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#### Abstract

In this note, we study the Arens regularity of projective tensor product $A \hat{\otimes} B$ whenever $A$ and $B$ are Arens regular. We establish some new conditions for showing that the Banach algebras $A$ and $B$ are Arens regular if and only if $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is Arens regular. We also introduce some new concepts as leftweak $^{*}$-weak convergence property $\left[L w^{*} w c\right.$-property] and right-weak*-weak convergence property $\left[R w^{*} w c\right.$-property] and for Banach algebra $A$, suppose that $A^{*}$ and $A^{* *}$, respectively, have $R w^{*} w c$-property and $L w^{*} w c$-property. Then if $A^{* *}$ is weakly amenable, it follows that $A$ is weakly amenable. We also offer some results concerning the relation between these properties with some special derivation $D: A \rightarrow A^{*}$. We obtain some conclusions in the Arens regularity of Banach algebras.


## 1. Preliminaries and Introduction

Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are Banach algebras. Ülger in [22], has been studied that the Arens regularity of projective tensor product $A \hat{\otimes} B$. He showed that when $A$ and $B$ are Arens regular in general, $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is not Arens regular. He introduced a new concept as biregular mapping and showed that a bounded bilinear mapping $m: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is biregular if and only if $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is Arens regular. In this paper, we establish some conditions for Banach algebras $A$ and $B$ which follows that $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is Arens regular. Conversely, we investigated if $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is Arens regular, then $A$ or $B$ are Arens regular. In section three, for Banach $A$ - module $B$, we introduce new concepts as left weak* - weak convergence property [ $L w^{*} w c-$ property] and right - weak* $-w e a k$ convergence property [ $R w^{*} w c$-property] with respect to $A$ and we show that if $A^{*}$ and $A^{* *}$, respectively, have $R w^{*} w c$-property and $L w^{*} w c$-property and $A^{* *}$ is weakly amenable, then $A$ is weakly amenable. We have also some conclusions regarding Arens regularity of Banach algebras. We introduce some notations and definitions that we used throughout this paper.
Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and let $B$ be a Banach $A$ - bimodule. A derivation from $A$ into $B$ is a bounded linear mapping $D: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$
D(x y)=x D(y)+D(x) y \text { for all } x, y \in A
$$

The space of all continuous derivations from $A$ into $B$ is denoted by $Z^{1}(A, B)$. Easy example of derivations are the inner derivations, which are given for each $b \in B$ by

$$
\delta_{b}(a)=a b-b a \text { for all } a \in A
$$

[^0]The space of inner derivations from $A$ into $B$ is denoted by $N^{1}(A, B)$. The Banach algebra $A$ is amenable, when for every Banach $A$-bimodule $B$, the only inner derivation from $A$ into $B^{*}$ is zero derivation. It is clear that $A$ is amenable if and only if $H^{1}\left(A, B^{*}\right)=Z^{1}\left(A, B^{*}\right) / N^{1}\left(A, B^{*}\right)=\{0\}$. The concept of amenability for a Banach algebra $A$, introduced by Johnson in 1972, has proved to be of enormous importance in Banach algebra theory, see [13]. A Banach algebra $A$ is said to be a weakly amenable, if every derivation from $A$ into $A^{*}$ is inner. Equivalently, $A$ is weakly amenable if and only if $H^{1}\left(A, A^{*}\right)=Z^{1}\left(A, A^{*}\right) / N^{1}\left(A, A^{*}\right)=\{0\}$. The concept of weak amenability was first introduced by Bade, Curtis and Dales in [2] for commutative Banach algebras, and was extended to the noncommutative case by Johnson in [14].
Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and $A^{*}, A^{* *}$, respectively, be the first and second dual of $A$. For $a \in A$ and $a^{\prime} \in A^{*}$, we denote by $a^{\prime} a$ and $a a^{\prime}$ respectively, the functionals in $A^{*}$ defined by $\left\langle a^{\prime} a, b\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{\prime}, a b\right\rangle=a^{\prime}(a b)$ and $\left\langle a a^{\prime}, b\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{\prime}, b a\right\rangle=a^{\prime}(b a)$ for all $b \in A$. The Banach algebra $A$ is embedded in its second dual via the identification $\left\langle a, a^{\prime}\right\rangle$ $\left\langle a^{\prime}, a\right\rangle$ for every $a \in A$ and $a^{\prime} \in A^{*}$. We say that a bounded net $\left(e_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ in $A$ is a left bounded approximate identity $(=L B A I)$ [resp. right bounded approximate identity $(=R B A I)]$ if, for each $a \in A, e_{\alpha} a \longrightarrow a\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.a e_{\alpha} \longrightarrow a\right]$.
Let $X, Y, Z$ be normed spaces and $m: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a bounded bilinear mapping. Arens in [1] offers two natural extensions $m^{* * *}$ and $m^{t * * * t}$ of $m$ from $X^{* *} \times Y^{* *}$ into $Z^{* *}$ as following

1. $m^{*}: Z^{*} \times X \rightarrow Y^{*}$, given by $\left\langle m^{*}\left(z^{\prime}, x\right), y\right\rangle=\left\langle z^{\prime}, m(x, y)\right\rangle$ where $x \in X, y \in Y$, $z^{\prime} \in Z^{*}$,
2. $m^{* *}: Y^{* *} \times Z^{*} \rightarrow X^{*}$, given by $\left\langle m^{* *}\left(y^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime}\right), x\right\rangle=\left\langle y^{\prime \prime}, m^{*}\left(z^{\prime}, x\right)\right\rangle$ where $x \in X$, $y^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{* *}, z^{\prime} \in Z^{*}$,
3. $m^{* * *}: X^{* *} \times Y^{* *} \rightarrow Z^{* *}$, given by $\left\langle m^{* * *}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right), z^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime \prime}, m^{* *}\left(y^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ where $x^{\prime \prime} \in X^{* *}, y^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{* *}, z^{\prime} \in Z^{*}$.
The mapping $m^{* * *}$ is the unique extension of $m$ such that $x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow m^{* * *}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ from $X^{* *}$ into $Z^{* *}$ is weak* $-t o-w e a k^{*}$ continuous for every $y^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{* *}$, but the mapping $y^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow m^{* * *}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is not in general weak* - to - weak ${ }^{*}$ continuous from $Y^{* *}$ into $Z^{* *}$ unless $x^{\prime \prime} \in X$. Hence the first topological center of $m$ may be defined as following

$$
Z_{1}(m)=\left\{x^{\prime \prime} \in X^{* *}: y^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow m^{* * *}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { is weak } k^{*}-\text { to }- \text { weak } k^{*} \text { continuous }\right\} .
$$

Let now $m^{t}: Y \times X \rightarrow Z$ be the transpose of $m$ defined by $m^{t}(y, x)=m(x, y)$ for every $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Then $m^{t}$ is a continuous bilinear map from $Y \times X$ to $Z$, and so it may be extended as above to $m^{t * * *}: Y^{* *} \times X^{* *} \rightarrow Z^{* *}$. The mapping $m^{t * * * t}$ : $X^{* *} \times Y^{* *} \rightarrow Z^{* *}$ in general is not equal to $m^{* * *}$, see [1], if $m^{* * *}=m^{t * * * t}$, then $m$ is called Arens regular. The mapping $y^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow m^{t * * * t}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is weak ${ }^{*}-t o-w e a k^{*}$ continuous for every $y^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{* *}$, but the mapping $x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow m^{t * * * t}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ from $X^{* *}$ into $Z^{* *}$ is not in general weak - to $-w e a k^{*}$ continuous for every $y^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{* *}$. So we define the second topological center of $m$ as

$$
Z_{2}(m)=\left\{y^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{* *}: x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow m^{t * * * t}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { is weak }{ }^{*}-t o-\text { weak }^{*}-\text { continuous }\right\}
$$

It is clear that $m$ is Arens regular if and only if $Z_{1}(m)=X^{* *}$ or $Z_{2}(m)=Y^{* *}$. Arens regularity of $m$ is equivalent to the following

$$
\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle z^{\prime}, m\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)\right\rangle=\lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle z^{\prime}, m\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)\right\rangle,
$$

whenever both limits exist for all bounded sequences $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i} \subseteq X,\left(y_{i}\right)_{i} \subseteq Y$ and $z^{\prime} \in Z^{*}$, see $[5,20]$.
The regularity of a normed algebra $A$ is defined to be the regularity of its algebra multiplication when considered as a bilinear mapping. Let $a^{\prime \prime}$ and $b^{\prime \prime}$ be elements of $A^{* *}$, the second dual of $A$. By Goldstin's Theorem [4, P.424-425], there are nets $\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ and $\left(b_{\beta}\right)_{\beta}$ in $A$ such that $a^{\prime \prime}=w e a k^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} a_{\alpha}$ and $b^{\prime \prime}=w e a k^{*}-\lim _{\beta} b_{\beta}$. So it is easy to see that for all $a^{\prime} \in A^{*}$,

$$
\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle a^{\prime}, m\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\beta}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha}\left\langle a^{\prime}, m\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\beta}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} o b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

where $a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}$ and $a^{\prime \prime} o b^{\prime \prime}$ are the first and second Arens products of $A^{* *}$, respectively, see [5, 20].
The mapping $m$ is left strongly Arens irregular if $Z_{1}(m)=X$ and $m$ is right strongly Arens irregular if $Z_{2}(m)=Y$.
Regarding $A$ as a Banach $A$-bimodule, the operation $\pi: A \times A \rightarrow A$ extends to $\pi^{* * *}$ and $\pi^{t * * * t}$ defined on $A^{* *} \times A^{* *}$. These extensions are known, respectively, as the first (left) and the second (right) Arens products, and with each of them, the second dual space $A^{* *}$ becomes a Banach algebra. In this situation, we shall also simplify our notations. So the first (left) Arens product of $a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$ shall be simply indicated by $a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}$ and defined by the three steps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle a^{\prime} a, b\right\rangle & =\left\langle a^{\prime}, a b\right\rangle \\
\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}, a\right\rangle & =\left\langle a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime} a\right\rangle \\
\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\left\langle a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $a, b \in A$ and $a^{\prime} \in A^{*}$. Similarly, the second (right) Arens product of $a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$ shall be indicated by $a^{\prime \prime} o b^{\prime \prime}$ and defined by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle a o a^{\prime}, b\right\rangle & =\left\langle a^{\prime}, b a\right\rangle \\
\left\langle a^{\prime} o a^{\prime \prime}, a\right\rangle & =\left\langle a^{\prime \prime}, a o a^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} o b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\left\langle b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime} o b^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $a^{\prime} \in A^{*}$.

## 2. Arens regularity of projective tensor product algebras

The tensor product, $X \otimes Y$, of the vector space $X, Y$ can be constructed as a space of linear functional on $B(X \times Y)$, in the following way:
Let $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. We denote by $x \otimes y$ the functional given by evaluation at the point $(x, y)$. In other words,

$$
\langle x \otimes y, A\rangle=A(x, y)
$$

for each bilinear from $A$ on $X \times Y$, so the tensor product $X \otimes Y$ is the subspace of the dual of bounded bilinear forms on $X \otimes Y, B(X \times Y)^{*}$.
We recall that each tensor $u \in X \otimes Y$ acts as a linear functional on the space of bilinear
forms and so we may define a mapping $\tilde{A}: X \otimes Y \rightarrow K$ by $u \in X \otimes Y \rightarrow\langle A, u\rangle \in K$. In summary, we have

$$
B(X \times Y)=(X \otimes Y)^{*}
$$

Let $X, Y, E$ and $F$ be vector spaces and let $S: X \rightarrow E$ and $T: Y \rightarrow F$ be linear mappings. Then we may define a bilinear mapping by $(x, y) \in X \times Y \rightarrow(S x) \otimes(T y) \in$ $E \otimes F$. Linearization gives a linear mapping $(S \otimes T): X \otimes Y \rightarrow E \otimes F$ such that $(S \otimes T)(x \otimes y)=(S x) \otimes(T y)$ for every $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.
By $X \hat{\otimes} Y$ and $X \check{\otimes} Y$ we shall denote, respectively, the projective and injective tensor products of $X$ and $Y$. That is, $X \hat{\otimes} Y$ is the completion of $X \otimes Y$ for the norm

$$
\|u\|=\inf \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|x_{i}\right\|\left\|y_{i}\right\|
$$

where the infimum is taken over all the representations of $u$ as a finite sum of the form $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}$, and $X \ddot{\otimes} Y$ is the completion of $X \otimes Y$ for the norm

$$
\|u\|=\sup \left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle x^{\prime}, x_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle y^{\prime}, y_{i}\right\rangle\right|:\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1,\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leq 1\right\}
$$

The dual space of $X \hat{\otimes} Y$ is $B(X \times Y)$, and that of $X \check{\otimes} Y$ is a subspace of $B(X \times Y)$. Although the injective tensor product of two Banach algebra $A$ and $B$ is not always a Banach algebra, their projective tensor product is always a Banach algebra. The natural multiplication of $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is the linear extension of the following multiplication on decomposable tensors $(a \otimes b)(\tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{b})=a \tilde{a} \otimes b \tilde{b}$. For more information about the tensor product of Banach algebra, see for example [4, 5].
A functional $a^{\prime}$ in $A^{*}$ is said to be wap (weakly almost periodic) on $A$ if the mapping $a \rightarrow a^{\prime} a$ from $A$ into $A^{*}$ is weakly compact. Pym in [20] showed that this definition to the equivalent following condition
For any two net $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i}$ and $\left(b_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $\{a \in A:\|a\| \leq 1\}$, we have

$$
\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle a^{\prime}, a_{i} b_{j}\right\rangle=\lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle a^{\prime}, a_{i} b_{j}\right\rangle
$$

whenever both iterated limits exist. The collection of all wap functionals on $A$ is denoted by $w a p(A)$. Also we have $a^{\prime} \in \operatorname{wap}(A)$ if and only if $\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} o b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for every $a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$. Thus, it is clear that $A$ is Arens regular if and only if $\operatorname{wap}(A)=A^{*}$. In the following, for Banach algebras $A$ and $B$, for showing Arens regularity of projective tensor products $A \hat{\otimes} B$, we establish $\operatorname{wap}(A \hat{\otimes} B)=(A \hat{\otimes} B)^{*}$. In all of this section, we regard $A^{*} \hat{\otimes} B^{*}$ as a subset of $(A \hat{\otimes} B)^{*}$.

Theorem 2-1. Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are Banach algebra and for every sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i},\left(y_{j}\right)_{j} \subseteq A_{1},\left(z_{i}\right)_{i},\left(w_{j}\right)_{j} \subseteq B_{1}$ and $f \in \mathbf{B}(A \times B)$, we have

$$
\lim _{j} \lim _{i} f\left(x_{i} z_{i}, y_{j} w_{j}\right)=\lim _{i} \lim _{j} f\left(x_{i} z_{i}, y_{j} w_{j}\right)
$$

Then $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is Arens regular.

Proof. Assume that $f \in \mathbf{B}(A \times B)$. Since $\mathbf{B}(A \times B)=(A \hat{\otimes} B)^{*}$, it is enough to show that $f \in \operatorname{wap}(A \hat{\otimes} B)$. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i},\left(y_{j}\right)_{j} \subseteq A_{1}$ and $\left(z_{i}\right)_{i},\left(w_{j}\right)_{j} \subseteq B_{1}$, then we have the following equality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle f,\left(x_{i} \otimes y_{j}\right)\left(z_{i} \otimes w_{j}\right)\right\rangle=\lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle f, x_{i} z_{i} \otimes y_{j} w_{j}\right\rangle \\
=\lim _{j} \lim _{i} f\left(x_{i} z_{i}, y_{j} w_{j}\right)=\lim _{i} \lim _{j} f\left(x_{i} z_{i}, y_{j} w_{j}\right) \\
=\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle f,\left(x_{i} \otimes y_{j}\right)\left(z_{i} \otimes w_{j}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently by [20], $f \in \operatorname{wap}(A \hat{\otimes} B)$.

Definition 2-2. Assume that $B$ is a Banach $A$ - bimodule. We say that $B$ is nontrivial on $A$, if for every $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \subseteq A_{1}$ and $\left(b_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{n} \subseteq B_{1}$, respectively, basis elements of $A$ and $B$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} a_{i} b_{i} \neq 0$ where $\alpha_{i}$ is scaler and every $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are distinct for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.
For example, take $B=\mathbb{R} \times\{0\}$ and $A=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ by the following multiplication

$$
\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1}, 0\right)=\left(a_{1} b_{1}, 0\right) \text { where } a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Theorem 2-3. Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are Banach algebras and $B$ is unital. Let $B$ be a Banach $A$-bimodule. Then we have the following assertions:
(1) If $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is Arens regular, then $A$ is Arens regular.
(2) Let $B$ be non-trivial on $A$ and let $B$ be an unital Banach $A$-module. Then $A$ and $B$ are Arens regular if and only if $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is Arens regular.

Proof. (1) Assume that $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is Arens regular and let $u \in B$ be an unit element of $B$. We show that $\operatorname{wap}(A)=A^{*}$. Assume that $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i} \subseteq A,\left(c_{j}\right)_{j} \subseteq A$ whenever both iterated limits exist and $a^{\prime} \in A^{*}$. Then we define $\phi=a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}$ where $b^{\prime} \in B^{*}$ and $b^{\prime}(u)=1$. Since $A^{*} \otimes B^{*} \subseteq(A \otimes B)^{*}$ and $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is Arens regular, we have $a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime} \in \operatorname{wap}(A \otimes B)$. Hence it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle a^{\prime}, a_{i} c_{j}\right\rangle=\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}, a_{i} c_{j} \otimes u\right\rangle \\
=\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime},\left(a_{i} \otimes u\right)\left(c_{j} \otimes u\right)\right\rangle=\lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime},\left(a_{i} \otimes u\right)\left(c_{j} \otimes u\right)\right\rangle \\
=\lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle a^{\prime}, a_{i} c_{j}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

We conclude that $a^{\prime} \in \operatorname{wap}(A)$, and so $A$ is Arens regular.
(2) Let $u$ be an unit element of $B$ and suppose that $B$ is Arens regular. Then $\operatorname{wap}(B)=B^{*}$. Suppose that $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i} \subseteq A_{1}$ and $\left(b_{j}\right)_{j} \subseteq B_{1}$ whenever both iterated limits exist. Then $\left(a_{i} u\right)_{i} \subseteq B_{1}$, and so for every $b^{\prime} \in B^{*}$, we have the following equality

$$
\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle b^{\prime},\left(a_{i} u\right) b_{j}\right\rangle=\lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle b^{\prime},\left(a_{i} u\right) b_{j}\right\rangle
$$

Now let $\phi \in(A \hat{\otimes} B)^{*}$. We define the mapping $T: A \hat{\otimes} B \rightarrow B$ such that $T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} a_{i} \otimes b_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} a_{i} b_{i}$ where $a_{i} \in A, b_{i} \in B$ and $\alpha_{i}$ is a scaler. We show that $\phi o T^{-1} \in B^{*}$. Since $B$ is not-trivial on $A, T^{-1}$ exist. Now let $e \in A$ be an unit element for $B$ as Banach $A$-module and let $\left(b_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha} \subseteq B$ such that $b_{\alpha} \rightarrow b$. Then $e \otimes b_{\alpha} \rightarrow e \otimes b$ in $A \hat{\otimes} B$, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\phi o T^{-1}, b_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi, T^{-1}\left(b_{\alpha}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi, T^{-1}\left(e b_{\alpha}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi, e \otimes b_{\alpha}\right\rangle \\
\rightarrow\langle\phi, e \otimes b\rangle=\left\langle\phi, T^{-1}(u b)\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi o T^{-1}, b\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently $\phi o T^{-1} \in B^{*}$. Now we have the following equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle\phi, a_{i} \otimes b_{j}\right\rangle=\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle b^{\prime} o T, a_{i} \otimes b_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle b^{\prime}, T\left(a_{i} \otimes b_{j}\right)\right\rangle=\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle b^{\prime}, a_{i} b_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle b^{\prime}, a_{i}\left(u b_{j}\right)\right\rangle=\lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle b^{\prime},\left(a_{i} u\right) b_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle b^{\prime}, T\left(a_{i} \otimes b_{j}\right)\right\rangle=\lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle\phi, a_{i} \otimes b_{j}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\phi \in \operatorname{wap}(A \hat{\otimes} B)$, and so $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is Arens regular.
The converse by using part (1) hold.

Corollary 2-4 . Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are unital Banach algebras and $B$ is an unital Banach as $A$ - module. Assume that $B$ is non-trivial on $A$. Then if $A$ and $B$ are Arens regular, then every bilinear form $m: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is weakly compact.

Proof. By using Theorem 2-3 and [22, Theorem 3.4], proof hold.

Example 2-5. $\left(\ell^{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\right) \hat{\otimes} \ell^{\infty}$ is Arens regular.
Proof. We know that $\ell^{\infty}$ is $\left(\ell^{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\right)$-bimodule and $\ell^{\infty}$ is unital. $\ell^{\infty}$ is also non-trivial on $\left(\ell^{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\right)$. By using [2, Corollary 8] and [5, Example 2.6.22(iii)], respectively, we know that $\ell^{\infty}$ and $\left(\ell^{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\right)$ are Arens regular, and so by Theorem $2-3,\left(\ell^{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\right) \hat{\otimes} \ell^{\infty}$ is Arens regular.

Let $A$ and $B$ be Banach algebras. A bilinear form $m: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be biregular, if for any two pairs of sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i},\left(\tilde{a}_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $A_{1}$ and $\left(b_{i}\right)_{i},\left(\tilde{b}_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $B_{1}$, we have

$$
\lim _{i} \lim _{j} m\left(a_{i} \tilde{a}_{j}, b_{i} \tilde{b}_{j}\right)=\lim _{j} \lim _{i} m\left(a_{i} \tilde{a}_{j}, b_{i} \tilde{b}_{j}\right)
$$

provided that these limits exist.
There are some example of biregular non regular bilinear form that for more information see [22].

Corollary 2-6. Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are Banach algebras. Then we have the following assertions.
(1) By conditions of Theorem 2-1, every bilinear form $m: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is biregular.
(2) By conditions of Theorem 2-3 (2), every bilinear form $m: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is biregular.

Example 2-7. Every bilinear form $m:\left(\ell^{1} \oplus \mathbb{C}\right) \times \ell^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Arens regular.
Proof. By notice to Example 2-5 and [22, Theorem 3.4], proof is hold.

In the following we give simple proof for biregularity of bilinear form $m: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $m(a, b)=\langle u(a), b\rangle$ where $u: A \rightarrow B^{*}$ is continuous linear operator that is introduced in [22, Theorem 3.4].

Theorem 2-8 [22]. Let $A$ and $B$ be Banach algebras and $u: A \rightarrow B^{*}$ is continuous linear operator. Then the bilinear form $m: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $m(a, b)=\langle u(a), b\rangle$ is biregular.

Proof. Let $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i},\left(\tilde{a}_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $A_{1}$ and $\left(b_{i}\right)_{i},\left(\tilde{b}_{j}\right)_{j}$ in $B_{1}$ be such that the following iterated limits exist

$$
\lim _{i} \lim _{j} m\left(a_{i} \tilde{a}_{j}, b_{i} \tilde{b}_{j}\right) \text { and } \lim _{j} \lim _{i} m\left(a_{i} \tilde{a}_{j}, b_{i} \tilde{b}_{j}\right) .
$$

By [8, p.424], from these sequences we can extract $\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha},\left(\tilde{a}_{\beta}\right)_{\beta}$ in $A$ and $\left(b_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha},\left(\tilde{b}_{\beta}\right)_{\beta}$ in $B$ such that $a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} a^{\prime \prime}$ and $\tilde{a}_{\beta} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} \tilde{a}^{\prime \prime}$ in $A^{* *}$ and we have also $b_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} b^{\prime \prime}$ and $\tilde{b}_{\beta} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} \tilde{b}^{\prime \prime}$ in $B^{* *}$. Since $A$ and $B$ are Arens regular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} a_{\alpha} \tilde{a}_{\beta}=\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \tilde{a}_{\beta}=a^{\prime \prime} \tilde{a}^{\prime \prime} \\
& \text { and } \\
& \lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} b_{\alpha} \tilde{b}_{\beta}= \lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \tilde{b}_{\beta}=b^{\prime \prime} \tilde{b}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, since $u$ is continuous, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} m\left(a_{\alpha} \tilde{a}_{\beta}, b_{\alpha} \tilde{b}_{\beta}\right)=\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle u\left(a_{\alpha} \tilde{a}_{\beta}\right), b_{\alpha} \tilde{b}_{\beta}\right\rangle \\
=\left\langle u^{\prime \prime}\left(a^{\prime \prime} \tilde{a}^{\prime \prime}\right), b^{\prime \prime} \tilde{b}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha} m\left(a_{\alpha} \tilde{a}_{\beta}, b_{\alpha} \tilde{b}_{\beta}\right)=\left\langle u^{\prime \prime}\left(a^{\prime \prime} \tilde{a}^{\prime \prime}\right), b^{\prime \prime} \tilde{b}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle
$$

Consequently we have

$$
\lim _{i} \lim _{j} m\left(a_{i} \tilde{a}_{j}, b_{i} \tilde{b}_{j}\right)=\lim _{j} \lim _{i} m\left(a_{i} \tilde{a}_{j}, b_{i} \tilde{b}_{j}\right)
$$

It follows that $m$ is biregular.

Example 2-9 [22]. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and $1<p<\infty$. Then
(1) $\ell^{p} \hat{\otimes} A$ is Arens regular if and only if $A$ is Arens regular.
(2) Let $G$ be a locally compact group. Then, $L^{p}(G) \hat{\otimes} A$ is Arens regular if and only if $A$ is Arens regular.

Proof. By using [22, Theorem 3.4] and Theorem 2-8, proof hold.

## 3. Weak amenability of Banach algebras

For Banach algebra $A$, Dales, Rodrigues-Palacios and Velasco in [7] have been studied the weak amenability of $A$, when its second dual is weakly amenable. Mohamadzadih and Vishki in [19] have given simple solution to this problem with some other results, and Eshaghi Gordji and Filali in [10] have been studied this problem with some new results. In this section, We study this problem in the new way with some new results. Thus, for Banach $A$ - module $B$, we introduce some new concepts as left - weak* - weak convergence property [ $L w^{*} w c$-property] and right - weak* - weak convergence property [ $R w^{*} w c-$ property] with respect to $A$ and we show that if $A^{*}$ and $A^{* *}$, respectively, have $R w^{*} w c$-property and $L w^{*} w c$-property and $A^{* *}$ is weakly amenable, then $A$ is weakly amenable. We also show the relations between these properties and weak amenability of $A$. Now in the following, for left and right Banach $A$ - module $B$, we define, respectively, $L w^{*} w c$-property and $R w^{*} w c$-property concepts with some examples.

Definition 3-1. Assume that $B$ is a left Banach $A$-module. Let $a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$ and $\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha} \subset A$ such that $a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} a^{\prime \prime}$ in $A^{* *}$. We say that $b^{\prime} \in B^{*}$ has left $-w e a k^{*}-w e a k$ convergence property $L w^{*} w c$-property with respect to $A$, if $b^{\prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} b^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}$ in $B^{*}$.
When every $b^{\prime} \in B^{*}$ has $L w^{*} w c$-property with respect to $A$, we say that $B^{*}$ has $L w^{*} w c-$ property.
The definition of right $-w e a k^{*}-w e a k$ convergence property [ $=R w^{*} w c$-property] with respect to $A$ is similar and if $b^{\prime} \in B^{*}$ has left $-w e a k^{*}-w e a k$ convergence property and right $-w e a k^{*}-w e a k$ convergence property, then we say that $b^{\prime} \in B^{*}$ has weak* - weak convergence property $\left[=w^{*} w c\right.$-property].
By using Lemma 3.1 from [17], it is clear that if $A^{*}$ has $L w^{*} w c$-property, then $A$ is Arens regular.
Assume that $B$ is a left Banach $A$-module. We say that $b^{\prime} \in B^{*}$ has left-weak* weak convergence property to zero $L w^{*} w c$-property to zero with respect to $A$, if for every $\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha} \subset A, b^{\prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} 0$ in $B^{*}$ implies that $b^{\prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in $B^{*}$.

## Example 3-2 .

(1) Every reflexive Banach $A$ - module has $w^{*} w c$-property.
(2) Let $\Omega$ be a compact group and suppose that $A=C(\Omega)$ and $B=M(\Omega)$. Let $\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha} \subseteq A$ and $\mu \in B$. Suppose that $\mu a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} 0$, then for each $a \in A$, we have

$$
\left\langle\mu a_{\alpha}, a\right\rangle=\left\langle\mu, a_{\alpha} * a\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left(a_{\alpha} * a\right) d \mu \rightarrow 0
$$

We set $a=1_{\Omega}$. Then $\mu\left(a_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Now let $b^{\prime} \in B^{*}$. Then

$$
\left\langle b^{\prime}, \mu a_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{\alpha} b^{\prime}, \mu\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} a_{\alpha} b^{\prime} d \mu \leq\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|\left|\int_{\Omega} a_{\alpha} d \mu\right|=\left\|b^{\prime}\right\|\left|\mu\left(a_{\alpha}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0
$$

It follows that $\mu a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} 0$, and so that $\mu$ has $R w^{*} w c-$ property to zero with respect to $A$.

Let now $B$ be a Banach $A$-bimodule, and let

$$
\pi_{\ell}: A \times B \rightarrow B \text { and } \pi_{r}: B \times A \rightarrow B
$$

be the left and right module actions of $A$ on $B$, respectively. Then $B^{* *}$ is a Banach $A^{* *}$ - bimodule with module actions

$$
\pi_{\ell}^{* * *}: A^{* *} \times B^{* *} \rightarrow B^{* *} \text { and } \pi_{r}^{* * *}: B^{* *} \times A^{* *} \rightarrow B^{* *}
$$

Similarly, $B^{* *}$ is a Banach $A^{* *}$ - bimodule with module actions

$$
\pi_{\ell}^{t * * * t}: A^{* *} \times B^{* *} \rightarrow B^{* *} \text { and } \pi_{r}^{t * * * t}: B^{* *} \times A^{* *} \rightarrow B^{* *}
$$

For a Banach $A$-bimodule $B$, we define the topological centers of the left and right module actions of $A$ on $B$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{A^{* *}}^{\ell}\left(B^{* *}\right)=Z\left(\pi_{r}\right)= & \left\{b^{\prime \prime} \in B^{* *}: \text { the map } a^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \pi_{r}^{* * *}\left(b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right): A^{* *} \rightarrow B^{* *}\right. \\
& \text { is weak } \left.- \text { weak } k^{*} \text { continuous }\right\} \\
Z_{B^{* *}}^{\ell}\left(A^{* *}\right)=Z\left(\pi_{\ell}\right)= & \left\{a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}: \text { the map } b^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \pi_{\ell}^{* * *}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right): B^{* *} \rightarrow B^{* *}\right. \\
& \text { is weak }- \text { weak continuous }\} \\
Z_{A^{* *}}^{r}\left(B^{* *}\right)=Z\left(\pi_{\ell}^{t}\right)= & \left\{b^{\prime \prime} \in B^{* *}: \text { the map } a^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \pi_{\ell}^{t * * *}\left(b^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right): A^{* *} \rightarrow B^{* *}\right. \\
& \text { is weak }- \text { weak continuous }\} \\
Z_{B^{* *}}^{r}\left(A^{* *}\right)=Z\left(\pi_{r}^{t}\right)= & \left\{a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}: \text { the map } b^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \pi_{r}^{t * *}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right): B^{* *} \rightarrow B^{* *}\right. \\
& \text { is weak } \left.- \text { weak }^{*} \text { continuous }\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3-3. i) Assume that $B$ is a left Banach $A$ - module. If $B^{*} A^{* *} \subseteq B^{*}$, then $B^{*}$ has $L w^{*} w c-$ property.
ii) Assume that $B$ is a right Banach $A-$ module. If $A^{* *} B^{*} \subseteq B^{*}$ and $Z_{A^{* *}}^{r}\left(B^{* *}\right)=B^{* *}$, then $B^{*}$ has $R w^{*} w c$-property.

Proof. i) Assume that $a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$ and $\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha} \subseteq A$ such that $a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} a^{\prime \prime}$. Then for every $b^{\prime \prime} \in B^{* *}$, since $b^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime} \in B^{*}$, we have

$$
<b^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}>=<a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime}>=\lim _{\alpha}<a_{\alpha} b^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime}>=\lim _{\alpha}<b^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime} a_{\alpha}>.
$$

It follows that $b^{\prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} b^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}$.
ii) Proof is similar to (i).

Theorem 3-4. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and suppose that $A^{*}$ and $A^{* *}$, respectively, have $R w^{*} w c$-property and $L w^{*} w c$-property. If $A^{* *}$ is weakly amenable, then $A$ is weakly amenable.

Proof. Assume that $a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$ and $\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha} \subseteq A$ such that $a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} a^{\prime \prime}$. Then for each $a^{\prime} \in A^{*}$, we have $a_{\alpha} a^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}$ in $A^{*}$. Since $A^{*}$ has $R w^{*} w c-$ property, $a_{\alpha} a^{\prime} \xrightarrow{w} a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}$ in $A^{*}$. Then for every $x^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$, we have

$$
\left\langle x^{\prime \prime} a_{\alpha}, a^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime \prime}, a_{\alpha} a^{\prime}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle x^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

It follows that $x^{\prime \prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} x^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}$. Since $A^{* *}$ has $L w^{*} w c$-property with respect to $A$, $x^{\prime \prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} x^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}$. If $D: A \rightarrow A^{*}$ is a bounded derivation, we extend it to a bounded linear mapping $D^{\prime \prime}$ from $A^{* *}$ into $A^{* * *}$. Suppose that $a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$ and $\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha},\left(b_{\beta}\right)_{\beta} \subseteq A$ such that $a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} a^{\prime \prime}$ and $b_{\beta} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} b^{\prime \prime}$. Since $x^{\prime \prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} x^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}$ for every $x^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$, we have

$$
\lim _{\alpha}\left\langle D^{\prime \prime}\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right), x^{\prime \prime} a_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle D^{\prime \prime}\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right), x^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle
$$

In the following we take limit on the weak* topologies. Thus we have

$$
\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} D\left(a_{\alpha}\right) b_{\beta}=D^{\prime \prime}\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right) b^{\prime \prime}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
D^{\prime \prime}\left(a^{\prime \prime} b^{\prime \prime}\right)=\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} D\left(a_{\alpha} b_{\beta}\right)=\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} D\left(a_{\alpha}\right) b_{\beta}+\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} a_{\alpha} D\left(b_{\beta}\right) \\
=D^{\prime \prime}\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right) b^{\prime \prime}+a^{\prime \prime} D^{\prime \prime}\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $A^{* *}$ is weakly amenable, there is $a^{\prime \prime \prime} \in A^{* * *}$ such that $D^{\prime \prime}=\delta_{a^{\prime \prime \prime}}$. We conclude that $D=\left.D^{\prime \prime}\right|_{A}=\left.\delta_{a^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right|_{A}$. Hence for each $x^{\prime} \in A^{*}$, we have $D=\left.x^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|_{A}-\left.a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|_{A} x^{\prime}$. Take $a^{\prime}=\left.a^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|_{A}$. It follows that $H^{1}\left(A, A^{*}\right)=0$.

Theorem 3-5. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and suppose that $D: A \rightarrow A^{*}$ is a surjective derivation. If $D^{\prime \prime}$ is a derivation, then we have the following assertions.
(1) $A^{*}$ and $A^{* *}$, respectively, have $w^{*} w c$-property and $L w^{*} w c$-property with respect to $A$.
(2) For every $a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$, the mapping $x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow a^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime}$ from $A^{* *}$ into $A^{* *}$ is weak* weak continuous.
(3) $A$ is Arens regular.
(4) If $A$ has $L B A I$, then $A$ is reflexive.

Proof. (1) Since $D$ is surjective, $D^{\prime \prime}$ is surjective, and so by using [19, Theorem 2.2], we have $A^{* * *} A^{* *} \subseteq D^{\prime \prime}\left(A^{* *}\right) A^{* *} \subseteq A^{*}$. Suppose that $a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$ and $\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha} \subseteq A$ such that $a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} a^{\prime \prime}$. Then for each $x^{\prime} \in A^{*}$, we have $x^{\prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} x^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}$. Since $A^{* * *} A^{* *} \subseteq A^{*}, x^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{*}$. Then for every $x^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$, we have

$$
\left\langle x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} a_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime}, a_{\alpha}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle a^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle .
$$

It follows that $x^{\prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} x^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}$ in $A^{*}$. Thus $x^{\prime}$ has $L w^{*} w c-$ property with respect to $A$. The proof that $x^{\prime}$ has $R w^{*} w c-$ property with respect to $A$ is similar, and so $A^{*}$ has $w^{*} w c$-property.

Suppose that $x^{\prime \prime \prime} \in A^{* * *}$. Since $A^{* * *} A^{* *} \subseteq A^{*}, x^{\prime \prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} x^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}$ for each $x^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$. Then

$$
\left\langle x^{\prime \prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime} a_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime \prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime}, a_{\alpha}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle x^{\prime \prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime \prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle .
$$

It follows that $x^{\prime \prime} a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} x^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}$. Thus $x^{\prime \prime}$ has $L w^{*} w c-$ property with respect to A.
(2) Suppose that $\left(a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\alpha} \subseteq A^{* *}$ and $a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} a^{\prime \prime}$. Let $x^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$. Then for every $x^{\prime \prime \prime} \in A^{* * *}$, since $A^{* * *} A^{* *} \subseteq A^{*}$, we have

$$
\left\langle x^{\prime \prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime} a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime \prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime}, a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle x^{\prime \prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime \prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle .
$$

(3) It follows from (2).
(4) Let $\left(e_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha} \subseteq A$ be a BLAI for $A$. Then without loss generality, let $e^{\prime \prime}$ be a left unit for $A^{* *}$ such that $e_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} e^{\prime \prime}$. Suppose that $\left(a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\alpha} \subseteq A^{* *}$ and $a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} a^{\prime \prime}$. Then for every $a^{\prime \prime \prime} \in A^{* * *}$, since $A^{* * *} A^{* *} \subseteq A^{*}$, we have

$$
\left\langle a^{\prime \prime \prime}, a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{\prime \prime \prime}, e^{\prime \prime} a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{\prime \prime \prime} e^{\prime \prime}, a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle a^{\prime \prime \prime} e^{\prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle a^{\prime \prime \prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle .
$$

It follows that $a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{w} a^{\prime \prime}$. Consequently $A$ is reflexive.

Corollary 3-6. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and suppose that $D: A \rightarrow A^{*}$ is a surjective derivation. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(1) $A^{*}$ and $A^{* *}$, respectively, have $R w^{*} w c-$ property and $L w^{*} w c$-property.
(2) For every $a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{* *}$, the mapping $x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow a^{\prime \prime} x^{\prime \prime}$ from $A^{* *}$ into $A^{* *}$ is weak $k^{*}$ weak continuous.

## Problems.

1. Let $G$ be a locally compact group. What can say for the following sets?
(1) $Z_{L^{1}(G)^{* *}}^{\ell}\left(\left(L^{1}(G) \hat{\otimes} L^{1}(G)\right)^{* *}\right)=$ ?, $Z_{L^{1}(G)^{* * *}}^{r}\left(\left(L^{1}(G) \hat{\otimes} L^{1}(G)\right)^{* *}\right)=$ ?
(2) $Z_{\left(L^{1}(G) \hat{\otimes} L^{1}(G)\right)^{* *}}^{\ell}\left(L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right)=$ ?, $Z_{\left(L^{1}(G) \hat{\otimes} L^{1}(G)\right)^{* *}}^{r}\left(L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right)=$ ?
(3) $Z_{L^{1}(G)^{* *}}^{\ell}\left(L^{1}(G)^{* *} \hat{\otimes} L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right)=$ ?, $Z_{L^{1}(G)^{* *}}^{r}\left(L^{1}(G)^{* *} \hat{\otimes} L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right)=$ ?
2. Suppose that $S$ is a compact semigroup. Dose $L^{1}(S)^{*}$ and $M(S)^{*}$ have $L w^{*} w c$-property or $R w^{*} w c$-property?
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