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#### Abstract

Let $f$ be a non-invertible holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$. For a hypersurface $H$ of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$, generic in the Zariski sense, we give an explicit speed of convergence of $f^{-n}(H)$ towards the dynamical Green $(1,1)$ current of $f$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$ on the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^{k}$. The iterates $f^{n}=f \circ \cdots \circ f$ define a dynamical system on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$. It is well-know that, if $\omega$ denotes the normalized Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ then, the sequence $d^{-n}\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(\omega)$ converges to a positive closed current $T$ of bidegree $(1,1)$ called the Green current of $f$ (see e.g. [8]). It is a totally invariant current, whose support is the Julia set of $f$ and that exhibits interesting dynamical properties. In particular, for a generic hypersurface $H$ of degree $s$, the sequence $d^{-n}\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}[H]$ converges to $s T$ [6]. Here, $[H]$ denotes the current of integration on $H$ and the convergence is in the sense of currents. In fact, if we denote by $T^{p}$ the self-intersection $T \wedge \cdots \wedge T$, Dinh and Sibony proposed the following conjecture on equidistribution.

Conjecture 1.1. Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$ and $T$ its Green current. If $H$ is an analytic set of pure codimension $p$ and of degree $s$ which is generic in the Zariski sense, then the sequence $d^{-p n}\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}[H]$ converges to $s T^{p}$ exponentially fast.

The aim of the paper is to prove the conjecture for $p=1$. It is a direct consequence of the following more precise result on currents. Indeed, we only have to apply the theorem to $S:=s^{-1}[H]$ for hypersurfaces $H$ which does not contain any element of $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f, T$ be as above and let $1<\lambda<d$. There exists a finite family $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$ of periodic irreducible analytic sets such that if $S$ is a positive closed (1,1)-current of mass 1 , whose dynamical potential u verifies $\|u\|_{L^{1}(X)} \leq C$ for all $X$ in $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$, then the sequence $S_{n}:=d^{-n}\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(S)$ converge exponentially fast to $T$. More precisely, for every $0<\beta \leq 2$ and $\phi \in \mathscr{C}^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{P}^{k}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle S_{n}-T, \phi\right\rangle\right| \leq A\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^{\beta}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n \beta / 2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A>0$ depends on the constants $C$ and $\beta$ but is independent of $S, \phi$ and $n$.

Here, the space $L^{1}(X)$ is with respect to the volume form $\omega^{\operatorname{dim}(X)}$ on $X$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\beta}\left(\mathbb{P}^{k}\right)$ denotes the space of $(k-1, k-1)$-forms whose coefficients are of class $\mathscr{C}^{\beta}$, equipped with the norm induced by a fixed atlas. The dynamical potential of $S$ is the unique quasi-plurisubharmonic function $u$ such that $S=d d^{c} u+T$ and $\max _{\mathbb{P}^{k}} u=0$. Note that $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$ will be explicitly constructed. Theorem 1.2 still holds if we replace $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$ by an analytic subset, e.g. a finite set, which intersects all components of $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$.

Equidistribution problem without speed was considered in dimension 1 by Brolin [3] for polynomials and by Lyubich [17] and Freire-Lopes-Mañé [14] for rational maps. They proved that for every point $a$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, with maybe two exceptions, the preimages of $a$ by $f^{n}$ converge towards the equilibrium measure, which is the counterpart of the Green current in dimension 1.

In higher dimension, for $p=k$, simple convergence in Conjecture 1.1 was established by Fornæss-Sibony [12], Briend-Duval [2]. Recently in [9], Dinh and Sibony give exponential speed of convergence, which completes Conjecture 1.1 for $p=k$. The equidistribution of hypersurfaces was proved by Fornæss and Sibony for generic maps [13] and by Favre and Jonsson in dimension 2 [11. The convergence for general endomorphisms and Zariski generic hypersurfaces was obtained by Dinh and Sibony in [6]. These papers state convergence but without speed. In other codimensions, the problem is much more delicate. However, the conjecture was solved for generic maps in [7], using the theory of super-potentials.

We partially follow the strategy developed in [13], [11] and [6], which is based on pluripontential theory together with volume estimates, i.e. a lower bound to the contraction of volume by $f$. These estimates are available
outside some exceptional sets which are treated using hypothesis on the map $f$ or on the current $S$.

The exceptional set $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$ will be defined in Section 5 . It is in general a union of periodic analytic sets possibly singular. In our proof of Theorem 1.2, it is necessary to obtain the convergence of the trace of $S_{n}$ to these analytic sets. So, we have to prove an analog of Theorem 1.2 where $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ is replaced with an invariant analytic set. The geometry of the analytic set near singularities is the source of important technical difficulties. We will collect in Section 2 and Section 3 several versions of Lojasiewicz's inequality which will allow us to work with singular analytic sets and also to obtain good estimates on the size of a ball under the action of $f^{n}$. Such estimates are crucial in order to obtain the convergence outside exceptional sets.

Theorem 1.2 can be reformulated as an $L^{1}$ estimate of the dynamical potential $u_{n}$ of $S_{n}$ (see Theorem 6.1). The problem is equivalent to a size control of the sublevel set $K_{n}=\left\{u_{n} \leq-(\lambda / d)^{n}\right\}$. Since $T$ is totally invariant, we get that $u_{n}=d^{-n} u \circ f^{n}$ and $f^{n}\left(K_{n}\right)=\left\{u \leq-\lambda^{n}\right\}$. The above estimate on the size of ball can be applied provide that $K_{n}$ is not concentrated near the exceptional sets. The last property will be obtained using several generalizations of exponential Hörmander's estimate for plurisubharmonic functions that will be stated in Section 4. A key point in our approach is that, by reducing the domain of integration, we obtain uniform exponential estimates for non-compact families of quasi-plurisubharmonic functions.

We close this introduction by setting some notations and conventions. The symbols $\lesssim$ and $\gtrsim$ mean inequalities up to constants which only depend on $f$ or on the ambient space. To desingularize an analytic subset of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$, we always use a finite sequence of blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$. Unless otherwise specified, the distances that we consider are naturally induced by embedding or smooth metrics for compact manifolds. For $K>0$ and $0<\alpha \leq 1$, we say that a function $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is $(K, \alpha)$-Hölder continuous if for all $x$ and $y$ in $X$, we have $|u(x)-u(y)| \leq K \operatorname{dist}(x, y)^{\alpha}$. We denote by $\mathbb{B}$ the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and for $r>0$, by $\mathbb{B}_{r}$ the ball centered at the origin with radius $r$. In $\mathbb{P}^{k}$, we denote by $B(x, r)$ the ball of center $x$ and of radius $r$. And, for $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{k}$ an analytic subset, we denote by $B_{X}(x, r)$ the connected component of $B(x, r) \cap X$ which contains $x$. We call it the ball of center $x$ and of radius $r$ in $X$. It may have more than one irreducible component. Finally, for a subset $Z \subset X$, we denote by $Z_{X, t}$ or simply $Z_{t}$, the tubular $t$-neighborhood of $Z$ in $X$, i.e. the union of $B_{X}(z, t)$ for all $z$ in $Z$. A function on $X$ is call (strongly) holomorphic if it has locally a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of the ambient space.

## 2 Lojasiewicz's inequality and consequences

One of the main technical difficulties of our approach is related to singularities of analytic sets that we will handle using blow-ups along smooth varieties. In this section, we study the behavior of metric properties under blow-ups. It will allow us to establish volume and exponential estimates onto singular analytic sets.

We will frequently use the following Lojasiewicz inequalities. We refer to [1] for further details.

Theorem 2.1. Let $U$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and let $h, g$ be subanalytic functions in $U$. If $h^{-1}(0) \subset g^{-1}(0)$, then for any compact subset $K$ of $U$, there exists a constant $N \geq 1$ such that, for all $z$ in $K$, we have

$$
|h(z)| \gtrsim|g(z)|^{N} .
$$

In this paper, we only use the notion of subanalytic function in the following case. Let $U$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and $A \subset U$ be an analytic set. Every compact of $U$ has a neighborhood $V \subset U$ such that the function $x \mapsto \operatorname{dist}(x, A)$ and analytic functions on $U$ are subanalytic on $V$. Moreover, the composition or the sum of two such functions is still subanalytic on $V$. In particular, we have the following property.

Corollary 2.2. Let $U$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and let $A, B$ be analytic subsets of $U$. Then for any compact subset $K$ of $U$, there exists a constant $N \geq 1$ such that, for all $z$ in $K$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}(z, A)+\operatorname{dist}(z, B) \gtrsim \operatorname{dist}(z, A \cap B)^{N}
$$

We briefly recall the construction of blow-up that we will use later. If $U$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ which contains 0 , the blow-up $\widehat{U}$ of $U$ at 0 is the submanifold of $U \times \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ defined by the equations $z_{i} w_{j}=z_{j} w_{i}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ where $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)$ are the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and $\left[w_{1}: \cdots: w_{k}\right]$ are the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$. The sets $w_{i} \neq 0$ define local charts on $\widehat{U}$ where the canonical projection $\pi: \widehat{U} \rightarrow U$, if we set for simplicity $i=1$ and $w_{1}=1$, is given by

$$
\pi\left(z_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)=\left(z_{1}, z_{1} w_{2}, \ldots, z_{1} w_{k}\right)
$$

If $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{p}$ is an open subset, the blow-up of $U \times V$ along $\{0\} \times V$ is defined by $\widehat{U} \times V$. This is the local model of a blow-up.

Finally, if $X$ is a complex manifold, the blow-up $\widehat{X}$ of $X$ along a submanifold $Y$ is obtained by sticking copies of the above model and by using
suitable atlas of $X$. The natural projection $\pi: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ defines a biholomorphism between $\widehat{X} \backslash \widehat{Y}$ and $X \backslash Y$ where the set $\widehat{Y}:=\pi^{-1}(Y)$ is called the exceptional hypersurface. If $A$ is an analytic subset of $X$ not contained in $Y$, the strict transform of $A$ is defined as the closure of $\pi^{-1}(A \backslash Y)$.

We have the following elementary lemma.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\pi: \widehat{U} \times V \rightarrow U \times V$ be as above and $\widehat{Y}$ denote the exceptional hypersurface in $\widehat{U} \times V$. Assume that $U \times V$ is bounded in $\mathbb{C}^{k} \times \mathbb{C}^{p}$. Then for all $\widehat{z}, \widehat{z}^{\prime} \in \widehat{U} \times V$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \gtrsim \operatorname{dist}\left(\widehat{z}, \widehat{z}^{\prime}\right)\left(\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{z}, \widehat{Y})+\operatorname{dist}\left(\widehat{z}^{\prime}, \widehat{Y}\right)\right)
$$

where $z=\pi(\widehat{z})$ and $z^{\prime}=\pi\left(\widehat{z}^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Since $\pi$ leaves invariant the second coordinate, considering the maximum norm on $U \times V$, the general setting is reduced to the case of blow-up of a point. Hence we can take $V=\{0\}$. The lemma is obvious if $z$ or $z^{\prime}$ is equal to 0 . Since $\pi$ is a biholomorphism outside $\widehat{Y}$, we can assume that $0<\|z\|,\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|<1$. Moreover, up to an isometry of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$, we can assume that $\max \left|z_{i}\right|=\left|z_{1}\right|$ and $\max \left|z_{i}^{\prime}\right|=\left|z_{1}^{\prime}\right|$. Indeed, we can send $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ into the plane generated by the first two coordinates and then use the rotation group of this plane. Therefore, in the chart $w_{1}=1$, we have $\widehat{z}=\left(z_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$, $\vec{z}^{\prime}=\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left|w_{i}\right|,\left|w_{i}^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$.

By triangle inequality, we have

$$
\left|z_{1} w_{i}-z_{1}^{\prime} w_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|z_{1} w_{i}-z_{1} w_{i}^{\prime}\right|-\left|z_{1} w_{i}^{\prime}-z_{1}^{\prime} w_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|z_{1}\right|\left|w_{i}-w_{i}^{\prime}\right|-\left|z_{1}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Hence, by symmetry in $z_{1}$ and $z_{1}^{\prime}$ we get

$$
2\left|z_{1} w_{i}-z_{1}^{\prime} w_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left(\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|z_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|w_{i}-w_{i}^{\prime}\right|-2\left|z_{1}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Therefore, there is a constant $a>0$ independent of $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|z_{1}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\sum_{i=2}^{k}\left|z_{1} w_{i}-z_{1}^{\prime} w_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq a\left(\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|z_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|z_{1}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\sum_{i=2}^{k}\left|w_{i}-w_{i}^{\prime}\right|\right) .
$$

The left-hand side corresponds to $\operatorname{dist}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$. We also have that $\operatorname{dist}\left(\widehat{z}, \widehat{z}^{\prime}\right) \simeq$ $\left|z_{1}-z_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\sum_{i=2}^{k}\left|w_{i}-w_{i}^{\prime}\right|$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{z}, \widehat{Y})+\operatorname{dist}\left(\widehat{z}^{\prime}, \widehat{Y}\right) \simeq\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|z_{1}^{\prime}\right|$. The result follows.

A similar result holds for analytic sets. More precisely, consider an irreducible analytic subset $X$ of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ of dimension $l$ and a smooth variety $Y$ contained in $X$. Let $\bar{\pi}: \widehat{\mathbb{P}^{k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k}$ be the blow-up along $Y$ and $\pi$ the restriction of $\bar{\pi}$ to the strict transform $\widehat{X}$ of $X$. Denote by $\bar{Y}$ and $\widehat{Y}$ the exceptional hypersurfaces in $\widehat{\mathbb{P}^{k}}$ and in $\widehat{X}$ respectively.

Lemma 2.4. There exists $N \geq 1$ such that for all $\widehat{z}$ and $\widehat{z}^{\prime}$ in $\widehat{X}$

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \gtrsim \operatorname{dist}\left(\widehat{z}, \widehat{z}^{\prime}\right)\left(\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{z}, \widehat{Y})+\operatorname{dist}\left(\widehat{z}^{\prime}, \widehat{Y}\right)\right)^{N}
$$

Proof. The previous lemma gives the inequality with $N=1$ if we substitute $\widehat{Y}$ by $\bar{Y}$. By Corollary 2.2 applied to $A=\widehat{X}$ and $B=\bar{Y}$, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{x}, \bar{Y}) \gtrsim \operatorname{dist}(\widehat{x}, \widehat{Y})^{N}$ for all $\widehat{x}$ in $\widehat{X}$. The result follows.

Here is the first estimate on contraction for blow-ups.
Lemma 2.5. There exists a constant $N \geq 1$ such that for all $0<t \leq 1 / 2$, if $\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{x}, \widehat{Y})>t$ and $r<t / 2$, then $\pi\left(B_{\widehat{X}}(\widehat{x}, r)\right)$ contains $B_{X}\left(\pi(\widehat{x}), t^{N} r\right)$. Moreover, if $N$ is large enough then the image by $\pi$ of a ball of radius $0<$ $r \leq 1 / 2$ contains a ball of radius $r^{N}$ in $X$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{y}$ be a point in $\widehat{X}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{x}, \widehat{y})=r$ and set $x=\pi(\widehat{x})$, $y=\pi(\widehat{y})$. The assumption on $r$ gives that $\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{y}, \widehat{Y})>t / 2$. Therefore, we deduce from Lemma 2.4 that

$$
\operatorname{dist}(x, y) \gtrsim r t^{N}
$$

The first assertion follows since $t \leq 1 / 2$ and $\pi$ is a biholomorphism outside $\widehat{Y}$.

For a general ball $B$ of radius $r$ in $\widehat{X}$, we can reduce the ball in order to avoid $\widehat{Y}$ and then apply the first statement. More precisely, as $\operatorname{dim}(\widehat{Y}) \leq l-1$ there is a constant $c>0$ such that for all $\rho>0, \widehat{Y}$ is cover by $c \rho^{-2(l-1)}$ balls of radius $\rho$. On the other hand, by a theorem of Lelong [16, 4], the volume of a ball of radius $\rho$ in $\widehat{X}$ varies between $c^{\prime-1} \rho^{2 l}$ and $c^{\prime} \rho^{2 l}$ for some $c^{\prime}>0$. Hence, the volume of $\widehat{Y}_{\rho}$ is of order $\rho^{2}$. Take $\rho=c^{\prime \prime} r^{l}$ with $c^{\prime \prime}>0$ small enough. By counting the volume, we see that $B$ is not contained in $\widehat{Y}_{\rho}$. Therefore, $B \backslash \widehat{Y}$ contains a ball of radius $\rho / 3$. We obtain the result using the first assertion.

In the same spirit, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 2.6. Let $\widehat{Z}$ be a compact manifold, $Z$ be a irreducible analytic subset of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ and $\pi: \widehat{Z} \rightarrow Z$ be a surjective holomorphic map. Let $A$ be an irreducible analytic subset of $Z$ and define $\widehat{A}:=\pi^{-1}(A)$. There exists $N \geq 1$ such that $A_{t^{N}}$ is included in $\pi\left(\widehat{A}_{t}\right)$ for all $t>0$ small enough. Moreover, if $\widehat{A}$ is the union on two analytic sets $\widehat{A}_{1}, \widehat{A}_{2}$ such that $A_{2}:=\pi\left(\widehat{A}_{2}\right)$ is strictly contained in $A$ then $\pi\left(\widehat{A}_{1, t}\right)$ contains $A_{t^{N}} \backslash A_{2, t^{1 / 2}}$.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{z}, \widehat{A})=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{dist}(\pi(\widehat{z}), A)=0$, we can apply Theorem 2.1 to these functions which implies the existence of $N \geq 1$ such that

$$
\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{z}, \widehat{A})^{N} \lesssim \operatorname{dist}(\pi(\widehat{z}), A)
$$

Therefore, since $\pi$ is surjective, $\pi\left(\widehat{A}_{t}\right)$ contains $A_{t^{N}}$ for $t>0$ small enough.
Now, let $\widehat{A}=\widehat{A}_{1} \cup \widehat{A}_{2}$ be as above. Since $\pi$ is holomorphic, there exists $c>0$ such that $\pi\left(\widehat{A}_{2, t}\right) \subset A_{2, c t}$. Therefore, $\pi\left(\widehat{A}_{1, t}\right)$ contains $A_{t^{N}} \backslash A_{2, t^{1 / 2}}$ for $t>0$ sufficiently small.

In the sequel, we will constantly use desingularization of analytic sets. The following lemma allows us to conserve integral estimates.
Lemma 2.7. Let $Z$ and $\widehat{Z}$ be irreducible analytic subsets of Kähler manifolds. Let $\pi: \widehat{Z} \rightarrow Z$ be a surjective proper holomorphic map. Then, for every compact $\widehat{L}$ of $\widehat{Z}$ there exists $q \geq 1$ such that if $v$ is in $L_{\text {loc }}^{q}(Z)$ then $\widehat{v}:=v \circ \pi$ is in $L^{1}(\widehat{L})$. Moreover, there exists $c>0$, depending on $\widehat{L}$, such that

$$
\|\widehat{v}\|_{L^{1}(\widehat{L})} \leq c\|v\|_{L^{q}(\pi(\widehat{L}))} .
$$

Proof. Using a desingularization, we can assume that $\widehat{Z}$ is a smooth Kähler manifold with a Kähler form $\widehat{\omega}$. Denote by $\omega, n, m$ a Kähler form on $Z$ and the dimensions of $Z$ and $\widehat{Z}$ respectively. Generic fibers of $\pi$ are compact of dimension $m-n$ and form a continuous family. It follows that the integral of $\widehat{\omega}^{m-n}$ on that fibers is a constant.

Consider $\widehat{\lambda}=\pi^{*}\left(\omega^{n}\right) \wedge \widehat{\omega}^{m-n}$ on $\widehat{Z}$. The last observation implies that $\pi_{*}(\widehat{\lambda})=\omega^{n}$ up to a constant. Therefore, if $v$ is in $L_{l o c}^{q}(Z)$ then $\widehat{v}$ is in $L_{l o c}^{q}(\widehat{Z}, \widehat{\lambda})$. Moreover, we can write $\widehat{\lambda}=h \widehat{\omega}^{m}$ where $h$ is a positive function. If there exists $\tau>0$ such that $h^{-\tau}$ is integrable on $\widehat{L}$ with respect to $\widehat{\omega}^{m}$, we obtain for $p=1+\tau$ and $q$ its conjugate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\widehat{L}}|\widehat{v}| \widehat{\omega}^{m}=\int_{\widehat{L}}|\widehat{v}| h^{-1} \widehat{\lambda} & \leq\left(\int_{\widehat{L}}|\widehat{v}|^{q} \widehat{\lambda}\right)^{1 / q}\left(\int_{\widehat{L}} h^{-p} \widehat{\lambda}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{\pi(\widehat{L})}|v|^{q} \omega^{n}\right)^{1 / q}\left(\int_{\widehat{L}} h^{-\tau} \widehat{\omega}^{m}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \lesssim\|v\|_{L^{q}(\pi(\widehat{L}))}
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to show the existence of $\tau$. The set $\{h=0\}$ is contained in the complex analytic set $A$ where the rank of $\pi$ is not maximal. More precisely, if $\pi$ has maximal rank at $z$, then we can linearize $\pi$ in a neighborhood of $z$. Therefore, $\widehat{\lambda}$ and $\widehat{\omega}^{m}$ are comparable in that neighborhood.

Since $\widehat{L}$ is compact, the problem is local. Let $z_{0}$ in $\widehat{L}$. We can find a small chart $U$ at $z_{0}$ and a holomorphic function $\phi$ on $U$ such $A \cap U$ is contained in $\{\phi=0\}$. We can also replace $\widehat{\omega}$ and $\omega$ by standard Euclidean forms on $U$ and on a neighborhood of $\pi(U)$. So, we can assume that $h$ is analytic. By Lojasiewicz inequality, for every compact $K$ of $U$, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $h(z) \gtrsim|\phi(z)|^{N}$ for all $z$ in $K$. On the other hand, exponential estimate (cf. [15] and Section (4) applied to the plurisubharmonic function $\log |\phi|$ says that $\phi^{-\alpha}$ is in $L^{1}\left(K, \widehat{\omega}^{m}\right)$ for some $\alpha>0$. Therefore, $h^{-\alpha / N}$ belongs to $L^{1}\left(K, \widehat{\omega}^{m}\right)$. We obtain the desired property near $z_{0}$ by taking $\tau \leq \alpha / N$.

Finally, the following results establish a relation between the regularity of functions on an analytic set and that of their lifts to a desingularization.

Proposition 2.8. Let $Z, \widehat{Z}, \pi$ be as in Lemma 2.7 and $v$ be a function on $Z$. Assume that the lift of $v$ to $\widehat{Z}$ is $(K, \alpha)$-Hölder continuous. Then, for every compact $L$ of $Z$, there exist constants $0<\alpha^{\prime} \leq 1$ and $a>0$, independent of $v$, such that $v$ is $\left(a K, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$-Hölder continuous on $L$.

Proof. Let $\Delta$ be the diagonal of $Z \times Z$. We still denote by $\pi$ the map induced on the product $\widehat{Z} \times \widehat{Z}$ and we set $\widehat{\Delta}=\pi^{-1}(\Delta)$. As in Lemma 2.6, by Lojasiewicz inequality, we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{\Delta})^{M} \lesssim \operatorname{dist}(\pi(\widehat{a}), \Delta),
$$

if $\pi(\widehat{a}) \in L \times L$. Therefore, if we set $\widehat{a}=\left(\widehat{x}, \widehat{x}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\pi(\widehat{a})=\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, then we can rewrite this inequality as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{x}, \widehat{z})+\operatorname{dist}\left(\widehat{x}^{\prime}, \widehat{z}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{M} \lesssim \operatorname{dist}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\widehat{z}, \widehat{z}^{\prime} \in \widehat{Z}$ such that $\pi(\widehat{z})=\pi\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)$.
Now, let $v$ be as in the proposition and $\widehat{v}=v \circ \pi$ denote its lift. Taking the same notation as above we have

$$
\left|v(x)-v\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\widehat{v}(\widehat{x})-\widehat{v}\left(\widehat{x}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq|\widehat{v}(\widehat{x})-\widehat{v}(\widehat{z})|+\left|\widehat{v}\left(\widehat{z}^{\prime}\right)-\widehat{v}\left(\widehat{x}^{\prime}\right)\right| \text {, }
$$

since $\pi(\widehat{z})=\pi\left(\widehat{z}^{\prime}\right)$ which implies that $\widehat{v}(\widehat{z})=\widehat{v}\left(\widehat{z}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, the assumption on $\widehat{v}$ implies that

$$
\left|v(x)-v\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq K\left(\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{x}, \widehat{z})^{\alpha}+\operatorname{dist}\left(\widehat{z}^{\prime}, \widehat{x}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha}\right),
$$

and finally (2.1) gives

$$
\left|v(x)-v\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq a K \operatorname{dist}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha / M}
$$

where $a>0$ depends only on $\alpha, L$ and $\pi$.

Corollary 2.9. For every compact $L$ of $Z$, there exists $0<\alpha \leq 1$ such that every continuous weakly holomorphic function on $Z$ is $\alpha$-Hölder continuous on L. Moreover, every uniformly bounded family of such functions is uniformly $\alpha$-Hölder continuous on $L$.

Proof. Recall that a continuous function on $Z$ is weakly holomorphic if it is holomorphic on the regular part of $Z$. The result is known if $Z$ is smooth with $\alpha=1$. Therefore, in general, it is enough to apply Proposition 2.8 to a desingularization of $Z$.

In Proposition 3.4, we will need a similar result in a local setting but with a uniform control of the constants. It is the aim of the two following results.

Proposition 2.10. Let $Z, \widehat{Z}, \pi$ and $L$ be as in Proposition 2.8, Let $v$ be a function defined on a ball $B_{Z}(y, r) \subset L$ with $0<r \leq 1 / 2$. Assume that $\widehat{v}=v \circ \pi$ is $(K, \alpha)$-Hölder continuous. Then, there exist constants $0<\alpha^{\prime} \leq 1$, $a>0$ and $N \geq 1$, independent of $v, y$ and $r$ such that $v$ is ( $a K, \alpha^{\prime}$ )-Hölder continuous on $B_{Z}\left(y, r^{N}\right)$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Proposition 2.8 except that we have to check that if $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are in $B_{Z}\left(y, r^{N}\right)$ with $N \geq 1$ large enough, then $\widehat{v}$ is well-defined at the points $\widehat{z}$ and $\widehat{z}^{\prime}$ defined in (2.1).

Since $\pi$ is holomorphic, we have $\operatorname{dist}(x, \pi(\widehat{z})) \lesssim \operatorname{dist}(\widehat{x}, \widehat{z})$. Therefore, by (2.1) we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}(x, \pi(\widehat{z})) \lesssim \operatorname{dist}(\widehat{x}, \widehat{z})+\operatorname{dist}\left(\widehat{x}^{\prime}, \widehat{z}^{\prime}\right) \lesssim \operatorname{dist}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / M}
$$

Hence, if $N$ is large enough, then $\widehat{z}$ and $\widehat{z}^{\prime}$ belong to $\pi^{-1}\left(B_{Z}(y, r)\right)$. Then, the result follows as in Proposition 2.8,

Corollary 2.11. For every compact $L$ of $Z$, there are constants $0<\alpha \leq$ $1, K>0$ and $N \geq 1$ such that if $v$ is a continuous weakly holomorphic function on $B_{Z}(y, r) \subset L$ with $|v| \leq 1$ then $v$ is $(K / r, \alpha)$-Hölder continuous on $B_{Z}\left(y, r^{N}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\pi: \widehat{Z} \rightarrow Z$ be a desingularization. Let $\widehat{z}$ be in $\pi^{-1}\left(B_{Z}(y, r / 2)\right)$. Since $\pi$ is holomorphic, there is $a>0$ such that $B_{\widehat{Z}}(\widehat{z}, r / a)$ is contained in $\pi^{-1}\left(B_{Z}(y, r)\right)$. Therefore, by Cauchy's inequality, $\widehat{v}$ is $a / r$-Lipschitz on $\pi^{-1}\left(B_{Z}(y, r / 2)\right)$. Hence, the result follow from Proposition 2.10.

## 3 Volume estimate for endomorphisms

The multiplicities of an endomorphism $f$ are strongly related to volume estimates which were used successfully to solve equidistribution problems. In what follows, we generalize Lojasiewicz type inequalities obtained in [13], [6] and [9] to analytic sets, possibly singular. The aim is to control the size of a ball under iterations of $f$ in an invariant analytic set. Singularities, in particular the points where the analytic sets are not locally irreducible, lead to technical difficulties.

In this section, $X$ always denotes an irreducible analytic set of a smooth manifold. In order to avoid some problems related to the local connectedness of analytic sets, instead of the distance induced by an embedding of $X$, we consider the distance $\rho$ defined by paths in $X$. Namely, if $x, y \in X$ then $\rho(x, y)$ is the length of the shortest path in $X$ between $x$ and $y$. These two distances on $X$ are related by the following result (see e.g. [1]).

Theorem 3.1. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $X$. There exists a constant $r>0$ such that for all $x, y \in K$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}(x, y) \leq \rho(x, y) \lesssim \operatorname{dist}(x, y)^{r}
$$

The first step to state volume estimate is the following result.
Proposition 3.2. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B}$ and $X \subset \mathbb{B}$ be two analytic subsets with $X$ locally irreducible and such that the first projection $\pi: \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ defines a ramified covering of degree $m$ from $\Gamma$ to $X$. There exist constants $a>0$ and $b \geq 1$ such that if $x, y \in X \cap \mathbb{B}_{1 / 2}$ then we can write

$$
\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \Gamma=\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}\right\} \text { and } \pi^{-1}(y) \cap \Gamma=\left\{y^{1}, \ldots, y^{m}\right\}
$$

with $\operatorname{dist}(x, y) \geq a \operatorname{dist}\left(x^{i}, y^{i}\right)^{b m}$. Moreover, a increases with $m$ but is independent of $\Gamma$ and $b$ depends only on $X$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, to establish the proposition, we can replace dist $(x, y)$ by $\rho(x, y)$ on $X$. For $w \in X_{R e g}$, we can define the $j$-th Weierstrass polynomial, $k+1 \leq j \leq 2 k$, on $t \in \mathbb{C}$

$$
P_{j}(t, w)=\prod_{z \in \pi^{-1}(w) \cap \Gamma}\left(t-z_{j}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{m} a_{j, l}(w) t^{l}
$$

where $z=\left(\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right),\left(z_{k+1}, \ldots, z_{2 k}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B}$. The coefficients $a_{j, l}$ are holomorphic on $X_{\text {Reg }}$ and uniformly bounded by $m!$ since $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B}$. As $X$ is locally irreducible, they can be extended continuously to $X$ (see e.g. [4). It
gives a continuous extension of the polynomials $P_{j}$ to $X$ with $P_{j}\left(z_{j}, \pi(z)\right)=0$ if $z$ is in $\Gamma$. Moreover, by Corollary 2.9 there exists $\alpha>0$ such that the coefficients $a_{j, l}$ are uniformly $\alpha$-Hölder continuous on $X \cap \mathbb{B}_{3 / 4}$ with respect to $\rho$.

We claim that there is a constant $a>0$ such that if $x, y \in X \cap \mathbb{B}_{1 / 2}$ and $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{B}$ with $\widetilde{x}:=\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma$ then there is $\widetilde{y} \in \pi^{-1}(y) \cap \Gamma$ with

$$
\rho(x, y) \geq \operatorname{adist}(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})^{b m}
$$

where $b=1 / \alpha$. From this, the result follows exactly as in the end of the proof of [6, Lemma 4.3].

It remains to prove the claim. Fix $c>0$ large enough and let $r=\rho(x, y)$. We can assume that $r$ is non-zero and sufficiently small, otherwise the result is obvious. Since the covering has degree $m$, we can find an integer $2 \leq l \leq 4 k m$ such that for all $k+1 \leq j \leq 2 k$, no root of the polynomial $P_{j}(t, x)$ satisfies

$$
c(l-1) r^{1 / b m} \leq\left|\widetilde{x}_{j}-t\right| \leq c(l+1) r^{1 / b m}
$$

It gives a security ring over $x$ which does not intersect $\Gamma$. Using the regularity of $P_{j}$, it can be extend to a neighborhood of $x$. More precisely, for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $\xi_{j}=\widetilde{x}_{j}+c l e^{i \theta} r^{1 / b m}$ and

$$
G_{j, c, \theta}(w)=c^{-m+1} P_{j}\left(\xi_{j}, w\right) .
$$

The choice of $l$ implies that

$$
\left|G_{j, c, \theta}(x)\right|=c^{-m+1}\left|P_{j}\left(\xi_{j}, x\right)\right| \geq c^{-m+1} \prod_{z \in \pi^{-1}(x)}\left|\xi_{j}-z_{j}\right| \geq c r^{\alpha}
$$

Moreover, we deduce from the properties on the coefficients $a_{j, l}$ that the functions $G_{j, c, \theta}$ are uniformly $\alpha$-Hölder continuous on $X \cap \mathbb{B}_{3 / 4}$ with respect to $(j, c, \theta)$. Hence, if $c$ is large enough, they do not vanish on $B:=\{z \in$ $X \mid \rho(x, z)<2 r\}$ which contains $y$.

It implies that $P_{j}(t, w) \neq 0$ if $w$ is in $B$ and $\left|t-\widetilde{x}_{j}\right|=l c r^{1 / b m}$. Therefore, if we denote by $\Sigma$ the boundary of the polydisc of center $x^{\prime}$ and of radius $l c r^{1 / b m}$, we have $\Gamma \cap(B \times \Sigma)=\varnothing$. Hence, since $B$ is connected and contains $y$, by continuity there is a point $\widetilde{y}$ in $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap \Gamma$ with $\left|\widetilde{x}_{j}-\widetilde{y}_{j}\right| \leq l c r^{1 / b m}$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Remark 3.3. Our proof shows that if we assume that $m \leq m_{0}$ for a fixed $m_{0}$, then a depends only on the Hölder constants $(K, \alpha)$ associated with $a_{j, l}$. Furthermore, if $\alpha$ is fixed then a is proportional to $K$. Note that without assumption on the constants $a$ and b, Proposition 3.2 can be deduced from Theorem 2.1.

The control of multiplicities of the covering gives the following more precise result.

Proposition 3.4. Let $X, \Gamma$ and $\pi$ be as above. Let $Z \subset X$ be a proper analytic subset. Assume that the multiplicity at each point of $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is at most equal to $s$ if $x \in X \backslash Z$. Then, there exist constants $a>0, b \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$ such that for all $0<t \leq 1 / 2$ and $x, y \in X \cap \mathbb{B}_{1 / 2}$ with $\operatorname{dist}(x, Z)>t$ and $\operatorname{dist}(y, Z)>t$, we can write

$$
\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \Gamma=\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}\right\} \text { and } \pi^{-1}(y) \cap \Gamma=\left\{y^{1}, \ldots, y^{m}\right\}
$$

with $\operatorname{dist}(x, y) \geq a t^{N} \operatorname{dist}\left(x^{i}, y^{i}\right)^{b s}$.
Proof. Let $t>0$ and $x \in X \cap \mathbb{B}_{1 / 2}$ with $\operatorname{dist}(x, Z)>t$. We want to find a neighborhood $B \subset X$ of $x$ such that each component of $\Gamma \cap \pi^{-1}(B)$ defines a ramified covering of degree at most equal to $s$ over $B$.

We construct an analytic set $Y$ associate to the multiplicities of $\Gamma$. Namely, first define $Y^{\prime} \subset \Gamma^{s+1}$ by $\left\{z \in \Gamma^{s+1} \mid \pi \circ \tau_{i}(z)=\pi \circ \tau_{j}(z), 1 \leq i, j \leq s+1\right\}$, where $\tau_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s+1$, are the canonical projections of $\Gamma^{s+1}$ onto $\Gamma$. For $i \neq j$ we set $A_{i, j}=\left\{z \in Y^{\prime} \mid \tau_{i}(z)=\tau_{j}(z)\right\}$. Then, $Y$ is defined by $\overline{Y^{\prime} \backslash \cup_{i \neq j} A_{i, j}}$. The map $\pi_{1}=\pi \circ \tau_{1}: Y \rightarrow X$ defines a ramified covering. If $x$ is generic in $X$ then a point $z \in Y$ over $x \in X$ represents a family of $(s+1)$ distinct points in $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \Gamma$.

If $\pi^{\prime}$ denotes the second projection of $\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B}$ onto $\mathbb{B}$, consider the map $h: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{(s+1)^{2}}$ define by

$$
h(z)=\left(\pi^{\prime} \circ \tau_{i}(z)-\pi^{\prime} \circ \tau_{j}(z)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq s+1} .
$$

By construction, $h(z)=0$ means precisely that there is a point in $\Gamma$ with multiplicity greater than $s$ over $\pi_{1}(z)$. It implies that $\pi_{1}\left(h^{-1}(0)\right) \subset Z$. Hence, Theorem 2.1 implies there is a constant $M>0$ such that if $\pi(z) \in X \cap \mathbb{B}_{1 / 2}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h(z)\| \gtrsim \operatorname{dist}\left(z, h^{-1}(0)\right)^{M} \gtrsim \operatorname{dist}\left(\pi_{1}(z), Z\right)^{M} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a, b>0$ be the constants in Proposition 3.2. As in the proof of that proposition, we use the distance function $\rho$ on $X$. Fix $\gamma>0$ small enough and set $\left.B=\left\{z \in X \mid \rho(x, z)<\gamma t^{M b m}\right)\right\}$. For $\widetilde{x}=\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ in $\Gamma$, we can choose $2 \leq l \leq 8 m$ such that $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \Gamma$ do not intersect the ring

$$
r(l-2) \leq\|\widetilde{x}-w\| \leq r(l+2)
$$

where $r=\left(a^{-1} \gamma\right)^{1 / b m} t^{M}$. Hence, if $H$ denotes the ball of center $x^{\prime}$ and of radius $r l$, we have $\operatorname{dist}\left(\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \Gamma, B \times \partial H\right)>r$. Therefore, by Proposition
$3.2 \Gamma \cap B \times \partial H=\varnothing$. It assures that $\pi$ is proper on $\Gamma \cap B \times H$ and then defines a ramified covering.

Moreover, this covering has degree at most equal to $s$. Otherwise, according to the radius of $H$, we have

$$
\min _{z \in \pi_{1}^{-1}(x)}\|h(z)\| \leq(s+1)^{2} 2 r l,
$$

which is in contradiction with (3.1) if $\gamma$ is small enough, since $\operatorname{dist}(x, Z)>t$ and $r=\left(a^{-1} \gamma\right)^{1 / b m} t^{M}$.

Now, we want to apply Proposition 3.2 to this covering. But, in order to control the constants, we have to reduce $B$. Indeed, according to Remark 3.3, the constants of that proposition depend only on the Hölder continuity of the coefficients $a_{j, l}$ (and on the degree of the covering). These coefficients are bounded continuous weakly holomorphic functions defined on $B$ then by Corollary 2.11 with $L=\overline{X \cap \mathbb{B}_{3 / 4}}$, they are $\left(K t^{-M^{\prime}}, \alpha\right)$-Hölder continuous on $B\left(x, t^{M^{\prime}}\right)$ for some $M^{\prime} \geq 1$ large enough, $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $K>0$ independent of $x$ and $t$. Therefore, after a coordinates dilation by $t^{-M^{\prime}}$ at $x$, we can apply Proposition 3.2 with the same exponent $b$ and the second constant proportional to some power of $t$. Finally, let $y \in X$. We can assume that $\rho(x, y) \leq t^{M^{\prime}} / 2$, otherwise the proposition is obvious. Hence, the previous observation implies that
$\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \Gamma \cap B \times H=\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{s}\right\}$ and $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap \Gamma \cap B \times H=\left\{y^{1}, \ldots, y^{s}\right\}$,
with $\rho(x, y) \geq a^{\prime} t^{N} \operatorname{dist}\left(x^{i}, y^{i}\right)^{b s}$ where $a^{\prime}>0, b \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$ are independent of $x$ and $t$. More precisely, we can write $N=M^{\prime}+N^{\prime}$, where the contribution in $t^{M^{\prime}}$ comes from the dilation and that in $t^{N^{\prime}}$ comes from the estimate on Hölder continuity. The construction can be applied to each component of $\Gamma \cap \pi^{-1}(B)$. This gives the result.

We now consider the dynamical context. Assume that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{k}$ is an irreducible analytic set of dimensions $l$ which is invariant by $f$. Denote by $g$ the restriction of $f$ to $X$. For $x$ in $X$, we define the local multiplicity of $g$ at $x$ as the maximal number of points in $g^{-1}(z)$ which are near $x$ for $z \in X$ close enough to $g(x)$. The local multiplicity is smaller than the topological degree i.e. the number of points in $g^{-1}(x)$ for $x$ generic in $X$. In our case, the topological degree of $g$ is equal to $d^{l}$, see [6].

There exists a finite covering $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $X$ by open subsets of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ such that $X \cap U_{i}$ can by decompose into locally irreducible components. Hence, we can apply Proposition 3.4 to the graph of $g$ over each component of $X \cap U_{i}$. It gives the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. Let $\eta>1$ and $Z \subset X$ be a proper analytic subset. Assume that the local multiplicity of $g$ is less than $\eta$ outside $g^{-1}(Z)$. Then there are constants $a>0, b \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$ such that if $0<t<1$ and $x, y$ are two points outside $Z_{t}$ where $X$ is locally irreducible, then we can write

$$
g^{-1}(x)=\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{a^{l}}\right\} \text { and } g^{-1}(y)=\left\{y^{1}, \ldots, y^{d^{l}}\right\}
$$

with $\operatorname{dist}(x, y) \geq a t^{N} \operatorname{dist}\left(x^{j}, y^{j}\right)^{b \eta}$.
From this, we obtain the following size estimate for image of balls which is crucial in the proof of our main result.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\delta>1$ and $E \subset X$ be a proper analytic subset. Denote by $\widetilde{E}$ the preimage of $E$ by $g$. Assume that the local multiplicity is less than $\delta$ outside $\widetilde{E}$. There exist constants $0 \lesssim A \leq 1, b \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$ such that if $0<t \leq 1 / 2, r<t / 2$ and $x \in X \backslash \widetilde{E}_{t}$, then $g\left(B_{X}(x, r)\right)$ contains a ball of radius $A t^{N} r^{b \delta}$. Moreover, $b$ depends only on $X$.

Proof. Fix $t>0$ and $r<t / 2$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.5 with $\widehat{Y}=$ $X_{\text {Sing }} \cup g^{-1}\left(X_{\text {Sing }}\right)$, possibly after replacing $r$ by $c r^{l}$ for some $c>0$, we can assume that $B_{X}(x, r)$ and $g\left(B_{X}(x, r)\right)$ are contained in $X_{R e g}$. The local multiplicity of $f$ on $X$ is bounded $d^{l}$. So, there exists $2 \leq i \leq 4 d^{l}$, such that the ring $\left\{\frac{r(i-1)}{4 d^{l}+1} \leq \operatorname{dist}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{r(i+1)}{4 d^{l}+1}\right\}$ contains no preimage of $g(x)$. Thus, if $x^{\prime} \in \partial B_{X}\left(x, r \frac{i}{4 d^{l}+1}\right)$, then

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, g^{-1}(g(x))\right) \geq \frac{r}{4 d^{l}+1} .
$$

Moreover, we can apply Corollary 3.5 with $\eta=d^{l}$ and $Z=\varnothing$. Hence, there exists $a, b>0$ such that $g\left(\overline{B_{X}\left(x, r \frac{i}{4 d^{l}+1}\right)}\right) \subset X \backslash E_{a(t / 2)^{b d^{l}} \text {. Therefore, we can }}$ apply once again Corollary 3.5 with $\eta=\delta, Z=E$ and $a(t / 2)^{b d^{l}}$ instead of $t$. We get, for some constants $a^{\prime}>0$ and $N_{0} \geq 1$

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(g\left(x^{\prime}\right), g(x)\right) \geq a^{\prime}\left(a\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{b d^{l}}\right)^{N_{0}}\left(\frac{r}{4 d^{l}+1}\right)^{b \delta}
$$

and, since $g$ is an open mapping near $x$

$$
B_{X}\left(g(x), A t^{N} r^{b \delta}\right) \subset g\left(B_{X}(x, r)\right)
$$

with $A=\frac{a^{N_{0}} a^{\prime}}{2^{N_{0} b d^{l}}\left(4 d^{l}+1\right)^{b \delta}}$ and $N=N_{0} b d{ }^{l}$.
Remark 3.7. When $X$ is smooth, the ball in $g\left(B_{X}(x, r)\right)$ can be chosen centered at $g(x)$.

## 4 Psh functions and exponential estimates

We refer to [4 for basics on currents and plurisubharmonic (psh for short) functions. Let $T$ be a positive closed ( 1,1 )-current of mass 1 on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ with continuous local potentials. Let us recall briefly the associated notions of psh and weakly psh (wpsh for short) modulo $T$ functions introduced in [6].

Let $Y$ be an analytic space. A function $v: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty\}$ is wpsh if it is psh on $Y_{\text {Reg }}$ and for $y$ in $Y$, we have $v(y)=\lim \sup v(z)$ with $z \in Y_{\text {Reg }}$ and $z \rightarrow y$. These functions coincide with psh functions if $Y$ is smooth. On compact spaces, the notion is very restrictive. However, if $X$ is an analytic subset of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$, we have the more flexible notion of wpsh modulo $T$ function on $X$. Locally, it is the difference of a wpsh function on $X$ and a potential of $T$. If $X$ is smooth, we say that the function is psh modulo $T$.

Note that the restriction of a psh modulo $T$ function to an analytic subset is either wpsh modulo $T$ or equal to $-\infty$ on an irreducible component. If $u$ is wpsh modulo $T$ on $X$ then $d d^{c}(u[X])+T \wedge[X]$ is a positive closed current supported on $X$. On the other hand, if $S$ is a positive closed $(1,1)$-current on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ with mass 1 , there is a psh modulo $T$ function $u$ on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$, unique up to a constant, such that $S=d d^{c} u+T$.

These notions bring good compactness properties which permit to obtain uniform estimates. We have the following statements established in [6].

Proposition 4.1. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of wpsh modulo $T$ functions on $X$, uniformly bounded from above. Then there is a subsequence $\left(u_{n_{i}}\right)$ satisfying one of the following properties:

- There is an irreducible component $Y$ of $X$ such that $\left(u_{n_{i}}\right)$ converges uniformly to $-\infty$ on $Y \backslash X_{\text {Sing }}$.
- $\left(u_{n_{i}}\right)$ converges in $L^{p}(X)$ to a wpsh modulo $T$ function $u$ for every $1 \leq p<+\infty$.

In the last case, $\lim \sup u_{n_{i}} \leq u$ on $X$ with equality almost everywhere.
It implies the following lemma.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a family of psh modulo $T$ functions on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ uniformly bounded from above. Assume that each irreducible component of $X$ contains an analytic subset $Y$ such that the restriction of $\mathscr{G}$ to $Y$ is bounded in $L^{1}(Y)$. Then, the restriction of $\mathscr{G}$ to $X$ is bounded in $L^{1}(X)$.

A classical result of Hörmander [15] gives a uniform bound to $\exp (-u)$ in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}_{1 / 2}\right)$ for $u$ in a class of psh functions in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. Similar
estimates can be obtained for compact families of quasi-psh functions. From now, we assume that $T$ has ( $K, \alpha$ )-Hölder continuous local potentials, with $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $K>0$. In the rest of this section, we establish exponential estimates for psh modulo $T$ functions in different situations. A key observation in our approach is that Hölder continuity allows us to work with non-compact families. In the sequel, we will apply these estimates to $T$ the Green current associate to $f$. They allow us to control the volume of some sublevel sets of potentials of currents near exceptional sets.

As a consequence of classical Hörmander's estimate, we have the following lemma which will be of constant use. Here, $\nu$ denotes the standard volume form on $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and $T$ is seen as a fixed current on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. We assume that its admits a potential $g$ which is $(K, \alpha)$-Hölder continuous on $\mathbb{B}$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $v$ be a psh modulo $T$ function in $\mathbb{B}_{t}$ with $v \leq 0$ and $v(0)>$ $-\infty$. Let $0<s<-v(0)^{-1}$ and $t>0$ such that $K t^{\alpha} \leq s^{-1}$. There is a constant $c>0$ independent of $v, s$ and $t$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{B}_{t / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{s v}{2}\right) \nu \leq c t^{2 k} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As $v$ is psh modulo $T$, we have $v=v^{\prime}-g$ with $v^{\prime}$ psh. We set $\widetilde{v}(z)=v^{\prime}(z)-g(0)-K t^{\alpha}$. Then $\widetilde{v}$ is psh in $\mathbb{B}_{t}, \widetilde{v}(0)=v(0)-K t^{\alpha} \geq-2 s^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{v} \leq v \leq 0$ because $g(z)-g(0) \leq K t^{\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{B}_{t}$. By [15, Theorem 4.4.5] there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{B}_{1 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{s \widetilde{v}(t z)}{2}\right) \nu \leq c,
$$

thus, by a change of variables $z \mapsto t z$, we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{B}_{t / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{s v}{2}\right) \nu \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{t / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{s \widetilde{v}}{2}\right) \nu \leq c t^{2 k}
$$

For the rest of the section, $X$ always denotes an irreducible analytic subset of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ of dimension $l$ and $v$ is a psh modulo $T$ function in $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ with $v \leq 0$. In Section 6 we will extend the previous result to the neighborhood of $X$, where the condition at 0 is replaced by an integrability condition on $X$. For this purpose, we have to control the size of sublevel sets of $v$ in $X$. This is the aim of the following global result.

Lemma 4.4. For $X$ as above, there exists $q_{0} \geq 1$ with the following property. For $q>q_{0}$ we set $\epsilon=2 l q_{0} / q \alpha$ and take $M>0$ and $s \geq 1$ such that $s^{1+\epsilon}\|v\|_{L^{q}(X)} \leq M$. Then, there exist constants a, $c>0$ independent of $v, q$ and $s$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} \exp (-a s v) \omega^{l} \leq c \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X$ is smooth, we can choose $q_{0}=1$.
Proof. First, assume that $X$ is a compact smooth manifold with a volume form $\eta$. Since $X$ has dimension $l$, for $t>0$ we can cover it by balls $\left(B_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ with $B_{i}:=B_{X}\left(x_{i}, t\right)$ and such that $|I| \leq c^{\prime} t^{-2 l}$ for some $c^{\prime}>0$. Let $t=s^{-1 / \alpha}$. As above, in each ball $B_{X}\left(x_{i}, 2 t\right)$ we can write $v=v_{i}^{\prime}-g_{i}$, where $g_{i}$ is a local potential of $T$. Using local charts at $x_{i}$, we can identify $B_{X}\left(x_{i}, 2 t\right)$ with $\mathbb{B}_{2 t}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{l}$. We consider $\widetilde{v}_{i}(z)=s\left(v_{i}^{\prime}(t z)-g_{i}(0)\right)$. These functions are psh in $\mathbb{B}_{2}$. We show that they belong to a compact family, independent of $v$ and $s$. Using a change of variables $z \mapsto t z$ and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{v}_{i}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}_{2}\right)} & \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2}} s|v(t z)| \nu+\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2}} s\left|g_{i}(t z)-g_{i}(0)\right| \nu \\
& \leq s t^{-2 l}\|v\|_{L^{q}(X)}\left|\mathbb{B}_{2}\right|^{1 / p} t^{2 l / p}+2^{\alpha} K\left|\mathbb{B}_{2}\right| \\
& =s^{1+\epsilon}\|v\|_{L^{q}(X)}\left|\mathbb{B}_{2}\right|^{1 / p}+2^{\alpha} K\left|\mathbb{B}_{2}\right| \\
& \leq M\left|\mathbb{B}_{2}\right|^{1 / p}+2^{\alpha} K\left|\mathbb{B}_{2}\right| \leq M^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p$ is the conjugate of $q,\left|\mathbb{B}_{2}\right|$ is the volume of $\mathbb{B}_{2}$ and $M^{\prime}$ is a positive constant. The family $\mathscr{U}=\left\{u \in \operatorname{PSH}\left(\mathbb{B}_{2}\right) \mid\|u\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}_{2}\right)} \leq M^{\prime}\right\}$ is compact so there exists a constant $a>0$ such that $\|\exp (-a u)\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{B})}$ is uniformly bounded for all $u \in \mathscr{U}$. Therefore, for $i \in I$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{B}_{t}} \exp \left(-a s\left(v_{i}^{\prime}(z)-g_{i}(0)\right)\right) \nu \lesssim t^{2 l}
$$

Moreover, the Hölder continuity implies that $-s v(z) \leq K-s\left(v_{i}^{\prime}(z)-g_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ in $B_{i}$. Hence, since $\left(B_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is a covering of $X$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X} \exp (-a s v) \eta & \leq \sum_{i \in I} \int_{B_{i}} \exp (-a s v) \eta \\
& \leq \sum_{i \in I} \int_{B_{i}} \exp \left(a\left(K-s\left(v_{i}^{\prime}(z)-g_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right)\right) \eta \\
& \lesssim \sum_{i \in I} t^{2 l} \leq c^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the lemma if $X$ is smooth with $q_{0}=1$.
In the general case, we consider a desingularization $\pi: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ with a volume form $\eta$ on $\widehat{X}$. The map $\pi$ is surjective, then by Lemma 2.7, there exists $q_{0} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\|\widehat{v}\|_{L^{q / q_{0}}(\widehat{X}, \eta)} \lesssim\|v\|_{L^{q}\left(X, \omega^{l}\right)} .
$$

Moreover, $\pi^{*}(T)$ possesses $\alpha$-Hölder local potentials and $\widehat{v} \leq 0$ is psh modulo $\pi^{*}(T)$. Therefore, this choice of $q_{0}$ allows us to apply the lemma on $\widehat{X}$ and get

$$
\int_{\widehat{X}} \exp (-a s \widehat{v}) \eta \leq c .
$$

The result follows since

$$
\int_{X} \exp (-a s v) \omega^{l}=\int_{\widehat{X}} \exp (-a s \widehat{v}) \pi^{*}\left(\omega^{l}\right) \leq\|h\|_{\infty} \int_{\widehat{X}} \exp (-a s \widehat{v}) \eta,
$$

where we write $\pi^{*}\left(\omega^{l}\right)=h \eta$.
The following estimate is a consequence of Lemma 4.3 and is related to the geometry of sublevel sets of psh modulo $T$ functions. In Section 6, it will establish the existence of balls where we can apply our volume estimates.

Lemma 4.5. For $s \geq 2$ set $F_{s}=\left\{x \in X \mid v(x) \leq-s^{-1}\right\}$. There are constants $\beta, c>0$ independent of $v$ and $s$ such that if $F_{s}$ contains no ball of radius $s^{-\beta}$ then

$$
\int_{X} \exp \left(-\frac{s v}{2}\right) \omega^{l} \leq c
$$

Proof. We first consider the case where $X$ is smooth. Let $t=4^{-1}(K s)^{-1 / \alpha}$. As in the proof of the previous lemma, we cover $X$ by balls $\left(B_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of radius $t$ with $|I| \leq c^{\prime} t^{-2 l}, c^{\prime}>0$. Assume there is no ball of radius $t$ in $F_{s}$. Hence, for each $i \in I$ there exists $x_{i}$ in $B_{i}$ such that $v\left(x_{i}\right)>-s^{-1}$. The balls $B_{i}^{\prime}$ of center $x_{i}$ and of radius $2 t$ cover $X$. Thus

$$
\int_{X} \exp \left(-\frac{s v}{2}\right) \omega^{l} \leq \sum_{i \in I} \int_{B_{i}^{\prime}} \exp \left(-\frac{s v}{2}\right) \omega^{l} .
$$

But, $s<-v\left(x_{i}\right)^{-1}$ and $K(4 t)^{\alpha} \leq s^{-1}$ therefore we can apply Lemma 4.3 on each ball

$$
\int_{B_{i}^{\prime}} \exp \left(-\frac{s v}{2}\right) \omega^{l} \lesssim t^{2 l} .
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\int_{X} \exp \left(-\frac{s v}{2}\right) \omega^{l} \lesssim \sum_{i \in I} t^{2 l} \leq c^{\prime}
$$

which gives the result when $X$ is smooth with $\beta>1 / \alpha$ such that $s^{-\beta}<t$.
If $X$ is singular, we consider a desingularization $\pi: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$. By Lemma 2.5, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that the image of a ball of radius $r$ under $\pi$ contains a ball of radius $r^{N}$. Hence, if $\beta$ is large enough, the hypothesis on $F_{s}$ assures there is no ball of radius $t$ in $\widehat{F}_{s}=\pi^{-1}\left(F_{s}\right)$. Then, we can apply the lemma to $\widehat{v}=v \circ \pi$ which is psh modulo $\pi^{*}(T)$. We get

$$
\int_{X} \exp \left(-\frac{s v}{2}\right) \omega^{l}=\int_{\widehat{X}} \exp \left(-\frac{s \widehat{v}}{2}\right) \pi^{*}\left(\omega^{l}\right) \leq c,
$$

for some $c>0$, since $\pi^{*}\left(\omega^{l}\right)$ is smooth.

## 5 Exceptional sets

Let $f$ be an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$. The aim of this section is to construct two families $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ of analytic sets where the iterate sequence $f^{n}$ has important local multiplicities. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{k}$ be an irreducible invariant analytic set. Define $\kappa_{X, n}(x)$, or simply $\kappa_{n}(x)$ if no confusion is possible, as the local multiplicity of $f_{\mid X}^{n}$ at $x$. It is a submultiplicative cocycles, namely it is upper semi-continuous for the Zariski topology on $X, \min _{X} \kappa_{n}=1$ and for any $m, n \geq 0$ and $x \in X$ we have the following sub-multiplicative relation

$$
\kappa_{n+m}(x) \leq \kappa_{m}\left(f^{n}(x)\right) \kappa_{n}(x) .
$$

The inequality may be strict when $X$ is singular. Define

$$
\kappa_{-n}(x):=\max _{y \in\left(f_{\mid X}\right)^{-n}(x)} \kappa_{n}(y) .
$$

We recall the following theorem of Dinh [5], see also [10].
Theorem 5.1. The sequence of functions $\kappa_{-n}^{1 / n}$ converges pointwise to a function $\kappa_{-}$. Moreover, for every $\lambda>1$, the level set $E_{\lambda}(X)=\left\{\kappa_{-} \geq \lambda\right\}$ is a proper analytic subset of $X$ which is invariant under $f_{\mid X}$. In particular, $\kappa_{-}$ is upper semi-continuous in the Zariski sense.

For a generic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{k}, E_{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{P}^{k}\right)$ is empty. In this case, Theorem 1.2 is already know in all bidegrees [7]. In our proof, we will proceed by
induction, proving the the exponentially fast convergence on $X$ if it is already established on each irreducible component of $E_{\lambda}(X)$. But, even if $E_{\lambda}(X)$ is invariant, its irreducible components are periodic and not invariant in general. Therefore, if $X$ is only periodic, we define $E_{\lambda}(X)$ in the same way, replacing $f$ by $f^{p}$ and $\lambda$ by $\lambda^{p}$, where $p$ is a period of $X$. By Theorem 5.1, this definition is independent of the choice of $p$.

Fix $1<\lambda<d$. Define the family $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ of exceptional sets as follows. First, we set $\mathbb{P}^{k} \in \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$. If $X$ is in $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$, we add to $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ all irreducible components of $E_{\lambda}(X)$. This family is finite and since the functions $\kappa_{-}$are upper semicontinuous in the Zariski sense, there exists $1<\delta<\lambda$ such that $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}=\mathscr{B}_{\delta}$, or equivalently $E_{\lambda}(X)=E_{\delta}(X)$ if $X \in \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$. This will give us some flexibility in order to obtain estimates using an induction process.

As all elements of $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ are periodic, they are invariant under some iterate $f^{n_{0}}$. Let us remark that it is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.2 for an iterate of $f$. Hence, we can assume that $n_{0}=1$, replacing $f$ and $\lambda$ by $f^{n_{0}}$ and $\lambda^{n_{0}}$. Dinh also proved that $\kappa_{n_{1}}<\delta^{n_{1}}$ outside $\left(f_{\mid X}\right)^{-n_{1}}\left(E_{\lambda}(X)\right)$ for some $n_{1} \geq 1$. Once again, we can assume that $n_{1}=1$.

The second family $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$, that takes place in Theorem 1.2, is defined as the set of minimal elements for the inclusion in $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$. This family is not empty and each element of $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ contains at least one element of $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$. Note that no element of $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$ is contained in another one. These analytic sets play a special role in the next section, to start induction and to obtain compactness properties. When $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ is an element of $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$, it is the only element in $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$ and the exceptional set is empty. Otherwise, define the exceptional set as the union of all the elements of $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$.

## 6 Equidistribution speed

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix an endomorphism $f$ of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$ of $\mathbb{P}^{k}$, and denote by $T$ its Green current. Recall that $T$ is totally invariant i.e. $d^{-1} f^{*}(T)=T$, and has ( $K, \alpha$ )-Hölder continuous local potentials for some $0<\alpha \leq 1, K>0$.

Fix $C>0$ and $1<\lambda<d$, and let $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}, \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ be as in Section 5, Define $\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(C)$ as the family of psh modulo $T$ functions $v$ on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ such that $\max _{\mathbb{P}^{k}} v=0$ and $\|v\|_{L^{1}(X)} \leq C$ for all $X \in \mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$. By construction of $\mathscr{A}_{\lambda}$, Lemma 4.2 implies that $\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(C)$ is compact for each $C>0$. Moreover, if $X$ is an element of $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$, the restriction of $\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(C)$ to $X$ forms a family of wpsh modulo $T$ functions on $X$ which is relatively compact in $L^{p}(X)$ for every $1 \leq p<+\infty$.

If $S$ is a positive closed $(1,1)$-current of mass 1 , it is cohomologous to $T$. Hence, there exists a unique psh modulo $T$ function $u$ on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ such that
$S=d d^{c} u+T$ and $\max _{\mathbb{P} k} u=0$. We call $u$ the dynamical potential of $S$. As $T$ is totally invariant, the dynamical potential of $S_{n}=d^{-n}\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}(S)$ is $u_{n}=d^{-n} u \circ f^{n}$.

Since $S_{n}-T$ is a continuous linear operator on $\mathscr{C}^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{k}\right)$ whose norm is bounded, by interpolation theory between Banach spaces we have

$$
\left\|S_{n}-T\right\|_{\mathscr{C} \beta} \lesssim\left\|S_{n}-T\right\|_{\mathscr{C}^{2}}^{\beta / 2}
$$

uniformly in $S$ and $n$, see [18]. Consequently, in order to prove Theorem 1.2 we can assume that $\beta=2$.

Moreover, it is easy to see that $\left\|d d^{c} \phi\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^{2}}$ for $\phi$ in $\mathscr{C}^{2}\left(\mathbb{P}^{k}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle S_{n}-T, \phi\right\rangle\right| & =\left|\left\langle d d^{c} u_{n}, \phi\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle u_{n}, d d^{c} \phi\right\rangle\right| \\
& \lesssim\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^{2}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{k}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of the following theorem applied to $p=1$ and $X=\mathbb{P}^{k}$.
Theorem 6.1. For each $1 \leq p<+\infty$ and $X \in \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ there exists a constant $A_{X, p}$ such that for all $u \in \mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(C)$ and $n \geq 0$ we have

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)} \leq A_{X, p}\left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n}
$$

where $u_{n}=d^{-n} u \circ f^{n}$.
As in Section 5, we can assume that each element of $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ is invariant by $f$, and there is $1<\delta<\lambda$ satisfying the following properties for all $X$ in $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ :

- $E_{\lambda}(X)=E_{\delta}(X)$,
- $\kappa_{X, 1}<\delta$ outside $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda}(X)=\left(f_{\mid X}\right)^{-1}\left(E_{\lambda}(X)\right)$.

Let $X$ be an element $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ of dimension $l$ and $\lambda_{1}>0$ with $\delta<\lambda_{1}<\lambda$. Assume that Theorem 6.1 is true on each irreducible component of $E_{\lambda}=E_{\lambda}(X)$ for $\lambda_{1}$ and all $p \geq 1$. To prove it on $X$, we consider the sublevel set $K_{n}=\{x \in$ $\left.X \mid u_{n}(x) \leq-s_{n}\right\}$ for a suitable constant $s_{n}$. Exponential estimates on $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda}$ will prove that its image by $f^{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n$, cannot be concentrated near $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda}$. Therefore, volume estimates will imply that $f^{n}\left(K_{n}\right)=\{x \in X \mid u(x) \leq$ $\left.-d^{n} s_{n}\right\}$ is large if Theorem 6.1 is false on $X$. Hence, a good choice of $s_{n}$, allowed by the gap between $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda$, will give a contradiction.

We first fix some constants. In Corollary 3.6 the constant $b$ depends only on $X$. Then, by replacing $f$ by $f^{n}$ and $\delta$ by $\delta^{\prime n}$ with $b \delta^{n}<\delta^{\prime n}<\lambda_{1}^{n}$, we can assume that $b=1$. Let $0<A \leq 1, N \geq 1$ be the other constants of Corollary 3.6. Fix $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}>0$ such that

- $\delta<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{3}<\lambda$,
- and $q>q_{0}$ large enough such that $\lambda_{1} / d<\left(\lambda_{2} / d\right)^{1+\epsilon}$ where $\epsilon$ and $q_{0}$ are defined in Lemma 4.4.

Multiplicities of $f_{\mid X}$ are controlled outside $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda}$. By induction hypothesis, we have a control of $u_{n}$ on $E_{\lambda}$. We want to extend it to $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda}$. Let $E$ be an irreducible component of $E_{\lambda}$. The restriction of $f$ to each component of $\left(f_{\mid X}\right)^{-1}(E)$ is surjective onto $E$. Therefore, we deduce from Lemma 2.7 that there exists $q^{\prime} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\|v \circ f\|_{L^{q}\left(\left(f_{\mid X}\right)^{-1}(E)\right)} \lesssim\|v\|_{L^{q q^{\prime}}(E)},
$$

for all psh modulo $T$ function $v$ on $\mathbb{P}^{k}$. Hence, by induction hypothesis, there is a constant $M>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\widetilde{E}_{\lambda}\right)} \leq M\left(\lambda_{1} / d\right)^{n}$ for $n \geq 1$. The next step is to obtain exponential estimates in a neighborhood of $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda}$.

Lemma 6.2. There exist constants $c, \eta \geq 1$ and $n_{0} \geq 1$ such that if $n \geq n_{0}$ then for all $u \in \mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(C)$ we have

$$
\int_{\widetilde{E}_{\lambda, t_{n}}} \exp \left(-\left(d / \lambda_{2}\right)^{n} u_{n}\right) \omega^{l} \leq c,
$$

where $t_{n}=\left(\lambda_{2} / d\right)^{n \eta}$.
Proof. Let $E$ be an irreducible component of $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda}$ of dimension $i$. According to the choice of $q$, we can find $\lambda_{2}^{\prime}<\lambda_{2}$ such that $\lambda_{1} / d<\left(\lambda_{2}^{\prime} / d\right)^{1+\epsilon}$. Hence

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{q}(E)}\left(d / \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{(1+\epsilon) n} \leq M\left(\lambda_{1} / d\right)^{n}\left(d / \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{(1+\epsilon) n} \leq M
$$

and by Lemma 4.4 with $s=\left(d / \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{n}$ we have

$$
\int_{E} \exp \left(-a^{\prime}\left(d / \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{n} u_{n}\right) \omega^{i} \leq c^{\prime}
$$

for some constants $a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}>0$. Therefore, if we set $\rho_{n}=\left(\lambda_{2} / d\right)^{n}$, the volume in $E$ of $F_{n}=\left\{x \in E \mid u_{n}(x) \leq-\rho_{n}\right\}$ is smaller than $c^{\prime} \exp \left(-a^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2} / \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{n}\right)$. In particular, $F_{n}$ contains no ball of radius $\rho_{n}^{2 / \alpha}$ for $n$ large enough.

If $X$ is smooth then set $t_{n}=\rho_{n}^{1 / \alpha}$. As in Lemma 4.5, for $n$ large enough, we can find a covering of $E_{t_{n}}$ by balls with center in $E$ and of radius $2 t_{n}$ on which Lemma 4.3 holds. Hence, we get

$$
\int_{E_{t_{n}}} \exp \left(-a u_{n} / \rho_{n}\right) \omega^{l} \leq c,
$$

for some $a, c>0$. The same argument with $\lambda_{2}$ slightly smaller shows that we can choose $a=1$. We conclude the proof by summing on all irreducible components of $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda}$.

When $X$ is singular, we consider a desingularization $\pi: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$. In order to establish the estimate near $E$, we proceed inductively as follows. Assume that there exists a triplet $(A, a, \theta)$ with $a>0, \theta \geq 1$ and an analytic set $A \subset E$ such that

$$
\int_{E_{t^{\theta} \backslash A_{t^{1 / \theta}}}} \exp \left(-a u_{n} / \rho_{n}\right) \omega^{l}
$$

is uniformly bounded in $n \geq 0$ for $t \leq \rho_{n}$. Then, using the properties of the elements of $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ and dynamical arguments, we claim that a similar estimate holds if we substitute $(A, a, \theta)$ by some $\left(A^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)$ with $\operatorname{dim}\left(A^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{dim}(A)$. It will give the result for $\eta$ large enough after less than $l$ steps since $\operatorname{dim}(E)<l$.

More precisely, let $V$ be an irreducible component of $A$ with maximal dimension. We distinguish two cases, according to whether $V$ is in $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ or not. In the first case, we know that for all $p \geq 1,\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(V)} \lesssim\left(\lambda_{1} / d\right)^{n}$. We set $\widehat{V}:=\pi^{-1}(V)$. We denote by $\widehat{V}_{1}$ the union of all components of $\widehat{V}$ which are mapped onto $V$ and by $\widehat{V}_{2}$ the union of the other components of $\widehat{V}$. Therefore, Lemma 2.7 implies that

$$
\left\|\widehat{u}_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\widehat{V}_{1}\right)} \lesssim\left(\lambda_{1} / d\right)^{n},
$$

for all $p \geq 1$, where $\widehat{u}_{n}=u_{n} \circ \pi$. Hence, the smooth version of the lemma implies that

$$
\int_{\widehat{V}_{1, \rho_{n}^{1 / \alpha}}} \exp \left(-a^{\prime} \widehat{u}_{n} / \rho_{n}\right) \pi^{*}\left(\omega^{l}\right)
$$

is uniformly bounded for $a^{\prime}>0$ small enough. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6, there exists a constant $\theta^{\prime} \geq 1$ such that $\pi\left(\widehat{V}_{1, t}\right)$ contains $V_{t^{\theta^{\prime}}} \backslash V_{2, t^{1 / 2}}$, where $V_{2}=\pi\left(\widehat{V}_{2}\right)$. It gives the desired result near $V$, since $\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{2}\right)<\operatorname{dim}(V)$.

From now, we can assume that no irreducible component of $A$ with maximal dimension belong to $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ (in particular $A \neq E$ ). Let $V$ denote the union of all irreducible components of $A$ with maximal dimension. In particular, these components are not totally invariant for $f_{\mid E}$, therefore there exist an analytic set $Z \subset E$ containing no component of $V$ and an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $f^{m}(Z)=V$. We set $Z^{\prime}=Z \cap A$. The assumption on $A$ and $\theta$ implies that if $t \leq \rho_{n}$ then

$$
\int_{Z_{t^{\theta} \backslash A_{t^{1 / \theta}}}} \exp \left(-a u_{n} / \rho_{n}\right) \omega^{l}
$$

is bounded uniformly on $n$. By Corollary [2.2, $Z_{t_{\theta}} \cap A_{t^{1 / \theta}}$ is contained in $Z_{t^{1 / \theta^{\prime \prime}}}^{\prime}$ for some $\theta^{\prime \prime}>\theta$. So,

$$
\int_{Z_{\theta^{\prime \prime}} \backslash Z_{t^{1} / \theta^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}} \exp \left(-a u_{n} / \rho_{n}\right) \omega^{l}
$$

is bounded uniformly on $n$. Fix a constant $B>1$ large enough. We deduce from Corollary 3.6 applied to $\mathbb{P}^{k}$ that for all $t>0 f^{m}\left(Z_{t}\right)$ contains $V_{B^{-1} t^{m m k}}$. Moreover, since $f^{m}$ is Lipschitz, $f^{m}\left(Z_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ is contained in $V_{B t}^{\prime}$, where $V^{\prime}=$ $f^{m}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$. So, we have

$$
f^{m}\left(Z_{t^{\theta^{\prime \prime}}} \backslash Z_{t^{1 / \theta^{\prime \prime}}}^{\prime}\right) \supset V_{t^{\theta^{\prime}}} \backslash V_{t^{1 / \theta^{\prime}}}^{\prime},
$$

for $t>0$ small enough and $\theta^{\prime}>\theta^{\prime \prime}$ large enough. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{V_{t^{\prime}} \backslash V_{t^{1 / \theta^{\prime}}}^{\prime}} \exp \left(-a^{\prime} u_{n} / \rho_{n}\right) \omega^{l} & \leq \int_{Z_{t^{\prime \prime \prime}} \backslash Z_{t^{1 / \theta^{\prime \prime}}}^{\prime}} \exp \left(-a^{\prime} \frac{u_{n+m} \lambda_{2}^{m}}{\rho_{n+m}}\right)\left(f_{\mid X}^{m}\right)^{*}\left(\omega^{l}\right) \\
& \lesssim \int_{Z_{t^{\prime \prime}} \backslash Z_{t^{1 / \theta^{\prime \prime}}}^{\prime}} \exp \left(-a^{\prime} \frac{u_{n+m} \lambda_{2}^{m}}{\rho_{n+m}}\right) \omega^{l} \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\left(f_{X}^{m}\right)^{*}\left(\omega^{l}\right) \lesssim \omega^{l}$. Moreover, for $a^{\prime}$ same enough the right-hand side in (6.1) is bounded uniformly on $n$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(V^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{dim}(V)$ since $Z$ contains no component of $V$. This together with the estimate outside $A$ prove the claim with $A^{\prime}=V^{\prime}$.

From now, we fix $p \geq 1$ and for $u$ in $\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(C)$ denote by $\mathscr{N}(u)=\{n \geq$ $\left.1 \mid\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)} \geq(\lambda / d)^{n}\right\}$ and by $\mathscr{N}$ the union of $\mathscr{N}(u)$ for all $u$. Our goal is to prove that $\mathscr{N}$ is finite, which will imply Theorem 6.1. For this purpose, we have the following result.

Lemma 6.3. There are constants $n_{1} \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$ such that if $n$ is in $\mathscr{N}(u)$ with $n \geq n_{1}$ then $K_{n}=\left\{x \in X \mid u_{n}(x) \leq-\left(\lambda_{3} / d\right)^{n}\right\}$ contains a ball of radius $\left(\lambda_{3} / d\right)^{\beta n}$.

Proof. Since $x^{p} \lesssim \exp (x)$ if $x \geq 0$, we deduce from the assumption on $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\lambda / \lambda_{3}\right)^{n} & \lesssim\left(\int_{X}\left(-\left(d / \lambda_{3}\right)^{n} u_{n}\right)^{p} \omega^{l}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{X} \exp \left(-\left(d / \lambda_{3}\right)^{n} u_{n} / 2\right) \omega^{l}\right)^{1 / p} . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, let $\beta$ be the constant in Lemma 4.5, For $n$ sufficiently large we have $\left(d / \lambda_{3}\right)^{n} \geq 2$. Hence, Lemma 4.5 with $s=\left(d / \lambda_{3}\right)^{n}$ imply that $K_{n}$ has to contain a ball of radius $\left(\lambda_{3} / d\right)^{n \beta}$, otherwise the right-hand side of (6.2) would be bounded uniformly on $n$, which is a impossible since $\lambda_{3}<\lambda$.

We can now complete the proof of the main theorem.
End of the proof of Theorem 6.1. If $B \subset X$ is a Borel set then $|B|$ denotes its volume with respect to the measure $\omega^{l}$. As we have already seen, the volume of a ball of radius $r$ in $X$ is larger than $c^{\prime} r^{2 l}, 0<c^{\prime} \leq 1$. Therefore, observe that if $x$ is in $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda, t_{n} / 2}$ then $\left|\widetilde{E}_{\lambda, t_{n}} \cap B_{X}(x, r)\right|=\left|B_{X}(x, r)\right| \geq c^{\prime}(r / 2)^{2 l}$ for $r<t_{n} / 2$.

From now, assume in order to obtain a contradiction that $\mathscr{N}$ is infinite. Consider $u \in \mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(C)$ and $n \in \mathscr{N}(u)$ large enough. Fix also $\beta$ large enough. So, we have $\left(\lambda_{3} / d\right)^{\beta n}<t_{n} / 4$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \exp \left(-\left(\lambda_{3} / \lambda_{2}\right)^{n}\right) \leq c^{\prime}\left(A^{\delta}\left(t_{n} / 2\right)^{N \delta}\left(\lambda_{3} / d\right)^{\beta n} / 2\right)^{2 l} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is defined in Lemma 6.2, Let $r_{0}=\left(\lambda_{3} / d\right)^{\beta n}$, and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $r_{i}=A\left(t_{n} / 2\right)^{N} r_{i-1}^{\delta}$. We will prove by induction that for $0 \leq i \leq n$, $f^{i}\left(K_{n}\right)=\left\{x \in X \mid u_{n-i}(x) \leq-\lambda_{3}^{n} / d^{n-i}\right\}$ contains a ball $B_{i}$ of radius $r_{i}$.

Since $\beta$ is large, Lemma 6.3 implies that the assertion is true for $i=0$. Let $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and assume the property is true for $i$. We deduce from Lemma 6.2 that

$$
\int_{\widetilde{E}_{\lambda, t_{n-i}}} \exp \left(-\left(d / \lambda_{2}\right)^{n-i} u_{n-i}\right) \omega^{l} \leq c
$$

and in particular

$$
\left|\widetilde{E}_{\lambda, t_{n}} \cap B_{i}\right| \leq\left|\widetilde{E}_{\lambda, t_{n-i}} \cap f^{i}\left(K_{n}\right)\right|<c \exp \left(-\left(\lambda_{3} / \lambda_{2}\right)^{n} \lambda_{2}^{i}\right),
$$

since $t_{n} \leq t_{n-i}$. This and (6.3) imply that

$$
\left|B_{i}\right| \geq c^{\prime} r_{i}^{2 l}>2^{2 l}\left|\widetilde{E}_{\lambda, t_{n}} \cap B_{i}\right|
$$

since $r_{i} \geq\left(A^{\delta}\left(t_{n} / 2\right)^{N \delta} r_{0}\right)^{\delta^{i}}$ and $\delta<\lambda_{2}$. Consequently, the center of $B_{i}$ is not in $\widetilde{E}_{\lambda, t_{n} / 2}$ and by Corollary 3.6, $f\left(B_{i}\right) \subset f^{i+1}\left(K_{n}\right)$ contains a ball $B_{i+1}$ of radius $r_{i+1}=A\left(t_{n} / 2\right)^{N} r_{i}^{\delta}$. Note that we already reduced the problem to the case where the constant $b$ in Corollary 3.6 is equal to 1.

Therefore, for all $n$ in $\mathscr{N}(u)$ sufficiently large, the volume of $f^{n}\left(K_{n}\right)=$ $\left\{x \in X \mid u(x) \leq-\lambda_{3}^{n}\right\}$ is greater than $D^{n \delta^{n}}$, with $0<D<1$ independent
of $u$ and $n$. This contradicts the inequality $\delta<\lambda_{3}$. Indeed, since $\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(C)$ is bounded in $L^{q}(X)$, by Lemma 4.4 there exists $a^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{X} \exp \left(-a^{\prime} u\right) \omega^{l}
$$

is uniformly bounded for $u$ in $\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(C)$
Hence, $\mathscr{N}$ is finite and in particular bounded by some $n_{2} \geq 1$. We conclude using the fact that the restriction of $\cup_{n=0}^{n_{2}} d^{-n}\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}\left(\mathscr{F}_{\lambda}(C)\right)$ to $X$ is a relatively compact family of wpsh modulo $T$ functions and then bounded in $L^{p}(X)$. Therefore, we have

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(X)} \lesssim\left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n}
$$

if $n \leq n_{2}$ and thus for every $n \geq 0$ by the definition of $\mathscr{N}$.
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