

## Characterizations of Artinian and Noetherian Gamma-Rings in Terms of Fuzzy Ideals

*Mehmet Ali Öztürk, Mustafa Uckun and Young Bae Jun*

### Abstract

Using fuzzy ideals, characterizations of Noetherian  $\Gamma$ -rings are given, and a condition for a  $\Gamma$ -ring to be Artinian is also given.

**Key words and phrases:** (Artinian, Noetherian)  $\Gamma$ -ring, fuzzy left (right) ideal,  $\Gamma$ -residue class ring.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of a fuzzy set in a set was introduced by L. A. Zadeh [6], and since then this concept has been applied to various algebraic structures. N. Nobusawa [5] introduced the notion of a  $\Gamma$ -ring, a concept more general than a ring. W. E. Barnes [1] weakened slightly the conditions in the definition of  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa. W. E. Barnes [1], S. Kyuno [3] and J. Luh [4] studied the structure of  $\Gamma$ -rings and obtained various generalizations analogous to corresponding parts in ring theory. Y. B. Jun and C. Y. Lee [2] applied the concept of fuzzy sets to the theory of  $\Gamma$ -rings. In this paper, using fuzzy ideals, we discuss characterizations of Noetherian  $\Gamma$ -rings, and we give a condition for a  $\Gamma$ -ring to be Artinian.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be two abelian groups. If for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  the conditions

- $x\alpha y \in M$ ,
- $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$ ,  $x(\alpha + \beta)z = x\alpha z + x\beta z$ ,  $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$ ,
- $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$

are satisfied, then we call  $M$  a  $\Gamma$ -ring. By a *right* (resp. *left*) *ideal* of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  we mean an additive subgroup  $U$  of  $M$  such that  $U\Gamma M \subseteq U$  (resp.  $M\Gamma U \subseteq U$ ). If  $U$  is both a right and a left ideal, then we say that  $U$  is an *ideal* of  $M$ . Let  $U$  be an ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ . If for each  $a + U, b + U$  in the factor group  $M/U$ , and each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we define  $(a + U)\gamma(b + U) = a\gamma b + U$ ; then  $M/U$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring which is called the  $\Gamma$ -*residue class ring* of  $M$  with respect to  $U$  (see [3]). For any subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ , by  $A \subset B$  we exclude the possibility that  $A = B$ . A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is said to satisfy the *left* (*right*) *ascending chain condition* of left (*right*) ideals (or to be *left* (*right*) *Noetherian*) if every strictly increasing sequence  $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3 \subset \dots$  of left (*right*) ideals of  $M$  is of finite length. A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is said to satisfy the *left* (*right*) *descending chain condition* of left (*right*) ideals (or to be *left* (*right*) *Artinian*) if every strictly decreasing sequence  $V_1 \supset V_2 \supset V_3 \supset \dots$  of left (*right*) ideals of  $M$  is of finite length. A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is *left* (resp. *right*) *Noetherian* if  $M$  satisfies the left (*right*) ascending chain condition on left (resp. *right*) ideals.  $M$  is said to be *Noetherian* if  $M$  is both left and right Noetherian. A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is *left* (resp. *right*) *Artinian* if  $M$  satisfies the left (*right*) descending chain condition on left (resp. *right*) ideals.  $M$  is said to be *Artinian* if  $M$  is both left and right Artinian.

We now review some fuzzy logic concepts. A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called a *fuzzy left* (resp. *right*) *ideal* of  $M$  ([2]) if it satisfies

$$(FI1) \mu(x - y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$$

$$(FI2) \mu(x\gamma y) \geq \mu(y) \text{ (resp. } \mu(x\gamma y) \geq \mu(x))$$

for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called a *fuzzy ideal* of  $M$  if  $\mu$  is both a fuzzy left and a fuzzy right ideal of  $M$ . We note from [2] that if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy

left (right) ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  then  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ , and  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  if and only if it satisfies (FI1) and

$$(FI3) \mu(x\gamma y) \geq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

### 3. Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $U$  be an ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ . If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy left (right) ideal of  $M$ , then the fuzzy set  $\bar{\mu}$  of  $M/U$  defined by*

$$\bar{\mu}(a + U) = \sup_{x \in U} \mu(a + x)$$

*is a fuzzy left (right) ideal of the  $\Gamma$ -residue class ring  $M/U$  of  $M$  with respect to  $U$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $a + U = b + U$ . Then  $b = a + y$  for some  $y \in U$ , and so

$$\bar{\mu}(b + U) = \sup_{x \in U} \mu(b + x) = \sup_{x \in U} \mu(a + y + x) = \sup_{x+y=z \in U} \mu(a + z) = \bar{\mu}(a + U).$$

Hence  $\bar{\mu}$  is well-defined. For any  $x + U, y + U \in M/U$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}((x + U) - (y + U)) &= \bar{\mu}((x - y) + U) = \sup_{z \in U} \mu((x - y) + z) \\ &= \sup_{z=u-v \in U} \mu((x - y) + (u - v)) \\ &= \sup_{u, v \in U} \mu((x + u) - (y + v)) \\ &\geq \sup_{u, v \in U} \min\{\mu(x + u), \mu(y + v)\} \\ &= \min\left\{ \sup_{u \in U} \mu(x + u), \sup_{v \in U} \mu(y + v) \right\} \\ &= \min\left\{ \bar{\mu}(x + U), \bar{\mu}(y + U) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}((x + U)\gamma(y + U)) &= \bar{\mu}(x\gamma y + U) = \sup_{z \in U} \mu(x\gamma y + z) \\ &\geq \sup_{z \in U} \mu(x\gamma y + x\gamma z) \quad \text{because } x\gamma z \in U \\ &= \sup_{z \in U} \mu(x\gamma(y + z)) \geq \sup_{z \in U} \mu(y + z) \\ &= \bar{\mu}(y + U). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\bar{\mu}((x + U)\gamma(y + U)) \geq \bar{\mu}(x + U)$ . Hence  $\bar{\mu}$  is a fuzzy left (right) ideal of  $M/U$ .  
 $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $U$  be an ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of fuzzy left ideals  $\mu$  of  $M$  such that  $\mu(0) = \mu(u)$  for all  $u \in U$  and the set of all fuzzy left ideals  $\bar{\mu}$  of  $M/U$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy left ideal of  $M$ . Using Theorem 3.1, we find that  $\bar{\mu}$  defined by  $\bar{\mu}(a + U) = \sup_{x \in U} \mu(a + x)$  is a fuzzy left ideal of  $M/U$ . Since  $\mu(0) = \mu(u)$  for all  $u \in U$ , we get

$$\mu(a + u) \geq \min\{\mu(a), \mu(u)\} = \mu(a).$$

Again,  $\mu(a) = \mu(a + u - u) \geq \min\{\mu(a + u), \mu(u)\} = \mu(a + u)$ . Hence  $\mu(a + u) = \mu(a)$  for all  $u \in U$ , that is,  $\bar{\mu}(a + U) = \mu(a)$ . Therefore the correspondence  $\mu \mapsto \bar{\mu}$  is injective. Now let  $\bar{\mu}$  be any fuzzy left ideal of  $M/U$  and define a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $M$  by  $\mu(a) = \bar{\mu}(a + U)$  for all  $a \in M$ . For every  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x - y) &= \bar{\mu}((x - y) + U) = \bar{\mu}((x + U) - (y + U)) \\ &\geq \min\{\bar{\mu}(x + U), \bar{\mu}(y + U)\} = \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mu(x\gamma y) = \bar{\mu}(x\gamma y + U) = \bar{\mu}((x + U)\gamma(y + U)) \geq \bar{\mu}(y + U) = \mu(y)$ . Thus  $\mu$  is a fuzzy left ideal of  $M$ . Note that  $\mu(z) = \bar{\mu}(z + U) = \bar{\mu}(U)$  for all  $z \in U$ , which shows that  $\mu(z) = \mu(0)$  for all  $z \in U$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *If every fuzzy left ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  has finite number of values, then  $M$  is left Artinian.*

*Proof.* Suppose that every fuzzy left ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  has finite number of values and  $M$  is not left Artinian. Then there exists strictly descending chain  $U_0 \supset U_1 \supset U_2 \supset \dots$  of left ideals of  $M$ . Define a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $M$  by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{n+1} & \text{if } x \in U_n \setminus U_{n+1}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n, \end{cases}$$

where  $U_0$  stands for  $M$ . Let us prove that  $\mu(x - y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in M$ . Let  $x, y \in M$ . Then  $x - y \in U_n \setminus U_{n+1}$  for some  $n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), and so either  $x \notin U_{n+1}$

or  $y \notin U_{n+1}$ . So for definiteness, let  $y \in U_k \setminus U_{k+1}$  for  $k \leq n$ . It follows that

$$\mu(x - y) = \frac{n}{n+1} \geq \frac{k}{k+1} \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}.$$

Next, let us show that  $\mu(x\gamma y) \geq \mu(y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . There exists a non-negative integer  $n$  such that  $x\gamma y \in U_n \setminus U_{n+1}$ . Then  $y \notin U_{n+1}$ , and hence  $y \in U_k \setminus U_{k+1}$  for  $k \leq n$ . Hence

$$\mu(x\gamma y) = \frac{n}{n+1} \geq \frac{k}{k+1} = \mu(y).$$

Therefore  $\mu$  is a fuzzy left ideal of  $M$  and  $\mu$  has infinite number of different values. This contradiction proves that  $M$  is a left Artinian  $\Gamma$ -ring.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is left Noetherian if and only if the set of values of any fuzzy left ideal of  $M$  is a well ordered subset of  $[0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mu$  is a fuzzy left ideal of  $M$  whose set of values is not a well ordered subset of  $[0, 1]$ . Then there exists a strictly decreasing sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  such that  $\mu(x_n) = \lambda_n$ . Denote by  $U_n$  the set  $\{x \in M \mid \mu(x) \geq \lambda_n\}$ . Then  $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3 \subset \dots$  is a strictly ascending chain of left ideals of  $M$ , which contradicts that  $M$  is left Noetherian.

Conversely, assume that the set of values of any fuzzy left ideal of  $M$  is a well ordered subset of  $[0, 1]$  and  $M$  is not a left Noetherian  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then there exists a strictly ascending chain

$$U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3 \subset \dots \tag{3.1}$$

of left ideals of  $M$ . Note that  $U := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} U_i$  is a left ideal of  $M$ , where  $\mathbf{N}$  is the set of all natural numbers. Define a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $M$  by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin U_i, \\ \frac{1}{k} & \text{where } k = \min\{i \in \mathbf{N} \mid x \in U_i\}. \end{cases}$$

It can be easily seen that  $\mu$  is a fuzzy left ideal of  $M$ . Since the chain (3.1) is not terminating,  $\mu$  has a strictly descending sequence of values, contradicting that the value set of any fuzzy left ideal is well ordered. Consequently,  $M$  is left Noetherian.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** ([2, Theorem 3]) *A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is a fuzzy left (right) ideal of  $M$  if and only if for every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , the set  $U(\mu; \lambda) := \{x \in M \mid \mu(x) \geq \lambda\}$  is a left (right) ideal of  $M$  when it is nonempty.*

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $S = \{\lambda_n \in (0, 1) \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\lambda_i > \lambda_j$  whenever  $i < j$ . Let  $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a family of left ideals of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  such that  $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3 \subset \dots$ . Then a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $M$  defined by*

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 & \text{if } x \in U_1, \\ \lambda_n & \text{if } x \in U_n \setminus U_{n-1}, n = 2, 3, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in M \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n, \end{cases}$$

*is a fuzzy left ideal of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 3.5, the proof is straightforward.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $S = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n, \dots\} \cup \{0\}$  where  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a fixed sequence, strictly decreasing to 0 and  $0 < \lambda_n < 1$ . Then a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is left Noetherian if and only if for each fuzzy left ideal  $\mu$  of  $M$ ,  $Im(\mu) \subset S$  implies that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $Im(\mu) \subset \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n_0}\} \cup \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is left Noetherian, then  $Im(\mu)$  is a well ordered subset of  $[0, 1]$  by Theorem 3.4 and so the condition is necessary by noticing that a set is well ordered if and only if it does not contain any infinite descending sequence. Conversely, if possible let  $M$  be not left Noetherian. Then there exists a strictly ascending chain of left ideals of  $M$   $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3 \subset \dots$ . Define a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $M$  by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 & \text{if } x \in U_1, \\ \lambda_n & \text{if } x \in U_n \setminus U_{n-1}, n = 2, 3, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in M \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n. \end{cases}$$

Then, by Lemma 3.6,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy left ideal of  $M$ . This contradicts our assumption. Hence  $M$  is left Noetherian. □

### References

- [1] W. E. Barnes, On the  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nobusawa, *Pacific J. Math.* **18** (1966), 411-422.

ÖZTÜRK, UCKUN, JUN

- [2] Y. B. Jun and C. Y. Lee, Fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -rings, *Pusan Kyongnam Math. J. (presently, East Asian Math. J.)* **8(2)** (1992), 163-170.
- [3] S. Kyuno, On prime gamma rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **75(1)** (1978), 185-190.
- [4] J. Luh, On the theory of simple  $\Gamma$ -rings, *Michigan Math. J.* **16** (1969), 65-75.
- [5] N. Nobusawa, On a generalization of the ring theory, *Osaka J. Math.* **1** (1964), 81-89.
- [6] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Inform. and Control* **8** (1965), 338-353.

M. A. ÖZTÜRK

Received 27.07.2001

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Arts and Sciences  
Cumhuriyet University  
58140-Sivas-TURKEY  
e-mail: maozturk@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

M. UCKUN

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Arts and Sciences  
İnönü University  
44069-Malatya-TURKEY  
e-mail: muckun@inonu.edu.tr

Y. B. JUN

Department of Mathematics Education  
Gyeongsang National University  
Chinju 660-701-KOREA  
e-mail : ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr