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#### Abstract

In this paper, the realignment criterion and the RCCN criterion of separability for states in infinite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems are established. Let $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ be complex Hilbert spaces with $\operatorname{dim} H_{A} \otimes H_{B}=+\infty$. Let $\rho$ be a state on $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$ and $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ be the Schmidt coefficients of $\rho$ as a vector in the Hilbert space $C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$. We introduce the realignment operation $\rho^{R}$ and the computable cross norm $\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}$ of $\rho$ and show that, if $\rho$ is separable, then $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k} \leq 1$. In particular, if $\rho$ is a pure state, then $\rho$ is separable if and only if $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k}=1$.


## 1. Introduction

The quantum entanglement is one of the most striking features of the quantum mechanics and it is used as a physical resource for communication information processing [1]. Consequently, the detection of entanglement, that is, distinguishing separable and entangled states, has been investigated extensively [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. However, in spite of the considerable effort, no necessary-sufficient criterion that is practically implementable is known so far even though in finite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems. The case of infinite-dimensional systems can't be neglected since they do exist in the quantum world [14, 15]. Therefore, how to recognize the separability of states in infinite-dimensional systems is a more difficult problem that is of both fundamental and practical importance within quantum mechanics and quantum information theory.

It is known that, a density operator $\rho$ (i.e., a positive trace-one operator) acting on a separable Hilbert space $H=H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$ describing the state of two quantum systems A and B , is called separable if it can be written as a convex combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\sum_{i} p_{i} \rho_{i}^{A} \otimes \rho_{i}^{B}, \quad \sum_{i} p_{i}=1, p_{i} \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or can be approximated in the trace norm by the states of the above form [16, 17], where $\rho_{i}^{A}$ and $\rho_{i}^{B}$ are (pure) states in the subsystems A and B which are described by the complex Hilbert spaces $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$, respectively. Otherwise, $\rho$ is called entangled. Let $\mathcal{S}_{s-p}$ be the set of all
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separable pure states. It is shown in [18] that, any separable state $\rho$ admits a representation of the Bochner integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\int_{\mathcal{S}_{s-p}} \varphi\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right) d \mu\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a Borel probability measure on $\mathcal{S}_{s-p}, \rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B} \in \mathcal{S}_{s-p}$ and $\varphi: \mathcal{S}_{s-p} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{s-p}$ is a measurable function. Particularly, if $\operatorname{dim} H_{A} \otimes H_{B}<+\infty$, then a state $\rho$ acting on $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$ is separable if and only if $\rho$ can be written as [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \rho_{i}^{A} \otimes \rho_{i}^{B} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{i}^{A}$ and $\rho_{i}^{B}$ are pure states in the subsystems A and B, respectively, and where $p_{i} \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$ and $n \leq\left(\operatorname{dim} H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)^{2}$. In the infinite-dimensional case, there exists separable state that can not be written in the form $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} p_{i} \rho_{i}^{A} \otimes \rho_{i}^{B}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} p_{i}=1$ [18].

For the finite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems, K. Chen and L.-A. Wu proposed the realignment criterion in [2], which reads as: if $\rho$ is a separable state of the bipartite quantum system, then the trace norm of the realignment matrix of $\rho$ is not larger than 1. A short later, O.Rudolph proved in [11] that if $\rho$ is a state of the bipartite quantum system, then the computable cross norm of $\rho$ equals the trace norm of the realignment matrix of $\rho$. This result, combining the result in [2], is called the realignment criterion or computable cross norm criterion (or RCCN criterion briefly) [2, 10, 11]. Then, a natural problem is arisen: whether or not there is a counterpart result for the infinite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems? We find that the answer is 'yes'. The aim of the present paper is to establish the realignment criterion and the RCCN criterion for the infinite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we summarize the studies on the realignment criterion and the RCCN criterion for finite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems, which enlightens the way how to generalize the conception of realignment to the infinite-dimensional case. Section 3 devotes to generalizing the notion of the realignment operation to the infinite-dimensional systems, and presenting the realignment criterion and the RCCN criterion for infinite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems. Let $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ be Hilbert spaces. We introduce three equivalent definitions of the realignment operation from the Hilbert-Schmidt class $C_{2}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ into $C_{2}\left(H_{B} \otimes H_{B}, H_{A} \otimes H_{A}\right)$ and reveal that the realignment operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \mapsto T^{R} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isometry with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|\cdot\|_{2}$. Let $\rho$ be a state on $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$ and $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ be the Schmidt coefficients of $\rho$ regarded as a vector in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$. We show that, if $\rho$ is separable, then $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k} \leq 1$. In particular, if $\rho$ is a pure
state, then $\rho$ is separable if and only if $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k}=1$ (Criteria 3.3 and 3.7). Thus $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k}>1$ signals the entanglement of $\rho$. The RCCN criterion just provides a necessary condition for separability [11]. However, the RCCN criterion can detect many states with positive partial transpose (PPT) [10, 11], i.e., the so-called PPT states (which are bound entangled states). Several examples are given to illustrate the relations between the RCCN criterion and the PPT criterion. They show that the infinite-dimensional RCCN criterion can also detect some PPT states as desired (see Examples 3.8-3.10). A final conclusion is included in the last section.

We fix some notations. Throughout the paper we use the Dirac's symbols. $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ stand for the set of all real numbers, the set of all complex numbers and the set of all nonnegative integers, respectively. The bra-ket notation, $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$ stands for the inner product in the given Hilbert spaces, i.e., $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}, H_{A}$, or $H_{B}$. The set of all bounded linear operators on some Hilbert space $H$ is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the set of trace class operators on $H$ is denoted by $\mathcal{T}(H)$ and the set of all Hilbert-Schmidt class operators on $H$ is denoted by $C_{2}(H) . A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is self-adjoint if $A^{\dagger}=A\left(A^{\dagger}\right.$ stands for the adjoint operator of $\left.A\right)$; $A$ is said to be positive, denoted by $A \geq 0$, if $A^{\dagger}=A$ and $\langle\psi| A|\psi\rangle \geq 0$ for all $|\psi\rangle \in H$. $A^{T}$ stands for the transpose of the operator $A,\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}$ denotes the trace norm and $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, i.e., $\|A\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(A^{\dagger} A\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ and $\|A\|_{2}=\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\dagger} A\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By $\mathcal{S}\left(H_{A}\right), \mathcal{S}\left(H_{B}\right)$ and $\mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ we denote the sets of states on $H_{A}, H_{B}$ and $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$, respectively. By $\mathcal{S}_{\text {sep }}$ we denote the set of all separable states in $\mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$. A state $\rho$ is called a pure state if $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{2}\right)=1$ and is called a mixed state if $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{2}\right)<1$ as usual. We also call a unit vector $|\psi\rangle \in H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$ a pure state which is corresponding to the density operator $\rho=|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$. We fix in the 'local Hilbert space' $H_{A}, H_{B}$ orthonormal bases $\{|m\rangle\}_{m=1}^{N_{A}}$ and $\{|\mu\rangle\}_{\mu=1}^{N_{B}}$, where $N_{A}=\operatorname{dim} H_{A}$ and $N_{B}=\operatorname{dim} H_{B}$, respectively (note that we use Latin indices for the subsystem A and the Greek indices for the subsystem B. Also, $N_{A}$ and $N_{B}$ may be $+\infty$ ). Then, a vector $|\psi\rangle \in H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$ can be written as $|\psi\rangle=\sum_{m, \mu} d_{m \mu}|m\rangle|\mu\rangle \in H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$. Let $D_{\psi}=\left(d_{m \mu}\right)$ (or $\left[d_{m \mu}\right]$ ) be the coefficient operator of $|\psi\rangle$. Remark that $D_{\psi}=\left(d_{m \mu}\right)$ can be regarded as an operator from $H_{B}$ into $H_{A}$ and it is a HilbertSchmidt class operator with the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\left.\left\|D_{\psi}\right\|_{2}=\| \psi\right\rangle \|$. We write $\bar{D}=\left(\overline{d_{m \mu}}\right)$, where $\overline{d_{m \mu}}$ is the complex conjugation of $d_{m \mu}$. The partial transpose of $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ with respect to the subsystem B (resp. A) is denoted by $\rho^{T_{B}}$ (resp. $\rho^{T_{A}}$ ), that is, $\rho^{T_{B}}=(I \otimes \mathbf{T}) \rho$ (resp. $\rho^{T_{A}}=(\mathbf{T} \otimes I) \rho$ ), where $\mathbf{T}$ is the map of taking transpose with respect to the given orthonormal basis.

## 2. The RCCN criterion for finite-dimensional systems

To find a way of generalizing the notion of the realignment of a block matrix to that of an operator matrix acting on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, in this section, we summarize
some known facts about the realignment criterion and the related RCCN criterion for finitedimensional bipartite quantum systems in references [2, 11, 19, 20, 21] and discuss them briefly. Assume that $\operatorname{dim} H_{A}=N_{A}$ and $\operatorname{dim} H_{B}=N_{B}$ are finite throughout this section.

Firstly, we recall the definition of the realignment operation for the $N_{A} N_{B} \times N_{A} N_{B}$ matrices, i.e., the $N_{A} \times N_{A}$ block matrices with each block is of size $N_{B} \times N_{B}$. Recalling that, for a $N_{A} \times N_{A}$ block matrix $T=\left(B_{i j}\right)_{N_{A} \times N_{A}}$ with each block $B_{i j}$ of the size $N_{B} \times N_{B}, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_{A}$, the row realignment matrix $T^{R}$ of $T$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{R}= & {\left[\left(\operatorname{vec}\left(B_{11}\right)\right)^{T}, \ldots,\left(\operatorname{vec}\left(B_{1 N_{A}}\right)\right)^{T}, \ldots,\right.}  \tag{5}\\
& \left.\left(\operatorname{vec}\left(B_{N_{A} 1}\right)\right)^{T}, \ldots,\left(\operatorname{vec}\left(B_{N_{A} N_{A}}\right)\right)^{T}\right]^{T}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a $N_{A}^{2} \times N_{B}^{2}$ matrix, where for a given $X=\left[x_{i j}\right]$ with $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq t, \operatorname{vec}(X)$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{vec}(X)=\left[x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1 t}, x_{21}, \ldots, x_{2 t}, \ldots, x_{s 1}, \ldots, x_{s t}\right]
$$

For example, in the case of a two-qubit system, let

$$
\rho=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{11} & B_{12} \\
B_{21} & B_{22}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll|ll}
\rho_{11} & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} & \rho_{14} \\
\rho_{21} & \rho_{22} & \rho_{23} & \rho_{24} \\
\hline \rho_{31} & \rho_{32} & \rho_{33} & \rho_{34} \\
\rho_{41} & \rho_{42} & \rho_{43} & \rho_{44}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B_{i j} \mathrm{~s}$ are operators on the space associated with the second system. Then the row realignment matrix of $\rho$ (ref. [20]) is

$$
\rho^{R}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\rho_{11} & \rho_{12} & \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} \\
\rho_{13} & \rho_{14} & \rho_{23} & \rho_{24} \\
\rho_{31} & \rho_{32} & \rho_{41} & \rho_{42} \\
\rho_{33} & \rho_{34} & \rho_{43} & \rho_{44}
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$

It is clear that the realignment operation $T \mapsto T^{R}$ is a linear map, that is, $(\alpha T+\beta S)^{R}=$ $\alpha T^{R}+\beta S^{R}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

The so-called realignment criterion due to Chen and Wu [2] is the following
The realignment criterion for finite-dimensional bipartite systems. Assume that $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ are of finite-dimensions and $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ is a state. If $\rho$ is separable, then $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq 1$.

The realignment criterion presents a quite strong necessary condition for separability which is easily performed and independent to the well-known PPT criterion. However, the above definition of the realignment operation cannot be generalized to the infinite-dimensional cases. Fortunately, there are several different definitions of the realignment operation that are equivalent to each other. This allows us to find ways of generalizing the realignment operation to infinite-dimensional cases.

With respect to a fixed product basis $\{|m\rangle|\mu\rangle\}$ of $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}=\mathbb{C}^{N_{A}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N_{B}}$, every operator $A \in \mathcal{B}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ can be written in the form $A=\left[a_{m \mu, n v}\right]$, where the entry $a_{m \mu, n v}=\langle m|\langle\mu| A|n\rangle|\nu\rangle$, the double indices $(m \mu) \leftrightarrow(m-1) N_{B}+\mu$ and $(n v) \leftrightarrow(n-1) N_{B}+v$ refer respectively to rows and columns of matrix $A$. Then we have [20]

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{R}=\left[\tilde{a}_{m n, \mu \nu}\right], \tilde{a}_{m n, \mu \nu}=a_{m \mu, n v} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the double indices $(m n) \leftrightarrow(m-1) N_{A}+n$ and $(\mu v) \leftrightarrow(\mu-1) N_{B}+v$ refer respectively to rows and columns of matrix $A^{R}$. For the above example $\rho$ in the case of a two-qubit system, using the double indices, we may write

$$
\rho=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\rho_{11,11} & \rho_{11,12} & \rho_{11,21} & \rho_{11,22} \\
\rho_{12,11} & \rho_{12,12} & \rho_{12,21} & \rho_{12,22} \\
\rho_{21,11} & \rho_{21,12} & \rho_{21,21} & \rho_{21,22} \\
\rho_{22,11} & \rho_{22,12} & \rho_{22,21} & \rho_{22,22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and then

$$
\rho^{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\tilde{\rho}_{11,11} & \tilde{\rho}_{11,12} & \tilde{\rho}_{11,21} & \tilde{\rho}_{11,22} \\
\tilde{\rho}_{12,11} & \tilde{\rho}_{12,12} & \tilde{\rho}_{12,21} & \tilde{\rho}_{12,22} \\
\tilde{\rho}_{21,11} & \tilde{\rho}_{21,12} & \tilde{\rho}_{21,21} & \tilde{\rho}_{21,22} \\
\tilde{\rho}_{22,11} & \tilde{\rho}_{22,12} & \tilde{\rho}_{22,21} & \tilde{\rho}_{22,22}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\rho_{11,11} & \rho_{11,12} & \rho_{12,11} & \rho_{12,12} \\
\rho_{11,21} & \rho_{11,22} & \rho_{12,21} & \rho_{12,22} \\
\rho_{21,11} & \rho_{21,12} & \rho_{22,11} & \rho_{22,12} \\
\rho_{21,21} & \rho_{21,22} & \rho_{22,21} & \rho_{22,22}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The operation of realignment can also be defined in another alternative way [11]. For a $N_{A} \times N_{A}$ matrix $A=\left[a_{m n}\right] \in \mathcal{B}\left(H_{A}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $N_{B} \times N_{B}$ matrix $\left.B=\left[b_{\mu \nu}\right] \in \mathcal{B}\left(H_{B}\right)\right)$ in terms of the basis $\{|m\rangle\}$ (resp. $\{|\mu\rangle\}$ ), regard $A$ (resp. $B$ ) as a vector $|A\rangle=\sum_{m, n} a_{m n}|m\rangle|n\rangle$ in $\mathbb{C}^{N_{A}^{2}}$ (resp. $|B\rangle=\sum_{\mu, v} b_{\mu \nu}|\mu\rangle|v\rangle$ in $\left.\mathbb{C}^{N_{B}^{2}}\right)$. If $\rho=\sum_{k=1}^{s} A_{k} \otimes B_{k} \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{R}=\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left|A_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{k}\right| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\langle B_{k}\right|$ denotes the transpose of $\left|B_{k}\right\rangle$ (not the conjugate transpose as usual), $k=1,2, \ldots$, $s$. In particular, for any pure state $\rho_{\psi}=|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, write $|\psi\rangle=\sum_{m, \mu} d_{m \mu}|m\rangle|\mu\rangle$ and $D=\left[d_{m \mu}\right]$, then by [20],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\psi}^{R}=D \otimes \bar{D} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that, for any mixed state $\rho=\sum_{i=1}^{t} p_{i} \rho_{i}$, where $p_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{t} p_{i}=1$ and $\rho_{i}$ are pure states of the bipartite system, $i=1,2, \ldots, t, \rho^{R}$ can be defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{R}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} p_{i} \rho_{i}^{R}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} p_{i} D_{i} \otimes \bar{D}_{i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{i}=\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{i}\right|$ with $\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=\sum d_{m \mu}^{(i)}|m\rangle|\mu\rangle$ and $D_{i}=\left[d_{m \mu}^{(i)}\right]$ is the coefficient matrix of $\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle$ [20].

Similarly, the column realignment matrix of $\rho$, denoted by $\rho^{R^{c}}$, was defined in [2]. For the two-qubit state $\rho$ mentioned above, we have

$$
\rho^{R^{c}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\rho_{11} & \rho_{21} & \rho_{12} & \rho_{22} \\
\rho_{31} & \rho_{41} & \rho_{32} & \rho_{42} \\
\rho_{13} & \rho_{23} & \rho_{14} & \rho_{24} \\
\rho_{33} & \rho_{43} & \rho_{34} & \rho_{44}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{R^{c}}=\left[\tilde{\rho}_{v \mu, n m}\right]^{T}, \tilde{\rho}_{\nu \mu, n m}=\rho_{m \mu, n v} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\rho=\sum_{k} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}$ with $A_{k}=\sum_{m, n} a_{m n}^{(k)}|m\rangle\langle n|$ and $B_{k}=\sum_{\mu, \nu} b_{\mu \nu}^{(k)}|\mu\rangle\langle v|$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{R^{c}}=\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left|\tilde{A}_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{B}_{k}\right|, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\tilde{A}_{k}\right\rangle=\sum_{m, n} a_{m n}^{(k)}|n\rangle|m\rangle$ and $\left|\tilde{B}_{k}\right\rangle=\sum_{\mu, v} b_{\mu \nu}^{(k)}|\nu\rangle|\mu\rangle, k=1,2, \ldots, s$; if $\rho=\sum_{i=1}^{t} p_{i} \rho_{i}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{R^{c}}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} p_{i} \rho_{i}^{R^{\prime}}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} p_{i} D_{i}^{T} \otimes \bar{D}_{i}^{T} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{i}, p_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ defined as in Eq.(9). For instance, using the double indices, the column realignment matrix of the example $\rho$ mentioned above is

$$
\rho^{R^{c}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\tilde{\rho}_{11,11} & \tilde{\rho}_{11,12} & \tilde{\rho}_{11,21} & \tilde{\rho}_{11,22} \\
\tilde{\rho}_{12,11} & \tilde{\rho}_{12,12} & \tilde{\rho}_{12,21} & \tilde{\rho}_{12,22} \\
\tilde{\rho}_{21,11} & \tilde{\rho}_{21,12} & \tilde{\rho}_{21,21} & \tilde{\rho}_{21,22} \\
\tilde{\rho}_{22,11} & \tilde{\rho}_{22,12} & \tilde{\rho}_{22,21} & \tilde{\rho}_{22,22}
\end{array}\right)^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\rho_{11,11} & \rho_{12,11} & \rho_{11,12} & \rho_{12,12} \\
\rho_{21,11} & \rho_{22,11} & \rho_{21,12} & \rho_{22,12} \\
\rho_{11,21} & \rho_{12,21} & \rho_{11,22} & \rho_{12,22} \\
\rho_{21,21} & \rho_{22,21} & \rho_{21,22} & \rho_{22,22}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The singular values of $\rho^{R}$ and $\rho^{R^{c}}$ are equal [21]. In fact, let $F_{A}=\sum_{m, n=1}^{N_{A}}|m\rangle\langle n| \otimes|n\rangle\langle m|$ and $F_{B}=\sum_{\mu, v=1}^{N_{B}}|\mu\rangle\langle\nu| \otimes|\nu\rangle\langle\mu| ;$ then $F_{A}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.F_{B}\right)$ is a unitary matrix of size $N_{A}^{2} \times N_{A}^{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.N_{B}^{2} \times N_{B}^{2}\right)$. $F_{A}$ and $F_{B}$ are the so-called swap operators or the flip operators [22]. It is easily checked that $F_{A}\left|A_{k}\right\rangle=\left|\tilde{A}_{k}\right\rangle$ and $F_{B}\left|B_{k}\right\rangle=\left|\tilde{B}_{k}\right\rangle, k=1,2, \ldots$ It turns out that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{R}=F_{A} \rho^{R^{c}} F_{B} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we need to consider the row realignment only.
In the following, the realignment of a matrix always refers to the row realignment of the matrix unless specified.

Note that, for any state $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{2}=\|\rho\|_{2} \leq\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $C \in \mathcal{B}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$, the computable cross norm of $C$, $\|C\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}$, is defined by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|C\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}:= & \inf \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left\|A_{k}\right\|_{2}\left\|B_{k}\right\|_{2}: C=\sum_{k=1}^{s} A_{k} \otimes B_{k},\right.  \tag{15}\\
& \left.A_{k} \in \mathcal{B}\left(H_{A}\right), B_{k} \in \mathcal{B}\left(H_{B}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite decompositions of $C$ into a finite sum of simple tensors [11].

Notice that the linear space $\mathcal{B}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ can be considered as a Hilbert space if it is equipped with the (complex) Hilbert-Schmidt scalar product:

$$
\langle A \mid B\rangle:=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\dagger} B\right), A, B \in \mathcal{B}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right),
$$

the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, $\|\cdot\|_{2}$, reads as

$$
\|A\|_{2}:=\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\dagger} A\right)\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Then, every $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ can be regarded as a 'vector' in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}\left(H_{A} \otimes\right.$ $H_{B}$ ) equipped with the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product. It follows that there is a Schmidt decomposition of $\rho$ :

$$
\rho=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \delta_{k} E_{k} \otimes F_{k},
$$

where the coefficients $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ are positive, $\left\{E_{k}\right\},\left\{F_{k}\right\}$ are orthonormal sets of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{B}\left(H_{A}\right), \mathcal{B}\left(H_{B}\right)$, respectively, and $r$ is the Schmidt number of $\rho$. The set of the positive numbers $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ is uniquely determined by the corresponding vector $\rho$, and they are called the Schmidt coefficients of $\rho$ [21].

It is showed in [11, 20, 21] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \delta_{k} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{k}$ is the Schmidt coefficients of $\rho$. From this point of view, this cross norm $\|\rho\|_{\text {CCN }}$ of $\rho$ is called computable cross norm of $\rho$, and the following criterion is called the realignment or computable cross norm criterion (the RCCN criterion for short) due to [11, 19, 20].

The RCCN criterion for finite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems Let $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ be finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces and $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ be a state. Let $\rho=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \delta_{k} E_{k} \otimes F_{k}$ be the Schmidt decomposition of $\rho$ as a vector of $C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$. If $\rho$ is separable, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \delta_{k} \leq 1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\rho$ is a pure state, then $\rho$ is separable if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \delta_{k}=1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that the RCCN criterion is neither weaker nor stronger than the PPT criterion [11]. Namely, there exist PPT entangled states which can be detected by the RCCN criterion, while there are non-PPT entangled states which can not be detected by the RCCN criterion (for instance, certain $d \times d$ Werner states, ref. [10, 11, 16]).

## 3. The RCCN criterion for infinite-dimensional systems

In this section, we will establish the realignment criterion and the RCCN criterion for infinite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems. Unless specifically stated, we assume that at least one of $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ is of infinite dimension throughout this section.

In [3], we proposed a so-called realignment operation for a given pure state in infinitedimensional bipartite quantum systems. For a given fixed product basis $\{|m\rangle|\mu\rangle\}$ of $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$, every unit vector $|\psi\rangle$ can be written in $|\psi\rangle=\sum_{m, \mu} d_{m \mu}|m\rangle|\mu\rangle$. Write $D=\left(d_{m \mu}\right)$ and $\bar{D}=\left(\overline{d_{m \mu}}\right)$. Then the realignment operator of the pure state $\rho_{\psi}=|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\psi}^{R^{\prime}}=D \otimes \bar{D} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward that $\left\|\rho_{\psi}^{R^{\prime}}\right\|_{2}=\|D \otimes \bar{D}\|_{2}=\|D\|_{2} \cdot\|\bar{D}\|_{2}=1, \rho_{\psi}^{R^{\prime}} \in C_{2}\left(H_{B} \otimes H_{B}, H_{A} \otimes H_{A}\right)$. As the realignment operation must be linear, we can define a realignment operation for a mixed state $\rho=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} \rho_{i}$ by $\rho^{R^{\prime}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} \rho_{i}^{R^{\prime}}$, where $\rho_{i}$ s are pure states, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ or $k=+\infty$. This definition obviously coincides with that for finite-dimensional systems.

Like the case of finite-dimensions, for an arbitrarily fixed product basis $\{|m\rangle|\mu\rangle\}, \rho_{\psi}$ can be written in an infinite matrix of double indices

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\psi}=\left(\rho_{m \mu, n v}^{\psi}\right), \rho_{m \mu, n v}^{\psi}:=\langle m|\langle\mu| \rho_{\psi}|n\rangle|v\rangle \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\psi}^{R^{\prime}}=D \otimes \bar{D}=\left(\tilde{\rho}_{m n, \mu \nu}^{\psi}\right), \tilde{\rho}_{m n, \mu v}^{\psi}=\langle m|\langle n| \rho_{\psi}^{R^{\prime}}|\mu\rangle|v\rangle . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}_{m n, \mu v}^{\psi}=\rho_{m \mu, n v}^{\psi} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inspired by Eq.(22), we now give a definition of the realignment operation. As usual, we denote by $C_{2}(H, K)\left(C_{2}(H)\right.$ if $\left.H=K\right)$ the set of all Hilbert-Schmidt operator from the Hilbert space $H$ into the Hilbert space $K$. That is, $C_{2}(H, K)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the complex scalar product $\langle A \mid B\rangle:=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\dagger} B\right), A, B \in C_{2}(H, K)$. The Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $A$ is $\|A\|_{2}=\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\dagger} A\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $T \in C_{2}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ and $Z \in C_{2}\left(H_{B} \otimes H_{B}, H_{A} \otimes H_{A}\right)$. Let $\{|m\rangle\}$ and $\{|\mu\rangle\}$ be arbitrarily given orthonormal bases of $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$, respectively. Then $T$ and $Z$ can be written respectively in

$$
T=\left(t_{m \mu, n v}\right), t_{m \mu, n v}=\langle m|\langle\mu| T|n\rangle|v\rangle
$$

and

$$
Z=\left(z_{m n, \mu v}\right), z_{m n, \mu \nu}=\langle m|\langle n| Z|\mu\rangle|v\rangle .
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{m n, \mu v}=t_{m \mu, n v}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we say that $Z$ is the realignment operator of $T$, denoted by $T^{R}=Z$, with respect to the given bases.

The realignment operation $\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{C}_{2}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right) \rightarrow C_{2}\left(H_{B} \otimes H_{B}, H_{A} \otimes H_{A}\right)$ defined by $\mathcal{R} T=T^{R}$ as in the Definition 3.1 is an isometry, namely, $\mathcal{R}$ is linear and $\|\mathcal{R} T\|_{2}=\left\|T^{R}\right\|_{2}=\|T\|_{2}$ for every $T$. Particularly, for any $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{2}=\|\rho\|_{2} \leq\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Eqs.(20)-(22) and Definition 3.1, it follows that, for any mixed state $\rho=\sum_{i} p_{i}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{i}\right|$ where $\sum_{i} p_{i}=1, p_{i} \geq 0, \rho_{i}=\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{i}\right|$ are pure states, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{R}=\sum_{i} p_{i} D_{i} \otimes \bar{D}_{i}=\rho^{R^{\prime}}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the series converges in Hilbert-Schmidt norm on $C_{2}\left(H_{B} \otimes H_{B}, H_{A} \otimes H_{A}\right)$, and $D_{i}=\left(d_{m \mu}^{(i)}\right)$ whenever $\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{m, \mu} d_{m, \mu}^{(i)}|m\rangle|\mu\rangle$. Thus the realignment operation defined in Definition 3.1 coincides with that introduced in [3]. It is also clear that $\rho^{R}$ is independent on the decomposition of $\rho$, that is, if $\rho=\sum_{j} q_{j}\left|\phi_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{j}\right|$ is another decomposition of $\rho$ into an infinite convex combination, then $\sum_{i} p_{i} D_{i} \otimes \bar{D}_{i}=\sum_{j} q_{j} D_{j}^{\prime} \otimes \bar{D}_{j}^{\prime}$, where $D_{j}^{\prime}=\left(d_{m \mu}^{(j)}\right)$ whenever $\left|\phi_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{m, \mu} d_{m, \mu}^{(j)}|m\rangle|\mu\rangle$.

Remark 3.2. (1) It is clear that the realignment operation in Definition 3.1 is an infinitedimensional generalization of the row realignment of a matrix for finite-dimensional case as discussed in section 2.
(2) The trace norm and the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the realignment operator of a state is independent on the choice of the bases of $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$.
(3) Similarly, we can define the column realignment operation $T \mapsto T^{R^{c}}$ by Eq.(10). Then, if $\rho=\sum_{i} p_{i}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{i}\right|$, we have $\rho^{R^{c}}=\sum_{i} p_{i} D_{i}^{T} \otimes \bar{D}_{i}{ }^{T}$, where $p_{i}, D_{i}$ are the same as the ones mentioned above. Let $F_{A}:=\sum_{m, n}|m\rangle|n\rangle\langle n|\langle m|$ and $F_{B}:=\sum_{\mu, \nu}|\mu\rangle|v\rangle\langle v|\langle\mu|$. It turns out that $F_{A / B}^{\dagger}=F_{A / B}, F_{A / B} F_{A / B}^{\dagger}=I_{A / B}$ and $\rho^{R^{c}}=F_{A} \rho^{R} F_{B}$, where $I_{A / B}$ is the identity operator on $H_{A / B}$. It follows that $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\left\|\rho^{R^{c}}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}$. Thus, it is sufficient to discuss the row realignment operation only.

In what follows, we will show that the set of the realignment operators of the separable states are trace class operators from $H_{B} \otimes H_{B}$ into $H_{A} \otimes H_{A}$. In fact, we have

Criterion 3.3. (The realignment criterion for infinite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems) If $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ is separable, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq 1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\rho$ is a pure state, then $\rho$ is separable if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The last assertion was already proved in [3], that is, for the case that $\rho$ is a pure state, $\rho$ is separable if and only if $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=1$.

If $\rho$ is a separable mixed state, then by Eq.(2), there exist a Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $\mathcal{S}_{s-p}$ and a measurable function $\varphi: \mathcal{S}_{s-p} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{s-p}$ such that $\rho$ has a representation of the Bochner integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\int_{\mathcal{S}_{s-p}} \varphi\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right) d \mu\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right), \quad \rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B} \in \mathcal{S}_{s-p} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that, from the definition of the Bochner integral, there exists a sequence of step functions $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\varphi\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right)
$$

with respect to the trace norm, where

$$
\varphi_{n}\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \chi_{E_{i}}\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right) \rho_{i}^{A} \otimes \rho_{i}^{B}
$$

$\chi_{E_{i}}(\cdot)$ is the characteristic function of $E_{i}$ and $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k_{n}}$ is a partition of $\mathcal{S}_{s-p}$. Thus

$$
\rho=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \mu\left(E_{i}\right) \rho_{i}^{A} \otimes \rho_{i}^{B}
$$

with respect to the trace norm, as well as with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Because the realignment operation is continuous, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho^{R} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \mu\left(E_{i}\right)\left(\rho_{i}^{A} \otimes \rho_{i}^{B}\right)^{R} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{s-p}}\left(\varphi_{n}\left(\rho_{i}^{A} \otimes \rho_{i}^{B}\right)\right)^{R} d \mu\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& =\int_{\mathcal{S}_{s-p}}\left(\varphi\left(\rho_{i}^{A} \otimes \rho_{i}^{B}\right)\right)^{R} d \mu\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} & \leq \int_{\mathcal{S}_{s-p}}\left\|\left(\varphi\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right)\right)^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} d \mu\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{S}_{s-p}} 1 d \mu\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\left\|\left(\varphi\left(\rho^{A} \otimes \rho^{B}\right)\right)^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=1$ by Eq.(27). This completes the proof.

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\text {sep }}^{R}=\left\{\rho^{R}: \rho \in \mathcal{S}_{\text {sep }}\right\}$. The previous criterion shows that $\mathcal{S}_{\text {sep }}^{R} \subset \mathcal{T}_{1}\left(H_{B} \otimes H_{B}, H_{A} \otimes H_{A}\right)$, where $\mathcal{T}_{1}\left(H_{B} \otimes H_{B}, H_{A} \otimes H_{A}\right)$ denotes the set of all trace-class operators from $H_{B} \otimes H_{B}$ into $H_{A} \otimes H_{A}$ with the trace-norm not greater than 1.

Next we will show that, there is another alternative way to perform realignment operation for all states which is equivalent to the operation proposed as in Definition 3.1.

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}\left(H_{A}\right), B \in \mathcal{B}\left(H_{B}\right)$. For given bases $\{|m\rangle\}$ and $\{|\mu\rangle\}$ of $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$, respectively, $A$ and $B$ can be written in the form $A=\sum_{m, n} a_{m n}|m\rangle\langle n|$ and $B=\sum_{\mu, \nu} b_{\mu \nu}|\mu\rangle\langle\nu|$. Regard $A$ as a vector $|A\rangle=\sum_{m, n} a_{m n}|m\rangle|n\rangle$ in the Hilbert space $C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right)$ and $B$ as $|B\rangle=\sum_{\mu, v} b_{\mu \nu}|\mu\rangle|v\rangle$ in the Hilbert space $C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$, respectively. Let $\langle B|$ denote the transpose of $|B\rangle$. Let $\rho$ be a separable state with $\rho=\sum_{i} p_{i} \rho_{i}^{A} \otimes \rho_{i}^{B}$, where $p_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i} p_{i}=1, \rho_{i}^{A}$ and $\rho_{i}^{B}$ are pure states in $\mathcal{S}\left(H_{A}\right)$ and $\mathcal{S}\left(H_{B}\right)$, respectively. It is easy to see that $\rho^{R}=\sum_{i} p_{i} D_{i} \otimes \bar{D}_{i}=\sum_{i} p_{i}\left|\rho_{i}^{A}\right\rangle\left\langle\rho_{i}^{B}\right|$ as $\left|\rho_{i}^{A}\right\rangle\left\langle\rho_{i}^{B}\right|=D_{i} \otimes \bar{D}_{i}$. This motivates the possibility of generalizing Eq.(7) to infinite-dimensional cases.

To do this, notice that $\mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right) \subset \mathcal{T}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right) \subset C_{2}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)=C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$. So each state $\rho$ can be regarded as a "vector" of the Hilbert space $C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$. Considering the Fourier representation of $T \in \mathcal{C}_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$ with respect to a product basis of $C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes$ $C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$, we see that $T$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\sum_{k} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{A_{k}\right\} \subset C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right),\left\{B_{k}\right\} \subset C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$ and the series converges in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.
Proposition 3.4. Let $T \in C_{2}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$. Write $T=\sum_{k} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}$ as in Eq.(30). Then, with respect to given bases $\{|m\rangle\}$ and $\{|\mu\rangle\}$ of $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{R}=\sum_{k}\left|A_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{k}\right|, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the series converges in Hilbert-Schmidt norm on $C_{2}\left(H_{B} \otimes H_{B}, H_{A} \otimes H_{A}\right),\left|A_{k}\right\rangle=$ $\sum_{m, n} a_{m n}^{(k)}|m\rangle|n\rangle$ if $A_{k}=\sum_{m, n} a_{m n}^{(k)}|m\rangle\langle n|,\left|B_{k}\right\rangle=\sum_{\mu, \nu} b_{\mu \nu}^{(k)}|\mu\rangle|v\rangle$ if $B_{k}=\sum_{\mu, \nu} b_{\mu \nu}^{(k)}|\mu\rangle\langle\nu|$ and $\left\langle B_{k}\right|$ denotes the transpose of $\left|B_{k}\right\rangle, k=1,2, \ldots$

Proof. Write $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}(T)=\sum_{k}\left|A_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{k}\right|$ whenever $T=\sum_{k} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}$ as in Eq.(30). We show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}^{\prime}(T)=\mathcal{R}(T)=T^{R} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $T \in C_{2}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$. It is easy to check that, if $T=\sum_{k} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}=\left(t_{m \mu, n v}\right)$, then $T^{R^{\prime}}=$ $\sum_{k}\left|A_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{k}\right|=\left(\tilde{t}_{m n, \mu \nu}\right)$ with $\tilde{t}_{m n, \mu \nu}=t_{m \mu, n v}$. Thus, $T^{R^{\prime}}=T^{R}$ is well defined. It remains to show that the series $\sum_{k}\left|A_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{k}\right|$ converges to $T$ in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Let $T_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}$ and $T_{n}^{R}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|A_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{k}\right|$; then $\left\|T^{R}-T_{n}^{R}\right\|_{2}=\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left|A_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{k}\| \|_{2}=\left\|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow+\infty)\right.$ since $\left\|T-T_{n}\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow+\infty)$.

By now, for any state $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$, we have three equivalent definitions of the realignment operator of it.

Inspired by Eq.(30) and an idea in [11], we generalize the notion of "computable cross norm" to the infinite-dimensional case.

Definition 3.5. The computable cross norm $\|T\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}$ of an arbitrary element $T \in \mathcal{C}_{2}\left(H_{A} \otimes\right.$ $H_{B}$ ) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}:=\inf \left\{\sum_{k}\left\|A_{k}\right\|_{2}\left\|B_{k}\right\|_{2}: T=\sum_{k} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}\right\}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum runs over all decompositions of $T$ into elementary tensors as that in Eq.(30).

It is evident that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}$ is a cross norm on $C_{2}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ since $\|A \otimes B\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\|A\|_{2}\|B\|_{2}$ for all $A \in C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right), B \in C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$. Also, we may have $\|T\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=+\infty$ for some $T$.

Noticing that, every vector in the tensor product Hilbert space of two Hilbert spaces has a so-called Schmidt decomposition [3]. Together with the fact $C_{2}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)=C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$, we can derive that, for any state $\rho$ on $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}, \rho$ has a Schmidt decomposition as a vector in $C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{\rho}} \delta_{k} E_{k} \otimes F_{k}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{k} \in C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right), F_{k} \in C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Tr}\left(E_{k}^{\dagger} E_{l}\right)=\delta_{k l}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(F_{k}^{\dagger} F_{l}\right)=\delta_{k l}, k, l=1$, $2, \ldots, N_{\rho}$, the positive scalars $\delta_{1} \geq \delta_{2} \geq \cdots$ are uniquely determined by the corresponding vector $\rho$, and they are the so-called Schmidt coefficients of $\rho$ [3], while $N_{\rho}$ (may be $+\infty$ ) is called the Schmidt number of $\rho$. Since $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{2}\right)=\langle\rho \mid \rho\rangle=\sum_{k} \delta_{k}^{2}$, we have $\sum_{k} \delta_{k}^{2}=1 \Leftrightarrow \rho$ is a pure state and $\sum_{k} \delta_{k}^{2}<1 \Leftrightarrow \rho$ is a mixed state.

The following lemma highlights the relations among the trace norm of the realignment operator, the computable cross norm and the sum of the Schmidt coefficients of a state. As one might expect, the result is the same as that for the finite-dimensional case.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\rho$ be a state in $\mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ and $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ be the Schmidt coefficients of $\rho$ as a vector in $C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\rho=\sum_{k} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}$ as in Eq.(30) and $\rho=\sum_{k} \delta_{k} E_{k} \otimes F_{k}$ be the Schmidt decomposition of $\rho$ as a vector in $C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$, where the series converges in Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Then $\rho^{R}=\sum_{k}\left|A_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{k}\right|$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

since one can regard $\rho^{R}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k}\left|E_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle F_{k}\right|$ as the singular value decomposition of $\rho^{R}$. Next, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\inf \left\{\sum_{k}\| \| A_{k}\right\rangle\|\cdot\| \| B_{k}\right\rangle \|: \rho=\sum_{k} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}\right\} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the one hand, we have $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\operatorname{Tr}} \leq \sum\left|\| A_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{k}\left\|_{\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}}=\sum_{k}\right\| \mid A_{k}\right\rangle\|\cdot\|\left|B_{k}\right\rangle \|$. On the other hand, $\left.\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k}\| \| E_{k}\right\rangle\|\cdot\|\left|F_{k}\right\rangle \|=\sum_{k} \delta_{k}$ since $\left.\|\left|E_{k}\right\rangle\|=\| E_{k}\left\|_{2}=\right\| F_{k}\right\rangle\|=\| F_{k} \|_{2}=1$. Namely, the infimum is attained at the singular value decomposition of $\rho$. Now, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} & \left.\left.=\inf \left\{\sum_{k}\| \| A_{k}\right\rangle\|\cdot\| \| B_{k}\right\rangle \|: \rho=\sum_{k} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{\sum_{k}\left\|A_{k}\right\|_{2}\left\|B_{k}\right\|_{2}: \rho=\sum_{k} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}\right\}  \tag{38}\\
& =\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}},
\end{align*}
$$

which completes the proof.
For a pure state $\rho_{\psi} \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$, let $|\psi\rangle=\sum_{k} \lambda_{k}\left|m_{k}\right\rangle\left|\mu_{k}\right\rangle$ be the Schmidt decomposition of $|\psi\rangle \in H \otimes K$, then it is straightforward that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{\psi}\right\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\left(\sum_{k} \lambda_{k}\right)^{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this it is obvious that a pure state $\rho$ is separable if and only if $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\left\|\rho_{\psi}\right\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=1$. Further more, combining Criterion 3.3 and Lemma 3.6, we establish the RCCN criterion for the infinite-dimensional systems, that is, the criterion below is the main result of this paper.

Criterion 3.7. (The RCCN criterion for infinite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems) Let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ and $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ be the Schmidt coefficients of $\rho$ as a vector in $C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes$ $C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$. If $\rho$ is separable, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k} \leq 1 . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, assume that $\rho$ is a pure state, then $\rho$ is separable if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k}=1 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we give some examples to illustrate that there exist PPT entangled states that can be detected by the RCCN criterion, there exist PPT entangled states that can not be detected by the realignment criterion and there exist non-PPT entangled state that can not be detected by the RCCN criterion. These examples imply that the RCCN criterion is neither 'weaker' nor 'stronger' than the PPT criterion. There also exist entangled states which can not be detected by any one of these two criteria. However, we can show that, for the so-called 'symmetric sates', the PPT criterion is equivalent to the RCCN criterion, namely, a symmetric state $\rho$ satisfying $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq 1$ if and only if it is a PPT state (see in Proposition 3.13).

Example 3.8. Let $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ be complex Hilbert spaces with orthonormal bases $\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle$, $\ldots\}$ and $\left\{\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle,\left|1^{\prime}\right\rangle, \ldots\right\}$, respectively. Let

$$
\rho_{\alpha}=\frac{2}{7}|w\rangle\langle w|+\frac{\alpha}{7} \sigma_{+}+\frac{5-\alpha}{7} \sigma_{-},
$$

where $|w\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(|0\rangle\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle+|1\rangle\left|1^{\prime}\right\rangle+|2\rangle\left|2^{\prime}\right\rangle\right), \sigma_{+}=\frac{1}{3}\left(|0\rangle\left|1^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 0|\left\langle 1^{\prime}\right|+|1\rangle\left|2^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 1|\left\langle 2^{\prime}\right|+|2\rangle\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 2|\left\langle 0^{\prime}\right|\right)$, $\sigma_{-}=\frac{1}{3}\left(|1\rangle\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 1|\left\langle 0^{\prime}\right|+|2\rangle\left|1^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 2|\left\langle 1^{\prime}\right|+|0\rangle\left|2^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 0|\left\langle 2^{\prime}\right|\right)$ and $2 \leq \alpha \leq 5$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\left\|\rho_{\alpha}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\frac{19}{21}+\frac{2}{21} \sqrt{19-15 \alpha+3 \alpha^{2}}
$$

It is easy to check that $\left\|\rho_{\alpha}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq 1$ if and only if $2 \leq \alpha \leq 3$. Thus, by the RCCN criterion, $3<\alpha \leq 5$ implies $\rho_{\alpha}$ is entangled.

Define

$$
\sigma=\sum_{i=3}^{+\infty} p_{i}|i\rangle\langle i| \otimes\left|i^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle i^{\prime}\right|, p_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i=3}^{\infty} p_{i}=1 .
$$

Then $\sigma$ is a separable state and $\left\|\sigma^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=1$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{t, \alpha}=t \rho_{\alpha}+(1-t) \sigma, 0<t \leq 1,3<\alpha \leq 4 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [11, 23], it is easily checked that $\rho_{t, \alpha}$ is a PPT state whenever $3<\alpha \leq 4$ since $\rho_{\alpha}^{T_{B}} \geq 0$ whenever $3<\alpha \leq 4$. On the other hand,

$$
\left\|\rho_{t, \alpha}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\left\|t \rho_{\alpha}^{R}+(1-t) \sigma^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=t\left\|\rho_{\alpha}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}+(1-t)\left\|\sigma^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}
$$

It follows that $\left\|\rho_{t, \alpha}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}>1$ for all $0 \leq t<1$ as $\left\|\sigma^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=1$ and $\left\|\rho_{\alpha}^{R}\right\|>1$ whenever $3<\alpha \leq 4$. So, there are PPT entangled states that can be detected by the RCCN criterion.

The example below is discussed in [24] and it illustrates particularly that there exist nonPPT states as well as entangled PPT states that con not be recognized by the RCCN criterion.

Example 3.9. Let $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ be complex Hilbert spaces of dimension $\geq 4$ with orthonormal bases $\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle,|2\rangle, \ldots\}$ and $\left\{\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle,\left|1^{\prime}\right\rangle,\left|2^{\prime}\right\rangle, \ldots\right\}$, respectively. Let $|\omega\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4}}\left(|0\rangle\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle+|1\rangle\left|1^{\prime}\right\rangle+\right.$ $|2\rangle\left|2^{\prime}\right\rangle+|3\rangle\left|3^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Define $\rho_{1}=|\omega\rangle\langle\omega|, \rho_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(|0\rangle\left|1^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 0|\left\langle 1^{\prime}\right|+|1\rangle\left|2^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 1|\left\langle 2^{\prime}\right|+|2\rangle\left|3^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 2|\left\langle 3^{\prime}\right|+\right.$ $\left.|3\rangle\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 3|\left\langle 0^{\prime}\right|\right), \rho_{3}=\frac{1}{4}\left(|0\rangle\left|2^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 0|\left\langle 2^{\prime}\right|+|1\rangle\left|3^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 1|\left\langle 3^{\prime}\right|+|2\rangle\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 2|\left\langle 0^{\prime}\right|+|3\rangle\left|1^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 3|\left\langle 1^{\prime}\right|\right)$ and $\rho_{4}=$ $\frac{1}{4}\left(|0\rangle\left|3^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 0|\left\langle 3^{\prime}\right|+|1\rangle\left|0^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 1|\left\langle 0^{\prime}\right|+|2\rangle\left|1^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 2|\left\langle 1^{\prime}\right|+|3\rangle\left|2^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle 3|\left\langle 2^{\prime}\right|\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\sum_{i=1}^{4} q_{i} \rho_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{t}=(1-t) \rho+t \rho_{0} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{i} \geq 0$ for $i=1,2,3,4$ with $q_{1}+q_{2}+q_{3}+q_{4}=1, t \in[0,1]$, and $\rho_{0}$ is a state on $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$. It was shown in [23] that, for sufficiently small $t$, or for $\rho_{0}$ with $|i\rangle\left|\mu^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle j|\left\langle\nu^{\prime}\right| \rho_{0}=$ $\rho_{0}|i\rangle\left|\mu^{\prime}\right\rangle\langle j|\left\langle\nu^{\prime}\right|=0$ for any $i, j, \mu, v \in\{0,1,2,3\}$, the following statements are true.
(1) If $q_{i}<q_{1}$ for some $i=2,3,4$, then $\rho_{t}$ is entangled.
(2) Let $\rho_{0}$ be PPT. Then $\rho_{t}$ is PPT if and only if $q_{2} q_{4} \geq q_{1}^{2}$ and $q_{3} \geq q_{1}$. Thus, if $0<q_{i}<$ $q_{1}<\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \leq q_{j}<1$ with $q_{i} q_{j} \geq q_{1}^{2}$ and $0<q_{1} \leq q_{3}<1$, where $i, j \in\{2,4\}$ and $i \neq j$, then $\rho_{t}$ is PPT entangled.
(3) The trace norm of the realignment operator of $\rho$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}= & \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{4} q_{i}^{2}-q_{1} q_{2}-q_{2} q_{3}-q_{3} q_{4}-q_{1} q_{4}} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{4} q_{i}^{2}+3\left(q_{1} q_{2}+q_{2} q_{3}+q_{3} q_{4}+q_{1} q_{4}\right)}+3 q_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $\rho_{0}$ is PPT, and if $q_{1} \geq \frac{1}{6}, q_{i}=\frac{1}{2} q_{1}, q_{j}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $q_{3}=\frac{1}{2}-3 q_{i}$, where $i, j \in\{2,4\}$ and $i \neq j$, then $\rho_{t}$ is PPT entangled with $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}>1$ for sufficient small $t$, that is, $\rho_{t}$ is PPT entangled that can be detected by the RCCN criterion; if $q_{1} \leq \frac{1}{7}, q_{i}=\frac{1}{2} q_{1}, q_{j}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $q_{3}=\frac{1}{2}-3 q_{i}$, where $i, j \in\{2,4\}$ and $i \neq j$, then, for sufficient small $t,\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}<1$ and $\rho_{t}$ is PPT entangled but can not be detected by the RCCN criterion.

The following illustrates that how to find suitable $\rho_{t}$ so that $\left\|\rho_{t}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}<1$ but $\rho_{t}$ is not PPT.
If $\rho_{0}$ is not PPT, we choose $q_{1} \leq \frac{1}{7}, q_{i}=\frac{1}{2} q_{1}, q_{j}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $q_{3}=\frac{1}{2}-3 q_{i}$, where $i, j \in\{2,4\}$ and $i \neq j$. Then, as mentioned above we have $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}<1$. Thus, for sufficient small $t,\left\|\rho_{t}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}<1$. This means that $\rho_{t}$ is not PPT but can not be recognized by the RCCN criterion.

If $\rho_{0}$ is PPT, we choose $q_{2}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{2} q_{1}, q_{3}=\frac{1}{2} q_{1}$ and $q_{4}=\frac{1}{2}$. A computation shows that $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}<1$ for $q_{1} \leq \frac{1}{7}$. For instance, $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=0.9866$ if $q_{1}=\frac{1}{7} ;\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=0.9496$ if $q_{1}=\frac{1}{8}$; $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=0.7264$ if $q_{1}=\frac{1}{100}$. Since $q_{3}<q_{1}, \rho$ is not PPT and thus $\rho_{t}$ is not PPT. However, $\left\|\rho_{t}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}<1$ for sufficient small $t$.

Example 3.10. Let $H_{A}=H_{B}$ be complex Hilbert spaces with orthonormal bases $\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle$, $\ldots\}$. Fixing a positive number $3 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$
\rho_{m, c}:=\frac{1}{m^{3}-m}\left((m-c) P_{m}+(m c-1) F_{m}\right),
$$

where $P_{m}:=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}|i\rangle\langle i| \otimes|j\rangle\langle j|$ and $F_{m}:=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}|i\rangle\langle j| \otimes|j\rangle\langle i|$. It is easy to check that

$$
\left\|\rho_{m, c}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{m}-c: & \text { if }-1 \leq c \leq \frac{1}{m} \\
c: & \text { if } 1 \geq c \geq \frac{1}{m}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

If $\operatorname{dim} H_{A}=m$, then $\rho_{m, c}=\rho_{c}$ is the so-called Werner state [16]. It is shown in [16] that

$$
\rho_{c} \text { is separable } \Leftrightarrow \rho^{T_{B}} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq c \leq 1 .
$$

We can derive that

$$
\rho_{m, c} \text { is separable } \Leftrightarrow \rho^{T_{B}} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq c \leq 1 .
$$

Consequently, if $\frac{2}{m}-1 \leq c<0$, then $\rho_{m, c}$ is a non-PPT entangled state which satisfies $\left\|\left(\rho_{m, c}\right)^{R}\right\| \leq 1$, that is, $\rho_{m, c}$ can not be recognized by the RCCN criterion.

Let

$$
\varrho=\sum_{i=m}^{+\infty} p_{i}|i\rangle\langle i| \otimes|i\rangle\langle i|, \quad p_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i=m}^{+\infty} p_{i}=1,
$$

then $\varrho$ is separable. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\varepsilon, c}=\varepsilon \varrho+(1-\varepsilon) \rho_{m, c}, 0 \leq \varepsilon<1, \frac{2}{m}-1 \leq c<0 . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward that $\rho_{\varepsilon, c}$ is a state acting on $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$ and $\rho_{\varepsilon, c}^{T_{B}}$ is not positive. Now, we can conclude that for any $\frac{2}{m}-1 \leq c<0$ and $0 \leq \varepsilon<1, \rho_{\varepsilon, c}$ is a non-PPT entangled state satisfying $\left\|\rho_{\varepsilon, c}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq 1$ since $\left\|\varrho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=1$ and $\left\|\rho_{m, c}^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq 1$.

Now let us turn to another related topic. In [22], several entanglement criteria for the socalled 'symmetric states' in the finite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems are presented. Recall that, a state $\rho$ on a finite dimensional bipartite system $H_{A} \otimes H_{B}$ is called a symmetric state if $\operatorname{dim} H_{A}=\operatorname{dim} H_{B}=N$ and $\rho=F \rho=\rho F$, where $F$ is the flip operator, namely, $F$ satisfies $F\left|\psi_{A}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{B}\right\rangle=\left|\psi_{B}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{A}\right\rangle$ for any $\left|\psi_{A}\right\rangle \in H_{A}$ and $\left|\psi_{B}\right\rangle \in H_{B}$. It is showed that, for a symmetric state $\rho$ in a finite-dimensional bipartite quantum system, $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq 1$ if and only if $\rho$ is a PPT state. Inspired by [22], we can generalize the conception of the symmetric states to the infinite-dimensional case with the same spirit.

Definition 3.11. Let $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ be Hilbert spaces with $\operatorname{dim} H_{A}=\operatorname{dim} H_{B}=+\infty$. Let $\{|m\rangle\}$ and $\{|\mu\rangle\}$ be orthonormal bases respectively of $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$. A state $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ is said to be symmetric if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=F \rho=\rho F, \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=\sum_{m, \mu}|m\rangle|\mu\rangle\langle\mu|\langle m|$.
The operator $F$ in the definition is called the flip operator. It is clear that $F\left|\psi_{A}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{B}\right\rangle=$ $\left|\psi_{B}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{A}\right\rangle$ for any $\left|\psi_{A}\right\rangle \in H_{A},\left|\psi_{B}\right\rangle \in H_{B}$.

Write $\rho=\left(\rho_{m \mu, n v}\right)$, where $\rho_{m \mu, n v}=\langle m|\langle\mu| \rho|n\rangle|v\rangle$, one can obtain
Lemma 3.12. If $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ is a symmetric state, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{m \mu, n v}=\rho_{\mu m, n v}=\rho_{m \mu, v n}=\rho_{\mu m, v n} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \rho^{R}=\rho^{T_{A}} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Write $\rho^{R}=\left(\hat{\rho}_{m \mu, n v}\right), F \rho^{R}=\left(\check{\rho}_{m \mu, n v}\right)$. It turns out that $\hat{\rho}_{m \mu, n v}=\rho_{m n, \mu v}, \check{\rho}_{m \mu, n v}=\hat{\rho}_{\mu m, n v}$, and thus $\check{\rho}_{m \mu, n v}=\hat{\rho}_{\mu m, n v}=\rho_{\mu n, m v}$. On the other hand, writing $\rho^{T_{A}}=\left(\tilde{\rho}_{m \mu, n v}\right)$, we have $\tilde{\rho}_{m \mu, n v}=$ $\rho_{n \mu, m v}$. Therefore, $\rho^{T_{A}}=F \rho^{R}$ since $\rho_{\mu n, m \nu}=\rho_{n \mu, m v}$.

As $F$ is unitary, by Lemma 3.12, the singular values of $\rho^{R}$ is equal to the singular values of $\rho^{T_{A}}$. Since $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{T_{A}}\right)=1$, it follows that $\rho^{T_{A}}$ is not positive if and only if $\rho^{T_{A}}$ has at least one
negative eigenvalue. Therefore, $\rho$ is not PPT implies that $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\left\|\rho^{T_{A}}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}>1$ and vice versa. Thus we have proved the following

Proposition 3.13. If $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ is symmetric, then $\rho$ is a PPT state if and only if $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq 1$.

## 4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we generalize the row realignment operation, the Computable Cross Norm to the states of infinite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems. The realignment operators of the states are Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $H_{B} \otimes H_{B}$ into $H_{A} \otimes H_{A}$, and moreover, the row realignment operation $T \mapsto T^{R}$ is an isometric linear map from $C_{2}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ into $C_{2}\left(H_{B} \otimes\right.$ $H_{B}, H_{A} \otimes H_{A}$ ). Similar to that in the finite-dimensional bipartite quantum systems, there are two kinds of realignment operations, namely, the row realignment operation and the column realignment operation. These two realignment operations are equivalent up to the trace norm. So, it suffices to discuss the row realignment operation.

In fact, three equivalent definitions of the realignment operation are introduced. This allow us to establish the realignment criterion and the RCCN criterion of separability for states in infinite-dimensional bipartite systems. Thus, for both finite-dimensional and infinitedimensional systems, if a state $\rho \in \mathcal{S}\left(H_{A} \otimes H_{B}\right)$ is separable, then $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k} \leq 1$, where $\|\rho\|_{C C N}$ is the computable cross norm of $\rho$ and $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ are the Schmidt coefficients of $\rho$ as a vector in the Hilbert space $C_{2}\left(H_{A}\right) \otimes C_{2}\left(H_{B}\right)$. For the case that $\rho$ is pure, $\rho$ is separable if and only if $\left\|\rho^{R}\right\|_{\mathrm{Tr}}=\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{CCN}}=\sum_{k} \delta_{k}=1$. Like the case of finite-dimension, the RCCN criterion and the PPT criterion are independent as illustrated by examples, and these two criteria are equivalent for the symmetric states.
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