#### ON IDENTITIES OF INDICATOR BURNSIDE SEMIGROUPS

SERGEY BAKULIN

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics St. Petersburg State University Saint-Petersburg, Russia vansergen@gmail.com

A semigroup variety is said to be a *Rees-Sushkevich variety* if it is contained in a periodic variety generated by 0-simple semigroups. S. I. Kublanovsky has proven that a variety V is a Rees—Sushkevich variety if and only it does not contain any of special finite semigroups. These semigroups are called *indicator Burnside semigroups*. It is shown that indicator Burnside semigroups have polynomially decidable equational theory. Also it is shown that each indicator Burnside semigroups generate a finitely based variety.

Keywords: Semigroups; 0-simple; varieties; Rees-Sushkevich; identities; finitely based;

#### 1. Introduction

In the foundational work by Sushkevich [18], finite simple semigroups have been characterized in terms of special matrices over finite groups. Then Rees [14] generalized Sushkevich's results to periodic simple semigroups. Namely, he proved that periodic completely 0-simple semigroups can be described by the same construction as used by Sushkevich. Recall that a semigroup is called 0-simple if it does not have ideals except itself and possibly 0. A 0-simple semigroup is called *completely 0-simple* if it has a minimal non-zero idempotent. Following Kublanovsky [5] any subvariety of a periodic variety generated by 0-simple semigroups a *Rees-Sushkevich variety*. Rees—Sushkevich varieties have been studied in a number of articles (see, for instance, [5,6,7,8,10,15] or Section 9 in the recent survey [22]). In particular, as established by Hall et al. [3] the variety **RS**<sub>n</sub> generated by all completely 0-simple semigroups over groups of exponent dividing n is finitely based

$$x^{2} = x^{n+2}, \ xyx = (xy)^{n+1}x, \ (xhz)^{n}xyz = xyz(xhz)^{n}$$

It is natural to consider the following question: are finitely based Rees— Sushkevich varieties recognizable within the class of all semigroup varieties? In other words: for a given set  $\Pi$  of semigroup identities, is it possible to recognize whether or not the variety defined by  $\Pi$  is a Rees—Sushkevich variety? Clearly, this question is a special case of the general problem of deducing identities, which is undecidable in the class of all semigroups as was shown by Murskii [11]. It turns out,

the problem of recognizing Rees—Sushkevich varieties is decidable (see Proposition 2.2).

This result shows that there exists an algorithm that determines whether the variety defined by a given finite system of identities is a Rees—Sushkevich variety. However, such algorithm has exponential complexity. Thus it is important to understand whether there exists a polynomial algorithm that recognizes Rees—Sushkevich varieties. The following main result of this paper gives an affirmative answer to this question.

**Theorem 1.1.** There exists a polynomial algorithm that determines whether the variety defined by a given finite system of identities is a Rees—Sushkevich variety. Specifically, each indicator Burnside semigroup have a polynomially decidable equational theory.

Note that the identity-checking problem for a finite semigroup is decidable and is co-NP. [4,16].

Another major issue in the study of identities is a finite basis property. S. Oates and M. B. Powell [12] proved that each finite group generate a finitely based variety. As opened the first P. Perkins [13] found a finite semigroup which generates infinitely based variety. His example was a matrix semigroup

# $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$

over an arbitrary field. This semigroup is called the 6-element Brandt monoid and denoted by  $B_2^1$ . Finite basis property for finite semigroup varieties is being actively studied [17,24]. General problem was posed by A. Tarski [19]. R. McKenzie proved that Tarski's problem is undecidable in the class of all finite groupoids. The same question in the class of all finite semigroups is still open. So it is interesting question: Do each indicator Burnside semigroup generate a finitely based variety? The second main result of this paper gives an affirmative answer to this question.

# Theorem 1.2. Each indicator Burnside semigroup generate a finitely based variety.

Note that, there exists a finite semigroup which has a polynomially decidable equational theory while generates an infinitely based variety [23].

# 2. Background

We adopt the standard terminology and notation of semigroup theory (see [2]) and universal algebra ([1]). For reader's convenience, we recall a few basic definitions, notation and results appeared below.

Denote by  $\mathcal{X}$  countably infinite set (the *alphabet*) whose elements are referred to as *letters*. Let  $\mathcal{X}^+$  be the *free semigroup* over  $\mathcal{X}$ . Elements of  $\mathcal{X}^+$  are referred to as *words*.

If x is a letter and u is a word, then Occ(x, u) denotes the number of occurrences of x in u. If Occ(x, u) > 0, then we say that the word u contains x. The *content* of u is the set C(u) of letters occurring in u. Denote by l(u) the *length* of u, that is the number of letters in u counting multiplicity. The *head* (respectively, *tail*) of u is the first (respectively, the last) letter in u and it is denoted by h(u) (respectively, by t(u)). Further, for a word  $u = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$  and an integer  $s \leq n$  we denote by  $h_s(u)$  the letter  $x_s$  and by  $t_s(u)$  the letter  $x_{n-s+1}$ ; in particular  $h_1(u) = h(u)$  is the head and  $t_1(u) = t(u)$  is the tail of the word u.

We write  $u \approx v$  to stand for a *semigroup identity*. An identity is *non-trivial* if  $u \neq v$  as elements of  $\mathcal{X}^+$ . A non-trivial identity is called a *permutational identity* if it is of the form  $x_1x_2...x_n \approx x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}...x_{\pi(n)}$ , where  $x_1, ..., x_n$  are distinct letters in X and  $\pi$  is a non-trivial permutation of  $\{1, ..., n\}$ . The symmetric group on n symbols is denoted by  $S_n$ .

A letter x is simple in the word u if it occurs exactly once in u. A word u is simple if all of its letters are simple in it. The set of all simple letters of a word u is denoted by S(u). The left core of a word u is the simple word obtained from u by retaining the first occurrence of each letter, it is denoted by LC(u). The right core of a word u is defined dually, it is denoted by RC(u). For example,  $LC(x^6y^2zxt^2xt^7s) = xyzts$ and  $RC(x^6y^2zxt^2xt^7s) = yzxts$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of identities. The *deducibility* of an identity  $u \approx v$  from the identities in  $\Sigma$  is denoted by  $\Sigma \vdash u \approx v$ . The *variety* defined by  $\Sigma$  is denoted by  $V(\Sigma)$ . The variety generated by a semigroup S is denoted by **S** or V(S). If a variety V satisfies an identity  $u \approx v$  we write  $V \vDash u \approx v$ .

Let u be a word. The word u is called an *isoterm* in the variety V if an identity  $u \approx v$  holds in V if and only if u = v. The word u is called (p,q)-trivial if u is a isoterm in the variety defined by the identity  $x_1x_2\cdots x_p \approx x_{p+1}^q$ . An identity  $u \approx v$  is called (p,q)-trivial if words u, v are (p,q)-trivial.

We denote by  $L_2$  (respectively,  $R_2$ ) the 2-element left-zero (right-zero) semigroups, by  $N_2$  and  $Y_2$  the 2-element semigroup with zero multiplication and 2element semilattice, respectively, and by  $C_n$  the cyclic group of order n. The cyclic semigroup  $\langle c \mid c^r = c^{r+d} \rangle$  of index r and period d is denoted by  $C_{r,d}$ . For convenience, let us denote by  $N_k$  the semigroup  $C_{k,1}$ .

Let S be a semigroup. The semigroup  $S^1$  means the semigroup arising from a semigroup S by adjunction of an identity element 1, unless S already has an identity, in which case  $S^1 = S$ .

#### Lemma 2.1. The following statements holds

- 1. The variety  $\mathbf{L}_2$  (respectively,  $\mathbf{R}_2$ ) is given by the identity  $x \approx xy$  (respectively,  $x \approx yx$ ) and satisfies an identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if h(u) = h(v) (respectively, t(u) = t(v)).
- 2. The variety  $\mathbf{L}_{2}^{1}$  (respectively,  $\mathbf{R}_{2}^{1}$ ) is given by the identities  $x \approx x^{2}, xy \approx xyx$ (respectively,  $x \approx x^{2}, xy \approx yxy$ ) and satisfies an identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if LC(u) = LC(v) (respectively, RC(u) = RC(v)).

- 3. The variety  $\mathbf{Y}_2$  is given by the identities  $x \approx x^2, xy \approx yx$  and satisfies an identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if C(u) = C(v).
- 4. The variety  $\mathbf{C_n}$  is given by the identities  $x \approx xy^n, xy \approx yx$  and satisfies an identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if  $Occ(x, u) \equiv Occ(x, v) \mod n$  for any letter  $x \in \mathcal{X}^+$ .
- 5. The variety  $N_2$  is given by the identity  $xy \approx z^2$  and satisfies a non-trivial identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if l(u), l(v) > 1.
- 6. The variety  $\mathbf{N_2^1}$  is given by the identities  $x^2 \approx x^3, xy \approx yx$  and satisfies an identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if C(u) = C(v) and S(u) = S(v).
- 7. The variety  $N_3$  is given by the identities  $xyz \approx w^3$  and  $xy \approx yx$ , and satisfies an identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if either  $l(u), l(v) \geq 3$  or  $u \approx v$  is equivalent to  $xy \approx yx$ .

**Proof.** These result are well-known results and easy to prove.

An element  $a \in S$  is *indecomposable* if the equation a = xy has no solutions in S. It is easy to see that if a finite semigroups S has an indecomposable element then  $N_2 \in \mathbf{S}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** (Kublanovsky [5], Theorem 1) A semigroup variety V is a Rees— Sushkevich variety if and only if it contains none of the following semigroups:

 $\begin{array}{l} A = \langle x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y^{2} = 0; xy = yx \rangle \\ B = \langle x, y \mid x^{2} = 0; y^{2} = 0; xyx = yxy \rangle \\ C_{\lambda} = \langle x, y \mid x^{2} = x^{3}; xy = x; x^{2}y = 0; y^{2} = 0 \rangle \\ C_{\rho} = \langle x, y \mid x^{2} = x^{3}; yx = x; yx^{2} = 0; y^{2} = 0 \rangle \\ N_{3} = \langle x \mid x^{3} = 0 \rangle \\ D = \langle x, y \mid x^{2} = 0; y = y^{2}; yxy = 0 \rangle \\ K_{n} = \langle x, y \mid x^{2} = 0; y^{2} = y^{n+2}; yxy = 0; xy^{q}x = 0, (q = 2, ..., n); xyx = xy^{n+1}x \rangle \\ F_{\lambda} = \langle x, y \mid xy = xyx = xy^{2}; yx = yxy = yx^{2}; x^{2} = x^{2}y = x^{3}; y^{2} = y^{2}x = y^{3} \rangle \\ F_{\rho} = \langle x, y \mid xy = yxy = x^{2}y; yx = xyx = y^{2}x; x^{2} = yx^{2} = x^{3}; y^{2} = xy^{2} = y^{3} \rangle \\ W_{\lambda} = \langle a, x, y \mid a^{2} = x^{2} = y^{2} = xy = yx = 0; ax = axax; ay = ayay; xa = xaxa; ya = yaya; xay = xax; yax = yay \rangle \\ W_{\rho} = \langle a, x, y \mid a^{2} = x^{2} = y^{2} = xy = yx = 0; xa = xaxa; ya = yaya; ax = axax; ay = ayay; xay = yay; yax = xax \rangle \\ L_{1}^{2} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; a = a^{2}; xy = x; yx = y; ax = xa = x; ay = ya = y \rangle \\ R_{2}^{1} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; a = a^{2}; xy = y; yx = x; ax = xa = x; ay = ya = xaz = x \rangle \\ p_{\mu}^{1} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; a = a^{2}; xy = y; yx = x; ax = xa = x; ay = ya = xaz = x \rangle \\ p_{\mu}^{1} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; a = a^{2}; xy = y; yx = x; ax = xa = x; ay = ya = xaz = x \rangle \\ p_{\mu}^{1} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; a = a^{2}; xy = y; yx = x; ax = xa = x; ay = ya = xaz = x \rangle \\ p_{\mu}^{1} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; a = a^{2}; xy = y; yx = x; ax = xa = x; ay = ya = xaz = x \rangle \\ p_{\mu}^{1} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; a = a^{2}; xy = y; yx = x; ax = xa = x; ay = ya = xaz = x \rangle \\ p_{\mu}^{1} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; a = a^{2}; xy = y; yx = x; ax = xa = x; ay = ya = xaz = x \rangle \\ p_{\mu}^{1} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; a = a^{2}; xy = y; yx = x; ax = xa = x; ay = ya = xaz = x \rangle \\ p_{\mu}^{1} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; a = a^{2}; xy = y; yx = x; ax = xa = x; ay = ya = xaz = xaz = x \rangle \\ p_{\mu}^{1} = \langle a, x, y \mid x = x^{2}; y = y^{2}; x = x^{2}; x = y^{2}; x = x^{2}; y = y^{2$ 

 $|y\rangle$ 

These semigroups are called *indicator Burnside semigroups*.

**Lemma 2.3.** (*Perkins* [13], *Theorem ?*) Each commutative semigroup generate a finitely based variety.

**Lemma 2.4.** (Trakhtman [21], Theorem ?) Each semigroup with  $\leq 5$  elements generate a finitely based variety.

# 3. Proof of main results

To prove main results, we need to verify that each of indicator Burnside semigroups generates a finitely based and polynomially recognizable variety. For varieties  $\mathbf{L_2^1}$ ,  $\mathbf{R_2^1}$  and  $\mathbf{N_3}$ , the desirable conclusion immediately follows from Lemma 2.1. Other indicator Burnside semigroups are considered below. The section is divided into six subsection.

# 3.1. Semigroup A

The variety  $\mathbf{A}$  is finitely based because it is commutative (see Lemma 2.3). The following statement gives an identity basis of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** The variety **A** coincides with the variety  $N_2^1$ , whence it is given by the identities  $x^2 \approx x^3$  and  $xy \approx yx$ .

**Proof.** In view of Lemma 2.1, it is suffices to verify that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{N}_2^1$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{N}_2^1 \subset \mathbf{A}$ . Indeed,  $N_2^1$  is the homomorphic image of the semigroup A under the homomorphism that maps x into 1, xy and y into a, and 0 into 0. Suppose that there exists an identity  $u \approx v$  such that  $\mathbf{N}_2^1 \models u \approx v$  but  $\mathbf{A} \nvDash u \approx v$ . Then there exists a map  $\phi : \mathcal{X}^+ \to A$  such that  $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$ . But  $\sigma(\phi(u)) = \sigma(\phi(v))$  because  $\mathbf{N}_2^1 \models u \approx v$ . Therefore, without loss of generality we can assume that  $\phi(u) = y$ ,  $\phi(v) = xy$ . But the element y is indecomposable in the semigroup A. In this case the word u consists of one letter u = x. But the word u is an isoterm in the variety  $\mathbf{N}_2^1$ . Therefore,  $u \equiv v$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the identity  $u \approx v$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

## 3.2. Semigroup B

The semigroup B is a 4-nilpotent semigroup, and it is easy to verify that each finite nilpotent semigroup is finitely based and generate a variety whose finite membership problem admits a linear algorithm.

**Lemma 3.2.** The variety **B** satisfies a non-trivial identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if one of the following statements hold:

- 1. Words u, v are not (4, 2)-trivial,
- 2.  $u \approx v$  has the form  $abc \approx cba$ ,
- 3.  $u \approx v$  has the form  $aba \approx bab$ .

**Proof.** Necessity. Assume that identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety **B**. It is easy to see that for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in B$  we have  $x_1x_2x_3x_4 = x_5^2 = 0$ . Therefore, if there exists a homomorphism such that  $\phi(u) \neq 0$  then  $l(u) \leq 4$  and  $x^2$  is not a subword of u for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Hence, u is (4, 2)-trivial if and only if v is (4, 2)-trivial too. Assume that the statement 1 does not hold. Then it is easy to see that words u and v has the same length, because words u, v are not (p, q)-trivial. We observe also that the

word *ab* is isoterm in the variety **B**. Thus, we can suppose that l(u) = l(v) = 3. In this case we find that C(u) = C(v). First, suppose that the word *u* is simple. Then u, v are products of three different letter,  $u = x_1 x_2 x_3$  and  $v = x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)}$ . If  $\pi(2) \neq 2$  then  $x_1 x_3$  or  $x_3 x_1$  is a subword of *v*. In this case we can consider the homomorphism, such that:

$$\phi(a) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if either } a = x_1 \text{ or } a = x_3; \\ y, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we can note that  $\phi(v) = xxy$  or  $\phi(v) = yxx$ . In both cases we find that  $\phi(v) = 0$  but  $\phi(u) = xyx$ . A contradiction. This shows that  $v = x_3x_2x_1$ . This means that the identity  $u \approx v$  has the form  $abc \approx cba$ .

It remains to consider the case when the word u is not simple. Then u equals  $x_1x_2x_1$ . Since C(u) = C(v) and the identity  $u \approx v$  is not trivial, we can observe that the word v equals  $x_2x_1x_2$ . This means that the identity  $u \approx v$  has the form  $aba \approx bab$ .

**Sufficiency.** It is easy to see that if statements 2 or 3 hold then the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety **B**. If the statement 1 holds then  $\phi(u) = \phi(v)$  for all homomorphisms  $\phi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ . In other words, the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety **B**.

Lemma 3.2 allows to find an identity basis of the variety **B**.

Lemma 3.3. The identities

$$a^2 \approx bcde,$$
 (1)

$$abc \approx cba,$$
 (2)

$$aba \approx bab$$
 (3)

form an identity basis of the variety **B**.

**Proof.** Suppose that the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety **B**. We can apply Lemma 3.2. If the identity  $u \approx v$  satisfies statement 1 then it follows from the identity  $a^2 \approx bcde$ . If the identity  $u \approx v$  satisfies statement 2 or 3 then it follows from the identity (2) or (3) respectively. Hence identities (1),(2) and (3) constitute an identity basis of the variety **B**.

#### **3.3.** Semigroups $C_{\lambda}$ and $C_{\rho}$

The variety  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$  is finitely based because  $C_{\lambda}$  consists of 5 elements, while each semigroup with  $\leq 5$  elements generates a finitley based variety by Lemma 2.4. Next we find a finite identity basis of the variety  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$  and show that it is polynomially recognizable.

By duality, we consider only the semigroup  $C_{\lambda}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** Let u, v be words. The following are equivalent:

- 1. The variety  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$  satisfies the identity  $u \approx v$ ;
- 2. The following are satisfied:
  - (i) C(u) = C(v),
- (ii) Occ(h(u), u) = 1 if and only if Occ(h(v), v) = 1,
- (iii) if Occ(h(u), u) = 1 then h(u) = h(v),
- (iv)  $Occ(h_2(u), u) = 1$  if and only if  $Occ(h_2(v), v) = 1$ ,
- (v) if  $Occ(h_2(u), u) = 1$  then h(u) = h(v) and  $h_2(u) = h_2(v)$ .
- 3. The following are satisfied:
  - (i) C(u) = C(v).
  - (ii) one of the following statement hold:
    - i.  $h(u) = h(v), h_2(u) = h_2(v)$  and  $Occ(h(u), u) = Occ(h(v), v) = Occ(h_2(u), u) = Occ(h_2(v), u) = 1,$
    - *ii.*  $h(u) = h(v), h_2(u) = h_2(v), Occ(h_2(u), u) = Occ(h_2(v), v) = 1$  and Occ(h(u), u), Occ(h(v), v) > 1,
    - iii. h(u) = h(v), Occ(h(u), u) = Occ(h(v), v) = 1 and  $Occ(h_2(u), u) = Occ(h_2(v), v) > 1$ ,
    - iv.  $Occ(h(u), u), Occ(h(v), v), Occ(h_2(u), u), Occ(h_2(v), v) > 1.$

**Proof.** The equivalence of the statements 2 and 3 is verified easily.

 $1 \Longrightarrow 2$ . Assume that an identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$ . It easy to see that  $Y_2 \in \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$ . Therefore the statement (i) holds by Lemma 2.1. Suppose that Occ(h(u), u) = 1 but Occ(h(v), v) > 1. Suppose that  $h(u) \neq h(v)$ . Consider a homomorphism such that

$$\phi_1(a) = \begin{cases} xy, & \text{if } a = h(u); \\ x^2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\phi_1(u) = xyx^{2k} = xy$  and  $\phi_1(v) = 0$ . We obtain that  $\phi_1(u) = xy \neq 0 = \phi_1(v)$  in contradiction with assumption that  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda} \models u \approx v$ .

Let now h(u) = h(v). Then  $\phi_1(u) = xyx^{2k} = xy$  and  $\phi_1(v) = 0$ . But  $\phi_1(u) = xy \neq 0 = \phi_1(v)$  in contradiction with assumption that  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda} \models u \approx v$ .

We show that Occ(h(u), u) = 1 if and only if Occ(h(v), v) = 1. Let us observe that if Occ(h(u), u) = 1 and  $h(u) \neq h(v)$  then  $\phi_1(u) = xy \neq 0 = \phi(v)$ . Hence (iii) holds.

Proof of the conditions (ii), (iii) is similar to (iv), (v). Unless we should consider another map. To prove (iv), (v) it is sufficiently to consider the following homomorphism

$$\phi_2(a) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } a = h_2(u); \\ x, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $2 \Longrightarrow 1$ . Let an identity  $u \approx v$  satisfies conditions (i)-(v). Let  $\phi$  be the map from  $\mathcal{X}^+$  to  $C_{\lambda}$ . Since  $Y_2 \in \mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$  so we can assume that  $\phi(a) \neq 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{X}$ . The identity

 $u \approx v$  is not trivial. Thus  $l(u), l(v) \geq 3$  follows from conditions *(ii), (iii), (iv),* (v). It is easy to see that  $C_{\lambda}xy = \{0\}$  and  $C_{\lambda}C_{\lambda}y = \{0\}$ . Therefore if  $\phi(a) = xy$ (respectively,  $\phi(a) = y$ ) for the letter  $a \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $a \neq h(u)$  (respectively,  $a \neq h(u), h_2(u)$ ) then we have  $\phi(u) = \phi(v) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $\phi(h(u)) \in \{y, xy\}$ . If there exists a letter  $b \in C(u) \setminus \{h(u)\}$  such that  $\phi(b) \notin \{x, x^2\}$  then  $\phi(u) = \phi(v) = 0$ . Otherwise, conditions *(ii)*, *(iii)* imply that  $\phi(u) = \phi(v) = \phi(h(u))$ .

Let now  $\phi(h(u)) \in \{x, x^2\}$ . If  $\phi(h_2(u)) = y$ . Then  $Occ(h_2, u) = Occ(h_2(v), v) = 1$  and  $h_2(u) = h_2(v), h(u) = h(v)$ . If  $\phi(h(u)) = x^2$  or there exist a letter  $b \in C(u) \setminus \{h(u), h_2(u)\}$  such that  $\phi(b) \notin \{x, x^2\}$  then  $\phi(u) = \phi(v) = 0$ . Otherwise conditions *(iii),(iv)* imply that  $\phi(u) = \phi(v) = xy$ .

It remains to consider the case when  $\phi(h_2(u)) \neq y$ . Then  $\phi(h_2(u)) \in \{x, x^2\}$ . Consider a letter  $b \in C(u) \setminus \{h(u), h_2(u)\}$ . Note that we discussed cases  $\phi(b) \in \{xy, y, 0\}$ . So  $\phi(b) \in \{x, x^2\}$  and we have  $\phi(u) = \phi(v) = x^2$ .

We obtain  $\phi(u) = \phi(v)$  in all cases. Hence the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$ .

Now, we are ready to find an identity basis of the variety  $C_{\lambda}$ .

Lemma 3.5. The identities

$$a^2 \approx a^3 \tag{4}$$

$$a^2b \approx b^2a \tag{5}$$

$$abc \approx abc^2$$
 (6)

form a identity basis of the variety  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$ .

**Proof.** Note that the identity

$$abcd \approx abdc$$
 (7)

follows from identities (5), (6). Indeed,

 $abcd \approx^{(6)} abc^2 d \approx^{(5)} abd^2 c \approx^{(6)} abdc$ 

Also the identity

$$a^2 b^2 \approx b^2 a^2 \tag{8}$$

follows from identities (5), (6):

$$a^{2}b^{2} \approx^{(6)} a^{2}b \approx^{(5)} b^{2}a \approx^{(6)} b^{2}a^{2}$$

Consider an identity  $u \approx v$  such that  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda} \models u \approx v$ . We can apply Lemma 3.4. Consider all possible casses:

1. h(u) = h(v),  $h_2(u) = h_2(v)$ . Then the identity  $u \approx v$  has the form  $abu' \approx abv'$  such that  $\mathbf{Y}_2 \models u' \approx v'$ . In this case the identity  $u' \approx v'$  follows from identities  $a \approx a^2, ab \approx ba$ . Hence, the identity  $abu' \approx abv'$  follows from identities (6),(7).

- 2.  $h(u) = h(v), h_2(u) \neq h_2(v)$ . Then the identity  $u \approx v$  has the form  $abu'bu'' \approx acv'cv''$ . Applying identities (6), (7) we find that the identity  $u \approx v$  is equivalent to  $ab^2c^2u''' \approx ac^2b^2v'''$  where  $\mathbf{Y}_2 \models u''' \approx v'''$ . Hence, the identity  $ab^2c^2u''' \approx ac^2b^2v'''$  follows from identities (6), (7), (8).
- 3.  $h(u) \neq h(v)$ . Then applying identities (4), (5), (6), (8) we can find that the identity  $u \approx v$  is equivalent to  $x_1^2 \dots x_k^2 \approx x_{\pi(1)}^2 \dots x_{\pi(k)}^2$  where  $\pi \in S_k$ . But this identity follows from (8). Therefore, the identity  $u \approx v$  follows from identities (4), (5), (6).

We have proved that an identity  $u \approx v$  follows from identities (4),(5) and (6) whenever it holds in the variety  $\mathbf{C}_{\lambda}$ . This evidently implies the desirable conclusion

# 3.4. Semigroups $K_n$ and D

Let V a variety defined by a given finite system of identities. To check that the variety V is a Rees-Suchkevich variety we have to verify that  $K_n \notin V$ . But we have to do it just for such n is dividing a period of V. Hence we verify it for n = 1. It is not difficult to see that  $\mathbf{D} \subseteq \mathbf{K_1}$  because the semigroup D is a quotient of the semigroup  $K_1$ . But it will be easy to see that in fact  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{K_1}$ . Whence we can assume that the semigroup D is unnecessary in the list of indicator Rees—Sushkevich semigroups.

Let the word u have the following form u = aWb. Denote by  $\xi_n$  the map from  $\mathcal{X}^+$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by the rule

$$\xi_n(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l(u) = 2; \\ 0, & \text{if either } a \in C(W) \text{ or } b \in C(W); \\ \gcd_{x_i \in C(W)} \{Occ(x_i, W)\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.6.** The variety  $\mathbf{K_n}$  satisfies a non-trivial identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. C(u) = C(v)
- 2.  $\mathbf{C}_{2,\mathbf{n}} \models u \approx v$
- 3. one of the following conditions are satisfied:
  - (a) h(u) = h(v), Occ(h(u), u) = Occ(h(v), v) = 1, Occ(t(u), u), Occ(t(v), v) > 1
  - (b) t(u) = t(v), Occ(t(u), u) = Occ(t(v), v) = 1, Occ(h(u), u), Occ(h(v), v) > 1
  - (c) h(u) = h(v), t(u) = t(v), Occ(h(u), u) = Occ(h(v), v) = Occ(t(u), u) = Occ(t(v), v) = 1
  - (d)  $h(u) = h(v) = t(u) = t(v), Occ(h(u), u) = Occ(h(v), v) = 2, \xi_n(u) = \xi_n(v) = 1$
  - (e)  $Occ(h(u), u), Occ(h(v), v), Occ(t(u), u), Occ(t(v), v) > 1, \xi_n(u), \xi_n(v) \neq 1$

**Proof.** Necessity. Assume that an identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{K_n}$ . It is easy to see that  $Y_2 \in \mathbf{K_n}$ . Therefore C(u) = C(v) by Lemma 2.1. The semigroup

generated by the element y is isomorphic to the semigroup  $C_{2,n}$ . So the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{C}_{2,\mathbf{n}}$ . To prove 3 consider possible cases:

1. Occ(h(u), u) = 1, Occ(t(u), u) > 1. Consider the following map

$$\phi(a) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } a = h(u); \\ y^{2n}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We obtain  $\phi(u) = xy^{2n}$ . But the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{K_n}$ , and thus  $\phi(v) = xy^{2n}$ . It follows that Occ(h(v), v) = 1 and h(u) = h(v).

2. Occ(t(u), u) = 1, Occ(h(u), u) > 1. Consider the following map

$$\phi(a) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } a = t(u); \\ y^{2n}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

As in the previous case we find that Occ(t(v), v) = 1 and t(u) = t(v).

Comparing cases 1 and 2 we see that Occ(t(v), v) > 1 and Condition (a) holds in the first case, while Occ(h(v), v) > 1 and Condition (b) holds in the second case.

- 3. Occ(h(u), u) = Occ(t(u), u) = 1. Note that  $N_2 \in \mathbf{C}_{2,\mathbf{n}} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}$ . So l(u), l(v) > 1 because the identity  $u \approx v$  is not trivial. Applying the same arguments as in previous cases we find that Occ(h(v), v) = Occ(t(v), v) = 1 and h(u) = h(v), t(u) = t(v). Hence Statement (c) holds.
- 4. h(u) = t(u), Occ(h(u), u) = 2. If l(u) = 2 then we have  $\xi_n(u) = 0$ . It follows from the previous cases that the word ab is isoterm. Therefore the word v has the form  $v = a^{2+kn}$ . Hence Condition (e) holds. Now assume that the word u has the form u = aWa, where the word W is non-simple. Consider possible cases:
  - (i)  $\xi_n(u) = 1$ . Then there exists a map  $\phi' : \mathcal{X}^+ \to C_{2,n} \leq K_n$  such that  $\phi'(W) = y^{2n+1}$ . Consider the following map:

$$\phi(a) = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } a \in C(W); \\ x, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $\phi(u) = xy^{n+1}x = xyx$  and  $\phi(v) = xyx$ . But in this case we can conclude that the word v has the form v = aW'a, where  $\xi_n(v) = 1$ . Thus Condition (d) holds.

- (ii)  $\xi_n(u) \neq 1$ . Then for all maps we have  $\phi(u) \neq xyx$ . Thus we have  $\xi_n(v) \neq 1$ and Occ(h(v), v), Occ(t(v), v) > 1. Therefore Condition (e) holds.
- 5. Occ(h(u), u), Occ(t(u), u) > 1 and  $\xi_n(u) \neq 1$ . Now, we can apply all previous arguments to conclude that Occ(h(v), v), Occ(t(v), v) > 1 and  $\xi_v(u) \neq 1$ . Thus Condition (e) holds.

**Sufficiency.** Consider an identity  $u \approx v$  that satisfies conditions 1,2 and 3. Note that the semigroup  $K_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^6 F_i$ , where

$$F_1 = \{x\}$$

$$\begin{split} F_2 &= \{y^k \mid 1 \le k \le n+1\}\\ F_3 &= \{xy^k \mid 1 \le k \le n+1\}\\ F_4 &= \{y^kx \mid 1 \le k \le n+1\}\\ F_5 &= \{xyx\}\\ F_6 &= \{0\} \text{ and } F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset \text{ if and only if } i \ne j. \end{split}$$

Consider a map  $\phi : \mathcal{X}^+ \to K_n$ . Condition (3) implies that  $\phi(u), \phi(v) \in F_i$  for some *i*. In turn, Conditions (1),(2) imply that values of words *u* and *v* coincide in  $F_i$ . Thus, the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{K}_n$ .

Now, we can prove that the variety  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{n}}$  is finitely based.

**Lemma 3.7.** The variety  $\mathbf{K_n}$  is given by the identity (8) and the following identities:

$$a^2 \approx a^{n+2},\tag{9}$$

$$abcd \approx acbd,$$
 (10)

$$abc \approx ab^{n+1}c.\tag{11}$$

$$ab^m a \approx a^{n+1}b^m a$$
, where  $gcd(m,n) > 1$  and  $m \le n$ . (12)

**Proof.** Consider an identity  $u \approx v$  such that  $\mathbf{K_n} \models u \approx v$ . We can apply Lemma 3.6. Note that identities  $a^{k+1}b^{l+1} \approx b^{l+1}a^{k+1}$  follow from identities (8), (10) for all  $k, l \geq 1$ . Consider possible cases:

- 1. h(u) = h(v), Occ(h(u), u) = Occ(h(v), v) = 1, Occ(t(u), u), Occ(t(v), v) > 1.Then the identity  $u \approx v$  has the form  $au'bu''b \approx av'cv''c$ . Applying identities (8), (10) we can get the identity  $a\overline{u}b^k \approx a\overline{v}b^l$  where  $b \notin C(\overline{u}), C(\overline{v})$ . Now applying the identity (9) we get the identity  $a\overline{u}b^k \approx a\overline{v}b^k$ . But the identity  $\overline{u} \approx \overline{v}$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{C_n} \vee \mathbf{Y_2}$ . Hence, it follows from identities  $b \approx b^{n+1}, bc \approx cb$ . Therefore the identity  $u \approx v$  follows from identities (8), (10), (11).
- 2. t(u) = t(v), Occ(t(u), u) = Occ(t(v), v) = 1, Occ(h(u), u), Occ(h(v), v) > 1. This case can be considered in the same way as the previous case by duality.
- 3. h(u) = h(v), t(u) = t(v), Occ(h(u), u) = Occ(h(v), v) = Occ(t(u), u) = Occ(t(v), v) = 1. Then the identity  $u \approx v$  has the form  $au'b \approx av'b$ . But the identity  $u' \approx v'$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{C_n} \vee \mathbf{Y_2}$ . Thus, it follows from identities  $b \approx b^{n+1}, bc \approx cb$ . Therefore the identity  $u \approx v$  follows from identities (10), (11).
- 4.  $h(u) = h(v) = t(u) = t(v), Occ(h(u), u) = Occ(h(v), v) = 2, \xi_n(u) = \xi_n(v) = 1.$ Then the identity  $u \approx v$  has the form  $au'a \approx av'a$ . But in this case the identity  $u' \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{C_n} \vee \mathbf{Y_2}$ . Thus, we can apply the same argument as in the previous case. Therefore the identity  $u \approx v$  follows from identities (10), (11).
- 5.  $Occ(h(u), u), Occ(h(v), v), Occ(t(u), u), Occ(t(v), v) > 1, \xi_n(u), \xi_n(v) \neq 1$ . Note that applying identities (10), (12) to the identity  $u \approx v$  we can get an identity  $u' \approx v'$  such that for any letter  $x \in C(u') = C(v')$  we have

Occ(x, u'), Occ(x, v') > 1. Now applying identities  $a^{k+1}b^{l+1} \approx b^{l+1}a^{k+1}$  we get the identity  $x_1^{k_1}x_2^{k_2}...x_q^{k_p} \approx x_1^{l_1}x_2^{l_2}...x_q^{l_p}$  which follows from the identity (9).

Hence identities (8),(9),(10),(11)(12) constitute an identity basis of the variety  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{h}}$ 

# 3.5. Semigroups $F_{\lambda}$ and $F_{\rho}$

By duality, it suffices to consider only the semigroup  $F_{\lambda}$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** The variety  $\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}$  satisfies a non-trivial identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if h(u) = h(v) and  $h_2(u) = h_2(v)$ 

**Proof.** Necessity. Assume that the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}$ . Note that the subset  $\{xy, yx\}$  constitutes a semigroup isomorphic to  $L_2$ . Thus the equality h(u) = h(v) holds. Now we are going to show that  $h_2(u) = h_2(v)$ . Indeed, otherwise we may assume without loss of generality that  $h_2(u) \neq h(u)$  and consider the following map

$$\phi(a) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } a = h(u); \\ y, & \text{if } a = h_2(u); \\ x^2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $\phi(u) = xy$ ,  $\phi(v) = x^2$ . But it is in contradiction with hypothesis that the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}$ . Hence  $h_2(u) = h_2(v)$ .

**Sufficiency.** Consider an identity  $u \approx v$  such that h(u) = h(v) and  $h_2(u) = h_2(v)$ . Consider any map  $\phi : \mathcal{X}^+ \to F_{\lambda}$ . Then  $\phi(h(u)h_2(u)) = \phi(h(v)h_2(v)) \in \{x^2, y^2, xy, yx\}$ . But  $\phi(h(u)h_2(u)), \phi(h(v)h_2(v))$  is a left zero in the semigroup  $F_{\lambda}$ . Hence  $\phi(u) = \phi(h(u)h_2(u)) = \phi(h(v)h_2(v)) = \phi(v)$ . Therefore the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}$ .

Lemma 3.8 readily implies the following

Lemma 3.9. The identity

$$ab \approx abc$$
 (13)

forms an identity basis of the variety  $\mathbf{F}_{\lambda}$ .

# 3.6. Semigroups $W_{\lambda}$ and $W_{\rho}$

By duality, we consider only the semigroup  $W_{\lambda}$ .

To prove results in this section we need the following construction: each word u is associated with an undirected graph Gr(u) with vertex set  $C(u) \times \{0, 1\}$  connected as follows: we draw an edge from (x, 0) to (y, 1) if and only if xy is a factor of u.

The semigroup  $B_2$  plays crucial role here. A identity basis of the variety  $\mathbf{B}_2$  was found by Trahtman [20]. But his proof has a gap. Reilly [15] reproved Trahtman's

resuls. A solution to the word problem for  $B_2$  was first provided by Mashevitsky [9]. Reilly [15] gave another proof of Mashevitsky's result in the most convenient terms. His solution can be stated as follows:

**Lemma 3.10.** [15, Theorem 5.1] The variety  $\mathbf{B}_2$  satisfies an identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. C(u) = C(v),
- 2. the graphs Gr(u), Gr(v) have the same connected components,
- 3. the vertices (h(u), 1), (h(v), 1) lie in the the same connected component,
- 4. the vertices (t(u), 0), (t(v), 0) lie in the the same connected component.

We need also the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.1.** Let the identity  $u \approx v$  hold in the variety  $\mathbf{B_2}$  and the word u has the form u = u'xu'' where  $x \notin C(u'u'')$  and  $C(u') \cap C(u'') = \emptyset$ . Then the word v has the form v = v'xv'' and identities  $u' \approx v', u'' \approx v''$  hold in the variety  $\mathbf{B_2}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** [15, Corollary 9.2] The variety  $\mathbf{L_2} \vee \mathbf{B_2}$  is defined by (4) and identities

$$bba \approx ababa,$$
 (14)

$$ab^2c^2 \approx ac^2b^2. \tag{15}$$

The semigroup  $B_2$  can be presented as

$$B_2 = \{(i,j) \mid i,j \in \{0,1\}\} \cup \{0\}$$

with the following binary operation

$$(i,j)(k,l) = \begin{cases} (i,l), & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $\Gamma$  the set

$$\{(i, j, k, 1) \mid i, j, k \in \{0, 1\}\} \cup \{(0, 1, 2, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0)\} \cup \{0\}$$

and define a binary operation by the following formula:

$$(i_1, j_1, k_1, l_1)(i_2, j_2, k_2, l_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1, j_2, k_1, 1), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2, k_1 \neq 2, \\ (i_1, j_2, k_2, 1), & \text{if } j_1 = i_2, k_1 = 2. \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that this operation is associative i.e.  $\Gamma$  is a semigroup. More precisely  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $W_{\lambda}$ . Indeed, isomorphism can be define on the generators as follows:  $a \mapsto (0, 1, 2, 0), x \mapsto (1, 0, 0, 0), y \mapsto (1, 0, 1, 0), 0 \mapsto 0$ .

It is easy to see that the semigroup  $B_2$  is a homomorphic image of  $\Gamma$ . Define the homomorphism  $\tau : \Gamma \to B_2$  on the generators as follows:  $(0, 1, 2, 0) \mapsto (0, 1)$ ,  $(1, 0, 0, 0) \mapsto (1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0) \mapsto (1, 0), 0 \mapsto 0$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** The variety  $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda}$  satisfies an identity  $u \approx v$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

L<sub>2</sub> ∨ B<sub>2</sub> ⊨ u ≈ v,
if (h(u), 0), (h(u), 1) does not lie in the same connected component of Gr(u) then h<sub>2</sub>(u) = h<sub>2</sub>(v).

**Proof.** Necessity. Let the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda}$ . Elements (0,0,0,1), (0,0,1,1) constitute subsemigroup of  $W_{\lambda}$  isomorphic to  $L_2$ . The semigroup  $B_2$  is a homomorphic image of  $W_{\lambda}$ . Hence the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{L}_2 \vee \mathbf{B}_2$ . Let us show that if (h(u),0), (h(u),1) does not lay in the same connected component of Gr(u) then  $h_2(u) = h_2(v)$ . Assume the converse. I.e. (h(u),0), (h(u),1) does not lie in the same connected component of Gr(u) and  $h_2(u) \neq h_2(v)$ . Then from Lemma 3.10 it is follows that  $h(u), h_2(u), h_2(v)$  are distinct. From [15] it follows that there exists a homomorphism  $\psi : \mathcal{X}^+ \to B_2$  such that  $\psi(u) \neq 0$  and  $\phi(h(u)) = (0,1)$  (since (h(u),0), (h(u),1) does not lie in the same connected component of Gr(u)). Let  $\psi(h_2(u)) = (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \psi(h_2(v)) = (\alpha_2, \beta_2), \psi(x_i) = (l_i, r_i)$  for any letter  $x_i \in C(u) \setminus \{h(u), h_2(u), h_2(v)\}$  and  $\psi(u) = (0, y)$ . Consider a homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{X}^+ \to W_{\lambda}$  defined as follows

 $h(u) = h(v) \mapsto (0, 1, 2, 0),$ 

 $h_2(u) \mapsto (\alpha_1, \beta_1, 0, 1),$ 

 $h_2(v) \mapsto (\alpha_2, \beta_2, 1, 1),$ 

 $x_i \mapsto (l_i, r_i, 1, 1)$  for any  $x_i \in C(u) \setminus \{h(u), h_2(u), h_2(v)\}.$ 

We obtain that  $\phi(u) = (0, y, 0, 1)$  and  $\phi(v) = (0, y, 1, 1)$  i.e.  $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$ . This contradiction proves the necessity.

Sufficiency. Let the identity  $u \approx v$  satisfies Conditions (1), (2). Let us show that the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda}$ . Consider any homomorphism  $\phi: \mathcal{X}^+ \to W_{\lambda}$ . Since the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{B}_2$  we find  $\tau(\phi(u)) =$  $\tau(\phi(v))$ . Thus  $\phi(u), \phi(v)$  lies in the same equivalence class defined by  $\tau$ . One can assume that  $\phi(u), \phi(v) \neq 0$  since equivalence class of [0] consists of one element. Let  $\phi(u) = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$  and  $\phi(v) = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$ . Since  $\tau(\phi(u)) = \tau(\phi(v))$  we find that  $a_1 = b_1$  and  $a_2 = b_2$ . Further,  $N_2 \in \mathbf{B}_2 \subseteq \mathbf{W}_{\lambda}$  implies that  $a_4 = b_4 = 1$ . Let us show that  $a_3 = b_3$ . Let  $\phi(h(u)) = (a_1, p, y, z)$  and  $\phi(h_2(u)) = (p, x_1, y_1, z_1)$ . If (h(u), 0), (h(u), 1) lies in the same connected component of Gr(u) then  $a_3 = b_3 = x$ . If (h(u), 0), (h(u), 1) does not lie in the same connected component of Gr(u) then  $h_2(u) = h_2(v)$ . If  $y \neq 2$  then  $a_3 = b_3 = y$ . If y = 2 then  $y_1 \neq 2$  (because otherwise we would obtain that  $\phi(h(u)h_2(u)) = 0$ ). Hence  $\phi(h(u)h_2(u)) = (a_1, x_1, y_1, 1)$ . So  $a_3 = b_3 = y_1$ . In both cases we find that  $a_3 = b_3$ . Hence  $\phi(u) = \phi(v)$  i.e. the identity  $u \approx v$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda}$ . Now we are ready to find a finite identity basis of the variety  $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda}$ .

Lemma 3.12. The identities (4), (14) and

$$abc^2d^2 \approx abc^2d^2 \tag{16}$$

$$a^2 b^2 \approx a b^2 a \tag{17}$$

form a identity basis of the variety  $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda}$ .

**Proof.** Note that the identity

$$a^2ba \approx aba^2$$
 (18)

follows from identities (14), (17). Indeed,

$$a^2ba \approx^{(14)} (a^2)(baba) \approx^{(17)} (ababa)a \approx^{(14)} aba^2.$$

Consider an identity  $au \approx av$  which holds in the variety  $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda}$ . Note that Corollary 3.1 implies that  $a \in C(u)$  if and only if  $a \in C(v)$ . Consider possible cases:

- 1.  $a \notin C(u)$ . Then  $a \notin C(v)$  and (h(u), 0), (h(u), 1) does not lie in the same connected component of the graph Gr(u). Then by Lemma 3.11 we obtain  $h_2(u) = h_2(v)$  i.e. the identity  $au \approx av$  has a form  $abu' \approx abv'$ . By Corollary 3.1 we can observe that identity  $bu' \approx bv'$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{B_2}$ . Then the identity  $bu' \approx bv'$  holds in the variety  $\mathbf{L_2} \vee \mathbf{B_2}$  and follows from identities (4), (14),  $bc^2d^2 \approx bd^2c^2$ . In this case we find that the identity  $abu' \approx abv'$  follows from identities (4), (14), (16).
- 2.  $a \in C(u)$ . Then we have  $a \in C(v)$  and the identity  $au \approx av$  has a form  $au'au'' \approx av'av''$ . Consider possible cases:
  - (a) (h(u), 1), (h(u), 1) lies in the same connected component of the graph Gr(u). Applying the identity (14) we obtain the identity au'au'au'' ≈ av'av'au''. Note that the identities au'au'' ≈ au'a<sup>2</sup>u'' ≈ av'av'' ≈ av'a<sup>2</sup>v'' holds in the variety B<sub>2</sub> (by Lemma 3.10) and follows from identities (4), (14), bc<sup>2</sup>d<sup>2</sup> ≈ bd<sup>2</sup>c<sup>2</sup> (by Proposition 3.1). Hence the identity au'au'a<sup>2</sup>u'' ≈ av'av'a<sup>2</sup>v'' follows from identities (4), (14), (16). Now applying the identity a<sup>2</sup>ba ≈ aba<sup>2</sup> we obtain the identity a<sup>2</sup>u'au'au'' ≈ a<sup>2</sup>v'av'av''. Applying the identity (14) we obtain the identity a<sup>2</sup>u'au'' ≈ a<sup>2</sup>v'av''. As we noted the identity au'au'au'' ≈ av'av' follows from identities (4), (14), bc<sup>2</sup>d<sup>2</sup> ≈ bd<sup>2</sup>c<sup>2</sup>. Hence the identity au ≈ av follows from identities (4), (14), (16), (17).
  - (b) (h(u), 1), (h(u), 1) does not lie in the same connected component of the graph Gr(u). Then h<sub>2</sub>(u) = h<sub>2</sub>(v) by Lemma 3.11. Thus the identity au'au'' ≈ av'av'' has a form abūau'' ≈ abvau''. Applying the identity (14) we obtain the identity abūabūau'' ≈ abvabvau''. But the identity būabūau'' ≈ bvabvau'' holds in the variety B<sub>2</sub> by Lemma 3.10 and hence follows from identities (4), (14), bc<sup>2</sup>d<sup>2</sup> ≈ bd<sup>2</sup>c<sup>2</sup>. Hence the identity abūabūau'' ≈ abvabvau'' follows from identities (4), (14), (16).

We obtained that any identity  $u \approx v$  which holds in the variety  $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda}$  follows from identities (4), (14), (16), (17). Thus they are constitute a identity basis of the variety  $\mathbf{W}_{\lambda}$ .

# Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his gratitude to M. V. Volkov for his time and patience in responding to the authors questions, to S. I. Kublanovsky for suggesting to investigate indicator Burnside semigroups and to N. A. Vavilov and B. M. Vernikov for their valuable remarks and suggestions.

# References

- S. Burris and H.P. Sankappanavar, A course in universal algebra, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-N.Y, 1981.
- [2] A.H. Clifford and G.B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, vol. I,II, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1961,1967.
- [3] T.E. Hall, S. Kublanovsky, S. Margolis, M. Sapir and P. Trotter, Decidable and undecidable problems related to finite 0-simple semigroups, J. Pure Appl Algebra 119 (1997), 75–96.
- [4] Ondřej Klima, Complexity issues of checking identities in finite monoids, Semigroup Forum 79 (2009), no. 3, 435–444.
- [5] S. Kublanovsky, On the rees-sushkevich variety, preprint, 1996.
- [6] S. I. Kublanovsky, E.W.H. Lee and N.R. Reilly, Some conditions related to the exactness of Rees-Sushkevich varieties, Semigroup Forum 76 (2008), no. 1, 87–94.
- [7] E.W.H. Lee, Subvarieties of the variety generated by the five-element brandt semigroup, Int. J. Algebra and Comput. 2 (2006), no. 6, 417–441.
- [8] E.W.H. Lee, Combinatorial rees-sushkevich varieties are finitely based, Int. J. Algebra and Comput. 5 (2008), no. 18, 957–978.
- G.I. Mashevitzky, *Identities in brandt semigroups*, Semigroup Varieties and Semigroups of Endomorphisms (1979), 126–137, Leningrad State Pedagogical Institute, Leningrad,.
- G.I. Mashevitzky, Completely simple and completely 0-simple semigroup identities, Semigroup Forum 37 (1988), 253–264.
- [11] V.L. Murskii, Some examples of varieties of semigroups, Mat. Zametki 3 (1968), no. 6, 663–670.
- [12] S. Oates and M.B. Powell, Identical relations in finite groups, J. Algebra 1 (1964), 11–39.
- [13] P. Perkins, Bases for equational theories of semigroups, J. Algebra 11 (1969), 298– 314.
- [14] D. Rees, On semi-groups, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 36 (1940), 387–400.
- [15] N.R. Reilly, The interval [B<sub>2</sub>, NB<sub>2</sub>] in the lattice of Rees—Sushkevich varieties, Algebra Universalis 59 (2008), no. 3-4, 345–363.
- [16] S. Seif, The perkins semigroups has CO-NP-complete term-equivalence problem, Int. J. Algebra and Computation 15 (2005), no. 2, 317–326.
- [17] L.N. Shevrin and M.V. Volkov, *Identities of semigroups*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. (1985), no. 11, 3–47, [Russian; Engl. translation: Soviet Math. Izv. VUZ 29, No.11, 1–64].
- [18] A.K. Sushkevich, Uber die endlichen gruppen ohne gesetz das eindeutigen umkehrbarkeit, Math. Ann. 99 (1928), 30–50.

- [19] A. Tarski, Equational logic and equational theories of algebras, Proc. Logic Colloq. 11 (1966), 275–288.
- [20] A.N. Trahtman, An identity basis of the 5-element brandt semigroup, Investigations in Modern Algebra (1981), 147–149, Ural State University, Sverdlovsk.
- [21] A.N. Trahtman, Finiteness of identity bases of 5-element semigroups, Semigroups and Their Homomorphisms (1991), 76–97, Russian State Pedagogical Univ., Leningrad.
- [22] B.M. Vernikov, L.N. Shevrin and M.V. Volkov, *Lattices of semigroup varieties*, Izv. VUZ. Matem. (2009), no. 3, 3–36, [Russian; Engl. translation: Russ. Math. Iz. VUZ, 53, No. 3 (2009), 1-28].
- [23] M.V. Volkov, On the finite basedness of semigroup varieties, Mat. Zametki 45 (1989), no. 3, 12–23, Russian; English translation Math. Notes 45, 187–194.
- [24] M.V. Volkov, The finite basis problem for finite semigroups, Sci. Math. Japon. (2001), no. 53, 171–199.