

## Some Commutativity Results for $S$ -unital Rings

*Moharram A. Khan*

### Abstract

In the present paper, it is shown that if  $R$  is a left ( resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $[f(y^m x^r y^s) \pm x^t y, x] = 0$  (resp.  $[f(y^m x^r y^s) \pm y x^t, x] = 0$ ), where  $m, r, s, t$  are fixed non-negative integers and  $f(\lambda)$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda^2 \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$ , then  $R$  is commutative. Commutativity of  $R$  has also been investigated under different sets of constraints on integral exponents.

**Key Words and phrases:** Automorphisms, commutativity theorems, nilpotent elements, polynomial constraints,  $s$ -unital rings.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will denote an associative ring (may be without unity 1),  $N(R)$  the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$ ,  $U(R)$  the group of units of  $R$  and  $\mathbf{Z}[X]$  the totality of polynomials in  $X$  with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}$ , the ring of integers. As usual,  $[x, y]$  will denote the commutator  $xy - yx$ .

Following [3], a ring  $R$  is said to be a left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring if  $x \in Rx$  (resp.  $x \in xR$ ) for each  $x \in R$ . Further  $R$  is called  $s$ -unital if it is left as well as right  $s$ -unital.

Now, we consider the following ring properties:

- (C) Let  $m, r, s$  and  $t$  be fixed non-negative integers. For each  $x, y \in R$ , there exists a polynomial  $f(\lambda) \in \lambda^2 \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  such that

$$[f(y^m x^r y^s) \pm x^t y, x] = 0.$$

- (C\*) For each  $x, y \in R$ , there exist a polynomial  $f(\lambda) \in \lambda^2 \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  and non-negative integers  $m, r, s, t$  such that

$$[f(y^m x^r y^s) \pm x^t y, x] = 0.$$

---

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16U80; Secondary 16U99.

(C<sub>1</sub>) Let  $m, r, s$  and  $t$  be fixed non-negative integers. For each  $x, y \in R$ , there exists a polynomial  $f(\lambda)$  in  $\lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  such that

$$[f(y^m x^r y^s) \pm yx^t, x] = 0.$$

(C<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>) For each  $x, y \in R$ , there exist a polynomial  $f(\lambda) \in \lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  and non-negative integers  $m, r, s, t$  such that

$$[f(y^m x^r y^s) \pm yx^t, x] = 0.$$

(C<sub>2</sub>) For each  $y \in R$ , there exist polynomials  $f(\lambda), p(\lambda) \in \lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  such that

$$p(y)[x, f(y)]q(x) = \pm x^t[x^m, y] \quad \text{and} \quad p(y)[x, f(y)]q(x) = \pm x^t[x^n, y]$$

for all  $x \in R$ , where  $q(\lambda) \in \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  is a fixed polynomial with  $q(1) = \pm 1$ , and  $m, n, t$  are fixed positive integers such that  $(m, n) = 1$ .

(C<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>) For every  $x, y \in R$ , there exist polynomials  $f(\lambda), p(\lambda) \in \lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  and non-negative integers  $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$  and  $t$  with  $(m, n) = 1$  such that

$$p(y)[x, f(y)]q(x) = \pm x^t[x^m, y] \quad \text{and} \quad p(y)[x, f(y)]q(x) = \pm x^t[x^n, y]$$

where  $q(\lambda) \in \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  is a fixed polynomial.

(C<sub>3</sub>) For each  $y \in R$ , there exist polynomials  $f(\lambda), p(\lambda) \in \lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  such that

$$p(y)[x, f(y)]q(x) = \pm [x^m, y]x^t \quad \text{and} \quad p(y)[x, f(y)]q(x) = \pm [x^n, y]x^t$$

for all  $x \in R$ , where  $q(\lambda) \in \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  is a fixed polynomial with  $q(1) = \pm 1$ , and  $m, n, t$  are fixed positive integers such that  $(m, n) = 1$ .

(C<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>) For every  $x, y \in R$ , there exist polynomials  $f(\lambda), p(\lambda) \in \lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  and non-negative integers  $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$  and  $t$  with  $(m, n) = 1$ , such that

$$p(y)[x, f(y)]q(x) = \pm [x^m, y]x^t \quad \text{and} \quad p(y)[x, f(y)]q(x) = \pm [x^n, y]x^t$$

where  $q(\lambda) \in \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  is a fixed polynomial.

(CH) For every  $x, y \in R$ , there exist  $f(\lambda), h(\lambda) \in \lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  such that  $[x - f(x), y - h(y)] = 0$ .

A well-known theorem of Herstein [2] asserts that if for each  $x, y \in R$ , there exists a polynomial  $f(t) \in t^2\mathbf{Z}[t]$  such that  $[x - f(x), y] = 0$ , then  $R$  is commutative. Further, the author jointly with Bell and Quadri [1], established the commutativity of  $R$  with identity 1 satisfying the polynomial identity  $[xy - f(xy), x] = 0$ , where  $f(t) \in t^2\mathbf{Z}[t]$ . More recently, several commutativity theorems have been found when the underlying polynomials  $f(\lambda), p(\lambda) \in \lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$ , and  $q(\lambda) \in \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  in  $(C)$ ,  $(C_1)$ ,  $(C_2)$  and  $(C_3)$  are particularly assumed to be monomials [ 3, 5, 6, 7, 10]. In the present paper, our objective is to extend these results to the rings satisfying the above properties. Moreover, commutativity theorems for one-sided  $s$ -unital rings are obtained under different sets of conditions. Finally, commutativity of rings satisfying Chacron's criterion (CH) together with any one of the properties  $(C^*)$ ,  $(C_1^*)$ ,  $(C_2^*)$  and  $(C_3^*)$  has been studied. In fact, our results generalise many well-known commutativity theorems namely; [1, Theorems 2 and 3], [5, Theorem 2], [6, Theorems 1-3], [7, Theorem], [8, Theorem] and [10, Theorem].

## 2. Preliminary Results

Consider the following types of rings.

$$(i)_l \left( \begin{array}{cc} GF(p) & GF(p) \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), p \text{ a prime.}$$

$$(i)_r \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & GF(p) \\ 0 & GF(p) \end{array} \right), p \text{ a prime.}$$

$$(i) \left( \begin{array}{cc} GF(p) & GF(p) \\ 0 & GF(p) \end{array} \right), p \text{ a prime.}$$

$$(ii) M_\sigma(F) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & \sigma(a) \end{array} \right) \mid a, b \in F \right\}, \text{ where } F \text{ is a finite field with a non-trivial automorphism } \sigma.$$

(iii) A non-commutative ring with no non-zero divisors of zero.

(iv)  $S = \langle 1 \rangle + T$ ,  $T$  is non-commutative subring of  $S$  such that  $T[T, T] = [T, T]T = 0$ .

In a recent paper [11], Streb classified non-commutative rings, which have been used effectively to establish several commutativity theorems [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. One can easily observe, from the proof of [9, Corollary 1], that if  $R$  is a non-commutative  $s$ -unital ring, then there exists a factor subring  $S$  of  $R$  which is of type  $(i)_l$ , (ii), (iii) or (iv). This gives the following result which plays a vital role in our subsequent discussion [9, Meta

theorem].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $P$  be a ring property which is inherited by factor subrings. If no ring of type  $(i)_l$ , (ii), (iii) or (iv) satisfies  $(P)$ , then every left  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $P$  is commutative.

**Remark 2.1.** We pause to remark that the dual of the above lemma holds; if  $P$  is a ring property which is inherited by factorsubrings, and if no ring of type  $(i)_r$ , (ii), (iii) or (iv) satisfies  $(P)$ , then every right  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $P$  is commutative.

### 3. Main Results

The main results of the present paper are as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $R$  be a left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $(C)$  (resp.  $(C_1)$ ). Then  $R$  is commutative.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $R$  be a left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $(C_2)$  (resp.  $(C_3)$ ). Then  $R$  is commutative.

We need the following known results.

**Lemma 3.1** [5]. Let  $f$  be a polynomial in  $n$  non-commuting indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with relatively prime integer coefficients. Then the following statements are equivalent :

- (a) For any ring  $R$  satisfying  $f = 0$ , the commutator ideal of  $R$  is nil ideal.
- (b) For every prime  $p$ , the ring  $(GF(p))_2$  fails to satisfy  $f = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.2** [8]. Let  $R$  be a left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring which is not right (resp. left)  $s$ -unital. Then  $R$  has a factor subring of type  $(i)_l$  (resp.  $(i)_r$ ).

**Lemma 3.3** [9]. Let  $R$  be a ring with unity 1 satisfying  $(CH)$ . If  $R$  is non-commutative, then there exists a factorsubring of  $R$  which is of type  $(i)$  or  $(ii)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let  $S$  be any ring of type  $(i)_l$ , and let  $f(\lambda) \in \lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$ . Then

$$[f(e_{12}^m e_{11}^r e_{12}^s) \pm e_{11}^t e_{12}, e_{11}] = \pm e_{12} \neq 0$$

hence  $S$  does not satisfy  $(C)$ . It follows by Lemma 3.2 that if  $R$  is any left  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $(C)$ , then  $R$  is right  $s$ -unital as well. Thus, in view of Proposition 1 of [3], we may assume that  $R$  has unity 1.

Suppose that  $R = M_\sigma(F)$ , is the ring of type  $(ii)$ . Taking  $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(a) \end{pmatrix}$  ( $\sigma(a) \neq a$ ),  $y = e_{12}$  in  $(C)$  we get

$$[f(y^m x^r y^s) \pm x^t y, x] = \pm a^t (a - \sigma(a)) e_{12} \neq 0,$$

for every  $f(\lambda) \in \lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  and then  $R$  does not satisfy  $(C)$ .

Let  $R$  be a ring of type (iii). Since  $x = e_{22}$  and  $y = e_{21}$  do not satisfy (C), by Lemma 3.1, we see that the commutator ideal of  $R$  is nil and hence no ring of type (iii) satisfies (C).

Let  $R$  be a ring of type (iv) and let  $a, b \in T$  such that  $[a, b] \neq 0$ . Then by hypothesis, we have

$$(1 + a)^t [a, b] = \pm [1 + a, f(1 + a)^m b^r (1 + a)^s] = 0.$$

This implies that  $[a, b] = 0$ , which gives a contradiction.

Hence we have seen that no ring of type (i)<sub>l</sub>, (ii), (iii) or (iv) satisfies (C) and by Lemma 2.1,  $R$  is commutative.

Using the similar arguments as above we see that no ring of type (i)<sub>r</sub>, (ii), (iii), or (iv) satisfies the property (C<sub>1</sub>) (see also Remark 2.1).

**Proof of Theorem 3.2.** Let  $S$  be of type (i)<sub>l</sub> and let  $f(\lambda) \in \lambda^2 \mathbf{Z}[\lambda], g(\lambda) \in \lambda^2 \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  and  $h(\lambda) \in \lambda^2 \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$ . Taking  $x = e_{11} + e_{12}, y = e_{12}$  in (C<sub>2</sub>), we get

$$x^t [x^m, y] = \pm g(y) [x, f(y)] h(x) = e_{12} \neq 0,$$

because  $x^t [x^m, y] = e_{12} \neq 0$  and  $\pm g(y) [x, f(y)] h(x) = 0$ . Hence,  $R$  does not satisfy (C<sub>2</sub>). It follows by Lemma 3.2 that if  $R$  is any left  $s$ -unital ring satisfy (C<sub>2</sub>), then  $R$  is right  $s$ -unital and hence,  $s$ -unital. In view of Proposition 1 of [3], we may assume that the ring  $R$  has unity 1.

Consider the ring  $R = M_\sigma(F)$ , a ring of type (ii). Notice that  $N(R) = F e_{12}$ . Hence for  $b \in N(R)$  and arbitrary unit  $u \in U(R)$ , we obtain that there exists a polynomial  $f(\lambda) \in \lambda^2 \mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  such that

$$u^t [u^m, b] = \pm g(b) [u, f(b)] h(u) = 0,$$

and

$$u^t [u^n, b] = \pm g(b) [u, f(b)] h(u) = 0.$$

Since  $b^2 = 0$  and  $u$  is a unit of  $R$ , the last two equations yield  $[u^m, b] = 0$  and  $[u^n, b] = 0$ . This implies that  $[u, b] = 0$ . Now, particularly for non-central element  $b = e_{12}$ ,  $[u, e_{12}] = 0$ . This gives that  $e_{12}$  is central which is a contradiction.

Let  $R$  be a ring of type (iii). By hypothesis we have

$$p(y) [x, f(y)] q(x) = \pm x^t [x^m, y]. \tag{1}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x + 1$  in (1), we get

$$p(y) [x, f(y)] q(x + 1) = \pm (x + 1)^t [(x + 1)^m, y]. \tag{2}$$

Multiply (1) (resp. (2)) by  $q(x+1)$  (resp.  $q(x)$ ) on the right and compare the equations so obtained to get

$$(x+1)^t[(x+1)^m, y]q(x) = x^t[x^m, y]q(x+1).$$

This is a polynomial identity, and  $x = e_{12} - e_{22}$  and  $y = e_{12}$  in  $(GF(p))_2$  fail to satisfy this equality. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, the commutator ideal of  $R$  is nil, yields a contradiction.

Finally, let  $R$  be a ring of type (iv) and let  $[a, b] \neq 0$ , where  $a, b \in T$ . There exists  $f(\lambda)$  in  $\lambda^2\mathbf{Z}[\lambda]$  such that

$$m[a, b] = (1+a)^t[(1+a)^m, b] = \pm p(b)[a, f(b)]q(1+a) = 0,$$

and

$$n[a, b] = (1+a)^t[(1+a)^n, b] = \pm p(b)[a, f(b)]q(1+a) = 0.$$

Since  $(m, n) = 1$ , we get  $[a, b] = 0$ , and this gives a contradiction.

Hence, no ring of type  $(i)_l$ ,  $(ii)$ ,  $(iii)$  or  $(iv)$  satisfies  $(C_2)$  and by Lemma 2.1,  $R$  is commutative.

We remark that the same conclusion holds; if  $R$  satisfies  $(C_3)$ , then trivially, we see that no ring of type  $(i)_r$ ,  $(ii)$ ,  $(iii)$  or  $(iv)$  satisfies  $(C)_3$ .

From the previous proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we see that no ring of type  $(i)_l$  satisfies  $(C^*)$  or  $(C_2^*)$ , and no ring of type  $(i)_r$  satisfies  $(C_1^*)$  or  $(C_3^*)$ .

Combining this fact with Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following:

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $R$  satisfy  $(CH)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (I)  $R$  is commutative.
- (II)  $R$  is left (resp. right) s-unital ring satisfying  $(C^*)$  (resp.  $(C_1^*)$ ).
- (III)  $R$  is left (resp. right) s-unital ring satisfying  $(C_2^*)$  (resp.  $(C_3^*)$ ).

**Remark 3.1** The following example shows that in the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2, the existence of both conditions in  $(C_2)$  are not superfluous ( even if  $R$  has unity 1).

**Example 3.1.** Let

$$R = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & \alpha & \delta \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right) \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in GF(2) \right\}.$$

Then  $R$  is a non-commutative ring with unity satisfying the condition  $x^t[x^4, y] = y^s[x, y^4]$ , where  $s$  and  $t$  are fixed non-negative integers.

**Remark 3.2.** The following example demonstrates that there are non-commutative left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital rings satisfying  $(C_1)$  (resp.  $(C)$ ).

**Example 3.2.** Let

$$R_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$(\text{resp. } R_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\})$$

be subring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $GF(2)$ . Then for any fixed positive integers  $m, n, r, s, t$  larger than 1,  $R_1$  (resp.  $R_2$ ) satisfies  $[(y^m x^r y^s)^n \pm yx^t, x] = 0$  (resp.  $[(y^m x^r y^s)^n \pm x^t y, x] = 0$ ). However,  $R_1$  (resp.  $R_2$ ) is a non-commutative left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring.

### References

- [1] H. E. Bell, M. A. Quadri and M. A. Khan, Two commutativity theorems for rings, Rad. Mat. 3 (1987), 255-260.
- [2] I. N. Herstein, Two remarks on the commutativity of rings, Canad. J. Math. 7 (1955), 411 - 412.
- [3] Y. Hirano, Y. Kobayashi and H. Tominaga, Some polynomial identities and commutativity of  $s$ -unital rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 24 (1982), 7-13.
- [4] T. P. Kezlan, A note on commutativity of semiprime PI-rings, Math. Japon. 27 (1982), 267 - 268.
- [5] M. A. Khan, Commutativity of right  $s$ -unital rings with polynomial constraints, Jour. Inst. Math & Comp. Sci. 12 (1999), 47 - 51.
- [6] M. A. Khan, Commutativity theorems through a Streb's classification, Proc. Irish Math. Acad. Sci. No. 2 (2000) (to appear).
- [7] H. Komatsu, A commutativity theorem for rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 26 (1984), 109 - 111.
- [8] H. Komatsu, T. Nishinaka and H. Tominaga, On commutativity of rings, Rad. Mat. 6 (1990), 303 - 311.
- [9] H. Komatsu and H. Tominaga, Chacron's condition and commutativity theorems, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 31 (1989), 101 - 120.
- [10] E. Psomopoulos, A commutativity theorem for rings, Math. Japon. 29 (1984), 371 - 373.

KHAN

- [11] W. Streb, Zur struktur nichtkommtativer ringe, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 31 (1989), 135 - 140.

Moharram A. KHAN  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
King Abdulaziz University  
P.O.Box 30356  
Jeddah - 21477-SUADI ARABIA  
e-mail nassb@hotmail.com

Received 25.04.2000