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#### Abstract

In this note we present a description of wave front evolving from an algebraic hypersurface by means of a pull-back of the discriminantal loci of a tame polynomial via a polynomial mapping. As an application we give examples of wave fronts which define free/almost free divisors near the focal point.


## 0 Introduction

During the last decade we witnessed an intensive development of studies on wave fronts mainly from the differential geometric point of view. We restrict ourselves to recall the works of authors like S.Izumiya, K.Saji, M.Umehara, K.Yamada. After their definition, a map germ $f:\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 0\right)$ is called a wave front if there exists a unit vector field $\mathbf{e}$ along $f$ such that the $(f, \mathbf{e}):\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(P T \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 0\right)$ is a Legendrian immersion.

In this article we propose an approach to the geometric studies of wave front (equidistant, parallel) surfaces based on complex analytic tools. The main difference between our method and the above mentioned differential geometric method consists in the way to represent a wave front: we try to describe it by means of its defining equation, while the latter relies on its parametrisation. Here we present a description of wave front evolving from an algebraic hypersurface by means of the discriminantal loci of a tame polynomial. In contrast to [1], we do not identify the deformation parameter space of a polynomial and the space-time variables $(x, t)$. This situation makes us to consider a mapping $\iota(1.7)$ from the physical space-time $(x, t)$ world to the deformation parameter space. As we treat the deformation of the phase function $\Psi(x, t, z)$ (1.4) (or square distant function $\Phi(x, t, z)$, Remark 1.1) in a global setting, we are obliged to take into account its vanishing cycles at infinity.

[^0]To avoid difficulties associated to the vanishing cycles at infinity with non-trivial monodromy (denoted by $E_{c}^{\prime}$ in [8, Theorem 0.5]), we have chosen a strategy to treat only tame polynomial cases (see Lemma 2.1).

The majority of ever existing works reduce the Lagrangian or Legendrian singularities to local normal forms with the aid of diffeomorphisms. In [15] we have proposed an asymptotic analysis of the fundamental solution to hyperbolic Cauchy problem around the singular loci of the globally evolving wave front. In this article we removed the quasihomogeneity condition imposed on the initial wave front in [15]. Our Theorems 2.5, 3.1 generalise [15, Theorem 10].

Another objective of this article is to show that certain wave front gives example of an almost free divisor. The research on this kind of divisor has been initiated by J.Damon [6] and D.Mond [13. The former gave the rank of "singular vanishing cycles" module while the latter uses differential forms to describe the vanishing cohomology in the singular Milnor fibre of an almost free divisor. Their activities are motivated by a discovery [7] on the stabilization of a singular mapping: the discriminant of a stabilization plays a role of "Milnor fibre" for the discriminant of the mapping. Despite remarkable results on topological invariants of almost free divisors, quite few non-trivial examples are present in their works, especially those with the physical meanings are absent. Here we supply a class of examples that arise from geometric optics. We shall notice, however, our basic idea to construct an almost free divisor $\iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$ as a pull back of a free divisor $D_{\varphi}$ (the definition of its freeness as a divisor coincides with the formulation oheorem [2.5, 1) below) via a polynomial mapping has already been underlined by J.Damon in [7, p.219] and [6] where he uses the terminology "nonlinear section" of a free divisor.

It is a great pleasure for us to dedicate our humble result to the 60 th birthday of David Mond who founded a beautiful and significant theory on interrelations between free and almost free divisors. This relation would have never come into author's attention if not twice stays at University of Warwick realised by his invitation.

## 1 Preliminaries on the wave fronts

In this section we prepare fundamental notations and lemmata to develop our studies in further sections. Let us denote by $Y:=\left\{(z, u) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} ; F(z)+u=\right.$ $0\}$ the complexified initial wave front set defined by a polynomial $F(z) \in$ $\mathbb{R}\left[z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right], z=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$. The real initial wave front set is given by $Y \cap \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Let us consider the traveling of the ray starting from a point $(z, u) \in Y$
along unit vectors perpendicular to the hypersurface tangent to $Y$ at $(z, u)$. It will reach at the point $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{j}= \pm t \frac{1}{\left|\left(d_{z} F(z), 1\right)\right|} \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial z_{j}}+z_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n \\
& x_{n+1}= \pm t \frac{1}{\left|\left(d_{z} F(z), 1\right)\right|}+u \text { with }(z, u) \in Y \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

at the moment $t$. Further on, we denote by $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right), x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n+1}\right)$. We see that $(x, t)$ and $(z, u)$ satisfying the relation (1.1) are located on the zero loci of two phase functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{ \pm}(x, t, z, u)=\left(\left\langle x^{\prime}-z, d_{z} F(z)\right\rangle+\left(x_{n+1}-u\right)\right) \pm t\left|\left(d_{z} F(z), 1\right)\right|, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

each of which corresponds to the backward $\psi_{+}(x, t, z, u)$ (resp. the forward $\left.\psi_{-}(x, t, z, u)\right)$ wave propagation. To simplify the argument, we will not distinguish forward and backward wave propagations in future. This leads us to introduce an unified phase function

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi(x, t, z, u):=\psi_{+}(x, t, z, u) \cdot \psi_{-}(x, t, z, u) \\
=\left(\left\langle x^{\prime}-z, d_{z} F(z)\right\rangle+\left(x_{n+1}+u\right)\right)^{2}-t^{2}\left|\left(d_{z} F(z), 1\right)\right|^{2}, \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us denote by $W_{t}$ the wave front at time $t$ with the initial wave front $Y$ i.e. $Y=W_{0}$. Now we consider the following projection.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\pi:\{(z, u) \in Y: \psi(x, t, z, u)=0\} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \\
(x, t, z, u) & \mapsto & (x, t) .
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 1.1. For $x \in W_{t}$, the point $(x, t)$ belongs to the critical value set of the projection $\pi$ defined just above.

We can understand this fact in several ways. Instead of purely geometrical interpretation, in our previous publication [15] we adopted investigation of the singular loci of the integral of type,

$$
I(x, t)=\int_{\gamma} H(z, u)\left(\frac{1}{\psi_{+}(x, t, z, u)}+\frac{1}{\psi_{-}(x, t, z, u)}\right) d z \wedge d u
$$

for $\gamma \in H_{n}(Y)$ and $H(z, u) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}$. The above integral ramifies around its singular loci $W_{t}$ and by the general theory of the Gel'fand-Leray integrals (cf. [17]), $W_{t}$ is contained in the critical value set mentioned in the Lemma [1.1,

According to the Lemma 1.1, The set $B W:=\cup_{t \in \mathbb{C}} W_{t} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ (the real part of it is the big wave front after Arnol'd [1, 6.3], [2, 22.1]) can be
interpreted as a subset of the discriminant of the function (called the phase function)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x, t, z):=\left(\left\langle x^{\prime}-z, d_{z} F(z)\right\rangle+x_{n+1}+F(z)\right)^{2}-t^{2}\left(\left|d_{z} F(z)\right|^{2}+1\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$. This is a set of $(x, t)$ for which the algebraic variety

$$
X_{x, t}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \Psi(x, t, z)=0\right\}
$$

has singular points.
Remark 1.1. Masaru Hasegawa and Toshizumi Fukui [12] study the wave front $W_{t}$ as a discriminantal loci of the function,

$$
\Phi(x, t, z)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\left(x^{\prime}-z, x_{n+1}+F(z)\right)\right|^{2}-t^{2}\right),
$$

that measures the tangency of the sphere $\left\{\left(z, z_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}: \mid\left(z-x^{\prime}, z_{n+1}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.x_{n+1}\right)\left.\right|^{2}=t^{2}\right\}$ with the hypersurface $Y \cap \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. In some cases, this approach allows us to get less complicated expression of the defining equation of $B W$ in comparison with ours in Theorem 2.5.

As Hasegawa points out, generally speaking, the inclusion of $B W$ into the discriminant of (1.4) is strict. The parabolic points of $F(z)$ produce additionally so called "asymptotic normal surface." The discriminant, however, represents the big wave front in the neighbourhood of a focal point (see below). Hence in our further studies on the local property around the focal point, this difference is negligeable.

We assume that the variety $X_{x, t}$ has at most isolated singular points for a point $(x, t)$ of the space-time. Among those points, we choose a focal point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ i.e. the point where the maximum of the sum of all local Milnor numbers is attained. If we denote by $z^{(1)}, \cdots, z^{(k)}$ the singular points located on $X_{x_{0}, t_{0}}$ and Milnor numbers corresponding to these points by $\mu\left(z^{(i)}\right), i=1, \ldots, k$, the following inequality holds for the focal point

$$
\text { sum of Milnor numbers of singular points on } X_{x, t} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu\left(z^{(i)}\right) \text {, }
$$

for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$.
Assume that the quotient ring

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{\left(d_{z} \Psi\left(x_{0}, t_{0}, z\right)\right) \mathbb{C}[z]} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $\mu$ dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ vector space that admits a basis $\left\{e_{1}(z), \cdots, e_{\mu}(z)\right\}$ that contains a set of basis elements as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}(z)=1, e_{j+1}(z)=\left(z_{j}-z_{j}^{(i)}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a fixed $i \in[1, k]$. Here we remark that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu\left(z^{(i)}\right) \leq \mu$. The denominator $\left(d_{z} \Psi\left(x_{0}, t_{0}, z\right)\right) \mathbb{C}[z]$ of the expression (1.5) means the Jacobian ideal of the polynomial $\Psi\left(x_{0}, t_{0}, z\right)$.

Now we decompose the difference

$$
\Psi(x, t, z)-\Psi\left(x_{0}, t_{0}, z\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}(x, t) e_{j}(z)
$$

by means a set of polynomials in $z,\left\{e_{1}(z), \cdots, e_{\mu}(z), e_{\mu+1}(z), \cdots, e_{m}(z)\right\}$ and a set of polynomials in $(x, t)$,

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\iota: \mathbb{C}^{n+2} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{m} \\
(x, t) & \mapsto \quad \iota(x, t):=\left(s_{1}(x, t), \cdots, s_{m}(x, t)\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{array}
$$

thus defined.In this way we introduce a set of polynomials $\left\{e_{\mu+1}(z), \cdots\right.$, $\left.e_{m}(z)\right\}$ in addition to the basis of (1.5). We consider a polynomial $\varphi(z, s) \in$ $\mathbb{C}[z, s]$ for $s=\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{m}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z, s)=\Psi\left(x_{0}, t_{0}, z\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{j} e_{j}(z) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Locally this is a versal (but not miniversal) deformation of the holomorphic function germ $\Psi\left(x_{0}, t_{0}, z\right)$ at $z=z^{(i)}$.

## 2 Discriminant of a tame polynomial

Definition 2.1. The polynomial $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ is called tame if there is a compact neigbourhood $K$ of the critical points of $f(z)$ such that $\left\|d_{z} f(z)\right\|=$ $\sqrt{\left(d_{z} f(z), \overline{d_{z} f(z)}\right)}$ is away from 0 for all $z \notin K$.

In the sequel we use the notation $s^{\prime}=\left(s_{2}, \cdots, s_{m}\right)$ and $s=\left(s_{1}, s^{\prime}\right)$.
Further on we impose the following conditions on $\varphi(z, s)$ introduced in (1.8). Assume that there exists an open set $0 \in V \subset \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{\left(d_{z} \varphi(z, s)\right) \mathbb{C}[z]}<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $s^{\prime} \in V$ and $s_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$. In addition to this, we assume that for every $s=\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n+1}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times V$, the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{\left(d_{z}\left(\Psi\left(x_{0}, t_{0}, z\right)+\sum_{j=2}^{n+1} s_{j} e_{j}(z)\right)\right) \mathbb{C}[z]}=\mu \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Lemma 2.1. Under the conditions (1.5), (2.1), (2.2) there exists a constructible subset $\tilde{U} \subset V$, such that $\varphi(z, s)$ is a tame polynomial for every $s \in \mathbb{C} \times \tilde{U}$ and

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{\left(d_{z} \varphi(z, s)\right) \mathbb{C}[z]}=\mu
$$

for every $s \in \mathbb{C} \times \tilde{U}$.
Proof By [4, Proposition 3.1] (2.2) yields the tameness of $\varphi(z, 0)$. After Proposition 3.2 of the same article, the set of $s$ such that $\varphi(z, s)$ be tame is a constructible subset (i.e. locally closed set with respect to the Zariski topology) of the form $\mathbb{C} \times W$ for $W \subset V$. According to [4, Proposition 2.3] the set

$$
T_{n}=\left\{s \in \mathbb{C} \times W: \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{\left(d_{z} \varphi(z, s)\right) \mathbb{C}[z]} \leq n\right\},
$$

is Zariski closed for every $n$. We can take $\mathbb{C} \times \tilde{U}=T_{\mu} \backslash T_{\mu-1}$. Q.E.D.

## Assumption I

(i) By shrinking $\tilde{U}$ if necessary, we assume that a constructible set $U \subset \tilde{U}$ can be given locally by holomorphic functions ( $s_{\nu+1}, \cdots, s_{m}$ ) on the coordinate space with variables $\left(s_{2}, \cdots, s_{\nu}\right), \nu \geq \mu$.
(ii) The image of the mapping $\iota$ of a neighbourhood of $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ is contained in $\mathbb{C} \times U$. In other words,

$$
\iota\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+2},\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \subset\left(\mathbb{C} \times U, \iota\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right)
$$

For a fixed $\tilde{s}^{\prime}=\left(\tilde{s}_{2}, \cdots, \tilde{s}_{m}\right) \in U$ and the constructible subset $U \subset V$ of the Assumption I,(i) we see that $\varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ is a tame polynomial for all $s_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$. For such $\varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$, we define the following modules,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right):=\frac{\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n-1}}{d_{z} \varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right) \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n-2}+d \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n-2}},  \tag{2.3}\\
\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right):=\frac{\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n}}{d_{z} \varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right) \wedge d \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n-2}} . \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

the module $\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ is called an algebraic Brieskorn lattice. In considerig the holomorphic forms multiplied by $\varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ be zero in (2.3), (2.4) we can treat two modules as $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right]$ modules.

These modules contain the essential informations on the topology of the variety

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\left(s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)=0\right\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote by $D_{\varphi} \subset \mathbb{C} \times U$ the discriminantal loci of the polynomial $\varphi(z, s)$ i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\varphi}:=\left\{s \in \mathbb{C} \times U: \exists z \in Z_{s}, \text { s.t. } d_{z} \varphi(z, s)=\overrightarrow{0}\right\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. For a fixed $\tilde{s}^{\prime}=\left(\tilde{s}_{2}, \cdots, \tilde{s}_{m}\right) \in U$, both $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ are free $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right]$ modules of rank $\mu$.

Proof First we show the statement on $\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$. After [8, Theorem 0.5] the algebraic Brieskorn lattice $\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to a free $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right]$ module of finite rank ( so called the Brieskorn-Deligne lattice). The topological triviality of the vanishing cycles at infinity for $\varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ ensures this isomorphism.

On the other hand, for $\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times U$, the Corollary 0.2 of the same article tells us the following equality.

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Coker}\left(s_{1}-\tilde{s}_{1} \mid \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$=\operatorname{dim} H_{n-1}\left(Z_{\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)}\right)+$ sum of Milnor numbers of singular points on $Z_{\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)}$.
For $\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times U \backslash D_{\varphi}$, the right hand side of the above equality equals

$$
\sum_{s_{1}: Z_{\left(s_{1}, s^{\prime}\right)} \text { singular }} \text { sum of Milnor numbers of singular points on } Z_{\left(s_{1}, s^{\prime}\right)}
$$

by [4, Theorem 1.1, 1.2].
Now we show that $\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$.
We show the bijectivity of the mapping $d: \mathcal{P}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$. To see the injectivity, we remark that the condition $d\left(\omega+d \alpha+\beta \wedge d \varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)\right)=$ $d \omega+d \beta \wedge d \varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)=0, \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^{n-1}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$, entails the existence of $\alpha^{\prime} \in \Omega^{n-1}$ such that $d \omega=d \alpha^{\prime} \wedge d \varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$, this in turn together with the de Rham lemma entails $\omega=\alpha^{\prime} \wedge d \varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)+d \beta^{\prime}$ for some $\beta^{\prime} \in \Omega^{n-1}$

To see the surjectivity, it is enough to check that for every $\gamma \in \Omega^{n}$ the equation $d \omega=\gamma$ is solvable. Q.E.D.

Let us introduce a module for $\tilde{s}^{\prime}=\left(\tilde{s}_{2}, \cdots, \tilde{s}_{m}\right) \in U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right):=\frac{\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n}}{d_{z} \varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right) \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{n-1}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{\left(d_{z} \varphi\left(z, s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathbb{C}[z]}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is a free $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right]$ module of rank $\mu$ because it is isomorphic to

$$
\oplus_{\left\{s_{1}: Z_{\left(s_{1}, s^{\prime}\right)} \text { singular }\right\}} \oplus_{z: \text { singular points on } Z_{\left(s_{1}, s^{\prime}\right)}} \mathbb{C}^{\mu(z)}
$$

with $\mu(z)$ : the Milnor number of the singular point $z \in Z_{\left(s_{1}, \tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)}$. Let us denote its basis by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{g_{1} d z, \cdots, g_{\mu} d z\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the polynomials $\left\{g_{1}(z), \cdots, g_{\mu}(z)\right\}$ consist a basis of the RHS of (2.7) as a free $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right]$ module.

According to [4, p.218, lines 5-6] the following is a locally trivial fibration,

$$
Z_{\left(s_{1}, s^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow\left(s_{1}, s^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times U \backslash D_{\varphi},
$$

by the definition (2.5), (2.6).This yields the next statement.
Corollary 2.3. We can choose a basis $\left\{\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{\mu}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ independent of $\tilde{s}^{\prime} \in U$.

Due to the construction of $U$, we can consider the ring $\mathcal{O}_{U}$ of holomorphic functions on $U$. By the analytic continuation with respect to the parameter $s^{\prime} \in U$, we see the following.

Lemma 2.4. The modules $\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(s^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{P}_{\varphi}\left(s^{\prime}\right), Q_{\varphi}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ are free $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$ modules of rank $\mu$.

As the deformation polynomials $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{\mu}$ arise from the special form of $\Psi(x, t, z)$ we are obliged to impose the following assumption.

Assumption II We assume that we can adopt $e_{i}(z)$ of (1.5), (1.6) as $g_{i}(z)$ in (2.8) $i=1, \cdots, \mu$ and they serve as a basis of $Q_{\varphi}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ as a free $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$ module.

For the sake of simplicity, let us denote by $\bmod \left(d_{z}\left(\varphi(z, 0)+\sum_{j=2}^{m} s_{j} e_{j}(z)\right)\right)$ the residue class modulo the ideal $\left(d_{z}\left(\varphi(z, 0)+\sum_{j=2}^{m} s_{j} e_{j}(z)\right)\right) \mathbb{C}\left[z, s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$ in $\mathbb{C}\left[z, s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$. By virtue of the freeness of $Q_{\varphi}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$, this residue class is uniquely determined. Our assumption (1.5), (1.6) together with the Weierstrass preparation theorem gives us a decomposition as follows,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\varphi(z, 0)+\sum_{j=2}^{m} s_{j} e_{j}(z)\right) \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi(z, s)}{\partial s_{i}} \\
\equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu} \sigma_{i}^{\ell}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi(z, s)}{\partial s_{\ell}} \bmod \left(d_{z}\left(\varphi(z, 0)+\sum_{j=2}^{m} s_{j} e_{j}(z)\right)\right), 1 \leq i \leq \mu \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \varphi(z, s)}{\partial s_{i}} \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu} \sigma_{i}^{\ell}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi(z, s)}{\partial s_{\ell}} \\
\mu+1 \leq i \leq m  \tag{2.10}\\
\left.\mu+1 \leq d_{z}\left(\varphi(z, 0)+\sum_{j=2}^{m} s_{j} e_{j}(z)\right)\right) \\
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\sigma_{i}^{\ell}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{U}$. In fact, according to an argument used in 55, Theorem A4], [16, Proposition 2] (both treat liftable vector fields in local case but they apply to our situation), the following vector fields are tangent to the discriminant $D_{\varphi}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{v}_{i}:=\left(s_{1}+\sigma_{i}^{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{i}}+\sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^{\mu} \sigma_{i}^{\ell}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi(z, s)}{\partial s_{\ell}}, 1 \leq i \leq \mu \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we recall the Assumption I, (i) that allows us to adopt $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{\nu}\right)$, $\nu \geq \mu$ as the local coordinates of $\mathbb{C} \times U$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{v}_{i}:=-\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{i}}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu} \sigma_{i}^{\ell}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{\ell}}, \quad \mu+1 \leq i \leq \nu \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark here that the importance of the liftable vector field in the studies of $A_{\mu}$ singularity discriminant has been pointed out by [1, §3].

Evidently they are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$ because of the presence of the term $s_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{i}}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq \mu$ and $-\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{i}}$ for $\mu+1 \leq i \leq \nu$. Therefore they form a $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$ free module of rank $\nu$. Let us introduce the following matrix of which the $i-$ th row corresponds to the vector $\vec{v}_{i}$.
$\Sigma(s):=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}s_{1}+\sigma_{1}^{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \sigma_{1}^{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \cdots & \sigma_{1}^{\mu}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{2}^{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & s_{1}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \cdots & \sigma_{2}^{\mu}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{\mu}^{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \sigma_{\mu}^{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \cdots & s_{1}+\sigma_{\mu}^{\mu}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{\mu+1}^{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \sigma_{\mu+1}^{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \cdots & \sigma_{\mu+1}^{\mu}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{\nu-1}^{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \sigma_{\nu-1}^{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \cdots & \sigma_{\nu-1}^{\mu}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ \sigma_{\nu}^{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \sigma_{\nu}^{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \cdots & \sigma_{\nu}^{\mu}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$.
In fact the following $\mu \times \mu$ submatrix of $\Sigma(s)$ contains the essential geometrical
informations on $D_{\varphi}$.

$$
\tilde{\Sigma}(s):=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s_{1}+\sigma_{1}^{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \sigma_{1}^{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \cdots & \sigma_{1}^{\mu}\left(s^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
\sigma_{2}^{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & s_{1}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \cdots & \sigma_{2}^{\mu}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{\mu}^{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \sigma_{\mu}^{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & \cdots & s_{1}+\sigma_{\mu}^{\mu}\left(s^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Theorem 2.5. 1) The algebra $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{C} \times U}\left(\log D_{\varphi}\right)$ of tangent fields to $D_{\varphi}$ as a free $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$ is generated by the vectors $v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq \nu$ of (2.11), (2.12).
2) The discriminantal loci $D_{\varphi}$ is given by the equation

$$
D_{\varphi}=\{s \in \mathbb{C} \times U: \operatorname{det} \tilde{\Sigma}(s)=0\}
$$

3) The preimage of $D_{\varphi}$ by the mapping $\iota$ contains the wave front $B W=$ $\cup_{t \in \mathbb{C}} W_{t} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ i.e. $B W \subset \iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$.

Proof The tangency of vector fields $\vec{v}_{i}$ 's to $D_{\varphi}$ and their independence over $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$ have already been shown.

We shall follow the argument by [10, Theorem 3.1]. First we shall prove 2). By virtue of the tangency of $\vec{v}_{i}$ 's to $D_{\varphi}$ and the equality,

$$
\vec{v}_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \vec{v}_{\nu}=\operatorname{det} \Sigma(s) \partial_{s_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{s_{\nu}}
$$

the function $\operatorname{det} \Sigma(s)$ shall vanish on $D_{\varphi}$. The statement on $Q_{\varphi}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ of the Lemma 2.4 tells us that

$$
\sharp\left\{s \in \mathbb{C} \times U: s_{1}=\text { const } \cap D_{\varphi}\right\}=\mu,
$$

in taking the multiplicity into account.
From (2.13), (2.14) we see that

$$
\pm \operatorname{det} \Sigma(s)=\operatorname{det} \tilde{\Sigma}(s)=s_{1}^{\mu}+d_{1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) s_{1}^{\mu-1}+\cdots+d_{\mu}\left(s^{\prime}\right)
$$

with $d_{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{U}, 1 \leq i \leq \mu$. Thus the determinant $\operatorname{det} \tilde{\Sigma}(s)$ that turns out to be a Weierstrass polynomial in $s_{1}$, shall be divided by the defining equation of $D_{\varphi}$ which turns out to be also a Weierstrass polynomial in $s_{1}$ of degree $\mu$. This proves 2 ).

Now we shall show that every vector $\vec{v}$ tangent to $D_{\varphi}$ admits a decomposition like

$$
\vec{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a_{i}(s) \vec{v}_{i},
$$

for some $a_{i}(s) \in \mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$. For every $i$ the following expression shall vanish on $D_{\varphi}$, because of the tangency of all vectors taking part in it,

$$
\vec{v}_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \vec{v}_{i-1} \wedge \vec{v} \wedge \vec{v}_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \vec{v}_{\nu}
$$

Therefore there exists $a_{i}(s) \in \mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$ such that the above expression equals to $a_{i}(s) \operatorname{det} \Sigma(s) \partial_{s_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{s_{m}}$. This means that the vector $\vec{v}-\sum_{i=1}^{\nu}$ $a_{i}(s) \vec{v}_{i}$ defines a zero vector at every $s \notin D_{\varphi}$, as the vectors $\vec{v}_{1}, \cdots, \vec{v}_{\nu}$ form a frame outside $D_{\varphi}$. By the continuity argument on holomorphic functions, we see that the decomposition holds everywhere on $\mathbb{C} \times U$.

The statement 3) follows from Lemma 1.1, (1.4) and the definition (1.7) of $\iota$. Q.E.D.

## 3 Gauss-Manin system for a tame polynomial

In this section, we will show that the above matrix $\tilde{\Sigma}(s)$, (2.14) can be obtained as the coefficient of the Gauss-Manin system defined for a tame polynomial $\varphi(z, s)$.

According to Lemma 2.4, every $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_{\varphi}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ admits a unique decomposition as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} a_{i}(s) \omega_{i}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C} \times U \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A generalisation of Theorem 0.2 of [9] tells us that the following equivalence holds for every holomorphic $n-1$ form $\omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall s \in \mathbb{C} \times U,\left.\omega\right|_{Z_{s}}=0 \text { in } H^{n-1}\left(Z_{s}\right) \Leftrightarrow \omega=0 \text { in } \mathcal{P}_{\varphi}\left(\mathrm{s}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above statement (3.2) for every $n \geq 2$ was given by Corollary 10.2 of [14] after an argument quite different from that in [9] §2.

This theorem yields a corollary that ensures us the following equality for every vanishing cycle $\delta(s) \in H_{n-1}\left(Z_{s}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\delta(s)} \omega=\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} a_{i}(s) \int_{\delta(s)} \omega_{i}, s \in \mathbb{C} \times U \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $a_{i}(s) \in \mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}, 1 \leq i \leq \mu$. To show this along with the argument by [9], we simply need to replace his Lemma 2.2 by [8, Corollary 0.7].

Here we remark that for the basis of $\left\{e_{1}(z) d z, \cdots, e_{\mu}(z) d z\right\}$ of $Q_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ we can choose the basis $\left\{\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{\mu}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega_{i}=e_{i}(z) d z+d_{z} \varphi(z, s) \wedge \epsilon_{i}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\epsilon_{i} \in \Omega^{n-1}$. That is to say, for every $\omega \in \Omega^{n-1}$ we can find the following two types of decomposition

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} c_{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right) d \omega_{i}+d_{z} \varphi(z, s) \wedge d \xi \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} c_{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\left(e_{i}(z) d z+d_{z} \varphi(z, s) \wedge \epsilon_{i}\right)+d_{z} \varphi(z, s) \wedge \eta \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some $c_{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{U}, \xi \in \Omega^{n-2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}, \eta \in \Omega^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$. This is a reformulation of Lemma 2.4.

As E.Brieskorn [3] showed, the following equality holds if we understand it as the property of the holomorphic sections in the cohomology bundle $H^{n-1}\left(Z_{s}\right)$ defined as the Leray's residue $\omega / d_{z} \varphi(z, s)$ for $\omega \in \Omega^{n}$,

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}}\right)^{-1} d \eta=d_{z} \varphi(z, s) \wedge \eta
$$

This yields that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)=d_{z} \varphi(z, s) \wedge \Omega^{n-1} / d_{z} \varphi(z, s) \wedge d \Omega^{n-2} \\
Q_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right) /\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and we see that $\left\{e_{1}(z) d z, \cdots, e_{\mu}(z) d z\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{U}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}}\right)^{-1}\right]$ module.

For $\omega_{i}$ 's chosen in (3.4) we have a decomposition in $Q_{\varphi}\left(\tilde{s}^{\prime}\right)$ as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi(z, s)-s_{1}\right) d \omega_{i}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu} \sigma_{i}^{\ell}\left(s^{\prime}\right) d \omega_{\ell}+d_{z} \varphi(z, s) \wedge \eta_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \mu \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\eta_{i} \in \Omega^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$. We see that (3.6) is equivalent to (2.9) in view of (3.5). This relation immediately entails the following equality for every $\delta(s) \in H_{n-1}\left(Z_{s}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}} \int_{\delta(s)} \omega_{i}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mu} \sigma_{i}^{\ell}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}} \int_{\delta(s)} \omega_{\ell}+\int_{\delta(s)} \eta_{i}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in view of the fact $\int_{\delta(s)} \varphi(z, s) \frac{d \omega_{i}}{d_{z} \varphi(z, s)}=0$ and the Leray's residue theorem

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}} \int_{\delta(s)} \omega_{i}=\int_{\delta(s)} \frac{d \omega_{i}}{d_{z} \varphi(z, s)} .
$$

After (3.3), every $\int_{\delta(s)} \eta_{i}$ admits an unique decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\delta(s)} \eta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} b_{i}^{j}(s) \int_{\delta(s)} \omega_{j}, s \in \mathbb{C} \times U, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $b_{i}^{j}(s) \in \mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \times \mathcal{O}_{U}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \mu$.
Let us consider a vector of fibre integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{I}_{Q}:==^{t}\left(\int_{\delta(s)} \omega_{1}, \cdots, \int_{\delta(s)} \omega_{\mu}\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In summary we get
Theorem 3.1. 1) For a vector $\mathbb{I}_{Q}$, (3.7) we have the following Gauss-Manin system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Sigma} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{1}} \mathbb{I}_{Q}+B(s) \mathbb{I}_{Q}=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(s)=\left(b_{i}^{j}(s)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \mu}$ for functions determined in (3.8).
2) The discriminantal loci $D_{\varphi}$ of the tame polynomial $\varphi(z, s), s \in \mathbb{C} \times U$ has an expression,

$$
D_{\varphi}=\{s \in \mathbb{C} \times U: \operatorname{det} \tilde{\Sigma}(s)=0\},
$$

that corresponds to the singular loci of the system (3.10).
Remark 3.1. To see that the two statements on $D_{\varphi}$ do not mean a simple coincidence, one may consult [16, Theorem 2.3] and find a description of the Gauss-Manin system for Leray's residues by means of the tangent vector fields to the discriminant loci.

## 4 Free and almost free wave fronts

Now we recall that the freeness of $\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{C} \times U}\left(\log D_{\varphi}\right)$ as a $\mathbb{C}\left[s_{1}\right] \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U}$ module, proven in the Theorem [2.5, means that $D_{\varphi}$ defines a free divisor (in the sense of K.Saito) in the neighbourhood of every point $s \in D_{\varphi}$. We define the logarithmic tangent space $T_{s}^{l o g} D_{\varphi}$ to $D_{\varphi}$ at $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s}^{l o g} D_{\varphi}=\left\{\vec{v}(s): \vec{v}(s) \in \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{C} \times U}\left(\log D_{\varphi}\right)_{s}\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We follow the presentation on the free and almost free divisors by D.Mond [13] and J.N.Damon [6]. To discuss when the big wave front $B W$ becomes a free divisor, we need to make use of the notion of algebraic transversaliy. We
recall here the Assumption I, (ii) on the image of the mapping $\iota$ that entails the following inclusion relation,

$$
d_{x, t} \iota\left(T_{(x, t)} \mathbb{C}^{n+2}\right) \subset T_{\iota(x, t)}(\mathbb{C} \times U)
$$

for $(x, t)$ in the neighbourhood of $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$.
Definition 4.1. The mapping ८ is algebraically transverse to $D_{\varphi}$ at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{x, t} \iota\left(T_{\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)} \mathbb{C}^{n+2}\right)+T_{\iota\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)}^{l o g} D_{\varphi}=T_{\iota\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)}(\mathbb{C} \times U) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1. ([13] Jacobian criterion for freeness) The divisor $\iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$ is free if and only if $\iota$ is algebraically transverse to $D_{\varphi}$.

To state a criterion of the freeness of $\iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$, we need the following $m \times(\nu+n+2)$ matrix $T(x, t)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(x, t)=
\end{aligned}
$$

The first $\nu$ rows of the $T(x, t)$ correspond to those of $\Sigma(\iota(x, t))$ while the $(\nu+i)-$ th row corresponds to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \iota(x, t), 1 \leq i \leq n+1$ and the last row to $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iota(x, t)$ for $\iota(x, t)$ of (1.7).

The Lemma 4.1 yields immediately the following statement in view of the Theorem 2.5.

Proposition 4.2. The divisor $\iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$ is free in the neighbourhood of $(x, t)$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank} T(x, t) \geq \nu$.

Remark 4.1. It is well known that the discriminant of a $\mathcal{K}$ - versal deformation of a hypersurface singularity defines a free divisor (K. Saito, E. Looijenga). Therefore, the cases for which the $\mathcal{K}$ - versality has been proven in [12], $n=2$ give rise to free wave fronts.

After Theorem [2.5, in the neighbourhood of each of its point $s$, the hypersurface $D_{\varphi}$ defines a germ of free divisor.

Definition 4.2. The germ of hypersurface $\iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$ at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ is an almost free divisor based on the germ of free divisor $D_{\varphi}$ at $\iota\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times U$ if there is a map $i_{0}: \iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right) \rightarrow D_{\varphi}$ which is algebraically transverse to $D_{\varphi}$ except at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ such that $\iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)=i_{0}^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$.

In view of this definition, we get a criterion so that $\iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$ be an almost free divisor.

Proposition 4.3. The germ of hypersurface $\iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$ at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ is an almost free divisor based on the germ of free divisor $D_{\varphi}$ at $\iota\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times U$ if the following inequality holds at an isolated point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank} T\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)<\nu, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

while at other points $(x, t) \neq\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ in the neighbourhood of $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$, the inequality rank $T(x, t) \geq \nu$ holds.

As we shall see in the Example 5.1 below, it is quite difficult to verify that the condition (4.4) is satisfied at an isolated point. We can give a sufficient condition on the violation of algebraic transversality condition at an isolated point as follows.

Proposition 4.4. Assume that (4.4) holds at the focal point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$. For a mapping $\iota$ with rank $d_{x, t} \iota\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=n+1$, if the following inequality (4.5) is satisfied only for $(\xi, \tau)=(0,0),\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ is an isolated algebraically nontransverasal point.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)+\tau \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{j}}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)<\nu . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof follows directly from the lower semi-continuous property of the rank $T(x, t)$.

## 5 Examples

## 1. Wave propagation on the plane

Let us consider the following initial wave front on the plane $Y:=\{(z, u) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{C}^{2} ; a z^{2}+z^{4}+u=0\right\}, z=$ i.e. $F(z)=a z^{2}+z^{4}$ for some real non-zero constant $a$. In this case our phase function has the following expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi(x, t, z)= & \left(x_{1}+a z^{2}+z^{4}+\left(x_{2}-z\right)\left(2 a z+4 z^{3}\right)\right)^{2}-t^{2}\left(1+\left(2 a z+4 z^{3}\right)^{2}\right), \\
= & -t^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+4 a x_{1} x_{2} z+\left(-4 a^{2} t^{2}+4 a^{2} x_{1}^{2}-2 a x_{2}\right) z^{2} \\
& \left(-4 a^{2} x_{1}+8 x_{1} x_{2}\right) z^{3}+\left(a^{2}-16 a t^{2}+16 a x_{1}^{2}-6 x_{2}\right) z^{4} \\
& -20 a x_{1} z^{5}+\left(6 a-16 t^{2}+16 x_{1}^{2}\right) z^{6}-24 x_{1} z^{7}+9 z^{8} . \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\left(0,-\frac{1}{2 a}, \frac{1}{2 a}\right)$ is a focal point with a singular point $(z, u)=(0,0)$ and the Milnor number $\mu(0)=3\left(A_{3}\right.$ singularity i.e. the swallow tail) if $a \neq 1$ and $\mu(0)=5\left(A_{5}\right.$ singularity $)$ if $a=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(0,-\frac{1}{2 a}, \frac{1}{2 a}, z\right)=\left(-(1 / a)+a^{2}\right) z^{4}+\left(-\left(4 / a^{2}\right)+6 a\right) z^{6}+9 z^{8} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quotient ring (1.5) for this $\Psi\left(0,-\frac{1}{2 a}, \frac{1}{2 a}, z\right)$ has dimension $\mu=7$.
Especially we can choose $e_{i}=z^{i-1}, i=1, \cdots, 7$ as the basis of (2.8). Now, in view of (5.1) we introduce an additional deformation polynomial $e_{8}=z^{7}$, together with entries of the mapping $\iota$ (1.7),
$s_{1}=-t^{2}+x_{2}^{2}, s_{2}=4 a x_{1} x_{2}, s_{3}=-4 a^{2} t^{2}+4 a^{2} x_{1}^{2}-2 a x_{2}, s_{4}=-4 a^{2} x_{1}+8 x_{1} x_{2}$,
$s_{5}=a^{2}-16 a t^{2}+16 a x_{1}^{2}-6 x_{2}, s_{6}=-20 a x_{1}, s_{7}=6 a-16 t^{2}+16 x_{1}^{2}, s_{8}=-24 x_{1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z, s)=9 z^{8}+\sum_{i=1}^{8} s_{i} z^{i-1} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the constructible set $U$ of the Assumption I,(i) coincides with $\mathbb{C}^{7}$.

At the focal point $(x, t)=\left(0,-\frac{1}{2 a}, \frac{1}{2 a}\right)$ the matrix $\iota^{*}(\Sigma)\left(0,-\frac{1}{2 a}, \frac{1}{2 a}\right)$ has the following form with rank 5 if $a \neq 1$ and rank 3 if $a=1$.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(-1+a^{3}\right) /(2 a) & 0 & -\left(1 / a^{2}\right)+(3 a) / 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{1} & 0 & A_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{1} & 0 & A_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{3} & 0 & A_{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{3} & A_{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{5} & 0 & A_{6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4\left(-1+a^{3}\right) / a & 0 & 6\left(-\left(4 / a^{2}\right)+6 a\right) & 0 & 72
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{1}=\frac{-\left(2-5 a^{3}+3 a^{6}\right)}{36 a^{3}}, A_{2}=\frac{-\left(4-6 a^{3}+3 a^{6}\right)}{12 a^{4}}, A_{3}=\frac{-4+10 a^{3}-9 a^{6}+3 a^{9}}{216 a^{5}}, A_{4}=$ $\frac{\left(-2+a^{3}\right)^{2}\left(-2+3 a^{3}\right)}{72 a^{6}}, A_{5}=-\frac{\left(-2+a^{3}\right)^{2}\left(2-5 a^{3}+3 a^{6}\right)}{1296 a^{7}}, A_{6}=-\frac{16-56 a^{3}+68 a^{6}-30 a^{9}+3 a^{12}}{432 a^{8}}$. We see that $A_{1}=A_{3}=A_{5}=0$ for $a=1$.

Thus together with the data

$$
\begin{equation*}
 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we conclude that $\operatorname{rank} T\left(0,-\frac{1}{2 a}, \frac{1}{2 a}\right)=8=\nu$ if $a \neq 1$. Therefore after Proposition 4.2, the germ of the big wave front $B W$ defines a free divisor in the neighbourhood of the focal point $(0,-1 / 2 a, 1 / 2 a)$ for $a \neq 1$.

In the case $a=1, \operatorname{rank} \iota^{*}(\Sigma)(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)=\operatorname{rank} \iota^{*}(\tilde{\Sigma})(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)+$ $1=3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank} T(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)=6<8 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is to say the mapping $\iota$ is not algebraically transverse at the focal point $(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)$. Now we shall see that the focal point $(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)$ is an isolated point after the following reasoning.

At first we remark that (5.4), (5.5) entail the following relation.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{v_{1}(\iota(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)), \cdots, v_{8}(\iota(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2))\right\} \\
\cap \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{\frac{\partial \iota}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \iota}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial \iota}{\partial x_{2}}\right\}_{(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)}=\{0\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that the integral variety germ of the vectof fields $\left\{v_{1}(s), \cdots\right.$, $\left.v_{8}(s)\right\}\left(\right.$ i.e. the $A_{5}$ singularity stratum of $\left.D_{\varphi, \iota(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)}\right)$ and the image $\iota\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}\right.$, ( $0,-1 / 2,1 / 2$ )) intersect transversally (in the usual sense) at the point $\iota(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)$. This does not ensure the isolation of the algebraic nontransversality. We still need to show that no $A_{4}$ singularity stratum on the wave front is adjacent to the focal point.

## First proof of the isolated property of the focal point

If $\Psi(x, t, z)$ had a $A_{4}$ singularity point in the neighbourhood of the focal point $(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)$ with $A_{5}$ singularity, the rank of $T(x, t)$ would be $7(<8)$ there. At such a $A_{4}$ singularity point, the condition of Proposition 4.3 is
not satisfied. In this situation, the algebraic transversality would be violated on a non-discrete set adjacent to the focal point. If we show that the $A_{4}$ singularity stratum of $D_{\varphi}$ is not contained in the image $\iota\left(\mathbb{C}^{3},(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)\right.$ $) \backslash \iota(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2) \subset \mathbb{C} \times U$, it would mean that no $A_{4}$ singularity appears on the wave front. Consequently it proves that $\operatorname{rankT}(x, t) \geq 8$ for every $(x, t) \neq(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)$.

A deformation of the polynomial (5.2) with $A_{k}(k \geq 4)$ singularity near the origin can be given by

$$
\left(z+w_{1}\right)^{5}\left(q_{1}+q_{2} z+q_{3} z^{2}+9 z^{3}\right)
$$

for $\left(w_{1}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right) \approx(0,0,2,0)$. In other words, the union of $A_{k}$ singularity $(k \geq 4)$ strata in $\mathbb{C} \times U$ has the following 4-parameter representation,

$$
\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{8}\right)=
$$

$\left(q_{1} w_{1}^{5}, 5 q_{1} w_{1}^{4}+q_{2} w_{1}^{5}, 10 q_{1} w_{1}^{3}+5 q_{2} w_{1}^{4}+q_{3} w_{1}^{5}, 10 q_{1} w_{1}^{2}+10 q_{2} w_{1}^{3}+5 q_{3} w_{1}^{4}+9 w_{1}^{5}, 5 q_{1} w_{1}+\right.$ $\left.10 q_{2} w_{1}^{2}+10 q_{3} w_{1}^{3}+45 w_{1}^{4}, q_{1}+5 q_{2} w_{1}+10 q_{3} w_{1}^{2}+90 w_{1}^{3}, q_{2}+5 q_{3} w_{1}+90 w_{1}^{2}, q_{3}+45 w_{1}\right)$.

Four vectors below span the tangent to this union set at the point ( $w_{1}, q_{1}$, $\left.q_{2}, q_{3}\right)=(0,0,2,0)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0),(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0),(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1), \\
(0,0,0,0,0,10,0,45) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This three dimensional tangent space and $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{\frac{\partial \iota}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \iota}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial \iota}{\partial x_{2}}\right\}_{(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)}$ have a common vector subspace $\{0\}$. This yields that the union of $A_{k}$ singularity $(k \geq 4)$ strata and $\iota\left(\mathbb{C}^{3},(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)\right)$ intersect only at the point $\iota(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)$. Hence $A_{k}(k \geq 4)$ does not appear in $\iota\left(\mathbb{C}^{3},(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)\right) \backslash$ $\iota(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)$.

## Second proof of the isolated property of the focal point

We verify the isolated algebraically non-transversal property at $(0,-1 / 2$, $1 / 2$ ) by means of Proposition 4.4. In this case the LHS of (4.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)+\tau \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)+\xi_{1} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{1}}\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)+\xi_{2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{2}}\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Among the above matrices $T\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ is already given by (5.4), (5.5). Other derivatives are calculated as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)= \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
-1 & 0 & -3 & 0 & -8 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -(23 / 9) & 0 & -(20 / 3) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -(17 / 18) & 0 & -(23 / 9) & 0 & -(20 / 3) & 0 \\
0 & -(1 / 108) & 0 & -(55 / 54) & 0 & -(14 / 9) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -(1 / 108) & 0 & -(55 / 54) & 0 & -(14 / 9) & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 648 & 0 & 1 / 324 & 0 & -(20 / 27) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 / 648 & 0 & 1 / 324 & 0 & -(20 / 27) & 0 \\
0 & -8 & 0 & -64 & 0 & -96 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & -8 & 0 & -32 & 0 & -32 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{1}}(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)= \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & -(7 / 4) & 0 & -5 & 0 & -7 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -(155 / 36) & 0 & -(35 / 6) & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 72 & 0 & -(19 / 12) & 0 & -(10 / 3) & 0 & 0 \\
-(1 / 432) & 0 & -(1 / 72) & 0 & -(367 / 216) & 0 & -(127 / 36) & 0 \\
0 & -(1 / 432) & 0 & -(1 / 72) & 0 & -(10 / 9) & 0 & 0 \\
1 / 2592 & 0 & 1 / 432 & 0 & 7 / 1296 & 0 & -(233 / 216) & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 2592 & 0 & 1 / 432 & 0 & 5 / 27 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & -4 & -12 & -24 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 32 & 0 & 32 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{2}}(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)= \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc} 
& 0 & -(3 / 2) & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & -(4 / 3) & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -(35 / 36) & 0 & -(4 / 3) & 0 & -3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -(5 / 6) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -(1 / 216) & 0 & -(1 / 216) & 0 & -1 & 0 & -(5 / 6) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -(31 / 36) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 1296 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -(31 / 36) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 / 1296 & -12 & -64 & -100 & -96 & -168 \\
0 & -8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$





Figures showing successive degeneration of wave fronts. The first two figures show real wave fronts at the moments $t=2 / 3,1 / 2$.

The following three figures show real sections of the complex wave fronts at the moments $t=0.55,0.53,0.501$ that started from the initial front with corresponding to fixed $\Im z$ values. One can verify that in the neighbourhood of a $A_{3}$ focal point no trefoil shaped figure appears. The wave front remains to be more or less a parabola shape figure.

Now we shall show that $8 \times 11$ matrix of (5.6) attains the maximal rank 8 except at $\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0\right) \ni\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \tau\right)=(0,0,0)$. For this purpose, we select $8 \times 8$ minors without a common factor vanishing at $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \tau\right)=(0,0,0)$. Here $[i, j, k, \ldots]$ stands for a $8 \times 8$ minor corresponding to the $i, j, k, \ldots$-th rows of (5.6).
$[2,3,4,5,6,9,10,11]=-(1 / 629856)(1+2 \tau)\left(-26375 \xi_{1}^{4}+2736000 \xi_{1}^{6}+\ldots-\right.$ $7587840 \xi_{2} \tau^{5}$ )
$[1,2,3,4,7,9,10,11]=-(1 / 1259712) \xi_{1}(1+2 \tau)\left(76350 \xi_{1}^{2}-164788000 \xi_{1}^{4}+\ldots+\right.$ $1221525504 \tau^{5}$ )

$$
[2,3,4,7,8,9,10,11]=(1 / 104976)(1+2 \tau)\left(-22905 \xi_{1}^{2}+\ldots+32102400 \tau^{6}\right)
$$

According to a calculation by SINGULAR [11], system of algebraic equations $[2,3,4,5,6,9,10,11]=[1,2,3,4,7,9,10,11]=[2,3,4,7,8,9,10,11]=0$ has $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \tau\right)=(0,0,0)$ as an isolated solution with multiplicity 12 . This means that the sufficient condition of Proposition 4.4 is satisfied. This means that $(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)$ is an isolated point on $B W \subset \iota^{-1}\left(D_{\varphi}\right)$ with the property (5.6). Upshot is the almost freeness of the big wave front germ at the focal point after Proposition 4.3,

In summary we gave two proofs to the statement.
Proposition 5.1. The germ of the big wave front $B W$ at the focal point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=(0,-1 / 2 a, 1 / 2 a)$ defines a free divisor if $a \neq 1$. If $a=1$ it defines an almost free divisor germ at the focal point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=(0,-1 / 2,1 / 2)$.

## 2. Wave propagation in the 3 dimensional space

Now we consider the following initial wave front in the 3 -dimensional space, $Y:=\left\{(z, u) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:-\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{1} z_{1}^{2}+k_{2} z_{2}^{2}\right)+u=0\right\}$, i.e. $F(z)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{1} z_{1}^{2}+\right.$ $k_{2} z_{2}^{2}$ ) for $0<k_{1}<k_{2}$. In this case our phase function has the following expression

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi(x, t, z)=\left(-x_{3}+k_{1} x_{1} z_{1}+k_{2} x_{2} z_{2}-1 / 2\left(k_{1} z_{1}^{2}+k_{2} z_{2}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}-t^{2}\left(1+k_{1}^{2} z_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2} z_{2}^{2}\right), \\
=-t^{2}+x_{3}^{2}-k_{1}^{2} x_{1} z_{1}^{3}+\left(k_{1}^{2} z_{1}^{4}\right) / 4-2 k_{2} x_{3}\left(x_{2}-z_{2}\right) z_{2} \\
-k_{2}^{2} t^{2} z_{2}^{2}-k_{2} x_{3} z_{2}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\left(x_{2}-z_{2}\right)^{2} z_{2}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\left(x_{2}-z_{2}\right) z_{2}^{3}+\left(k_{2}^{2} z_{2}^{4}\right) / 4 \\
+z_{1}^{2}\left(-k_{1}^{2} t^{2}+k_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{2}+k_{1} x_{3}-k_{1} k_{2}\left(x_{2}-z_{2}\right) z_{2}-1 / 2 k_{1} k_{2} z_{2}^{2}\right) \\
\quad+z_{1}\left(-2 k_{1} x_{1} x_{3}+2 k_{1} k_{2} x_{1}\left(x_{2}-z_{2}\right) z_{2}+k_{1} k_{2} x_{1} z_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to see that the point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=\left(0,0,1 / k_{1}, 1 / k_{1}\right)$ is a focal point with a singular point $(z, u)=(0,0)$ and the Milnor number $\mu(0)=3$. We have the following tame polynomial,

$$
\Psi\left(0,0,1 / k_{1}, 1 / k_{1}, z\right)=\left(k_{1}^{4} z_{1}^{4}+4 k_{1} k_{2} z_{2}^{2}-4 k_{2}^{2} z_{2}^{2}+2 k_{1}^{3} k_{2} z_{1}^{2} z_{2}^{2}+k_{1}^{2} k_{2}^{2} z_{2}^{4}\right) / 4 k_{1}^{2} .
$$

As a matter of fact, the polynomial $\Psi\left(0,0,1 / k_{1}, 1 / k_{1}, z\right)$ satisfies the criterion on the presence of $A_{3}$ singularity at the origin mentioned in [12], Theorem $2.2,(2)$. The situation is the same at another focal point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=$ $\left(0,0,1 / k_{2}, 1 / k_{2}\right)$. The quotient ring (1.5) for this $\Psi\left(0,0,1 / k_{1}, 1 / k_{1}, z\right)$ has dimension $\mu=5$.

We can choose

$$
\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}=\left\{1, z_{1}, z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right\}
$$

as the basis (2.8). In view of (5.7), we introduce additional deformation monomials $e_{6}=z_{1} * z_{2}, e_{7}=z_{2}^{3}, e_{8}=z_{1}^{3}, e_{9}=z_{1}^{2} * z_{2}, e_{10}=z_{1} * z_{2}^{2}$ together with the entries of the mapping $\iota$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{1}=-t^{2}+x_{3}^{2}, s_{2}=-2 k_{1} x_{1} x_{3}, s_{3}=-k_{1}^{2} t^{2}+k_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{2}+k_{1} x_{3}, s_{4}=-2 k_{2} x_{2} x_{3} \\
s_{5}=-k_{2}^{2} t^{2}+k_{2}^{2} x_{2}^{2}+k_{2} x_{3}, s_{6}=2 k_{1} k_{2} x_{1} x_{2} \\
s_{7}=-k_{2}^{2} x_{2}, s_{8}=-k_{1}^{2} x_{1}, s_{9}=-k_{1} k_{2} x_{2}, s_{10}=-k_{1} k_{2} x_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By direct calculation with the aid of SINGULAR [11], we can verify

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{d_{z}\left(\Psi\left(0,0,1 / k_{1}, 1 / k_{1}, z\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{6} s_{i} e_{i}\right) \mathbb{C}[z]}=5
$$

while

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{d_{z}\left(\Psi\left(0,0,1 / k_{1}, 1 / k_{1}, z\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{6} s_{i} e_{i}+s_{j} e_{j}\right) \mathbb{C}[z]}=7,
$$

for $j=7,8,9,10$. If $s \in \mathbb{C}^{10}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{7} * z 2^{3}+s_{8} * z_{1}^{3}+s_{9} * z_{1}^{2} * z_{2}+s_{10} * z_{1} * z_{2}^{2}=\left(\alpha z_{1}+\beta z_{2}\right)\left(k_{1} z_{1}^{2}+k_{2} z_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}, \varphi(z, s)$ has the same singularity at the infinity $\left(A_{1}+A_{1}\right)$ for every such $s$. In fact our $\Psi(x, t, z)$ is exactly of this form with $(\alpha, \beta)=$ $\left(-k_{1} x_{1},-k_{2} x_{2}\right)$. This means that for $s \in \mathbb{C}^{10}$ under the condition (5.8), the global total Milnor number $\mu$ is equal to 5 .

Additionally we remark here that for $\Psi\left(0,0,1 / k_{1}, 1 / k_{1}, z\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{6} s_{i} e_{i}+$ $s_{j} e_{j}, j=7,8,9,10$ the jump ( $=2$ ) of Milnor number infinity takes place as $s_{j} \rightarrow 0$. This illustrates the upper semi-continuity of the Milnor number at infinity.

In summary, we can choose a constructible set

$$
U=\left\{s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{9} ; k_{1} s_{7}-k_{2} s_{9}=0, k_{1} s_{10}-k_{2} s_{8}=0\right\}
$$

with dimension 7 for which Lemma 2.1 applies. This implies that the Assumption I,(i) is satisfied with $\nu=8$.

The above discussion proves that the image of the mapping $\iota\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{10}$ is contained in a constructible set $\mathbb{C} \times U$ where the value of the matrix $\Sigma(s)$ is well-defined at each point $s \in \mathbb{C} \times U$. Therefore

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}[z]}{d_{z}(\Psi(x, t, z)) \mathbb{C}[z]}=5,
$$

for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}^{4}$. This means that the Assumption I ,(ii) is satisfied.
At the focal point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=\left(0,0,1 / k_{1}, 1 / k_{1}\right)$ the matrix $\iota^{*}(\Sigma)$ has the following form with rank 3

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k_{2}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) / 2 k_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)^{2} / k_{1}^{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)^{2} / k_{1}^{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Together with the data

\[

\]

we see that the $\operatorname{rank} T\left(0,0,1 / k_{1}, 1 / k_{1}\right)=8 \geq \nu=8$. By virtue of the Proposition 4.3, we have the following.

Proposition 5.2. The wave front contained in the discriminantal loci of (5.7) defines a free divisor germ in the neighbourhood of the focal point $\left(0,0,1 / k_{1}, 1 / k_{1}\right)$.
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