

On near-rings with two-sided α -derivations

Nurcan Argac*

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of two-sided α -derivation of a near-ring and give some generalizations of [1]. Let N be a near ring. An additive mapping $f : N \rightarrow N$ is called an (α, β) -derivation if there exist functions $\alpha, \beta : N \rightarrow N$ such that $f(xy) = f(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in N$. An additive mapping $d : N \rightarrow N$ is called a two-sided α -derivation if d is an $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation as well as a $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following two assertions: (i) Let N be a semiprime near-ring, I be a subset of N such that $0 \in I$, $IN \subseteq I$ and d be a two-sided α -derivation of N . If d acts as a homomorphism on I or as an anti-homomorphism on I under certain conditions on α , then $d(I) = \{0\}$. (ii) Let N be a prime near-ring, I be a nonzero semigroup ideal of N , and d be a $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation on N . If $d + d$ is additive on I , then $(N, +)$ is abelian.

Key words and phrases: Prime near-ring, semiprime near-ring, $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation, $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation, two-sided α -derivation

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper N stands for a right near-ring. An additive map $d : N \rightarrow N$ is a derivation if $d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y$ for all $x, y \in N$ - or equivalently (cf. [8]) that $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in N$. The study of derivations of near-rings was initiated by H. E. Bell and G. Mason in 1987 [4], but thus far only a few papers on this subject in near-rings have been published (see [1], [2], [5] and [7]). According to [4], a near ring N is said to be prime if $xNy = \{0\}$ for $x, y \in N$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$, and semiprime

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 16Y30, 16W25, 16U80

*Dedicated to Professor Atsushi Nakajima for his 60th birthday

if $xNx = \{0\}$ for $x \in N$ implies $x = 0$. A non empty subset I of N will be called a semigroup ideal if $IN \subseteq I$ and $NI \subseteq I$.

Let S be a nonempty subset of N and d be a derivation of N . If $d(xy) = d(x)d(y)$ or $d(xy) = d(y)d(x)$ for all $x, y \in S$, then d is said to act as a homomorphism or anti-homomorphism on S , respectively. Bell and Kappe proved [3] that if d is a derivation of a semiprime ring R which is either an endomorphism or anti-endomorphism, then $d = 0$. They also showed that if d is a derivation of a prime ring R which acts as a homomorphism on I , where I is a nonzero right ideal, then $d = 0$ on R these results were proved for near-rings in [1].

Now we introduce the notion of two-sided α -derivation of a near-ring N as follows.

An additive mapping $f : N \rightarrow N$ is called a (α, β) -derivation if there exist functions $\alpha, \beta : N \rightarrow N$ such that $f(xy) = f(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in N$. An additive mapping $d : N \rightarrow N$ is called a two-sided α -derivation if d is an $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation as well as $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation.

For $\alpha = 1$, a two-sided α -derivation is of course just a derivation. In case N is a prime ring and $d \neq 0$, Chang ([6, Theorem 1]) has shown that α must necessarily be a ring endomorphism. Now we give an example of a two-sided α -derivation on a near-ring.

Example. Let $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$, where N_1 is a zero-symmetric near-ring and N_2 is a ring. Let d_1 be any map on N_1 and d_2 be a right and left N_2 -module map on N_2 which is not a derivation. Define $d : N \rightarrow N$ by $d((n_1, n_2)) = (0, d_2((n_2)))$ and $\alpha : N \rightarrow N$ by $\alpha((n_1, n_2)) = (d_1(n_1), 0)$. Then d is a two-sided α -derivation on N but not a derivation.

2. The Results

We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 . *Let N be a prime near-ring and I a nonzero semigroup ideal of N . If $u + v = v + u$ for all $u, v \in I$, then $(N, +)$ is abelian.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have $xu + yu = yu + xu$ for all $u \in I$ and $x, y \in N$. Then we get $(x + y - x + y)u = 0$ for all $u \in I$ and $x, y \in N$. It means that $(x + y - x - y)I = (x - y - x - y)NI = 0$. Since I is a nonzero semigroup ideal we have $x + y - x - y = 0$ for all $x, y \in N$ by the primeness of N . Thus $(N, +)$ is abelian. \square

Lemma 2 *Let N be a right near-ring, d a $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation of N and I a multiplicative semigroup of N which contains 0 . If d acts as an anti-homomorphism on I and $\alpha(0) = 0$, then $x0 = 0$ for all $x \in I$.*

Proof. Since $0x = 0$ for all $x \in I$ and d acts as an anti-homomorphism on I it is clear that $d(x)0 = 0$ for all $x \in I$. Taking $x0$ instead of x , one can obtain $d(x)\alpha(0) + x0 = 0$ for all $x \in I$. Thus we have $x0 = 0$ for all $x \in I$. \square

Lemma 3 *Let N be a near-ring and I be a multiplicative subsemigroup of N . If d is a two-sided α -derivation of N such that $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$, then*

$$n(d(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y)) = nd(x)\alpha(y) + nxd(y) \text{ for all } n, x, y \in I.$$

Furthermore, if $\alpha(I) = I$, then

$$n(d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)) = nd(x)y + n\alpha(x)d(y) \text{ for all } n, x, y \in I.$$

A proof can be given by using a similar approach to that in the proof of [8, Lemma 1].

Lemma 4 . *Let N be a prime near-ring and I a nonzero semigroup ideal of N . Let d be a nonzero $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation on N such that $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$. If $x \in N$ and $xd(I) = \{0\}$, then $x = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $xd(I) = 0$. Then $xd(uy) = 0$ for all $y \in N, u \in I$. Hence $0 = x(d(u)\alpha(y) + ud(y)) = xud(y)$ for all $y \in N, u \in I$. Since I is a nonzero semigroup ideal and d is nonzero, it is clear that $x = 0$ by the primeness of N . \square

Lemma 5 *Let N be a prime near-ring and I a nonzero semigroup ideal of N and d a nonzero $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation on N . If $d(x + y - x - y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $(x + y - x - y)d(z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in I$.*

Proof. Assume that $d(x + y - x - y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$. Let us take yz and xz instead of y and x , where $z \in I$ respectively. Then $0 = d((x + y - x - y)z) = d(x + y - x - y)\alpha(z) + (x + y - x - y)d(z) = (x + y - x - y)d(z)$ for all $x, y, z \in I$. \square

Lemma 6 *Let N be a near-ring and I a multiplicative subsemigroup of N . Let d be a $(\alpha, 1)$ - derivation of N such that $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha(I) = I$.*

(i) *If d acts as a homomorphism on I , then*

$$d(y)xd(y) = yxd(y) = d(y)x\alpha(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

(ii) *If d acts as an anti-homomorphism on I , then*

$$d(y)xd(y) = xyd(y) = d(y)\alpha(y)x \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Proof. (i) Let d act as a homomorphism on I . Then

$$d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y) = d(x)d(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (1)$$

Substituting yx for x in (1), we infer that

$$d(yx)\alpha(y) + yxd(y) = d(yx)d(y) = d(y)d(xy) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2)$$

By Lemma 3, $d(y)d(xy) = d(y)d(x)\alpha(y) + d(y)xd(y) = d(yx)\alpha(y) + d(y)xd(y)$. Using this relation in (2), we get $yxd(y) = d(y)xd(y)$.

Similarly, taking yx instead of y in (1) we obtain

$$d(x)\alpha(yx) + xd(yx) = d(x)d(yx) = d(xy)d(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand $d(xy)d(x) = (d(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y))d(x) = d(x)\alpha(y)d(x) + xd(y)d(x) = d(x)\alpha(y)d(x) + xd(yx)$. Using this relation in (3) we get $d(x)\alpha(yx) = d(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(x) =$

$d(x)\alpha(y)d(x)$. Since $\alpha(I) = I$ it is clear that $d(x)wd(x) = d(x)w\alpha(x)$ for all $x, w \in I$.

(ii) Since d acts as an anti-homomorphism on I , we have

$$d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y) = d(y)d(x) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (4)$$

Taking xy for y in (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x)\alpha(xy) + xd(xy) &= d(xy)d(x) \\ &= (d(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y))d(x) \\ &= d(x)\alpha(y)d(x) + xd(y)d(x) \\ &= d(x)\alpha(y)d(x) + xd(xy) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \end{aligned}$$

From this relation we get $d(x)\alpha(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y)d(x)$. Since $\alpha(I) = I$, we get $d(x)\alpha(x)y = d(x)y d(x)$ for all $x, y \in I$. Similarly, taking xy instead of x in (4), one can prove the relation $d(y)xd(y) = xyd(y)$.

□

The following theorem is a generalization of [1, Theorem].

Theorem 1 *Let N be a semiprime near-ring and I be a subset of N such that $0 \in I$ and $IN \subseteq I$. Let d be a two-sided α -derivation on N such that $\alpha(I) = I$ and $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$.*

(i) *If d acts as a homomorphism on I , then $d(I) = \{0\}$.*

(ii) *If d acts as an anti-homomorphism on I and $\alpha(0) = 0$, then $d(I) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. (i) Suppose that d acts as a homomorphism on I . By Lemma 6 we have

$$d(y)xd(y) = d(y)x\alpha(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (5)$$

Right multiplying (5) by $d(z)$, where $z \in I$, and using the hypothesis that d acts as a homomorphism on I together with Lemma 3, we obtain $d(y)xd(y)z = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in I$.

Taking xn instead of x , where $n \in N$, we get $d(y)xn d(y)z = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in I$ and $n \in N$. In particular, $d(y)xN d(y)x = \{0\}$. By the semiprimeness of N we conclude that $d(y)x = 0$. Since $\alpha(I) = I$, it is clear that

$$d(y)\alpha(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (6)$$

Substituting yn for y in (6) and left-multiplying (6) by $d(z)$, where $z \in I$, we get $d(z)d(y)n\alpha(x) + d(z)\alpha(y)d(n)\alpha(x) = 0$. Since the second summand is zero by (6) we get $0 = d(z)d(y)n\alpha(x) = d(zy)n\alpha(x) = d(z)\alpha(y)n\alpha(x) + zd(y)n\alpha(x) = zd(y)n\alpha(x)$, that is $zd(y)nx = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in I, n \in N$. Since N is semiprime, we have

$$zd(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } y, z \in I. \quad (7)$$

Combining (6) and (7) shows that $d(yz) = 0$ for all $y, z \in I$. In particular, $d(xnx) = 0$ for all $x \in I, n \in N$; and since d acts as a homomorphism on I , we have

$$0 = d(xn)d(x) = d(x)nd(x) + \alpha(x)d(n)d(x).$$

Since $\alpha(I) = I$, the second summand is zero by (7). Hence $d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$.

(ii). Now assume that d acts as an anti-homomorphism on I . Note that $a0 = 0$ for all $a \in I$ by Lemma 2. According to Lemma 6 we have

$$xyd(y) = d(y)xd(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I, \quad (8)$$

$$d(y)\alpha(y)x = d(y)xd(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (9)$$

Replacing x by $xd(y)$ in (8) and using Lemma 6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} xd(y)yd(y) &= d(y)xd(y^2) = d(y)x(d(y)\alpha(y) + yd(y)) \\ &= d(y)xd(y)\alpha(y) + d(y)xyd(y). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Substituting xy for x in (8), we have

$$xy^2d(y) = d(y)xyd(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (11)$$

Right-multiplying (8) by $\alpha(y)$, we obtain

$$xyd(y)\alpha(y) = d(y)xd(y)\alpha(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (12)$$

Replacing x by y in (8) we get $y^2d(y) = d(y)yd(y)$; and left-multiplying this relation by x , we have

$$xy^2d(y) = xd(y)yd(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (13)$$

Using (11), (12) and (13) in (10), one obtains $xyd(y)\alpha(y) = 0$. In particular, $yny d(y)\alpha(y) = 0$, where $n \in N$. Hence $yd(y)\alpha(y)Ny d(y)\alpha(y) = \{0\}$. By the semiprimeness of N

$$yd(y)\alpha(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (14)$$

According to (12) we get $d(y)xd(y)\alpha(y) = 0$. Using this relation in (9), we have

$$d(y)\alpha(y)x\alpha(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (15)$$

Replacing x by $xnd(y)$ in (15), we have $d(y)\alpha(y)xd(y)\alpha(y) = d(y)\alpha(y)xnd(y)\alpha(y)x = 0$ for all $x, y \in I, n \in N$. Hence

$$d(y)\alpha(y)x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (16)$$

Using (16) in (9), we obtain that $d(y)xd(y) = 0$, and so we have $d(y)xnd(y)x = 0$ for all $x, y \in I, n \in N$. Hence

$$d(y)x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \quad (17)$$

Therefore $xd(z)d(y)n = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in I, n \in N$. Thus $0 = xd(z)(d(y)n + \alpha(y)d(n))x = xd(z)d(y)\alpha(y)d(n)x$ for all $x, y, z \in I, n \in N$. Since $\alpha(I) = I$ the second summand is zero by (17). Hence $xd(z)d(y)Nx = \{0\}$, and so $xd(z)d(y)Nxd(z)d(y) = \{0\}$. By the semiprimeness of N we get $0 = xd(z)d(y) = xd(yz)$. Therefore $0 = xd(y)z +$

$x\alpha(y)d(z) = x\alpha(y)d(z)$. In particular $0 = \alpha(y)d(z)n\alpha(y)d(z)$. Hence $0 = \alpha(y)d(z)$. Recalling (17) we now have $0 = d(xy)$ for all $x, y \in I$, so $d(xn) = 0$ for all $x \in I, n \in N$. Thus $0 = d(xn)d(x) = (d(x)n + \alpha(x)d(n))d(x) = d(x)nd(x) + \alpha(x)d(n)d(x) = d(x)nd(x) + \alpha(x)d(xn)$. Since the second summand is zero, we get $d(x)nd(x) = 0$. Therefore $d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$. \square

Corollary 1 *Let N be a semiprime near-ring and d a two-sided α -derivation of N such that α is onto and $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in N$.*

(i) *If d acts as a homomorphism on N , then $d = 0$.*

(ii) *If d acts as an anti-homomorphism on N such that $\alpha(0) = 0$, then $d = 0$.*

Corollary 2 *Let N be a prime near-ring and I a nonzero subset of N such that $0 \in I$ and $IN \subseteq I$. Let d be a two-sided α -derivation on N such that $\alpha(I) = I$ and $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$.*

(i) *If d acts as a homomorphism on I , then $d = 0$.*

(ii) *If d acts as an anti-homomorphism on I and $\alpha(0) = 0$, then $d = 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, we have $d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$. Then $0 = d(xn) = d(x)\alpha(n) + xd(n) = xd(n)$, and so $xmd(n) = 0$ for all $x \in I, n, m \in N$. By the primeness of N we have $x = 0$ or $d(n) = 0$ for all $x \in I, n \in N$. Since I is nonzero, we have $d(n) = 0$ for all $n \in N$. \square

Theorem 2 . *Let N be a prime near-ring, I a nonzero semigroup ideal of N and d a nonzero $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation of N such that $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$. If $d(x + y - x - y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $(N, +)$ is abelian.*

Proof. Suppose that $d(x + y - x - y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$. Then we have $(x + y - x - y)d(z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in I$ by Lemma 5. Since $d \neq 0$, it is clear that $x + y - x - y = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$ by Lemma 4. Hence $(N, +)$ is abelian by Lemma 1. \square

Corollary 3 . Let N be a prime near-ring, I a nonzero semigroup ideal of N and d a nonzero $(\alpha, 1)$ -derivation of N such that $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$. If $d + d$ is additive on I , then $(N, +)$ is abelian.

Proof. Assume that $d + d$ is an additive on I . Then

$$(d + d)(x + y) = (d + d)(x) + (d + d)(y) = d(x) + d(x) + d(y) + d(y).$$

for all $x, y \in I$. On the other hand,

$$(d + d)(x + y) = d(x + y) + d(x + y) = d(x) + d(y) + d(x) + d(y).$$

for all $x, y \in I$. The above two expressions for $(d + d)(x + y)$ yield $d(x) + d(y) = d(y) + d(x)$ for all $x, y \in I$, that is $d(x + y - x - y) = 0$. Then the proof is complete by Theorem 2. \square

Example. Let $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$, where N_1 and N_2 are prime near-rings. Define $d : N \rightarrow N$ by $d((x, y)) = (0, y)$ and $\alpha : N \rightarrow N$ by $\alpha((x, y)) = (x, 0)$ for all $(x, y) \in N$. Then d is a two-sided α -derivation on N such that d acts as a homomorphism on N and $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in N$. Furthermore, if N_2 is commutative, then d acts as an anti-homomorphism on N and if N_2 is abelian, then $d(x + y - x - y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in N$. But $d \neq 0$ and $(N, +)$ is not abelian. Therefore the primeness condition on N in Corollary 2 and Theorem 2 cannot be omitted.

References

- [1] Argaç, N.: On prime and semiprime near-rings with derivations. *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* 20 (4) (1997), 737-740.
- [2] Beidar, K.I, Fong, Y. and Wang, X.K.: Posner and Herstein theorems for derivations of 3-prime near-rings. *Comm. Algebra*, 24(5) (1996), 1581-1589.
- [3] Bell, H.E. and Kappe, L.C.: Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions. *Acta Math. Hungar.* 53 (1989), no.3-4, 339-346.
- [4] Bell, H. E. and Mason, G.: On derivations in near-rings, *Near-rings and Near-fields*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 137 (1987), 31-35.
- [5] Bell, H.E. and Argaç, N.: Derivations, products of derivations, and commutativity in near-rings. *Algebra Colloq.* 81(8) (2001), 399-407.

ARGAÇ

- [6] Chang, J.C.: On semiderivations of prime rings, Chinese J. Math. 12 (1984), 255-262.
- [7] Hongan, M.: On near-rings with derivation. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 32 (1990), 89-92.
- [8] Wang, X.K.: Derivations in prime near-rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (2), 1994, 361-366.

Nurcan ARGAÇ
Ege University, Science Faculty,
Department of Mathematics,
35100, Bornova, Izmir, TURKEY
e-mail: argac@sci.ege.edu.tr

Received 12.03.2003