

## On the Value Set of $n!$ Modulo $a$ Prime

*William D. Banks, Florian Luca, Igor E. Shparlinski, Henning Stichtenoth*

### Abstract

We show that for infinitely many prime numbers  $p$  there are at least  $\log \log p / \log \log \log p$  distinct residue classes modulo  $p$  that are not congruent to  $n!$  for any integer  $n$ .

### 1. Introduction

For any odd prime  $p$ , let  $F(p)$  be the number of the distinct residue classes modulo  $p$  that are missed by the sequence  $\{n! : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

In **F11** of [5], it is conjectured that  $F(p) \approx p/e$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . This question appears to be quite difficult, and very little is known at the present time about the distribution of  $n!$  modulo  $p$ . Some evidence for the conjecture is provided by [1], where it is shown that for a random permutation  $\sigma$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$ , the products

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(i), \quad n = 1, \dots, p-1,$$

hit the expected number of  $p(1-1/e)$  residue classes modulo  $p$ . It has been remarked in [3] that  $F(p) \leq p - (p-1)^{1/2}$  (which is based on the simple observation that  $n = n!/(n-1)!)$ . Several other results about the distribution of  $n!$  modulo  $p$  can be found in [2, 3, 4, 7, 10], but unfortunately these give very little insight into the behaviour of  $F(p)$ .

Here, we show that the *Chebotarev Density Theorem* implies that the relation  $\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} F(p) = \infty$  holds. Below, we give a slightly more precise form of this statement using a result from [6].

The implied constants in the symbol ‘ $O$ ’ are always absolute.

## 2. Preparations

We use some standard notions of the theory of algebraic number fields which can be found in [8] and many other standard textbooks.

Given two number fields  $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{L}$  and a basis  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell\}$  for  $\mathbb{L}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  (thus  $\ell = [\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{K}]$ ), we denote by  $D_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell)$  the discriminant of this basis. We also denote by  $N_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}(\beta) \in \mathbb{K}$  the relative norm of an element  $\beta \in \mathbb{L}$ .

We recall the following formula for discriminants in a tower of finite extensions  $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{M}$  (see [8, Chapter 2, Exercise 23]). If  $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{K}] = \ell$ ,  $[\mathbb{M} : \mathbb{L}] = m$ , and  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell\}$  and  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$  are bases for  $\mathbb{L}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\mathbb{M}$  over  $\mathbb{L}$ , respectively, then the discriminant of the basis  $\{\beta_1\gamma_1, \dots, \beta_\ell\gamma_m\}$  of  $\mathbb{M}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  is given by

$$D_{\mathbb{M}/\mathbb{K}}(\beta_1\gamma_1, \dots, \beta_\ell\gamma_m) = D_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}^m(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell) N_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}(D_{\mathbb{M}/\mathbb{L}}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)). \quad (1)$$

We also recall that the discriminant  $D_{\mathbb{F}}$  of an algebraic number field  $\mathbb{F}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  divides the discriminant  $D_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_N)$  of any basis  $\{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_N\}$  of  $\mathbb{F}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , whenever  $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_N$  are algebraic integers (see [8, Chapter 2]).

We now establish a useful estimate for the discriminant of the splitting field of a polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$  in terms of the differences between its roots. This result may be of independent interest.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \in \mathbb{C}$  be the roots of a monic irreducible polynomial  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  of degree  $t$ . Then the discriminant  $D_{\mathbb{F}}$  of the splitting field  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$  satisfies the inequality*

$$|D_{\mathbb{F}}| \leq \Delta^{t(t-1)t!/2},$$

where

$$\Delta = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j|.$$

**Proof.** We consider the tower of extensions  $\mathbb{L}_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{L}_i = \mathbb{L}_{i-1}(\alpha_i)$ , and let  $n_i = [\mathbb{L}_i : \mathbb{L}_{i-1}]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{L}_t$ .

We observe that for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , the conjugates of  $\alpha_i$  over  $\mathbb{L}_{i-1}$  are among the roots of  $f$ . Therefore, for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , the  $n_i$ -tuple  $(1, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_i^{n_i-1})$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{L}_i$  over  $\mathbb{L}_{i-1}$  whose discriminant is given by

$$D_{\mathbb{L}_i/\mathbb{L}_{i-1}}(1, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_i^{n_i-1}) = (-1)^{n_i(n_i-1)/2} \prod_{\substack{r, s \in \mathcal{J}_i \\ r \neq s}} (\alpha_r - \alpha_s) \quad (2)$$

for some set  $\mathcal{J}_i \subset \{1, \dots, t\}$  of cardinality  $\#\mathcal{J}_i = n_i$ .

For every  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , the  $n_1 \cdot \dots \cdot n_i$ -tuple

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \left( \prod_{j=1}^i \alpha_j^{a_j} \right)_{0 \leq a_1 \leq n_1-1, \dots, 0 \leq a_i \leq n_i-1}$$

is a basis of  $\mathbb{L}_i$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We claim that the absolute value of the discriminant of this basis  $|D_{\mathbb{L}_i/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_i)|$  is a product of

$$N_i = n_1 \cdot \dots \cdot n_i \cdot (n_1 + \dots + n_i - i)$$

factors of the form  $|\alpha_r - \alpha_s|$  for  $1 \leq r < s \leq t$ .

We prove this by induction on  $i$ . For  $i = 1$ , the assertion is trivial. We now assume that  $|D_{\mathbb{L}_{i-1}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_{i-1})|$  is a product of  $N_{i-1}$  such factors. Then, by (1) and (2),  $|D_{\mathbb{L}_i/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_i)|$  is a product of

$$N_{i-1}n_i + n_1 \cdot \dots \cdot n_i \cdot (n_i - 1) = n_1 \cdot \dots \cdot n_i \cdot (n_1 + \dots + n_i - i)$$

factors of the requested form. Taking into account that  $n_i \leq t - i + 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , we derive

$$N_t \leq t! \left( \frac{t(t+1)}{2} - t \right) = \frac{t(t-1)t!}{2}.$$

Since, as we have mentioned,  $D_{\mathbb{F}}$  divides  $D_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_t)$ , we obtain the inequality

$$|D_{\mathbb{F}}| \leq |D_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_t)| \leq \Delta^{N_t},$$

which concludes the proof. □

Let us consider the family of polynomials

$$f_t(X) = X(X+1) \dots (X+t-1) - 1, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots \tag{3}$$

**Lemma 2.** *For an integer  $t \geq 5$ , the roots of the polynomial  $f_t$  given by (3) are real and belong to the interval  $[-t+1/2, 1/2]$ .*

**Proof.** It is enough to show that  $f_t(X)$  alternates its sign at half integers  $-k+1/2$  for  $k = 0, \dots, t$ . We first remark that this property obviously holds for  $g_t(X) = X(X+1) \dots (X+t-1)$ . Thus, it is now enough to show that  $|g_t(-k+1/2)| > 1$  for  $k = 0, \dots, t$ . But trivially,

$$|g_t(-k+1/2)| = \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} |i-k+1/2| \geq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{t-2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \geq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^4 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 > 1$$

for  $t \geq 6$ . For  $t = 5$  this property can be verified directly.  $\square$

### 3. The Main Result

**Theorem 3.** *The following bound holds:*

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(p) \log \log \log p}{\log \log p} \geq 1.$$

**Proof.** For a sufficiently large integer  $t \geq 1$  we consider the polynomial  $f_t$  given by (3). It is well known (see [9, Part VIII, Chapter 2, Section 3, Problem 121]) that  $f_t$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{F}_t = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$  the algebraic number field generated by all the roots  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$  of  $f_t$ , and let  $D_t$  be the discriminant of  $\mathbb{F}_t$ . Then, by [6, Theorem 1.1], there exists a prime number  $p \leq D_t^{O(1)}$  which splits into a product of distinct ideals of first degree in  $\mathbb{F}_t$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This is equivalent to the fact that  $f_t$  has  $t$  distinct zeros  $0 < m_1 < \dots < m_t \leq p-1$  modulo  $p$ . In particular,  $(m_i - 1)! \equiv (m_i + t - 1)! \pmod{p}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . It is clear that  $m_t + t - 1 \leq p - 1$ , for otherwise  $f(m_t) \equiv -1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Also,  $m_2 - 1 > 1$ . Therefore, the  $t - 1$  values  $(m_i + t - 1)! \pmod{p}$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, t$  all occur at least twice among the residues of  $n! \pmod{p}$ . Hence  $F(p) \geq t - 1$ .

Combining Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we derive that

$$|D_t| \leq t^{t(t-1)t!/2},$$

thus  $p \leq \exp(O(t! t^2 \log t)) \leq \exp(t^t)$ , provided that  $t$  is large enough. Considering both possibilities  $t > \log \log p$  and  $t \leq \log \log p$  we see that the inequality

$$t \geq \frac{\log \log p}{\log \log \log p}$$

holds, which finishes the proof.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Francesco Pappalardi and Filip Saidak for useful discussions. During the preparation of this paper, W. B. was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0070628, F. L. was supported in part by grants SEP-CONACYT 37259-E and 37260-E, and I. S. was supported in part by ARC grant DP0211459. The paper was

written during a visit by F. L. and I. S. to the University of Missouri–Columbia and a visit by I. S. and H. S. to Sabanci University, Istanbul; the hospitality of these institutions is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- [1] C. Cobeli, M. Vâjăitu and A. Zaharescu, ‘The sequence  $n! \pmod{p}$ ’, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, **15** (2000), 135–154.
- [2] M. Z. Garaev and F. Luca, “Character sums and factorials modulo  $p$ ”, to appear in *J. Theorie Nombres, Bordeaux*.
- [3] M. Z. Garaev, F. Luca and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Character sums and congruences with  $n!$ ’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (to appear).
- [4] M. Z. Garaev, F. Luca and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Exponential sums and congruences with factorials’, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 356 (2004), 5089-5102.
- [5] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved problems in number theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [6] J. C. Lagarias, H. L. Montgomery and A. M. Odlyzko, ‘A bound for the least prime ideal in the Chebotarev density theorem’, *Invent. Math.*, **54** (1979), 271–296.
- [7] F. Luca and P. Stănică, ‘Products of factorials modulo  $p$ ’, *Colloq. Math.*, **96** (2003), 191–205.
- [8] D. A. Marcus, *Number fields*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [9] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Problems and theorem in analysis, Vol.II*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [10] B. Rokowska and A. Schinzel, ‘Sur une problème de M. Erdős’, *Elem. Math.*, **15** (1960), 84–85.

BANKS, LUCA, SHPARLINSKI, STICHTENOTH

William D. BANKS  
Department of Mathematics,  
University of Missouri  
Columbia, MO 65211 USA  
bbanks@math.missouri.edu

Received 12.02.2004

Florian LUCA  
Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM  
C.P. 58089, Morelia,  
Michoacán, MÉXICO  
fluca@matmor.unam.mx

Igor E. SHPARLINSKI  
Department of Computing,  
Macquarie University  
Sydney, NSW 2109, AUSTRALIA  
igor@ics.mq.edu.au

Henning STICHTENOTH  
Fachbereich Mathematik,  
Universität Duisburg-Essen  
45117 Essen-GERMANY  
stichtenoth@uni-essen.de  
Sabancı University, MDBF  
34956 Orhanli, Tuzla,  
İstanbul-TURKEY  
henning@sabanciuniv.edu