Therefore $\Psi^{a}\left(\Psi^{t}(L, \tau)\right)$ is homeomorphic to $(L, \tau)$ and $\Psi^{t}\left(\Psi^{a}(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})\right)$ is LCAisomorphic to $(B, \rho, \mathbb{B})$.

Note that if $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ is an LCA, $X=\Psi^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ and $\left(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{B}^{g}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ then for every $a \in R C(X), a=\bigvee\left\{b \in \mathbb{B}^{\prime} \mid b<{\rho_{X}} a\right\}$ holds. Hence, for every $a \in A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\bigvee\left\{b \in \mathbb{B} \mid b<_{\rho} a\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.15. ([3]) Let $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be an LCA. An ideal $I$ of $A$ is called a $\delta$-ideal if $I \subseteq \mathbb{B}$ and for any $a \in I$ there exists $b \in I$ such that $a<_{\rho} b$. If $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are two $\delta$-ideals of $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ then we put $I_{1} \leq I_{2}$ iff $I_{1} \subseteq I_{2}$. We will denote by $(I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}), \leq)$ the poset of all $\delta$-ideals of $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$.

Fact 2.16. ([3]) Let $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be an LCA. Then, for every $a \in A$, the set $I_{a}=\{b \in$ $\left.\mathbb{B} \mid b<_{\rho} a\right\}$ is a $\delta$-ideal. Such $\delta$-ideals will be called principal $\delta$-ideals.

Recall that a frame is a complete lattice $L$ satisfying the infinite distributive law $a \wedge \bigvee S=\bigvee\{a \wedge s \mid s \in S\}$, for every $a \in L$ and every $S \subseteq L$.

Fact 2.17. ([3]) Let $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be an $L C A$. Then the poset $(I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}), \leq)$ of all $\delta$-ideals of $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ is a frame. The finite meets and arbitrary joins in $I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ coincide with the corresponding operations in the frame $\operatorname{Idl}(A)$ of all ideals of $A$.

We will often use the following elementary fact: the join $\bigvee\left\{I_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}$ of a family of ideals of a distributive lattice $A$ in the frame $\operatorname{Idl}(A)$ of all ideals of $A$ is the set $I=\left\{\bigvee\left\{x_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{1}\right\} \mid \Gamma_{1} \subseteq \Gamma, \Gamma_{1}\right.$ is finite, $x_{\gamma} \in I_{\gamma}$ for every $\left.\gamma \in \Gamma_{1}\right\}$ of elements of $A$ (see, e.g., [6]).

Proposition 2.18. ([3]) Let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in \Psi^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$, where $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ is a $C L C A$, and $\sigma_{1} \cap \mathbb{B}=\sigma_{2} \cap \mathbb{B}$. Then $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}$.

Recall that if $A$ is a distributive lattice then an element $p \in A \backslash\{1\}$ is called a prime element of $A$ if for each $a, b \in A, a \wedge b=p$ implies that $a=p$ or $b=p$. The prime elements of the frame $I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$, where $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ is an LCA, will be called prime $\delta$-ideals of $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$.

Proposition 2.19. ([3]) Let $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be a $C L C A$. If $\sigma \in \Psi^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ then $\mathbb{B} \backslash \sigma=J_{\sigma}$ is a prime $\delta$-ideal of $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$. If $J$ is a prime $\delta$-ideal of $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ then there exists a unique $\sigma \in \Psi^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ such that $\sigma \cap \mathbb{B}=\mathbb{B} \backslash J$.

Theorem 2.20. ([3]) Let $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be a CLCA, $X=\Psi^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ and $\mathcal{O}(X)$ be the frame of all open subsets of $X$. Then there exists a frame isomorphism

$$
\iota:(I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}), \leq) \longrightarrow(\mathcal{O}(X), \subseteq), \quad I \mapsto \bigcup\left\{\lambda_{A}^{g}(a) \mid a \in I\right\}
$$

where $(I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}), \leq)$ is the frame of all $\delta$-ideals of $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$.

## 3 A new duality theorem

Notation 3.1. We denote by HLC the category of all locally compact Hausdorff spaces and all continuous mappings between them.

Definition 3.2. Let MDHLC be the category whose objects are all CLCAs and whose morphisms $\varphi:(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow\left(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)$ are all multi-valued maps which satisfy the following conditions:
(M1) For every $a \in A, \varphi(a) \in I\left(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)$;
(M2) $\varphi(a \wedge b)=\varphi(a) \wedge \varphi(b)$, for every $a, b \in A$;
(M3) $\varphi(a)=\bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\}$, for every $a \in A$;
(M4) $\varphi(0)=\{0\}$;
(M5) If $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{B}, a_{i} \ll b_{i}$, where $i=1,2$, then $\varphi\left(a_{1} \vee a_{2}\right) \subseteq \varphi\left(b_{1}\right) \vee \varphi\left(b_{2}\right)$;
(M6) For every $b \in \mathbb{B}^{\prime}$, there exists an $a \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $b \in \varphi(a)$.
The composition $\diamond$ between two morphisms $\varphi:\left(A_{1}, \rho_{1}, \mathbb{B}_{1}\right) \longrightarrow\left(A_{2}, \rho_{2}, \mathbb{B}_{2}\right)$ and $\psi:\left(A_{2}, \rho_{2}, \mathbb{B}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(A_{3}, \rho_{3}, \mathbb{B}_{3}\right)$ is defined by $(\psi \diamond \varphi)(a)=\bigvee\{\psi(b) \mid b \in \varphi(a)\}$. The identity morphism $i_{A}:(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ is defined by $i_{A}(a)=I_{a}$ (see 2.16 for $I_{a}$ ).

Remark 3.3. Using Fact 2.17, it can be easily seen that in the axiom (M2) the expression " $\varphi(a) \wedge \varphi(b)$ " can be replaced by " $\varphi(a) \cap \varphi(b)$ ", and, in (M3), " V" can be replaced by " $\bigcup$ ". Note also that the expression " $\bigvee\{\psi(b) \mid b \in \varphi(a)\}$ " can be written down in the form " $\bigvee \psi(\varphi(a))$ ", and hence $(\psi \diamond \varphi)(a)=\bigvee \psi(\varphi(a))$, i.e. our definition of the composition between two morphisms in the category MDHLC is enough natural.

Proposition 3.4. MDHLC is a category.
Proof. We will first prove that for every $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}), i_{A}$ is an MDHLC-morphism. Indeed, it is obvious that (M1), (M2) and (M4) are satisfied. Since (BC1) implies that $i_{A}(a)=I_{a}=\bigvee\left\{I_{b} \mid b \in I_{a}\right\}$, we get that (M3) is fulfilled. We will now show that condition (M5) is fulfilled. Let $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{B}, a_{i} \ll b_{i}, i=1,2$. We have to show that $I_{a_{1} \vee a_{2}} \subseteq I_{b_{1}} \vee I_{b_{2}}$. Let $c \ll a_{1} \vee a_{2}$. Then $c=\left(c \wedge a_{1}\right) \vee\left(c \wedge a_{2}\right)$. Since $c \wedge a_{1} \leq a_{1} \ll b_{1}$ and $c \wedge a_{2} \leq a_{2} \ll b_{2}$, we get that $c \wedge a_{1} \in I_{b_{1}}$ and $c \wedge a_{2} \in I_{b_{2}}$. Hence $c=\left(c \wedge a_{1}\right) \vee\left(c \wedge a_{2}\right) \in I_{b_{1}} \vee I_{b_{2}}$. So, $I_{a_{1} \vee a_{2}} \subseteq I_{b_{1}} \vee I_{b_{2}}$. For verifying (M6), let $b \in \mathbb{B}$; then, by ( BC 1 ), there exists an $a \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $b \ll a$; hence $b \in I_{a}=i_{A}(a)$. So, $i_{A}$ is a MDHLC-morphism.

Let $\varphi_{1}:\left(A_{1}, \rho_{1}, \mathbb{B}_{1}\right) \longrightarrow\left(A_{2}, \rho_{2}, \mathbb{B}_{2}\right)$ and $\varphi_{2}:\left(A_{2}, \rho_{2}, \mathbb{B}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(A_{3}, \rho_{3}, \mathbb{B}_{3}\right)$ be MDHLC-morphisms. We will prove that $\varphi=\varphi_{2} \diamond \varphi_{1}$ is an MDHLC-morphism.

We have that $\varphi(a)=\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}(b) \mid b \in \varphi_{1}(a)\right\}$. The axiom (M1) is obviously fulfilled. Further, for every $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A_{1}$,

$$
\varphi\left(a_{1} \wedge a_{2}\right)=\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}(b) \mid b \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1} \wedge a_{2}\right)\right\}=\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}(b) \mid b \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) \cap \varphi_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \wedge \varphi\left(a_{2}\right) & =\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(b_{1}\right) \mid b_{1} \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)\right\} \wedge \bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(b_{2}\right) \mid b_{2} \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(b_{2}\right) \wedge \bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(b_{1}\right) \mid b_{1} \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)\right\} \mid b_{2} \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(b_{1}\right) \wedge \varphi_{2}\left(b_{2}\right) \mid b_{1} \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)\right\} \mid b_{2} \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(b_{1} \wedge b_{2}\right) \mid b_{1} \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1}\right), b_{2} \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If, for $i=1,2, b_{i} \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{i}\right)$ then $b_{1} \wedge b_{2}=b \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) \cap \varphi_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)$. So, $\varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \wedge$ $\varphi\left(a_{2}\right) \subseteq \varphi\left(a_{1} \wedge a_{2}\right)$. Conversely, from $b \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) \cap \varphi_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)$ and $b=b \wedge b$, we get that $\varphi\left(a_{1} \wedge a_{2}\right) \subseteq \varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \wedge \varphi\left(a_{2}\right)$. Hence, condition (M2) is satisfied.

We will prove that $\varphi(a)=\bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\}$ for every $a \in A$, i.e. $\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}(c) \mid c \in \varphi_{1}(a)\right\}=\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}(d) \mid d \in \varphi_{1}(b), b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\right\}$. Let $c \in \varphi_{1}(a)$. Then, by (M3) and Remark 3.3, there exists $b \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $b \ll a$ and $c \in \varphi_{1}(b)$. Conversely, let $d \in \varphi_{1}(b), b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a$. Then $d \in \varphi_{1}(a)$. Hence, the axiom (M3) is fulfilled.

Since $\varphi(0)=\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}(b) \mid b \in \varphi_{1}(0)\right\}=\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}(b) \mid b \in\{0\}\right\}=\varphi_{2}(0)=\{0\}$, we get that condition (M4) is satisfied.

Let $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{B}, a_{i} \ll b_{i}, i=1,2$. We will prove that $\varphi\left(a_{1} \vee a_{2}\right) \subseteq \varphi\left(b_{1}\right) \vee \varphi\left(b_{2}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}(c) \mid c \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1} \vee a_{2}\right)\right\} \subseteq \bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}(d) \mid d \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)\right\} \vee \bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}(e) \mid e \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Let $c \in \varphi_{1}\left(a_{1} \vee a_{2}\right)$. Then $c \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right) \vee \varphi_{1}\left(b_{2}\right)$, i.e. there exist $d_{1} \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)$ and $e_{1} \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{2}\right)$ such that $c=d_{1} \vee e_{1}$. There exists $d \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)$ such that $d_{1} \ll d$ and there exists $e \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{2}\right)$ such that $e_{1} \ll e$. Then $\varphi_{2}(c)=\varphi_{2}\left(d_{1} \vee e_{1}\right) \subseteq \varphi_{2}(d) \vee \varphi_{2}(e)$. So, the axiom (M5) is satisfied.

Let $c \in \mathbb{B}_{3}$. Then there exists $b \in \mathbb{B}_{2}$ such that $c \in \varphi_{2}(b)$. There exists $a \in \mathbb{B}_{1}$ such that $b \in \varphi_{1}(a)$. Hence $c \in \varphi(a)$. So, condition (M6) is also fulfilled.

Hence $\varphi_{2} \diamond \varphi_{1}$ is an MDHLC-morphism.
We will now show that the composition is associative. Let $\varphi:\left(A_{1}, \rho_{1}, \mathbb{B}_{1}\right) \longrightarrow$ $\left(A_{2}, \rho_{2}, \mathbb{B}_{2}\right), \psi:\left(A_{2}, \rho_{2}, \mathbb{B}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow\left(A_{3}, \rho_{3}, \mathbb{B}_{3}\right)$ and $\chi:\left(A_{3}, \rho_{3}, \mathbb{B}_{3}\right) \longrightarrow\left(A_{4}, \rho_{4}, \mathbb{B}_{4}\right)$ be MDHLC-morphisms. We have that, for every $a \in A_{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\varphi \diamond(\psi \diamond \chi))(a) & =\bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in(\psi \diamond \chi)(a)\} \\
& =\bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \bigvee\{\psi(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\}\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
((\varphi \diamond \psi) \diamond \chi)(a) & =\bigvee\{(\varphi \diamond \psi)(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\} \\
& =\bigvee\{\bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \psi(c)\} \mid c \in \chi(a)\} \\
& =\bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \bigcup\{\psi(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $b \in \bigvee\{\psi(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\}$. Then $b=\bigvee\left\{b_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$, where, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, b_{i} \in \psi\left(c_{i}\right)$ and $c_{i} \in \chi(a)$. Setting $c=\bigvee\left\{c_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\}$, we get that $c \in \chi(a)$ and, by (M1) and (M2), $\bigvee\left\{\psi\left(c_{i}\right) \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\} \subseteq \psi(c)$. Therefore $b \in \psi(c)$. We get that $\bigvee\{\psi(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\}=\bigcup\{\psi(c) \mid c \in \chi(a)\}$. Hence, the composition " $\diamond$ " is associative.

Finally, if $\varphi:(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow\left(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)$ is a MDHLC-morphism then, for every $a \in A,\left(\varphi \diamond i_{A}\right)(a)=\bigvee\left\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in I_{a}\right\}=\bigvee\{\varphi(b) \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\}=\varphi(a)$ (since
$\varphi$ satisfies condition (M3)), and $\left(i_{B} \diamond \varphi\right)(a)=\bigvee\left\{I_{b} \mid b \in \varphi(a)\right\}=\varphi(a)$. Hence, $\varphi \diamond i_{A}=\varphi$ and $i_{B} \diamond \varphi=\varphi$.

All this shows that MDHLC is a category.
Proposition 3.5. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be an HLC-morphism. Define a map $\varphi_{f}$ : $\Psi^{t}(Y) \longrightarrow \Psi^{t}(X)$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall G \in R C(Y), \quad \varphi_{f}(G)=\left\{F \in C R(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(G))\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\varphi_{f}$ is an MDHLC-morphism.
Proof. We have to prove that $\varphi_{f}$ satisfies the conditions (M1)-(M6) from Definition 3.2. We start by showing that for each $G \in R C(Y), \varphi_{f}(G)$ is a $\delta$-ideal. Obviously, $\varphi_{f}(G)$ is a lower set. If $F_{1}, F_{2} \in \varphi_{f}(G)$ then $F_{1} \vee F_{2}=F_{1} \cup F_{2} \in \varphi_{f}(G)$. So, $\varphi_{f}(G)$ is an ideal. If $F \in \varphi_{f}(G)$ then $F$ is compact and $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(G))$. Hence there exists an open $U \subseteq X$ such that $\operatorname{cl}(U)$ is compact and $F \subseteq U \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(G))$. Then $\operatorname{cl}(U) \in C R(X)$ and hence $\operatorname{cl}(U) \in \varphi_{f}(G)$. So, $\varphi_{f}(G)$ is a $\delta$-ideal. Thus, condition (M1) is fulfilled.

Let $G, H \in R C(Y)$. Then

$$
\varphi_{f}(G \wedge H)=\left\{F \in C R(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(G \wedge H))\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{f}(G) \cap \varphi_{f}(H) & =\left\{F \in C R(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(G)), F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(H))\right\} \\
& =\left\{F \in C R(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(G \cap H))\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{int}(G \cap H)$ is a regular open set, we get that $\operatorname{int}(G \wedge H)=\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(G \cap H)))=$ $\operatorname{int}(G \cap H)$. So, $\varphi_{f}(G \wedge H)=\varphi_{f}(G) \cap \varphi_{f}(H)$. Thus, the axiom (M2) is satisfied.

For verifying (M3), we have to prove that $\left\{F \in C R(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(G))\right\}=$ $\bigvee\left\{\left\{F^{\prime} \in C R(X) \mid F^{\prime} \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(H))\right\} \mid H \in C R(Y), H \subseteq \operatorname{int}(G)\right\}$. It is obvious that the right part is a subset of the left part. For proving the converse inclusion, let $F \in C R(X)$ and $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(G))$. Then $f(F) \subseteq \operatorname{int}(G)$ and $f(F)$ is compact. Let $\Omega=\{\operatorname{int}(H) \mid H \in C R(Y), H \subseteq \operatorname{int}(G)\}$. Then $\bigcup \Omega=\operatorname{int}(G)$. Hence $\Omega$ covers $f(F)$. Therefore there exist $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{int}\left(H_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{int}\left(H_{n}\right) \in \Omega$ and $f(F) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{int}\left(H_{i}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} H_{i} \subseteq \operatorname{int}(G)$. Set $H=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}$. Then $H \in C R(Y)$ and $H \subseteq \operatorname{int}(G)$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{int}\left(H_{i}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}\right)$, we get that $f(F) \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H)$, i.e. $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(H))$. Thus, condition (M3) is fulfilled.

We have that $0=\emptyset$, so $\varphi_{f}(\emptyset)=\left\{F \in C R(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}\{\emptyset\}\right\}=\{\emptyset\}=I_{\emptyset}$. Therefore, $\varphi_{f}$ satisfies condition (M4).

For verifying the axiom (M5), we have to prove that for every $G_{i}, H_{i} \in C R(Y)$ such that $G_{i} \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(H_{i}\right)$, where $i=1,2$, the following inclusion holds:

$$
\left\{F \in C R(X) \mid F \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right)\right)\right\} \subseteq
$$

$$
\left\{F^{\prime} \in C R(X) \mid F^{\prime} \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(H_{1}\right)\right)\right\} \vee\left\{F^{\prime \prime} \in C R(X) \mid F^{\prime \prime} \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(H_{2}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

Let $F \in C R(X)$ and $F \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right)\right)$. Then

$$
F \subseteq f^{-1}\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right)=f^{-1}\left(G_{1}\right) \cup f^{-1}\left(G_{2}\right) \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(H_{1}\right)\right) \cup f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(H_{2}\right)\right)
$$

Obviously, $\Omega_{i}=\left\{\operatorname{int}(K) \mid K \in C R(X), K \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(H_{i}\right)\right)\right\}$ covers $f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(H_{i}\right)\right)$, for $i=1,2$. Then $\Omega=\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$ is a cover of $f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(H_{1}\right)\right) \cup f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(H_{2}\right)\right)$ and hence $F \subseteq \bigcup \Omega$. Since $F$ is compact, there exist $\operatorname{int}\left(K_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{int}\left(K_{m}\right) \in \Omega_{1}$ and $\operatorname{int}\left(K_{1}^{\prime}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{int}\left(K_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{2}$ such that $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{int}\left(K_{i}\right) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{int}\left(K_{j}^{\prime}\right)$. Put $F_{1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} K_{i}$ and $F_{2}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} K_{j}^{\prime}$. Then $F_{i} \in C R(X)$ and $F_{i} \subseteq f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(H_{i}\right)\right)$, where $i=1,2$. Therefore $F \subseteq F_{1} \cup F_{2}$ and $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \in \varphi_{f}\left(H_{1}\right) \vee \varphi_{f}\left(H_{2}\right)$. Hence $F \in \varphi_{f}\left(H_{1}\right) \vee \varphi_{f}\left(H_{2}\right)$.

Finally, we will show that (M6) is fulfilled. Let $F \in C R(X)$. For every $y \in f(F)$ there exists a neighborhood $O_{y}$ of $y$ such that $\operatorname{cl}\left(O_{y}\right)$ is compact. Since $f(F)$ is compact, there exist $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in f(F)$ such that $f(F) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} O_{y_{i}}$. Let $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}\left(O_{y_{i}}\right)$. Then $G \in C R(Y)$ and $f(F) \subseteq \operatorname{int}(G)$. Hence $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(G))$, i.e. $F \in \varphi_{f}(G)$.

Proposition 3.6. For each $X \in|\mathbf{H L C}|$, set $\Delta^{t}(X)=\Psi^{t}(X)$ (see Theorem 2.14 for the notation $\Psi^{t}$ ), and for each $f \in \mathbf{H L C}(X, Y)$, put $\Delta^{t}(f)=\varphi_{f}$ (see Proposition 3.5 for the notation $\varphi_{f}$ ). Then $\Delta^{t}: \mathbf{H L C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M D H L C}$ is a contravariant functor.

Proof. Let $X \in|\mathbf{H L C}|$ and $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})=\Delta^{t}(X)$. We will show that $\Delta^{t}\left(i d_{X}\right)=i_{A}$. Indeed, let $\varphi=\Delta^{t}\left(i d_{X}\right)$. Then, by (11), $\varphi(G)=\{F \in C R(X) \mid F \subseteq \operatorname{int}(G)\}=\{a \in$ $\mathbb{B} \mid a \ll G\}=I_{G}=i_{A}(G)$, for every $G \in R C(X)(=A)$. Thus $\Delta^{t}\left(i d_{X}\right)=i_{A}$.

Let now $f_{1} \in \mathbf{H L C}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), f_{2} \in \mathbf{H L C}\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ and $f=f_{2} \circ f_{1}$. We will show that $\Delta^{t}(f)=\Delta^{t}\left(f_{1}\right) \diamond \Delta^{t}\left(f_{2}\right)$. Set, for short, $\varphi=\Delta^{t}(f), \varphi_{1}=\Delta^{t}\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi_{2}=\Delta^{t}\left(f_{2}\right)$. Then, for every $G_{3} \in R C\left(X_{3}\right)$, we have that $\varphi_{2}\left(G_{3}\right)=\left\{F_{2} \in C R\left(X_{2}\right) \mid f_{2}\left(F_{2}\right) \subseteq\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{int}\left(G_{3}\right)\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(G_{3}\right)=\left\{F_{1} \in C R\left(X_{1}\right) \mid F_{1} \subseteq f_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{2}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(G_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\varphi_{1} \diamond \varphi_{2}\right)\left(G_{3}\right)= & \bigvee\left\{\varphi_{1}\left(F_{2}\right) \mid F_{2} \in \varphi_{2}\left(G_{3}\right)\right\} \\
= & \bigvee\left\{\left\{F_{1} \in C R\left(X_{1}\right) \mid f_{1}\left(F_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(F_{2}\right)\right\} \mid\right. \\
& \left.F_{2} \in C R\left(X_{2}\right), f_{2}\left(F_{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(G_{3}\right)\right\} \\
= & \left\{\bigcup\left\{F_{1}^{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, k\right\} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}^{+},(\forall i=1, \ldots, k)\left[\left(F_{1}^{i} \in C R\left(X_{1}\right)\right) \wedge\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(\left(\exists F_{2}^{i} \in C R\left(X_{2}\right)\right)\left(f_{1}\left(F_{1}^{i}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(F_{2}^{i}\right) \subseteq F_{2}^{i} \subseteq f_{2}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(G_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right]\right\} \\
= & \left\{F_{1} \in C R\left(X_{1}\right) \mid\left(\exists F_{2} \in C R\left(X_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(f_{1}\left(F_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(F_{2}\right) \subseteq F_{2} \subseteq f_{2}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(G_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have to show that $\varphi\left(G_{3}\right)=\left(\varphi_{1} \diamond \varphi_{2}\right)\left(G_{3}\right)$, i.e. that the corresponding right sides $R$ and $R_{1,2}$ of (12) and the equation after it are equal. Let $F_{1} \in R$. Then $F_{1} \in C R\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $f_{1}\left(F_{1}\right) \subseteq f_{2}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(G_{3}\right)\right)$. Since $f_{1}\left(F_{1}\right)$ is a compact subset of $X_{2}$, there exists
$F_{2} \in C R\left(X_{2}\right)$ such that $f_{1}\left(F_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(F_{2}\right) \subseteq F_{2} \subseteq f_{2}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(G_{3}\right)\right)$. Thus, $F_{1} \in R_{1,2}$. Conversely, if $F_{1} \in R_{1,2}$ then $F_{1} \in C R\left(X_{1}\right)$ and there exists $F_{2} \in C R\left(X_{2}\right)$ such that $f_{1}\left(F_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(F_{2}\right) \subseteq F_{2} \subseteq f_{2}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(G_{3}\right)\right)$. Then $F_{1} \subseteq f_{1}^{-1}\left(F_{2}\right) \subseteq f_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{2}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(G_{3}\right)\right)\right)$. Therefore $F_{1} \in R$. So, we have proved that $\Delta^{t}(f)=\Delta^{t}\left(f_{1}\right) \diamond \Delta^{t}\left(f_{2}\right)$. All this shows that $\Delta^{t}$ is a contravariant functor.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\varphi:(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow\left(B, \rho^{\prime}, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)$ be an MDHLC-morphism. Define a map $f_{\varphi}: \Psi^{a}\left(B, \rho^{\prime}, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \Psi^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \sigma^{\prime} \in \Psi^{a}\left(B, \rho^{\prime}, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right), f_{\varphi}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathbb{B}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{B} \mid(\forall b \in A)\left(\left(a<_{\rho} b\right) \rightarrow\left(\varphi(b) \cap \sigma^{\prime} \neq \emptyset\right)\right)\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f_{\varphi}$ is defined correctly and $f_{\varphi}$ is an HLC-morphism.
Proof. Let $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Psi^{a}\left(B, \rho^{\prime}, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)$. Set $J=\mathbb{B} \backslash\left(f_{\varphi}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathbb{B}\right)$. We will first prove that $J$ is a prime $\delta$-ideal of $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$. Note that $J=\left\{a \in \mathbb{B} \mid \exists b \in \mathbb{B}\right.$ such that $a<_{\rho} b$ and $\left.\varphi(b) \cap \sigma^{\prime}=\emptyset\right\}$.

Obviously, $J$ is a lower set. By (M4), $0 \in J$ (because $0 \ll_{\rho} 0$ ). Let $a, b \in J$. Then there exist $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime} \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $a \ll_{\rho} a^{\prime}, b \ll_{\rho} b^{\prime}$ and $\varphi\left(a^{\prime}\right) \cap \sigma^{\prime}=\emptyset, \varphi\left(b^{\prime}\right) \cap \sigma^{\prime}=\emptyset$. There exist $a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $a<_{\rho} a^{\prime \prime}<_{\rho} a^{\prime}$ and $b<_{\rho} b^{\prime \prime}<_{\rho} b^{\prime}$. Hence, by (M5), $\varphi\left(a^{\prime \prime} \vee b^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq \varphi\left(a^{\prime}\right) \vee \varphi\left(b^{\prime}\right)$. Since, by Proposition 2.19, $\mathbb{B}^{\prime} \backslash \sigma^{\prime}$ is a $\delta$-ideal and $\varphi\left(a^{\prime}\right) \cup \varphi\left(b^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{B}^{\prime} \backslash \sigma^{\prime}$, we get that $\varphi\left(a^{\prime}\right) \vee \varphi\left(b^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{B}^{\prime} \backslash \sigma^{\prime}$. Thus $\varphi\left(a^{\prime \prime} \vee b^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap \sigma^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Since $a \vee b<_{\rho} a^{\prime \prime} \vee b^{\prime \prime}$, we obtain that $a \vee b \in J$. Hence $J$ is an ideal.

Let $a \in J$. Then there exists $b \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $a<_{\rho} b$ and $\varphi(b) \cap \sigma^{\prime}=\emptyset$. There exists $c \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $a<_{\rho} c<_{\rho} b$. Then, obviously, $c \in J$ and $a<_{\rho} c$. Hence $J$ is a $\delta$-ideal.

Let $I_{1}, I_{2} \in I(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ and $I_{1} \cap I_{2}=J$. Suppose that $J \neq I_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. Hence there exists $a_{i} \in I_{i} \backslash J$, for $i=1,2$. Then, for every $b \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $a_{1} \ll b$ or $a_{2} \ll b$, we have that $\varphi(b) \cap \sigma^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. There exists $b_{i} \in I_{i}$ such that $a_{i} \ll b_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. Then $b_{i} \notin J$, for $i=1,2$. Let $b=b_{1} \wedge b_{2}$. Then $b \in I_{1} \cap I_{2}=J$ and thus $\varphi(b) \cap \sigma^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Using (M2), we get that $\varphi\left(b_{1}\right) \cap \varphi\left(b_{2}\right) \cap \sigma^{\prime}=\emptyset$. There exists $d_{i} \in \varphi\left(b_{i}\right) \cap \sigma^{\prime}$, for $i=1,2$. Since $\varphi\left(b_{i}\right)$ is a $\delta$-ideal, there exists $l_{i} \in \varphi\left(b_{i}\right)$ such that $d_{i} \ll l_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. Then $l_{i} \in \sigma^{\prime}$ but $l_{i}^{*} \notin \sigma^{\prime}\left(\right.$ since $\left.d_{i}\left(-C_{\rho}\right) l_{i}^{*}\right)$, where $i=1,2$. Hence $l_{1}^{*} \vee l_{2}^{*} \notin \sigma^{\prime}$. Then $l_{1} \wedge l_{2} \in \sigma^{\prime}$. Moreover, $l_{1} \wedge l_{2} \in \varphi\left(b_{1}\right) \cap \varphi\left(b_{2}\right) \cap \sigma^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction.

So, $J$ is a prime $\delta$-ideal. Obviously, $\mathbb{B} \backslash J=f_{\varphi}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathbb{B}$. Now, by Proposition 2.19, there exists a unique bounded cluster $\sigma$ in $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ whose intersection with $\mathbb{B}$ is equal to $\mathbb{B} \backslash J$. Thus $f_{\varphi}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)=\sigma$. All this shows that $f_{\varphi}$ is defined correctly.

We will now prove that $f_{\varphi}$ is a continuous function. Let $F \in C R(X)$, where $X=\Psi^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$. Then there exists $a \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $F=\lambda_{A}^{g}(a)$. Set $U=\operatorname{int}(F)$. Then $U=\operatorname{int}\left(\lambda_{A}^{g}(a)\right)=X \backslash \lambda_{A}^{g}\left(a^{*}\right)$. We will show that $f_{\varphi}^{-1}(U)=\iota_{B}(\varphi(a))(=$ $\left.\bigcup\left\{\lambda_{B}^{g}(b) \mid b \in \varphi(a)\right\}\right)$. Indeed, let $\sigma^{\prime} \in f_{\varphi}^{-1}(U)$. Then $f_{\varphi}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)=\sigma \in U=X \backslash \lambda_{A}^{g}\left(a^{*}\right)$. Hence $a^{*} \notin \sigma$ and $a \in \sigma$. We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \cap \mathbb{B}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{B} \mid \forall d \in \mathbb{B} \text { such that } c \ll d, \varphi(d) \cap \sigma^{\prime} \neq \emptyset\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove that $\varphi(a) \cap \sigma^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, since $a^{*} \notin \sigma$, Proposition 2.4 implies that there exists $a_{1} \in A$ such that $a^{*}<_{C_{\rho}} a_{1}^{*}$ and $a_{1}^{*} \notin \sigma$. Then $a_{1}<_{C_{\rho}} a$ and $a_{1} \in \sigma$.

Since $a \in \mathbb{B}$, we get that $a_{1} \in \mathbb{B}$. Hence $a_{1}<_{\rho} a$ and $a_{1} \in \mathbb{B} \cap \sigma$. Then, by (14), $\varphi(a) \cap \sigma^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. So, $\sigma^{\prime} \in \iota_{B}(\varphi(a))$. Thus, $f_{\varphi}^{-1}(U) \subseteq \iota_{B}(\varphi(a))=V$. Note that, by Theorem 2.20, $V$ is an open subset of $\Psi^{a}\left(B, \rho^{\prime}, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)$.

Conversely, let $\sigma^{\prime} \in \iota_{B}(\varphi(a))$ and $\sigma=f_{\varphi}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\varphi(a) \cap \sigma^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. We will prove that $a^{*} \notin \sigma$. Suppose first that for every $e \ll a, \varphi(e) \cap \sigma^{\prime}=\emptyset$. We have, by $(\mathrm{M} 3)$, that $\varphi(a)=\bigvee\{\varphi(e) \mid e \ll a\}$. Also, by Proposition 2.19, $J_{\sigma^{\prime}}=\mathbb{B}^{\prime} \backslash \sigma^{\prime}$ is a $\delta$-ideal. Since $\bigcup_{e \ll a} \varphi(e) \subseteq J_{\sigma^{\prime}}$, we get that $\varphi(a) \subseteq J_{\sigma^{\prime}}$, i.e. $\varphi(a) \cap \sigma^{\prime}=\emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Hence, there exists an $e \ll a$ such that $\varphi(e) \cap \sigma^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then $e \in \mathbb{B}$ (since $a \in \mathbb{B}$ ) and by (14), $e \in \sigma \cap \mathbb{B}$. Since $e<_{\rho} a$, we have that $e(-\rho) a^{*}$. Using the fact that $e \in \mathbb{B}$, we get that $e\left(-C_{\rho}\right) a^{*}$. Hence $a^{*} \notin \sigma$. So, $\sigma \in \operatorname{int}\left(\lambda_{A}^{g}(a)\right)=U$. Thus $\sigma^{\prime} \in f_{\varphi}^{-1}(U)$. So, we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\varphi}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\lambda_{A}^{g}(a)\right)\right)=\iota_{B}(\varphi(a)), \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{B} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (8), we obtain that $f_{\varphi}$ is a continuous function.
Proposition 3.8. For each $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \in|\operatorname{MDHLC}|$, set $\Delta^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})=\Psi^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ (see the text immediately after Theorem 2.14 for the notation $\Psi^{a}$ ), and for each MDHLC-morphism $\varphi:(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow\left(B, \rho^{\prime}, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)$, put $\Delta^{a}(\varphi)=f_{\varphi}$ (see Proposition 3.7 for the notation $f_{\varphi}$ ). Then $\Delta^{a}: \mathbf{M D H L C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H L C}$ is a contravariant functor.

Proof. Let $(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ be a CLCA, $X=\Delta^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})$ and $f=\Delta^{a}\left(i_{A}\right)$. We will show that $f=i d_{X}$. Indeed, by (13), we have that for every $\sigma \in X, f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B}=\{a \in$ $\left.\mathbb{B} \mid(\forall b \in A)\left[\left(a<_{\rho} b\right) \rightarrow\left(I_{b} \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset\right)\right]\right\}$. By Proposition 2.18, it is enough to prove that $f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B}=\sigma \cap \mathbb{B}$. Let $a \in f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B}$. Suppose that $a \notin \sigma$. Then there exists $b \in \sigma$ such that $a\left(-C_{\rho}\right) b$. Thus $a<_{\rho} b^{*}$ and we get that $I_{b^{*}} \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$. Let $c \in I_{b^{*}} \cap \sigma$. Then $c \in \mathbb{B}$ and $c \ll_{\rho} b^{*}$. This implies that $c\left(-C_{\rho}\right) b$. Since $b, c \in \sigma$, we get a contradiction. So, $f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B} \subseteq \sigma \cap \mathbb{B}$. Conversely, let $a \in \sigma \cap \mathbb{B}$. Let $b \in A$ and $a<_{\rho} b$. Then $a \in I_{b} \cap \sigma$, i.e. $I_{b} \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$. Thus $a \in f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B}$. Hence $f(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{B}=\sigma \cap \mathbb{B}$. So, we have proved that $\Delta^{a}\left(i_{A}\right)=i d_{X}$.

Let now $\varphi_{i} \in \operatorname{MDHLC}\left(\left(A_{i}, \rho_{i}, \mathbb{B}_{i}\right),\left(A_{i+1}, \rho_{i+1}, \mathbb{B}_{i+1}\right)\right)$, where $i=1,2$, and $\varphi=$ $\varphi_{2} \diamond \varphi_{1}$. Set $f_{i}=\Delta^{a}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$, for $i=1,2$, and let $f=\Delta^{a}(\varphi)$. We will show that $f=f_{1} \circ f_{2}$. For $i=1,2,3$, set $X_{i}=\Delta^{a}\left(A_{i}, \rho_{i}, \mathbb{B}_{i}\right)$ and $<_{i}=\ll_{\rho_{i}}$. Let $\sigma_{3} \in X_{3}$ and set $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=f\left(\sigma_{3}\right)$. We have that $\sigma_{1}^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{B}_{1}=\left\{a_{1} \in \mathbb{B}_{1} \mid\left(\forall b_{1} \in A_{1}\right)\left[\left(a_{1} \ll b_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\sigma_{3} \cap \bigvee\left\{\varphi_{2}\left(b_{2}\right) \mid b_{2} \in\right.\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\left.\varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)\right\} \neq \emptyset\right)\right]\right\}=\left\{a_{1} \in \mathbb{B}_{1} \mid\left(\forall b_{1} \in A_{1}\right)\left[\left(a_{1} \ll b_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\exists k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right.\right.\right.$and $\exists c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} \in$ $\varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)$ and $\exists d_{i} \in \varphi_{2}\left(c_{i}\right)$, where $i=1, \ldots, k$, such that $\left.\left.\left.\bigvee\left\{d_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, k\right\} \in \sigma_{3}\right)\right]\right\}=$ $\left\{a_{1} \in \mathbb{B}_{1} \mid\left(\forall b_{1} \in A_{1}\right)\left[\left(a_{1}<_{1} b_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\exists c \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)\right.\right.\right.$ such that $\left.\left.\left.\varphi_{2}(c) \cap \sigma_{3} \neq \emptyset\right)\right]\right\}=R$. Further, set $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=f_{2}\left(\sigma_{3}\right)$. Then we have that $\sigma_{2}^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{B}_{2}=\left\{a_{2} \in \mathbb{B}_{2} \mid\left(\forall b_{2} \in A_{2}\right)\left[\left(a_{2} \lll 2\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.b_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\exists c_{2} \in \varphi_{2}\left(b_{2}\right) \cap \sigma_{3}\right)\right]\right\}$. Now, $f_{1}\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathbb{B}_{1}=\left\{a_{1} \in \mathbb{B}_{1} \mid\left(\forall b_{1} \in A_{1}\right)\left[\left(a_{1}<_{1}\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.b_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\exists c_{2} \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right) \cap \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\}=\left\{a_{1} \in \mathbb{B}_{1} \mid\left(\forall b_{1} \in A_{1}\right)\left[\left(a_{1} \ll b_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\exists c_{2} \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)\right.\right.\right.$ such that $\left.\left.\left.\left(\forall d_{2} \in A_{2}\right)\left(\left(c_{2} \ll d_{2} d_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\varphi_{2}\left(d_{2}\right) \cap \sigma_{3} \neq \emptyset\right)\right)\right)\right]\right\}=R_{1,2}$. By Proposition 2.18, it is enough to show that $R=R_{1,2}$. Let $a_{1} \in R, b_{1} \in A_{1}$ and $a_{1}<_{1} b_{1}$. Then there exists $c_{2} \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{2}\left(c_{2}\right) \cap \sigma_{3} \neq \emptyset$. Let $d_{2} \in A_{2}$ and $c_{2} \ll_{2} d_{2}$. Then $\varphi_{2}\left(d_{2}\right) \cap \sigma_{3} \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, this follows from the facts that $\varphi_{2}\left(c_{2}\right) \subseteq \varphi_{2}\left(d_{2}\right)$ and $\varphi_{2}\left(c_{2}\right) \cap \sigma_{3} \neq \emptyset$. So, $a_{1} \in R_{1,2}$. Conversely, let $a_{1} \in R_{1,2}, b_{1} \in A_{1}$ and $a_{1}<_{1} b_{1}$. Then
there exists $c_{2} \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)$ such that $\left(\forall d_{2} \in A_{2}\right)\left[\left(c_{2} \ll_{2} d_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\varphi_{2}\left(d_{2}\right) \cap \sigma_{3} \neq \emptyset\right)\right]$. Since $\varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)$ is a $\delta$-ideal, there exists $c_{2}^{\prime} \in \varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)$ such that $c_{2} \ll_{2} c_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $\varphi_{2}\left(c_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cap \sigma_{3} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $a_{1} \in R$. So, we have proved that $f=f_{1} \circ f_{2}$. All this shows that $\Delta^{a}$ is a contravariant functor.

Proposition 3.9. If $\varphi:(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow\left(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)$ is an LCA-isomorphism then the multi-valued map $\widetilde{\varphi}:(A, \rho, \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow\left(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\widetilde{\varphi}(a)=I_{\varphi(a)}$, is a MDHLCisomorphism.
Proof. It is obvious that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ satisfies conditions (M1) and (M4). Further, we have that $\widetilde{\varphi}(a \wedge b)=I_{\varphi(a \wedge b)}=I_{\varphi(a) \wedge \varphi(b)}=I_{\varphi(a)} \cap I_{\varphi(b)}=\widetilde{\varphi}(a) \wedge \widetilde{\varphi}(b)$. So, condition (M2) is fulfilled.

We will prove that for every $a \in A, \widetilde{\varphi}(a)=\bigvee\{\widetilde{\varphi}(b) \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\}$, i.e.

$$
I_{\varphi(a)}=\bigvee\left\{I_{\varphi(b)} \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\right\}
$$

Indeed, let $b \in \mathbb{B}$ and $b \ll a$. Then $\varphi(b) \ll \varphi(a)$. Hence $I_{\varphi(b)} \subseteq I_{\varphi(a)}$. Therefore $\bigvee\left\{I_{\varphi(b)} \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\right\} \subseteq I_{\varphi(a)}$. Conversely, let $c^{\prime} \in I_{\varphi(a)}$. Then $c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{B}^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime} \ll \varphi(a)$. There exists $c^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{B}^{\prime}$ such that $c^{\prime} \ll c^{\prime \prime} \ll \varphi(a)$. There exists $c \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $c^{\prime \prime}=\varphi(c)$. Then $\varphi(c) \ll \varphi(a)$; hence $c \ll a$ and $\varphi(c)=c^{\prime \prime} \gg c^{\prime}$. Therefore $c^{\prime} \in I_{\varphi(c)}$, where $c \in \mathbb{B}$ and $c \ll a$. Thus, $I_{\varphi(a)} \subseteq \bigcup\left\{I_{\varphi(b)} \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\right\} \subseteq \bigvee\left\{I_{\varphi(b)} \mid b \in \mathbb{B}, b \ll a\right\}$. So, condition (M3) is also fulfilled.

We will now verify (M5). Let $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{B}$ and $a_{i} \ll b_{i}$, where $i=1,2$. We will prove that $\widetilde{\varphi}\left(a_{1} \vee a_{2}\right) \subseteq \widetilde{\varphi}\left(b_{1}\right) \vee \widetilde{\varphi}\left(b_{2}\right)$, i.e. $I_{\varphi\left(a_{1} \vee a_{2}\right)} \subseteq I_{\varphi\left(b_{1}\right)} \vee I_{\varphi\left(b_{2}\right)}$. Indeed, let $c \in \mathbb{B}$ and $c \ll \varphi\left(a_{1} \vee a_{2}\right)$. Then $c \ll \varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \vee \varphi\left(a_{2}\right)$. We have that $c \wedge \varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \leq \varphi\left(a_{1}\right) \ll \varphi\left(b_{1}\right)$, $c \wedge \varphi\left(a_{2}\right) \leq \varphi\left(a_{2}\right) \ll \varphi\left(b_{2}\right)$ and $c=\left(c \wedge \varphi\left(a_{1}\right)\right) \vee\left(c \wedge \varphi\left(a_{2}\right)\right)$. Set $d_{i}=c \wedge \varphi\left(a_{i}\right)$, for $i=1,2$. Then $d_{i} \ll \varphi\left(b_{i}\right)$, i.e. $d_{i} \in I_{\varphi\left(b_{i}\right)}$, for $i=1,2$, and $c=d_{1} \vee d_{2}$. Hence $c \in I_{\varphi\left(b_{1}\right)} \vee I_{\varphi\left(b_{2}\right)}$. So, $I_{\varphi\left(a_{1} \vee a_{2}\right)} \subseteq I_{\varphi\left(b_{1}\right)} \vee I_{\varphi\left(b_{2}\right)}$, i.e. $\widetilde{\varphi}\left(a_{1} \vee a_{2}\right) \subseteq \widetilde{\varphi}\left(b_{1}\right) \vee \widetilde{\varphi}\left(b_{2}\right)$.

We will show that condition (M6) is satisfied, i.e. that $\bigcup\{\widetilde{\varphi}(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{B}\}=\mathbb{B}^{\prime}$ holds. Indeed, let $b^{\prime} \in \mathbb{B}^{\prime}$. Then there exists $b^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{B}^{\prime}$ such that $b^{\prime} \ll b^{\prime \prime}$. There exists an $a \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $b^{\prime \prime}=\varphi(a)$. Then $b^{\prime} \in I_{\varphi(a)}=\widetilde{\varphi}(a)$.

Hence, $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is an MDHLC-morphism. Analogously, we obtain that $\widetilde{\varphi^{-1}}$ is an MDHLC-morphism.

We will prove that $\widetilde{\varphi} \diamond \widetilde{\varphi^{-1}}=i_{B}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi^{-1}} \diamond \widetilde{\varphi}=i_{A}$. Indeed, $\left(\widetilde{\varphi^{-1}} \diamond \widetilde{\varphi}\right)(a)=$ $\bigvee\left\{\widetilde{\varphi^{-1}}(b) \mid b \in \widetilde{\varphi}(a)\right\}=\bigvee\left\{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\right\}$ and $i_{A}(a)=I_{a}$ for every $a \in A$. So, we have to prove that $I_{a}=\bigvee\left\{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\right\}$. Indeed, let $c \in I_{a}$. Then $c \in \mathbb{B}$ and $c \ll a$. Hence there exists $d \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $c \ll d \ll a$. Set $b=\varphi(d)$. Then $b \ll \varphi(a)$, i.e. $b \in I_{\varphi(a)}$. Also, $c \ll d=\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(d))=\varphi^{-1}(b)$, i.e. $c \in I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)}$. Hence $I_{a} \subseteq \bigcup\left\{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\right\}$. Conversely, let $c \in I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)}$, where $b \in I_{\varphi(a)}$. Then $c \ll \varphi^{-1}(b)$ and $b \ll \varphi(a)$. Since $\varphi^{-1}(b) \ll \varphi^{-1} \varphi(a)=a$, we get that $c \ll a$, i.e. $c \in I_{a}$. So, $\bigcup\left\{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\right\} \subseteq I_{a}$. Hence $I_{a}=\bigcup\left\{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\right\}$. Then $I_{a}=\bigvee\left\{I_{\varphi^{-1}(b)} \mid b \in I_{\varphi(a)}\right\}$. So, $\widetilde{\varphi^{-1}} \diamond \widetilde{\varphi}=i_{A}$. Analogously, we get that $\widetilde{\varphi} \diamond \widetilde{\varphi^{-1}}=i_{B}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a MDHLC-isomorphism.

Proposition 3.10. The identity functor $I d_{\text {MDHLC }}$ and the functor $\Delta^{t} \circ \Delta^{a}$ are naturally isomorphic.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{MDHLC}\left((A, \rho, \mathbb{B}),\left(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. We have to show that $\widetilde{\lambda_{B}^{g}} \diamond \varphi=$ $\Delta^{t}\left(\Delta^{a}(\varphi)\right) \diamond \widetilde{\lambda_{A}^{g}}$, where $\widetilde{\lambda_{A}^{g}}(a)=I_{\lambda_{A}^{g}(a)}$ (see 3.9). (Note that, by (7), $\lambda_{A}^{g}$ and $\lambda_{B}^{g}$ are LCA-isomorphisms and, hence, by Proposition 3.9, $\widetilde{\lambda_{A}^{g}}$ and $\widetilde{\lambda_{B}^{g}}$ are MDHLCisomorphisms.)

Set $\Delta^{a}(A, \rho, \mathbb{B})=X, \Delta^{a}\left(B, \eta, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right)=Y$ and $\varphi^{\prime}=\Delta^{t}\left(\Delta^{a}(\varphi)\right)\left(=\Delta^{t}\left(f_{\varphi}\right)\right)$ Hence $\varphi^{\prime}:\left(R C(X), \rho_{X}, C R(X)\right) \longrightarrow\left(R C(Y), \rho_{Y}, C R(Y)\right)$. Then, for each $F \in R C(X)$, $\varphi^{\prime}(F)=\left\{G \in C R(Y) \mid G \subseteq f_{\varphi}^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(F))\right\}$. Hence, for every $a \in A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\varphi^{\prime} \diamond \widetilde{\lambda_{A}^{g}}\right)(a) & =\bigvee\left\{\varphi^{\prime}(b) \mid b \in \widetilde{\lambda_{A}^{g}}(a)\right\}=\bigvee\left\{\varphi^{\prime}(b) \mid b \in I_{\lambda_{A}^{g}(a)}\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{\varphi^{\prime}(G) \mid G \in C R(X), G \ll \lambda_{A}^{g}(a)\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{\varphi^{\prime}(G) \mid G \in C R(X), G \subseteq \operatorname{int}\left(\lambda_{A}^{g}(a)\right)\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{\left\{H \in C R(Y) \mid H \subseteq f_{\varphi}^{-1}(\operatorname{int} G)\right\} \mid G \in C R(X), G \subseteq \operatorname{int} \lambda_{A}^{g}(a)\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{\left\{\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \mid b^{\prime} \in \mathbb{B}^{\prime}, \lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \subseteq f_{\varphi}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\lambda_{A}^{g}(c)\right)\right)\right\} \mid c \in \mathbb{B}, c<_{\rho} a\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, by (15), $f_{\varphi}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\lambda_{A}^{g}(a)\right)\right)=\iota_{B}(\varphi(a))$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\varphi^{\prime} \diamond \widetilde{\lambda_{A}^{g}}\right)(a) & =\bigvee\left\{\left\{\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \mid b^{\prime} \in \mathbb{B}^{\prime}, \lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \iota_{B}(\varphi(c))\right\} \mid c \in \mathbb{B}, c<_{\rho} a\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{\left\{\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \mid b^{\prime} \in \varphi(c)\right\} \mid c \in \mathbb{B}, c \ll a\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality follows from the fact that for every $b^{\prime} \in \mathbb{B}^{\prime}, \lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ is compact and hence there exist $b_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{n}^{\prime} \in \varphi(c)$ such that $\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \leq \bigvee\left\{\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right) \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$; conversely, for every $b^{\prime} \in \varphi(c), \lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \iota_{B}(\varphi(c))$.

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widetilde{\lambda_{B}^{g}} \diamond \varphi\right)(a) & =\bigvee\left\{\widetilde{\lambda_{B}^{g}}(b) \mid b \in \varphi(a)\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{I_{\lambda_{B}^{g}(b)} \mid b \in \varphi(a)\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{\left\{\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \mid b^{\prime} \in \mathbb{B}, b^{\prime} \ll b\right\} \mid b \in \varphi(a)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\varphi^{\prime} \diamond \widetilde{\lambda_{A}^{g}}\right)(a) & =\left\{\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b_{1}^{\prime} \vee \ldots \vee b_{k}^{\prime}\right) \mid b_{i}^{\prime} \in \varphi\left(c_{i}\right), c_{i} \in \mathbb{B}, c_{i} \ll a, k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}, i=1, \ldots, k\right\} \\
& =\left\{\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \mid b^{\prime} \in \varphi(c), c \in \mathbb{B}, c \ll a\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widetilde{\lambda_{B}^{g}} \diamond \varphi\right)(a) & =\left\{\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b_{1}^{\prime} \vee \cdots \vee b_{k}^{\prime}\right) \mid b_{i}^{\prime} \ll b_{i}, b_{i} \in \varphi(a), k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}, i=1, \ldots, k\right\} \\
& =\left\{\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \mid b^{\prime} \in \varphi(a)\right\}=\lambda_{B}^{g}(\varphi(a)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $b^{\prime}$ be such that $\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \in\left(\varphi^{\prime} \diamond \widetilde{\lambda_{A}^{g}}\right)(a)$, i.e. $b^{\prime} \in \varphi(c)$, where $c \in \mathbb{B}, c \ll a$. Since $\varphi(c) \subseteq \varphi(a)$, we get that $\left.\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \in \widetilde{\lambda_{B}^{g}} \diamond \varphi\right)(a)$.

Conversely, let $b^{\prime}$ be such that $\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \in\left(\widetilde{\lambda_{B}^{g}} \diamond \varphi\right)(a)$, i.e. $b^{\prime} \in \varphi(a)$. By (M3), $\varphi(a)=\bigvee\{\varphi(c) \mid c \in \mathbb{B}, c \ll a\}=\left\{d_{1} \vee \cdots \vee d_{k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}, d_{i} \in \varphi\left(c_{i}\right), c_{i} \ll a, c_{i} \in \mathbb{B}\right\}$. Hence $b^{\prime}=d_{1} \vee \cdots \vee d_{k}, d_{i} \in \varphi\left(c_{i}\right), c_{i} \in \mathbb{B}, c_{i} \ll a$, for every $i=1, \ldots, k$. Set $c=\bigvee\left\{c_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$. Then $c \ll a, c \in \mathbb{B}$ and $d_{i} \in \varphi(c)$ for every $i=1, \ldots, k$. Hence $b^{\prime} \in \varphi(c)$. Thus, $\lambda_{B}^{g}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \in\left(\varphi^{\prime} \diamond \widetilde{\lambda_{A}^{g}}\right)(a)$.

Hence, $\widetilde{\lambda_{B}^{g}} \diamond \varphi=\Delta^{t}\left(\Delta^{a}(\varphi)\right) \diamond \widetilde{\lambda_{A}^{g}}$.
Proposition 3.11. The identity functor $I d_{\mathbf{H L C}}$ and the functor $\Delta^{a} \circ \Delta^{t}$ are naturally isomorphic.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbf{H L C}(X, Y)$. We have to show that $t_{Y} \circ f=\Delta^{a}\left(\Delta^{t}(f)\right) \circ t_{X}$, where $t_{X}(x)=\sigma_{x}$ for every $x \in X$. (Recall that, by (9), $t_{X}$ and $t_{Y}$ are homeomorphisms.) Set $f^{\prime}=\Delta^{a}\left(\Delta^{t}(f)\right)\left(=\Delta^{a}\left(\varphi_{f}\right)\right)$. Then, for each $\sigma \in \Delta^{a}\left(\Delta^{t}(X)\right)$, we have that $f^{\prime}(\sigma)=\sigma^{\prime}$, where $f^{\prime}(\sigma) \cap C R(Y)=\{G \in C R(Y) \mid(\forall H \in R C(Y))((G \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H)) \rightarrow$ $\left.\left.\left(\varphi_{f}(H) \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset\right)\right)\right\}$.

Now, for every $x \in X,\left(f^{\prime} \circ t_{X}\right)(x)=f^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{x}\right)=\sigma^{\prime}$, where $\sigma^{\prime} \cap C R(Y)=\{G \in$ $C R(Y) \mid \quad(\forall H \in R C(Y))((G \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H)) \rightarrow(\exists F \in R C(X)$ such that $x \in F$ and $F \in$ $\left.\left.\left.\varphi_{f}(H)\right)\right)\right\}$. Hence $\sigma^{\prime} \cap C R(Y)=\{G \in C R(Y) \mid(\forall H \in R C(Y))((G \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H)) \rightarrow$ $\left(\exists F \in R C(X)\right.$ such that $\left.\left.\left.x \in F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(H))\right)\right)\right\}$.

Further, $\left(t_{Y} \circ f\right)(x)=\sigma_{f(x)}$, where $\sigma_{f(x)} \cap C R(Y)=\{G \in C R(Y) \mid f(x) \in G\}$.
Let $G \in \sigma_{f(x)} \cap C R(Y)$. Then $f(x) \in G$. We will prove that $G \in \sigma^{\prime}$. Let $H \in C R(Y)$ and $G \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H)$. We will prove that there exists an $F \in R C(X)$ such that $x \in F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(H))$. Indeed, $f(x) \in G \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H)$. Since $f$ is continuous, there exists an open $U \subseteq X$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H)$. Since $X$ is a locally compact $T_{2}$-space, there exists an $F \in C R(X)$ such that $x \in F \subseteq U$. Then $f(F) \subseteq f(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H)$, i.e. $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(H))$. So, $G \in \sigma^{\prime} \cap C R(Y)$. Hence $\sigma_{f(x)} \cap C R(Y) \subseteq \sigma^{\prime} \cap C R(Y)$.

Conversely, let $G \in C R(Y) \cap \sigma^{\prime}$. We will prove that $f(x) \in G$. Indeed, suppose that $f(x) \notin G$. Then there exists an $H \in C R(Y)$ such that $G \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H) \subseteq Y \backslash\{f(x)\}$. We have that there exists an $F \in C R(X)$ such that $x \in F \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(H))$. Then $f(x) \in \operatorname{int}(H)$, which is a contradiction. So, $f(x) \in G$. Hence $\sigma_{f(x)} \cap C R(Y) \supseteq$ $\sigma^{\prime} \cap C R(Y)$.

We get that $\sigma_{f(x)} \cap C R(Y)=\sigma^{\prime} \cap C R(Y)$. Then, by Proposition 2.18, $\sigma_{f(x)} \equiv \sigma^{\prime}$. So, $t_{Y} \circ f=\Delta^{a}\left(\Delta^{t}(f)\right) \circ t_{X}$.

The next theorem, which is the main result of this paper, follows from Theorem 2.14 and Propositions 3.6, 3.8, 3.10, 3.11.

Theorem 3.12. (The Main Theorem) The categories HLC and MDHLC are dually equivalent.
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