

Journal of Inequalities and Special Functions (JIASF), 1, N1, (2010),
1-9.

arXiv:1009.6138v1 [math.CA] 30 Sep 2010

A nonlinear inequality and evolution problems

A.G. Ramm

Department of Mathematics
Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA
ramm@math.ksu.edu

Abstract

Assume that $g(t) \geq 0$, and

$$\dot{g}(t) \leq -\gamma(t)g(t) + \alpha(t, g(t)) + \beta(t), \quad t \geq 0; \quad g(0) = g_0; \quad \dot{g} := \frac{dg}{dt},$$

on any interval $[0, T)$ on which g exists and has bounded derivative from the right, $\dot{g}(t) := \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \frac{g(t+s) - g(t)}{s}$. It is assumed that $\gamma(t)$, and $\beta(t)$ are nonnegative continuous functions of t defined on $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$, the function $\alpha(t, g)$ is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, locally Lipschitz with respect to g uniformly with respect to t on any compact subsets $[0, T]$, $T < \infty$, and non-decreasing with respect to g , $\alpha(t, g_1) \geq \alpha(t, g_2)$ if $g_1 \geq g_2$. If there exists a function $\mu(t) > 0$, $\mu(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, such that

$$\alpha\left(t, \frac{1}{\mu(t)}\right) + \beta(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \left(\gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)} \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0; \quad \mu(0)g(0) \leq 1,$$

then $g(t)$ exists on all of \mathbb{R}_+ , that is $T = \infty$, and the following estimate holds:

$$0 \leq g(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

If $\mu(0)g(0) < 1$, then $0 \leq g(t) < \frac{1}{\mu(t)}$, $\forall t \geq 0$.

A discrete version of this result is obtained.

The nonlinear inequality, obtained in this paper, is used in a study of the Lyapunov stability and asymptotic stability of solutions to differential equations in finite and infinite-dimensional spaces.

MSC: 26D10;34G20; 37L05;44J05; 47J35

Key words: nonlinear inequality; Lyapunov stability; evolution problems; differential equations.

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to give a self-contained proof of an estimate for solutions of a nonlinear inequality

$$\dot{g}(t) \leq -\gamma(t)g(t) + \alpha(t, g(t)) + \beta(t), \quad t \geq 0; \quad g(0) = g_0; \quad \dot{g} := \frac{dg}{dt}, \quad (1)$$

and to demonstrate some of its many possible applications.

Denote $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$. It is not assumed a priori that solutions $g(t)$ to inequality (1) are defined on all of \mathbb{R}_+ , that is, that these solutions exist globally. We give sufficient conditions for the global existence of $g(t)$. Moreover, under these conditions a bound on $g(t)$ is given, see estimate (5) in Theorem 1. This bound yields the relation $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0$ if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t) = \infty$ in (5).

Let us formulate our assumptions.

Assumption A). We assume that the function $g(t) \geq 0$ is defined on some interval $[0, T)$, has a bounded derivative $\dot{g}(t) := \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \frac{g(t+s) - g(t)}{s}$ from the right at any point of this interval, and $g(t)$ satisfies inequality (1) at all t at which $g(t)$ is defined. The functions $\gamma(t)$, and $\beta(t)$, are continuous, non-negative, defined on all of \mathbb{R}_+ . The function $\alpha(t, g) \geq 0$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$, nondecreasing with respect to g , and locally Lipschitz with respect to g . This means that $\alpha(t, g) \geq \alpha(t, h)$ if $g \geq h$, and

$$|\alpha(t, g) - \alpha(t, h)| \leq L(T, M)|g - h|, \quad (2)$$

if $t \in [0, T]$, $|g| \leq M$ and $|h| \leq M$, $M = \text{const} > 0$, where $L(T, M) > 0$ is a constant independent of g , h , and t .

Assumption B). There exists a $C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ function $\mu(t) > 0$, such that

$$\alpha\left(t, \frac{1}{\mu(t)}\right) + \beta(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \left(\gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)} \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\mu(0)g(0) < 1. \quad (4)$$

If $\mu(0)g(0) \leq 1$, then the inequality $\text{sign} < \frac{1}{\mu(t)}$ in Theorem 1, in formula (5), is replaced by $\leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)}$.

Our results are formulated in Theorems 1 and 2, and *Propositions 1, 2*. *Proposition 1* is related to Example 1, and *Proposition 2* is related to Example 2, see below.

Theorem 1. *If Assumptions A) and B) hold, then any solution $g(t) \geq 0$ to inequality (1) exists on all of \mathbb{R}_+ , i.e., $T = \infty$, and satisfies the following estimate:*

$$0 \leq g(t) < \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (5)$$

If $\mu(0)g(0) \leq 1$, then $0 \leq g(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Remark 1. *If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t) = \infty$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0$.*

Let us explain how one applies estimate (5) in various problems (see also papers [3], [4], and the monograph [5] for other applications of differential inequalities which are particular cases of inequality (1)).

Example 1. Consider the problem

$$\dot{u} = A(t)u + B(t)u, \quad u(0) := u_0, \quad (6)$$

where $A(t)$ is a linear bounded operator in a Hilbert space H and $B(t)$ is a bounded linear operator such that

$$\int_0^\infty \|B(t)\| dt := C < \infty.$$

Assume that

$$\operatorname{Re}(A(t)u, u) \leq 0 \quad \forall u \in H, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

Operators satisfying inequality (7) are called *dissipative*. They arise in many applications, for example in a study of passive linear and nonlinear networks (e.g., see [6], and [7], Chapter 3).

One may consider some classes of unbounded linear operator using the scheme developed in the proofs of *Propositions 1,2*. For example, in *Proposition 1* the operator $A(t)$ can be a generator of C_0 semigroup $T(t)$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)\| \leq m$, where $m > 0$ is a constant.

Let $A(t)$ be a linear closed, densely defined in H , dissipative operator, with domain of definition $D(A(t))$ independent of t , and I be the identity operator in H . Assume that the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{U}(t) = A(t)U(t), \quad U(0) = I,$$

for the operator-valued function $U(t)$ has a unique global solution and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|U(t)\| \leq m,$$

where $m > 0$ is a constant. Then such an unbounded operator $A(t)$ can be used in *Example 1*.

Proposition 1. *If condition (7) holds and $C := \int_0^\infty \|B(t)\|dt < \infty$, then the solution to problem (6) exists on \mathbb{R}_+ , is unique, and satisfies the following inequality:*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\| \leq e^C \|u_0\|. \quad (8)$$

Inequality (8) implies Lyapunov stability of the zero solution to equation (6).

Recall that the zero solution to equation (6) is called Lyapunov stable if for any $\epsilon > 0$, however small, one can find a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$, such that if $\|u_0\| \leq \delta$, then the solution to Cauchy problem (6) satisfies the estimate $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\| \leq \epsilon$. If, in addition, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\| = 0$, then the zero solution to equation (6) is called asymptotically stable in the Lyapunov sense.

Example 2. Consider an abstract nonlinear evolution problem

$$\dot{u} = A(t)u + F(t, u) + b(t), \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad (9)$$

where $u(t)$ is a function with values in a Hilbert space H , $A(t)$ is a linear bounded operator in H which satisfies inequality

$$\operatorname{Re}(Au, u) \leq -\gamma(t)\|u\|^2, \quad t \geq 0; \quad \gamma = \frac{r}{1+t}, \quad (10)$$

$r > 0$ is a constant, $F(t, u)$ is a nonlinear map in H , and the following estimates hold:

$$\|F(t, u)\| \leq \alpha(t, g), \quad g := g(t) := \|u(t)\|; \quad \|b(t)\| \leq \beta(t), \quad (11)$$

where $\beta(t) \geq 0$ and $\alpha(t, g) \geq 0$ satisfy the conditions in *Assumption A*).

Let us assume that

$$\alpha(t, g) \leq c_0 g^p, \quad p > 1; \quad \beta(t) \leq \frac{c_1}{(1+t)^\omega}, \quad (12)$$

where c_0, p, ω and c_1 are positive constants.

Proposition 2. *If conditions (9)-(12) hold, and inequalities (20),(21) and (23) are satisfied (see these inequalities in the proof of Proposition 2), then the solution to the evolution problem (9) exists on all of \mathbb{R}_+ and satisfies the following estimate:*

$$0 \leq \|u(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(1+t)^q}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (13)$$

where λ and q are some positive constants the choice of which is specified by inequalities (20),(21) and (23).

The choice of λ and q is motivated and explained in the proof of *Proposition 2* (see inequalities (20), (21) and (23) in Section 2).

Inequality (13) implies asymptotic stability of the solution to problem (9) in the sense of Lyapunov and, additionally, gives a rate of convergence of $\|u(t)\|$ to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The results in *Examples 1,2* can be obtained in Banach space, but we do not go into detail.

Proofs of Theorem 1 and *Propositions 1* and *2* are given in Section 2. Theorem 2, which is a discrete analog of Theorem 1, is formulated and proved in Section 3.

2 Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1. Local existence of the solution $u(t)$ to problem (6) is known (see, e.g., [1]). Uniqueness of this solution follows from the linearity of the problem and from estimate (8). Let us prove this estimate.

Multiply (6) by $u(t)$, let $g(t) := \|u(t)\|$, take real part, use (7), and get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{dg^2(t)}{dt} \leq \operatorname{Re}(B(t)u(t), u(t)) \leq \|B(t)\|g^2(t).$$

This implies $g^2(t) \leq g^2(0)e^{2C}$, so (8) follows. *Proposition 1* is proved. \square

Proof of Proposition 2. The local existence and uniqueness of the solution $u(t)$ to problem (9) follow from *Assumption A* (see, e.g., [1]). The existence of $u(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, that is, the global existence of $u(t)$, follows from estimate (13) (see, e.g., [5], pp.167-168).

Let us derive estimate (13). Multiply (9) by $u(t)$, let $g(t) := \|u(t)\|$, take real part, use (10)-(12) and get

$$g\dot{g} \leq -\gamma(t)g^2(t) + \alpha(t, g(t))g(t) + \beta(t)g(t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (14)$$

Since $g \geq 0$, one obtains from this inequality inequality (1). However, first we would like to explain in detail the meaning of the derivative \dot{g} in our proof.

By \dot{g} the right derivatives is understood:

$$\dot{g}(t) := \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \frac{g(t+s) - g(t)}{s}.$$

If $g(t) = \|u(t)\|$ and $u(t)$ is continuously differentiable, then $\psi(t) := g^2(t) = (u(t), u(t))$ is continuously differentiable, and its derivative at the point t at which $g(t) > 0$ can be computed by the formula:

$$\dot{g} = \text{Re}(\dot{u}(t), u^0(t)),$$

where $u^0(t) := \frac{u(t)}{\|u(t)\|}$. Thus, the function $g(t) = \sqrt{\psi(t)}$ is continuously differentiable at any point at which $g(t) \neq 0$. At a point t at which $g(t) = 0$, the vector $u^0(t)$ is not defined, the derivative of $g(t)$ does not exist in the usual sense, but the right derivative of $g(t)$ still exists and can be calculated explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{g}(t) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \frac{\|u(t+s)\| - \|u(t)\|}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \frac{\|u(t) + s\dot{u}(t) + o(s)\|}{s} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \|\dot{u}(t) + o(1)\| = \|\dot{u}(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

If $u(t)$ is continuously differentiable at some point t , and $u(t) \neq 0$, then

$$\dot{g} = \|u(t)\| \leq \|\dot{u}(t)\|.$$

Indeed,

$$2g(t)\dot{g}(t) = (\dot{u}(t), u(t)) + (u(t), \dot{u}(t)) \leq 2\|\dot{u}\|\|u\| = 2\|\dot{u}(t)\|g(t).$$

If $g(t) \neq 0$, then the above inequality implies $\dot{g}(t) \leq \|\dot{u}(t)\|$, as claimed. One can also derive this inequality from the formula $\dot{g} = \text{Re}(\dot{u}(t), u^0(t))$, since $|\text{Re}(\dot{u}(t), u^0(t))| \leq \|\dot{u}(t)\|$.

If $g(t) > 0$, then from (14) one obtains

$$\dot{g}(t) \leq -\gamma(t)g(t) + \alpha(t, g(t)) + \beta(t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (15)$$

If $g(t) = 0$ on an open set, then inequality (15) holds on this set also, because $\dot{g} = 0$ on this set while the right-hand side of (15) is non-negative at $g = 0$. If $g(t) = 0$ at some point $t = t_0$, then (15) holds at $t = t_0$ because, as we have proved above, $\dot{g}(t_0) = 0$, while the right-hand side of (15) is equal to $\beta(t) \geq 0$ if $g(t_0) = 0$, and is, therefore, non-negative if $g(t_0) = 0$.

If assumptions (12) hold, then inequality (15) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{g} \leq -\frac{1}{(1+t)^\nu}g + c_0g^p + \frac{c_1}{(1+t)^\omega}, \quad p > 1. \quad (16)$$

Let us look for $\mu(t)$ of the form

$$\mu(t) = \lambda(1+t)^q, \quad q = \text{const} > 0, \quad \lambda = \text{const} > 0. \quad (17)$$

Inequality (3) takes the form

$$\frac{c_0}{[\lambda(1+t)^q]^p} + \frac{c_1}{(1+t)^\omega} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(1+t)^q} \left(\frac{r}{(1+t)^\nu} - \frac{q}{1+t} \right), \quad t > 0, \quad (18)$$

or

$$\frac{c_0}{\lambda^{p-1}(1+t)^{q(p-1)}} + \frac{c_1\lambda}{(1+t)^{\omega-q}} + \frac{q}{1+t} \leq \frac{r}{(1+t)^\nu}, \quad t > 0 \quad (19)$$

Assume that the following inequalities (20)-(21) hold:

$$q(p-1) \geq \nu, \quad \omega - q \geq \nu, \quad 1 \geq \nu, \quad (20)$$

and

$$\frac{c_0}{\lambda^{p-1}} + c_1\lambda + q \leq r. \quad (21)$$

Then inequality (19) holds, and Theorem 1 yields

$$g(t) = \|u(t)\| < \frac{1}{\lambda(1+t)^q}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (22)$$

provided that

$$\|u_0\| < \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (23)$$

Note that for any $\|u_0\|$ inequality (23) holds if λ is sufficiently large. For a fixed λ , however large, inequality (21) holds if r is sufficiently large.

Proposition 2 is proved. \square

The proof of *Proposition 2* provides a flexible general scheme for obtaining estimates of the behavior of the solution to evolution problem (9) for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$g(t) = \frac{v(t)}{a(t)}, \quad a(t) := e^{\int_0^t \gamma(s) ds}, \quad (24)$$

$$\eta(t) := \frac{a(t)}{\mu(t)}, \quad \eta(0) = \frac{1}{\mu(0)} > g(0). \quad (25)$$

Then inequality (1) reduces to

$$\dot{v}(t) \leq a(t)\alpha\left(t, \frac{v(t)}{a(t)}\right) + a(t)\beta(t), \quad t \geq 0; \quad v(0) = g(0). \quad (26)$$

One has

$$\dot{\eta}(t) = \frac{\gamma(t)a(t)}{\mu(t)} - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)a(t)}{\mu^2(t)} = \frac{a(t)}{\mu(t)} \left(\gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)} \right). \quad (27)$$

From (3), (24)-(27), one gets

$$v(0) < \eta(0), \quad \dot{v}(0) \leq \dot{\eta}(0). \quad (28)$$

Therefore there exists a $T > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq v(t) < \eta(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (29)$$

Let us prove that $T = \infty$.

First, note that if inequality (29) holds for $t \in [0, T)$, or, equivalently, if

$$0 \leq g(t) < \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T), \quad (30)$$

then

$$\dot{v}(t) \leq \dot{\eta}(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T). \quad (31)$$

One can pass to the limit $t \rightarrow T - 0$ in this inequality and get

$$\dot{v}(T) \leq \dot{\eta}(T). \quad (32)$$

Indeed, from inequality (30) it follows that

$$\alpha\left(t, \frac{v}{a}\right) + \beta = \alpha(t, g) + \beta \leq \alpha\left(t, \frac{1}{\mu}\right) + \beta,$$

because $\alpha(t, g) \leq \alpha\left(t, \frac{1}{\mu}\right)$.

Furthermore, from inequality (3) one derives:

$$\alpha\left(t, \frac{1}{\mu}\right) + \beta \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \left(\gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)} \right).$$

Consequently, from inequalities (26)-(27) one obtains

$$\dot{v}(t) \leq \frac{a(t)}{\mu(t)} \left(\gamma(t) - \frac{\dot{\mu}(t)}{\mu(t)} \right) = \dot{\eta}(t), \quad t \in [0, T),$$

and inequality (31) is proved.

Let $t \rightarrow T - 0$ in (31). The function $\eta(t)$ is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\dot{\eta}(t)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ . Thus, there exists the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T-0} \dot{\eta}(t) = \dot{\eta}(T).$$

By $\dot{v}(T)$ in inequality (32) one may understand $\limsup_{t \rightarrow T-0} \dot{v}(t)$, which does exist because $\dot{v}(t)$ is bounded for all $t < T$ by a constant independent of $t \in [0, T]$, due to the estimate (31).

To prove that $T = \infty$ we prove that the "upper" solution $w(t)$ to the inequality (26) exists for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Define $w(t)$ as the solution to the problem

$$\dot{w}(t) = a(t)\alpha\left(t, \frac{w(t)}{a(t)}\right) + a(t)\beta(t), \quad w(0) = v_0. \quad (33)$$

The unique solution to problem (33) exists locally, on $[0, T)$, because $\alpha(t, g)$ is assumed locally Lipschitz. On the interval $[0, T)$ one obtains inequality

$$0 \leq v(t) \leq w(t), \quad t \in [0, T),$$

by the standard comparison lemma (see, e.g., [5], p.99, or [2]). Thus, inequality

$$0 \leq v(t) \leq w(t) \leq \eta(t), \quad t \in [0, T), \quad (34)$$

holds.

The desired conclusion $T = \infty$ one derives from the following claim:

Proposition 3. *The solution $w(t)$ to problem (33) exists on every interval $[0, T]$ on which it is a priori bounded by a constant depending only on T .*

We prove this claim later. Assuming that this claim is established, one concludes that $T = \infty$. Let us finish the proof of Theorem 1 using *Proposition 3*.

Since $\eta(t)$ is bounded on any interval $[0, T]$ (by a constant depending only on T) one concludes from *Proposition 3* that $w(t)$ (and, therefore, $v(t)$) exists on all of \mathbb{R}_+ . If $v(t) \leq \eta(t) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then inequality (5) holds (see (24) and (25)), and Theorem 1 is proved.

Let us prove *Proposition 3*.

Proof of Proposition 3. We prove a more general statement, namely, *Proposition 4*, from which *Proposition 3* follows.

Proposition 4. *Assume that*

$$\dot{u} = f(t, u), \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad (35)$$

where $f(t, u)$ is an operator in a Banach space X , locally Lipschitz with respect to u for every t , i.e., $\|f(t, u) - f(t, v)\| \leq L(t, M)\|u - v\|$, $\forall v, v \in \{u : \|u\| \leq M\}$. The unique solution to problem (35) exists for all $t \geq 0$ if and only if

$$\|u(t)\| \leq c(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (36)$$

where $c(t)$ is a continuous function defined for all $t \geq 0$, and inequality (36) holds for all t for which $u(t)$ exists.

Proof of Proposition 4. The necessity of condition (36) is obvious: one may take $c(t) = \|u(t)\|$.

To prove its sufficiency, recall a known local existence theorem, see, e.g., [1].

Proposition 5. If $\|f(t, u)\| \leq M_1$ and $\|f(t, u) - f(t, v)\| \leq L\|u - v\|$, $\forall t \in [t_0, t_0 + T_1]$, $\|u - u_0\| \leq R$, $u_0 = u(t_0)$, then there exists a $\delta > 0$, $\delta = \min(\frac{R}{M_1}, \frac{1}{L}, T_1 - T)$, such that for every $\tau_0 \in [t_0, T]$, $T < T_1$, there exists a unique solution to equation (35) in the interval $(\tau_0 - \delta, \tau_0 + \delta)$ and $\|u(t) - u(t_0)\| \leq R$.

Using Proposition 5, let us prove the sufficiency of the assumption (36) for the global existence of $u(t)$, i.e., for the existence of $u(t)$ for all $t \geq t_0$.

Assume that condition (36) holds and the solution to problem (35) exists on $[t_0, T)$ but does not exist on $[t_0, T_1)$ for any $T_1 > T$. Let us derive a contradiction from this assumption.

Proposition 5 guarantees the existence and uniqueness of the solution to problem (35) with $t_0 = T$ and the initial value $u_0 = u(T - 0)$. The value $u(T - 0)$ exists if inequality (36) holds, as we prove below. The solution $u(t)$ exists on the interval $[T - \delta, T + \delta]$ and, by the uniqueness theorem, coincides with the solution $u(t)$ of the problem (35) on the interval $(T - \delta, T)$. Therefore, the solution to (35) can be uniquely extended to the interval $[0, T + \delta)$, contrary to the assumption that it does not exist on the interval $[0, T_1)$ with any $T_1 > T$. This contradiction proves that $T = \infty$, i.e., the solution to problem (35) exists for all $t \geq t_0$ if estimate (36) holds and $c(t)$ is defined and continuous $\forall t \geq t_0$.

Let us now prove the existence of the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T-0} u(t) := u(T - 0).$$

Let $t_n \rightarrow T$, $t_n < T$. Then

$$\|u(t_n) - u(t_{n+m})\| \leq \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+m}} \|f(t, u(s))\| ds \leq (t_{n+m} - t_n)M_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy criterion, there exists the limit

$$\lim_{t_n \rightarrow T-0} u(t) = u(T - 0).$$

Estimate (36) guarantees the existence of the constant M_1 .

Proposition 4 is proved □

Therefore *Proposition 3* is also proved and, consequently, the statement of *Theorem 1*, corresponding to the assumption (5), is proved. In our case $t_0 = 0$, but one may replace the initial moment $t_0 = 0$ in (1) by an arbitrary $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Finally, if $g(0) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(0)}$, then one proves the inequality

$$0 \leq g(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(t)}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

using the argument similar to the above. This argument is left to the reader.

Theorem 1 is proved. \square

3 Discrete version of *Theorem 1*

Theorem 2. *Assume that $g_n \geq 0$, $\alpha(n, g_n) \geq 0$,*

$$g_{n+1} \leq (1 - h_n \gamma_n) g_n + h_n \alpha(n, g_n) + h_n \beta_n, \quad h_n > 0, \quad 0 < h_n \gamma_n < 1, \quad (37)$$

and $\alpha(n, g_n) \geq \alpha(n, q_n)$ if $g_n \geq q_n$. If there exists a sequence $\mu_n > 0$ such that

$$\alpha(n, \frac{1}{\mu_n}) + \beta_n \leq \frac{1}{\mu_n} (\gamma_n - \frac{\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n}{h_n \mu_n}), \quad (38)$$

and

$$g_0 \leq \frac{1}{\mu_0}, \quad (39)$$

then

$$0 \leq g_n \leq \frac{1}{\mu_n} \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (40)$$

Proof. For $n = 0$ inequality (40) holds because of (39). Assume that it holds for all $n \leq m$ and let us check that then it holds for $n = m + 1$. If this is done, *Theorem 2* is proved. Using the inductive assumption, one gets:

$$g_{m+1} \leq (1 - h_m \gamma_m) \frac{1}{\mu_m} + h_m \alpha(m, \frac{1}{\mu_m}) + h_m \beta_m.$$

This and inequality (38) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{m+1} &\leq (1 - h_m \gamma_m) \frac{1}{\mu_m} + h_m \frac{1}{\mu_m} (\gamma_m - \frac{\mu_{m+1} - \mu_m}{h_m \mu_m}) \\ &= \frac{\mu_m h_m - \mu_m h_m^2 \gamma_m + h_m^2 \gamma_m \mu_m - h_m \mu_{m+1} + h_m \mu_m}{\mu_m^2 h_m} \\ &= \frac{2\mu_m h_m - h_m \mu_{m+1}}{\mu_m^2 h_m} = \frac{2\mu_m - \mu_{m+1}}{\mu_m^2} = \frac{1}{\mu_{m+1}} + \frac{2\mu_m - \mu_{m+1}}{\mu_m^2} - \frac{1}{\mu_{m+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed if one checks that

$$\frac{2\mu_m - \mu_{m+1}}{\mu_m^2} \leq \frac{1}{\mu_{m+1}},$$

or, equivalently, that

$$2\mu_m\mu_{m+1} - \mu_{m+1}^2 - \mu_m^2 \leq 0.$$

The last inequality is obvious since it can be written as

$$-(\mu_m - \mu_{m+1})^2 \leq 0.$$

Theorem 2 is proved. □

Theorem 2 was formulated in [3] and proved in [4]. We included for completeness a proof, which is different from the one in [4] only slightly.

References

- [1] Yu. L. Daleckii and M. G. Krein, *Stability of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974.
- [2] P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations*, J. Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [3] N.S. Hoang and A. G. Ramm, DSM of Newton-type for solving operator equations $F(u) = f$ with minimal smoothness assumptions on F , *International Journ. Comp.Sci. and Math. (IJCSM)*, 3, N1/2, (2010), 3-55.
- [4] N. S. Hoang and A. G. Ramm, A nonlinear inequality and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Appl.*, 71, (2009), 2744-2752.
- [5] A. G. Ramm, *Dynamical systems method for solving operator equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.
- [6] A. G. Ramm, Stationary regimes in passive nonlinear networks, in the book *Nonlinear Electromagnetics*, Editor P. Uslenghi, Acad. Press, New York, 1980, pp. 263-302.
- [7] A. G. Ramm, *Theory and applications of some new classes of integral equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.