Active and Passive Elec. Comp., 1998, Vol. 21, pp. 293–296
 © 1998 OPA (Overseas Publishers Association) N.V.

 Reprints available directly from the publisher
 Published by license under

 Photocopying permitted by license only
 the Gordon and Breach Science

 Publishers
 Publishers

Printed in India.

ANALYSIS OF THE DENSITY OF ELECTRON-HOLE PAIRS FOR MINIMAL PUMP ENERGY IN A LASER DIODE WITH COHERENT FEEDBACK

M. A. GRADO-CAFFARO* and M. GRADO-CAFFARO

Scientific Consultants, C./Julio Palacios, 11, 9-B, 28029-Madrid, Spain

(Received 22 June 1998; In final form 4 September 1998)

The average value in the time domain of the density of electron-hole pairs in a semiconductor laser with coherent feedback is calculated under conditions of minimal pump energy. This value is found to be finite; the significance of this result is discussed as well as the asymptotic value of the above carrier density.

Keywords: Density of electron-hole pairs; laser diode; coherent feedback; minimal pump energy

1. INTRODUCTION

Optical coherent feedback is a relevant phenomenon in the context of semiconductor lasers; this phenomenon is strongly connected with AM and FM noises and coherence collapse, and a number of questions related to this subject remain open from the theoretical point of view since a considerable part of the literature only contains empirical techniques which, in practice, have achieved a scant success. However, in recent years, some authors as Refs. [1-4] have obtained important results; in particular, we refer to AM and FM noises and minimal pump energy. This last subject has been treated in Ref. [4] in a manner that can be considered as a singular source of fruitful future investigations within the frame of optical coherent feedback in laser

^{*}Corresponding author.

diodes. At this point, we can claim that the aim of the present paper is to analyze the electron-hole density in a semiconductor laser with coherent feedback under conditions of minimum pump energy; these conditions have been considered in Ref. [4]. The relevance of pump energy minimization is clear since minimum pump energy corresponds to maximum quantum efficiency and it is obvious that this optimal efficiency represents a crucial problem.

2. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

For minimal pump energy in a laser diode with coherent feedback, the density of the electron-hole pairs n(t) is given by the following relation (see Ref. [4]):

$$n(t) \approx \left(\frac{n_0 - \Gamma_0 P_s T}{1 + T\zeta P_s}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} + 1)(T^{-1} + \zeta P_s)t\right] + \frac{(\Gamma_0 - \zeta n_0)P_s}{T^{-1} + \zeta P_s}$$
(1)

where t stands for time, $n_0 = n(0)$, T is the lifetime for spontaneous recombination of electrons and holes in the active layer, Γ_0 is the rate of optical power emission, ζ is the gain coefficient, and $P_s = |E|^2$ is the density of photons in the active layer; E(t) is the slowly varying envelope of the complex electric field in the active layer. Here, harmonic solutions to Eq. (2) of the form $E(t) = \sqrt{P_s} e^{i\Omega t}$ are considered so that P_s does not depend on time (see previous refs.); Ω denotes feedback-induced frequency shift. The above mentioned Eq. (2) is the following Lang-Kobayashi equation namely [5]:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(E(t)) = \frac{1}{2}[G(n(t)) - \Gamma_0]E(t) + \gamma E(t - \tau)\exp(-i\omega_0\tau) \quad (i = \sqrt{-1})$$
(2)

where ω_0 is the optical angular frequency which is near the laser angular frequency, γ is the feedback rate, τ is the feedback delay time, and G(n(t)) is the complex gain function which depends upon n(t). Now, from Eq. (1) we get:

$$n_{\infty} \equiv \lim_{t \to \infty} n(t) = \frac{(\Gamma_0 - \zeta n_0) P_s T}{1 + \zeta P_s T}$$
(3)

On the other hand, note that also from Eq. (1) it is deduced the existence of a relaxation time given by:

$$\tau_r \approx \frac{2T}{(1+\sqrt{5})(1+T\zeta P_s)} \tag{4}$$

so that from Eqs. (3) and (4) it follows:

$$n_{\infty} \approx 1.62 \, P_s \tau_r (\Gamma_0 - \zeta n_0) \tag{5}$$

Next we shall evaluate the average value of n(t) as follows:

$$\langle n \rangle = \lim_{t_0 \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} n(t) dt \right]$$
 (6)

Then, by taking into account formulae (1) and (6) as well as L'Hôpital's rule with respect to parametric differentiation, we obtain:

$$\langle n \rangle = n_{\infty} + \left(\frac{n_0 - \Gamma_0 P_s T}{1 + T\zeta P_s} \right) \\ \times \lim_{t_0 \to \infty} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{5} \right) \times (T^{-1} + \zeta P_s) t_0 \right] = n_{\infty}$$
(7)

Notice that result (7) coincides with n_{∞} (see formula (3)), that is, timeaveraged electron-hole density is exactly equal to the asymptotic value of this density in the time domain.

3. DISCUSSION

We have found that $\langle n \rangle = n_{\infty}$, this value being dependent on relaxation time τ_r ; at this point, note that τ_r does not depend on the feedback delay (for details on this delay, see Refs. [2, 4]). In addition, we must emphasize that our results refer to minimal pump energy which constitutes a very interesting circumstance since this situation is related to crucial problems in the context of chaotic phenomena. It is well-known that these phenomena are not at present well understood so very important research efforts are needed. Finally, we can mention Refs. [5, 6] as basic work in this context.

296 M. A. GRADO-CAFFARO AND M. GRADO-CAFFARO

References

- [1] Grado-Caffaro, M. A. and Grado-Caffaro, M. (1994). Act. Pass. Electronic Comp., 16, 101-103.
- [2] Grado-Caffaro, M. A. and Grado-Caffaro, M. (1994). Optik, 98, 74-78.
- [3] Grado-Caffaro, M. A. and Grado-Caffaro, M. (unpublished).
 [4] Grado-Caffaro, M. A. and Grado-Caffaro, M. (1994). Mod. Phys. Lett. B, 8, 819-822.
- [5] Lang, R. and Kobayashi, K. (1980). IEEE J. Quantum Electron, QE, 16, 347.
- [6] Yasaka, H., Yoshikuni, Y. and Kawaguchi, H. (1991). IEEE J. Quantum Electron, QE, 27, 193-204.