

The Distribution and Quantiles of Functionals of Weighted Empirical Distributions when Observations have Different Distributions

by

Christopher S. Withers
Applied Mathematics Group
Industrial Research Limited
Lower Hutt, NEW ZEALAND

Saralees Nadarajah
School of Mathematics
University of Manchester
Manchester M13 9PL, UK

Abstract: This paper extends Edgeworth-Cornish-Fisher expansions for the distribution and quantiles of nonparametric estimates in two ways. Firstly it allows observations to have different distributions. Secondly it allows the observations to be weighted in a predetermined way. The use of weighted estimates has a long history including applications to regression, rank statistics and Bayes theory. However asymptotic results have generally been only first order (the CLT and weak convergence). We give third order asymptotics for the distribution and percentiles of any smooth functional of a weighted empirical distribution, thus allowing a considerable increase in accuracy over earlier CLT results.

Consider independent non-identically distributed (*non-iid*) observations X_{1n}, \dots, X_{nn} in R^s . Let $\hat{F}(x)$ be their *weighted empirical distribution* with weights w_{1n}, \dots, w_{nn} . We obtain cumulant expansions and hence Edgeworth-Cornish-Fisher expansions for $T(\hat{F})$ for any smooth functional $T(\cdot)$ by extending the concepts of von Mises derivatives to signed measures of total measure 1. As an example we give the cumulant coefficients needed for Edgeworth-Cornish-Fisher expansions to $O(n^{-3/2})$ for the sample variance when observations are non-iid.

Keywords: Edgeworth-Cornish-Fisher expansions; von Mises derivatives; Weighted empirical distribution.

1 Introduction and Summary

Withers (1983, 1988) gave third order asymptotics for the distribution of functionals of empirical (or sample) distributions for iid observations. This paper extends these results to non-iid weighted observations.

Traditional inference is based on the empirical distribution function. This gives each observation equal weight. However in many contexts it is more appropriate to weight the observations differently. An important class of weighted statistics are the rank statistics studied by Hajek and Sidak (1967). They gave first order (asymptotic) results both for

iid observations, and for the *contiguous* case where the observations are from distributions approaching the null case. However they did not deal with the case where observations have fixed distinct distributions. A seminal contribution to the theory of weighted empirical distributions was made by Koul (1992) who gave first order properties for linear models. This was extended by another seminal contribution, Koul (2002), to allow for random weights with applications to M - and R - estimates as well as to autoregressive processes. However, he confined his focus to first order (weak convergence) results. Optimality of certain weights in a Bayesian setting was proved by Chernoff and Zacks (1964) for testing the hypothesis of a jump in the mean. For other work, including a comprehensive account of the literature, we refer the readers to Lahiri (1992a, 1992b, 1992c) and Lahiri (2003).

This paper - following on from Withers and Nadarajah (2008) - gives cumulant expansions - and hence Edgeworth-Cornish-Fisher expansions - for smooth functionals of weighted empirical distributions for *arbitrary* non-iid observations. These cumulant expansions are given in Section 2. As simple examples, Section 3 applies these results to the mean and variance. Section 4 gives a chain rule for the functional derivative of a function of several functionals, and uses this to obtain the leading cumulant coefficients for the Studentized mean, and the coefficient of variation. For completeness the Edgeworth-Cornish-Fisher expansions for the distribution and quantiles are given in Appendix A, as well as the multivariate Edgeworth expansion.

Let X_{1n}, \dots, X_{nn} be independent random variables in R^s with distributions F_{1n}, \dots, F_{nn} . Let w_{1n}, \dots, w_{nn} be given real numbers adding to n :

$$w_{1n} + \dots + w_{nn} = n. \quad (1.1)$$

The simplest example giving more weight to the later observations are the weights $w_{in} = 2i/(n+1)$ shown by Chernoff and Zacks (1964) to be optimal in a Bayesian setting for testing for a jump in the mean. The mean with these weights was also used by Kander and Zacks (1966) and others.

Define the *weighted empirical distribution* as

$$\widehat{F}(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_{in} I(X_{in} \leq x)$$

for x in R^s , where $I(A) = 1$ or 0 for A true or false. Its mean is

$$F(x) = E \widehat{F}(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_{in} F_{in}(x). \quad (1.2)$$

F has moments

$$m_r = m_r(F) = \int x^r dF(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_{in} m_r(F_{in}), \quad \mu_r = \mu_r(F) = E_F(X - m_1)^r$$

with sample versions

$$\widehat{m}_r = m_r(\widehat{F}) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_{in} X_{in}^r, \quad \widehat{\mu}_r = \mu_r(\widehat{F}) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_{in} (X_{in} - \widehat{m}_1)^r.$$

For convenience *we now suppress the subscript n* and write $X_i = X_{in}$, $F_i = F_{in}$, $w_i = w_{in}$.

Let $T(G)$ be a smooth real functional defined for all signed measures $G(x)$ on R^s with total measure $G(\infty) = 1$. (This is the condition that requires the constraint (1.1).) The r th order (von Mises) functional derivative of $T(G)$ $T_G(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ for x_1, \dots, x_r in R^s may be defined just as in the case when $F(x)$ is a probability distribution, and the von Mises-Taylor expansion for two such signed measures G, H remains valid, giving an iterative method for obtaining higher derivatives:

$$T(G) - T(H) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} T_r(G, H)/r!, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$T_r(G, H) = \int \dots \int T_H(x_1, \dots, x_r) dG(x_1) \dots dG(x_r),$$

where $T_H(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is made unique by two conditions: first that it is symmetric under permutation of arguments x_1, \dots, x_r ; and second that it satisfies

$$\int T_F(x_1, \dots, x_r) dF(x_1) \equiv 0 \quad (1.4)$$

for $r \geq 1$. The first derivative, $T_H(x)$ is just the coefficient of ϵ in the Taylor expansion of $T(H + \epsilon(\delta_x - H))$ about $\epsilon = 0$, where δ_x is the distribution putting weight 1 at x . It is sometimes called the *influence function* of $T(F)$. The rule for differentiating $S(H) = T_H(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ given by Theorem 2.1 of Withers (1983) remains valid:

$$T_H(x_1 \dots x_{r+1}) = S_H(x_{r+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^r T_H \langle x_1 \dots x_{r+1} \rangle_i, \quad (1.5)$$

where $S(H) = T_H(x_1 \dots x_r)$ and $\langle \cdot \rangle_i$ means ‘‘drop the i th column’’. For example, putting $r = 1$, the second derivative is given by $T_H(x_1 x_2) = S_H(x_2) + T_H(x_2)$, where $S(H) = T_H(x_1)$. The theory of statistical functionals was pioneered by von Mises (1947). The importance and use of the influence function has been widely used to obtain the asymptotic variance of general estimates,

$$nvar(T(\hat{F})) \rightarrow \int T_F(x)^2 dF(x)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The second derivative has been used to estimate and correct for bias:

$$E T(\hat{F}) - T(F) = n^{-1} \int T_F(x, x) dF(x)/2 + O(n^{-2}).$$

This was used by Jaeckel (1972) to justify the infinitesimal jackknife. However the use of other higher order derivatives has not been widespread. The reason for seems to be that not until Withers (1983), was the formula (1.5) available to compute higher order derivatives. Nevertheless their use since then has been disappointing. Perhaps this is due to a common misconception that for $T(F)$ a function of moments, it is just as easy to simply use ordinary Taylor expansions. To see that this is not true, consider the following simple example.

Example 1.1 *Let us compute the asymptotic variance of the r th central sample moment, that is, a_{21}/n , by both the functional method and the ordinary Taylor expansion method,*

when $T(F) = \mu_r = \int (x - \mu)^r dF(x)$, $\mu = m_1(F)$, observations are iid, and the ordinary unweighted empirical distribution is used. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_x &= (x - \mu)^r - \mu_r - r(x - \mu)\mu_{r-1}, \\ a_{21} &= \int T_x^2 dF(x) = \mu_{2r} - \mu_r^2 - 2\mu_{r-1}\mu_{r+1} + r^2\mu_{r-1}^2\mu_2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

The ordinary Taylor series method writes μ_r as a function of the non-central moments:

$$\mu_r = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} m_i m_1^{r-i} = (-1)^{r-1} (r-1) m_1^r + \sum_{i=2}^r (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} m_i m_1^{r-i} = t(m_1, \dots, m_r)$$

say, with derivatives $t_{.i} = \partial t(m_1, \dots, m_r) / \partial m_i$ given by

$$t_{.1} = (-1)^{r-1} (r-1) r m_1^{r-1} + \sum_{i=2}^r (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} (r-i) m_i m_1^{r-i-1},$$

and for $1 < i \leq r$,

$$t_{.i} = (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} m_1^{r-i}.$$

Also $\text{covar}(m_i(\hat{F}), m_j(\hat{F})) \approx (m_{i+j} - m_i m_j) / n$. So,

$$a_{21} = \sum_{i,j=1}^r t_i t_j (m_{i+j} - m_i m_j).$$

The challenge to these advocates of the ordinary Taylor method is to show that this reduces to (1.6). Even for the variance, this takes some time.

In Section 2 we use the von-Mises expansion for $\hat{\theta} = T(\hat{F})$ to obtain the basic cumulant expansion

$$\kappa_r(\hat{\theta}) = \sum_{j=r-1}^{\infty} a_{rj} n^{-j} \quad (1.7)$$

for $r \geq 1$ needed for the Edgeworth-Cornish expansions of Appendix A. (So, a_{21}/n and a_{11}/n are the asymptotic variance and bias of $T(\hat{F})$, viewed as an estimator of $T(F)$.) These expansions require that the cumulant coefficients $\{a_{rj}\}$ are all bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is true if

$$W_r = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^r \quad (1.8)$$

is bounded for $r \geq 1$ and the $[\cdot]_{ij} \dots$ functions of Section 2 are bounded. The expansions of Appendix A also require that a_{21} is bounded away from 0. Typically this is true if W_2 is bounded away from 0. The first order results of Koul (2002) may be reconciled with our first order results by noting that he works with $d_i = w_i / (nW_2)^{1/2}$. Throughout, we assume that all weights $\{w_{in}\}$ are bounded and that all weight functions have finite derivatives.

2 Moment and Cumulant Expansions for Estimates

What happens to non-parametric estimates when the assumption that observations come from the same distribution breaks down? Here we derive the cumulant expansion (1.7) for $\hat{\theta} = T(\hat{F})$, giving explicitly the cumulant coefficients of (1.7), a_{21} , a_{11} , a_{32} , a_{22} , a_{43} , needed for third order expansions and inference for non-iid observations.

We follow the approach of Withers (1983) deriving the cumulant expansion from the moment expansion

$$E \{T(\hat{F}) - T(F)\}^r = \sum_{j \geq r/2} a'_{rj} n^{-j}$$

for $r \geq 1$ using the relations between their coefficients $\{a_{rj}\}$ and $\{a'_{rj}\}$ given in Theorem 3.1 of Withers (1983). We use the following notation with F of (1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} T_{x_1 \dots x_r} &= T_F(x_1, \dots, x_r), \\ [1^r]_i &= E T_{X_i}^r = \int T_{x_1}^r dF_i(x_1), \\ [1^r, 11]_i &= E T_{X_i}^r T_{X_i X_i} = \int T_{x_1}^r T_{x_1 x_1} dF_i(x_1), \\ [1^r, 12^s, 2^t]_{ij} &= E^{ind} T_{X_i}^r T_{X_i X_j}^s T_{X_j}^t = \int \int T_{x_1}^r T_{x_1 x_2}^s T_{x_2}^t dF_i(x_1) dF_j(x_2), \\ [1, 122]_{ij} &= E^{ind} T_{X_i} T_{X_i X_j X_j} = \int \int T_{x_1} T_{x_1 x_2 x_2} dF_i(x_1) dF_j(x_2), \\ [1, 2, 3, 123]_{ijk} &= E^{ind} T_{X_i} T_{X_j} T_{X_k} T_{X_i X_j X_k} \\ &= \int \int \int T_{x_1} T_{x_2} T_{x_3} T_{x_1 x_2 x_3} dF_i(x_1) dF_j(x_2) dF_k(x_3) \end{aligned}$$

and so on, where E^{ind} means E treating X_i , X_j , \dots as independent. Now set

$$\begin{aligned} [1^r] &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^r [1^r]_i, \\ [1^r, 11] &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{r+2} [11]_i, \\ [1^r, 12^s, 2^t] &= n^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i^{r+s} w_j^{s+t} [1^r, 12^s, 2^t]_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

and more generally for S a number of sequences from and including $1, 2, \dots, r$ we set

$$[S] = n^{-r} \sum_{i_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{i_r=1}^n w_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} \dots w_{i_r}^{\lambda_r} [S]_{i_1 \dots i_r},$$

where λ_j is the number of times j occurs in S .

In Appendix B we derive the following expressions for the cumulant coefficients needed

for the Edgeworth-Cornish-Fisher expansions of $T(\widehat{F})$ to $O(n^{-3/2})$:

$$a_{10} = T(F), \quad a_{21} = \{1^2\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$a_{11} = \{11\}/2 \text{ and } a_{32} = \{1^3\} + 3\{1, 2, 12\}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$a_{22} = \{1, 11\} + \{12^2\}/2 + \{1, 122\}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$a_{43} = \{1^4\} - 3\{1^2, 1^2\} + 12\{1, 2^2, 12\} + 12\{1, 2, 13, 23\} + 4\{1, 2, 3, 123\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \{1^r\} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \mu_r(T_F(X_i)) \\ &= \begin{cases} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 ([1^2]_i - [1]_i^2), & \text{if } r = 2, \\ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^3 \{[1^3]_i - 3[1]_i[1^2]_i + 2[1]_i^3\}, & \text{if } r = 3, \\ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^4 \{[1^4]_i - 4[1]_i[1^3]_i + 6[1]_i^2[1^2]_i - 3[1]_i^4\}, & \text{if } r = 4, \end{cases} \\ \{11\} &= [11] - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 [12]_{ii} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \{[11]_i - [12]_{ii}\}, \\ \{1, 2, 12\} &= n^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i^2 w_j^2 ([1, 2, 12]_{ij} - 2[1]_j[1, 12]_{ij} + [1]_i[1]_j[12]_{ij}), \\ \{1, 11\} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^3 ([1, 11]_i - [1]_i[11]_i - 2[1, 12]_{ii} + 2[1]_i[12]_{ii}), \\ \{12^2\} &= n^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i^2 w_j^2 ([12^2]_{ij} - 2[12, 13]_{ijj} + [12]_{ij}^2), \\ \{1, 122\} &= n^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i^2 w_j^2 ([1, 122]_{ij} - [1, 123]_{ijj} - [1]_i[122]_{ij} + [1]_i[123]_{ijj}), \\ \{1^2, 1^2\} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^4 \mu_2(T_F(X_i))^2 = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^4 ([1^2]_i - [1]_i^2)^2, \\ \{1, 2^2, 12\} &= n^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i^2 w_j^3 ([1, 2^2, 12]_{ij} - [1^2]_j[1, 12]_{ij} - [1]_j[1, 2, 12]_{ij} \\ &\quad + 2[1]_j^2[1, 12]_{ij} - [1]_i \tau_{ij}), \\ \tau_{ij} &= [1^2, 12]_{ji} - [1^2]_j[12]_{ij} - [1]_j[1, 12]_{ji} + 2[1]_j^2[12]_{ij}, \\ \{1, 2, 13, 23\} &= n^{-3} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n w_i^2 w_j^2 w_k^2 ([1, 2, 13, 23]_{ijk} - [1]_k[1, 12, 23]_{ijk} \\ &\quad - [1, 12]_{ij}[1, 12]_{kj} + 2[1]_k[12]_{jk}[1, 12]_{ij} - [1]_i[1]_k[12]_{ij}[12]_{jk}), \\ \{1, 2, 3, 123\} &= n^{-3} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n w_i^2 w_j^2 w_k^2 ([1, 2, 3, 123]_{ijk} - 3[1]_k[1, 2, 123]_{ijk} \\ &\quad + 3[1]_j[1]_k[1, 123]_{ijk} - [1]_i[1]_j[1]_k[123]_{ijk}). \end{aligned}$$

In addition the following coefficient is useful for the calculation of the second order bias:

$$a_{12} = \{111\}/6 + \{1122\}/8, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\{111\} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^3 ([111]_i - 3[122]_{ii} + 2[123]_{iii})$$

and

$$\{1122\} = n^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i^2 w_j^2 \left([1122]_{ij} - 2[1233]_{ij} + [1234]_{iijj} \right).$$

Typically a_{21} is bounded away from 0 if and only if W_2 is bounded away from 0.

For iid observations, (2.1)–(2.5) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} a_{10} &= T(F), \quad a_{21} = [1^2] = W_2[1^2]_1, \\ a_{11} &= [11]/2 = W_2[11]_1/2, \\ a_{32} &= [1^3] + 3[1, 2, 12] = W_3[1^3]_1 + 3W_2^2[1, 2, 12]_{11}, \\ a_{22} &= [1, 11] + [12^2]/2 + [1, 122] \\ &= W_3[1, 11]_1 + W_2^2[12^2]_{11}/2 + W_2^2[1, 122]_{11}, \\ a_{43} &= [1^4] - 3W_4[1^2]_1^2 + 12[1, 12, 2^2] + 12[1, 2, 23, 31] + 4[1, 2, 3, 123] \\ &= W_4\{[1^4]_1 - 3[1^2]_1^2\} + 12W_2W_3[1, 12, 2^2]_{11} \\ &\quad + 4W_2^3\{3[1, 2, 23, 31]_{111} + [1, 2, 3, 123]_{111}\}, \\ a_{12} &= [111]/6 + [1122]/8 = W_3[111]_1/6 + W_2^2[1122]_{11}/8, \end{aligned}$$

where W_r is given by (1.8). This follows from the above results and (1.4). For $w_{in} \equiv 1$ these reduce to the expressions of Theorem 3.1 of Withers (1983).

3 Two Simple Examples

If $T(F)$ is a polynomial in F of degree r , (for example, $\mu_r(F)$), then derivatives of order greater than r are zero. Let us work through two simple examples: the mean and the variance.

Example 3.1 Suppose that $T(F) = \mu(F) = \mu$ say, and $s = 1$. Then $T_x = x - \mu$, and higher derivatives are zero. Then

$$a_{r,r-1} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^r \kappa_r(X_i)$$

and other cumulant coefficients are 0. If the observations are iid, then $a_{r,r-1} = W_r \kappa_r(X)$.

Now suppose that $\{w_i, F_i(x)\}$ can be parameterised as $w_{in} = w(i/n)$, $F_{in}(x) = F(x, i/n)$ for some smooth functions $w(t)$, $F(x, t)$. That is, X_{in} has distribution $G_{\theta(i/n)}(x)$ say, and $F(x, t) = G_{\theta(t)}(x)$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E X_{in}^r &= m_r(i/n), \quad \text{where } m_r(t) = \int x^r F(dx, t), \\ \kappa_r(X_{in}) &= \kappa_r(i/n), \quad \text{where } \kappa_1(t) = m_1(t), \quad \kappa_2(t) = m_2(t) - m(t)^2, \dots \\ a_{r,r-1} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n k_r(i/n), \quad \text{where } k_r(t) = w(t)^r \kappa_r(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

That is, $m_r(t)$ and $\kappa_r(t)$ are the r th moment and cumulant of $G_{\theta(t)}(x)$. By the Euler-McLaurin expansion (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1964, Equation (23.1.30), page 806),

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n g(i/n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k(g) n^{-k}, \text{ where} \\ \alpha_0(g) &= \int_0^1 g(t) dt, \\ \alpha_1(g) &= \{g(1) - g(0)\} / 2, \\ \alpha_k(g) &= \left\{ g^{(k-1)}(1) - g^{(k-1)}(0) \right\} B_k / k! \text{ for } k = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

and B_k is the k th Bernoulli number, given by Abramowitz and Stegun (1964, page 809, last column): $B_1 = -1/2$, $B_2 = 1/6$, $B_3 = 0$, $B_4 = -1/30$, \dots and $B_k = 0$ for $k = 3, 5, 7, \dots$. Applying this to $g = k_r$ of (3.1), we see that the r th cumulant of $\mu(\widehat{F})$ satisfies the basic expansion (1.7) with the new coefficients $a'_{rj} = \alpha_{j+1-r}(k_r)$. So, the leading coefficients are

$$a'_{r,r-1} = \int_0^1 k_r(t) dt, \quad a'_{rr} = (k_r(1) - k_r(0)) / 2.$$

In particular

$$a'_{10} = \int_0^1 w(t) dt \int x F(dx, t), \quad a'_{21} = \int_0^1 w(t)^2 \kappa_2(t) dt.$$

So, for the unweighted case $w(t) = 1$,

$$a'_{10} = \int_0^1 dt \int x F(dx, t), \quad a'_{21} = \int_0^1 \kappa_2(t) dt.$$

Example 2.1.1 Suppose that $G_{\theta}(x) = 1 - e^{-x/\theta}$ on $(0, \infty)$, the scaled exponential distribution. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_r(t) &= (r-1)! \theta(t)^r, \quad k_r(t) = (r-1)! \eta(t)^r, \text{ where } \eta(t) = w(t)\theta(t), \\ a'_{r,r-1} &= (r-1)! \int_0^1 \eta(t)^r dt, \quad a'_{rr} = (r-1)! [\eta(1)^r - \eta(0)^r] / 2. \end{aligned}$$

So, to this degree of approximation, weighting the observations amounts to weighting the scale parameter, $\theta(t)$.

Since $\mu(F)$ is linear in F , the last example did not need the machinery of functional differentiation. But as pointed out in Example 1.1, this is not the case for the next example, the variance.

Example 3.2 Suppose that $s = 1$ and $T(F) = \mu_2(F) = \mu_2$ say. Then $T_x = \mu_x^2 - \mu_2$, where $\mu_x = x - \mu$, $T_{xy} = -2\mu_x \mu_y$, and higher derivatives are zero. We give the cumulant coefficients in terms of

$$M_{rs\dots}^k = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^k \mu_{ri} \mu_{si} \dots, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mu_{ri} = E\mu_{X_i}^r = E(X_i - \mu)^r$. Since

$$\{1^r\} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^r \mu_r(\mu_{X_i}^2),$$

we have $a_{21} = \{1^2\} = M_4^2 - M_{22}^2$, $\{1^3\} = M_6^3 - 3M_{24}^3 + 2M_{222}^3$ and $\{1^4\} = M_8^4 - 4M_{26}^4 + 6M_{224}^4 - 3M_{2222}^4$. Also $[11]_i = -2E\mu_{X_i}^2 = -2\mu_{2i}$, $[12]_{ij} = -2\mu_{1i}\mu_{1j}$, so that

$$a_{11} = -M_2^2 + M_{11}^2.$$

Also $[1]_i = \mu_{2i} - \mu_2$, $[1, 12]_{ij} = -2(\mu_{3i} - \mu_2\mu_{1i})\mu_{1j}$, $[1, 2, 12]_{ij} = -2(\mu_{3i} - \mu_2\mu_{1i})(\mu_{3j} - \mu_2\mu_{1j})$, giving $\{1, 2, 12\} = -2(M_3^2 - M_{12}^2)^2$, so that

$$a_{32} = M_6^3 - 3M_{24}^3 + 2M_{222}^3 - 6(M_3^2 - M_{12}^2)^2.$$

Also $\{1, 11\} = -2(M_4^3 - 2M_{13}^3 - M_{22}^3 + 2M_{112}^3)$, $\{12^2\} = 4(M_2^2 - M_{11}^2)^2$, $\{1, 122\} = 0$, so that

$$a_{22}/2 = (M_2^2 - M_{11}^2)^2 - M_4^3 + M_{22}^3 + 2M_{13}^3 - 2M_{112}^3.$$

Similarly writing (2.4) as $a_{43} = b_1 - 3b_2 + 12b_3 + 12b_4 + 4b_5$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= M_8^4 - 4M_{26}^4 + 6M_{224}^4 - 3M_{2222}^4, \\ b_2 &= M_{44}^4 - 2M_{224}^4 + M_{2222}^4, \\ b_3 &= 2M_3^3(-M_5^3 + M_{14}^3 + M_{23}^3 - 4M_{122}^3 + \mu_2 M_3^3 + 5\mu_2^2 M_1^3) \\ &\quad + 2M_{12}^2[2M_5^3 - M_{23}^3 + 2M_{122}^3 - 3\mu_2(M_3^3 + M_{12}^3) + 3\mu_2^2 M_1^3] \\ &\quad - 2M_1^2\mu_2[M_5^3 + M_{14}^3 - 2M_{122}^3 - 2\mu_2(M_3^3 + M_{12}^3) + 8\mu_2^2 M_1^3], \\ b_4 &= 4(M_3^2 - \mu_2 M_1^2)(M_3^2 - M_{12}^2)(M_2^2 - M_{11}^2), \\ b_5 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Specialising to the iid case gives $\mu_{ri} = \mu_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} [1^2]_1 &= \mu_4 - \mu_2^2, [11]_1 = -2\mu_2, [1^3]_1 = \mu_6 - 3\mu_2\mu_4 + 2\mu_2^3, \\ [1, 2, 12]_{11} &= -2\mu_3^2, [1, 11]_1 = -2(\mu_4 - \mu_2^2), \\ [12^2]_{11} &= 4\mu_2^2, [1^4]_1 = \mu_8 - 4\mu_2\mu_6 + 6\mu_2^2\mu_4 - 3\mu_2^4, \\ [1, 12, 2^2]_{11} &= -2\mu_3(\mu_5 - 2\mu_2\mu_3), [1, 2, 23, 31]_{111} = 4\mu_2\mu_3^2, \\ [1, 2, 3, 123]_{111} &= [1, 122]_{11} = [111]_1 = [1122]_{11} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{21} &= W_2(\mu_4 - \mu_2^2), \quad a_{11} = -W_2\mu_2, \\ a_{32} &= W_3(\mu_6 - 3\mu_2\mu_4 + 2\mu_2^3) - 6W_2^2\mu_3^2, \\ a_{22} &= -2W_3(\mu_4 - \mu_2^2) + 2W_2^2\mu_2^2, \\ a_{43} &= W_4[\mu_8 - 4\mu_2\mu_6 + 6\mu_2^2\mu_4 - 3\mu_2^4 - 3(\mu_4 - \mu_2^2)^2] - 24W_2W_3\mu_3(\mu_5 - 2\mu_2\mu_3) \\ &\quad + 48W_2^3\mu_2\mu_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the unweighted case, these cumulant coefficients $\{a_{ri}\}$, reduce to those of Example 2 of Withers (1983).

4 A Chain Rule for More Complex Examples

When $T(F)$ is a function of moments (for example, the coefficient of variation or correlation), the following chain rule is very useful.

Suppose that $f : R^p \rightarrow R$ is a smooth function and that $S(F)$ is a smooth functional in R^p . For $1 \leq a \leq p$, let $S_{a \cdot x_1 \dots x_r}$ denote the r th derivatives of $S_a(F)$. Then by an extension of the chain rule for differentiating a function of a function, the first three derivatives of $T(F) = f(S(F))$ are

$$\begin{aligned} T_x &= f_a S_{ax}, \quad T_{x_1 x_2} = f_a S_{a \cdot x_1 x_2} + f_{ab} S_{a \cdot x_1} S_{b \cdot x_2}, \\ T_{x_1 x_2 x_3} &= f_a S_{a \cdot x_1 x_2 x_3} + f_{ab} \sum_{123}^3 S_{a \cdot x_1} S_{b \cdot x_2 x_3} + f_{abc} S_{a \cdot x_1} S_{b \cdot x_2} S_{c \cdot x_3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_a = f_{a_1 \dots a_r} = \partial^r f(s) / \partial s_1 \dots \partial s_r |_{s=S(F)}$, we use the tensor summation convention of *implicit summation of pairs* of a, b, \dots , and \sum_{123}^3 sums over all 3 permutations of 1,2,3 giving distinct terms. Set

$$\nu_i^{ab\dots} = E S_{aX_i} S_{bX_i} \dots \quad \text{and} \quad M^k(ab\dots, cd\dots, \dots) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^k \nu_i^{ab\dots} \nu_i^{cd\dots} \dots$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [1]_i &= f_a \nu_i^a, \quad [1^2]_i = f_a f_b \nu_i^{ab}, \\ [1^3]_i &= f_a f_b f_c \nu_i^{abc}, \\ [11]_i &= f_{ab} \nu_i^{ab} + f_a E S_{aX_i X_i}, \\ [12]_{ij} &= f_{ab} \nu_i^a \nu_j^b + f_a E^{ind} S_{aX_i X_j}, \\ [1, 12]_{ij} &= f_c (f_{ab} \nu_i^{ac} \nu_j^b + f_a E^{ind} S_{cX_i} S_{aX_i X_j}), \\ [1, 2, 12]_{ij} &= f_a f_b (f_{cd} \nu_i^{ac} \nu_j^{bd} + f_c E^{ind} S_{aX_i} S_{bX_j} S_{cX_i X_j}). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} C(ab) &= \mu(S_{aX_i}, S_{bX_i}) = M^2(ab) - M^2(a, b), \\ C(abc) &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^3 \mu(S_{aX_i}, S_{bX_i}, S_{cX_i}) = M^3(abc) - \sum_{abc}^3 M^3(ab, c) + 2M^3(a, b, c) \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\mu(S_{aX_i}, S_{bX_i}, S_{cX_i}) = \nu_i^{abc} - \sum_{abc}^3 \nu_i^{ab} \nu_i^c + 2\nu_i^a \nu_i^b \nu_i^c.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{21} &= f_a f_b C(ab), \\ 2a_{11} &= f_{ab} C(ab) + f_a n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 (E S_{aX_i X_i} - E^{ind} S_{aX_i X_j} |_{j=i}). \end{aligned}$$

Also a_{32} is given by (2.2) in terms of

$$\begin{aligned}\{1^3\} &= f_a f_b f_c C(abc), \\ \{1, 2, 12\} &= f_a f_b f_c n^{-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i^2 w_j^2 E^{ind} S_{aX_i X_j} (S_{bX_i} S_{cX_j} - 2\nu_j^b S_{cX_i} + \nu_i^b \nu_j^c) \\ &\quad + f_a f_b f_c C(ad)C(bc).\end{aligned}$$

For the iid case these reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}a_{21} &= W_2 f_a f_b \nu_1^{ab}, \\ a_{11} &= W_2 (f_{ab} \nu_1^{ab} + f_a E S_{aXX})/2, \\ a_{32} &= f_a f_b f_c (W_3 \nu_1^{abc} + 3W_2^2 E^{ind} S_{aX_1 X_2} S_{bX_1} S_{cX_2}) + 3f_a f_b f_c W_2^2 \nu_1^{ad} \nu_1^{bc}.\end{aligned}$$

Example 4.1 Suppose that $s = 1$, $p = 2$, $S_1(F) = \mu$, $S_2(F) = \mu_2$. After some simplification one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}a_{21} &= f_a f_b C(ab) = f_1^2 C(11) + 2f_1 f_2 C(12) + f_2^2 C(22), \\ 2a_{11} &= f_{ab} C(ab) - 2f_2 C(11) = (f_{11} - 2f_2)C(11) + 2f_{12}C(12) + f_{22}C(22), \\ a_{32} &= \{1^3\} + 3\{1, 2, 12\},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\{1^3\} &= f_1^3 C(111) + 3f_1^2 f_2 C(112) + 3f_1 f_2^2 C(122) + f_2^3 C(222), \\ \{1, 2, 12\} &= D_1^2 (f_{11} - f_2) + 2D_1 D_2 f_{12} + D_2^2 f_{12}, \quad D_j = f_a C(ja).\end{aligned}$$

Also, in the notation of (3.2), $C(ab)$, $C(abc)$ reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}C(ab) &= M_{a+b}^2 - M_{ab}^2; \\ C(abc) &= M_{a+b+c}^2 - \sum_{abc}^3 M_{a+b,c}^2 + 2M_{a,b,c}^2; \\ C(111) &= M_3^3, \quad C(112) = M_4^3 - M_{22}^3 - 2M_{13}^3 + 2M_{112}^3, \\ C(122) &= M_5^3 - M_{14}^3 - 2M_{23}^3 + 2M_{122}^3, \quad C(222) = M_6^3 - 3M_{24}^3 + 2M_{222}^3.\end{aligned}$$

For the iid case,

$$\begin{aligned}C(11) &= W_2 \mu_2, \quad C(12) = W_2 \mu_3, \quad C(22) = W_2 (\mu_4 - \mu_2^2), \\ C(111) &= W_3 \mu_3, \quad C(112) = W_3 (\mu_4 - \mu_2^2), \\ C(122) &= W_3 (\mu_5 - 2\mu_2 \mu_3), \quad C(222) = W_3 (\mu_6 - 3\mu_2 \mu_4 + 2\mu_2^3).\end{aligned}$$

We now give two applications of this example, the Studentised mean and the coefficient of variation. Set $\sigma = \sigma(F) = \mu_2^{1/2}$ and $\lambda_r = \mu_r / \mu_2^{r/2}$.

Example 4.2 The Studentized mean. Take $s = 1$, $T(G) = [\mu(G) - \mu(F)] / \sigma(G)$. Then in terms of $C(ab)$, $C(abc)$ of Example 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}T(F) &= f_2 = f_{11} = f_{22} = 0, \quad f_1 = \sigma^{-1}, \quad f_{12} = -\sigma^{-3}/2, \\ a_{21} &= C(11)/\mu_2, \quad a_{11} = -\sigma^{-3}C(12)/2, \\ a_{32} &= C(111)\sigma^{-3} - 3C(11)C(12)\sigma^{-5}.\end{aligned}$$

For the iid case, $a_{21} = W_2$, $a_{11} = -W_2\lambda_3/2$, $a_{32} = (W_3 - 3W_2)^2\lambda_3$. For the unweighted case, this gives $a_{21} = 1$, $a_{11} = -\lambda_3/2$, $a_{32} = -2\lambda_3$, in agreement with Withers (1989, Example 1.2, page 300).

Example 4.3 The inverse of the coefficient of variation. Suppose that $s = 1$ and $T(F) = \mu/\sigma$. Using the notation of the previous two examples, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_{21} &= C(11)/\mu_2 - C(12)\mu/\mu_2^2 + C(22)\mu^2/4\mu_2^3, \\ 2a_{11} &= (C(11)\mu - C(12))\sigma^{-3} + 3C(22)\mu\sigma^{-5}/4, \\ a_{32} &= \{1^3\} + 3\{1, 2, 12\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \{1^3\} &= C(111)\sigma^{-3} - 3C(112)\mu\sigma^{-5}/2 + 3C(122)\mu^2\sigma^{-7}/4 - C(222)\mu^3\sigma^{-9}/8, \\ \{1, 2, 12\} &= (D_1^2\mu - D_1D_2)\sigma^{-3} + 3D_2^2\mu\sigma^{-5}/4, \\ D_1 &= [C(11) - C(12)\mu/2\mu_2]\sigma^{-1}, \quad D_2 = [C(12) - C(22)\mu/2\mu_2]\sigma^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the iid case,

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= W_2\sigma\alpha_1, \quad \text{where } \alpha_1 = 1 - \lambda_3T(F)/2, \\ D_2 &= W_2\sigma^2\alpha_2, \quad \text{where } \alpha_2 = \lambda_3 - (\lambda_4 - 1)T(F)/2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{21} &= W_2[1 - \lambda_3T(F) + (\lambda_4 - 1)T(F)^2/4], \\ 2a_{11} &= W_2[T(F)(1 + 3\lambda_4)/4 - \lambda_3], \\ \{1^3\}/W_3 &= \lambda_3 - 3T(F)(\lambda_4 - 1)/2 + 3T(F)^2(\lambda_5 - 2\lambda_3)/4 - T(F)^3(\lambda_6 - 3\lambda_4 + 2)/8, \\ \{1, 2, 12\}/W_2^2 &= \alpha_1^2T(F) - \alpha_1\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_2^2T(F), \\ a_{32} &= \{1^3\} + 3\{1, 2, 12\}. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. A. (1964). *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. National Bureau of Standards, Washington D.C.
- [2] Chernoff, H. and Zacks, S. (1964). Estimating the current mean of a normal distribution which is subjected to changes in time. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **35**, 999–1018.
- [3] Hajek, J. and Sidak, Z. (1967) *Theory of Rank Tests*, Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- [4] Jaeckel, L. A. (1972). The infinitesimal jackknife. Bell Laboratories Technical Report MM 72-1215-11, June 30, 1972, New Jersey.
- [5] Kander, Z. and Zacks, S. (1966). Test procedures for possible changes in parameters of statistical distributions occurring at unknown time points. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **37**, 1196–1210.

- [6] Koul, H. L. (1992). Weighted empiricals and linear models. *Institute of Mathematical Statistics Monograph Series*, **21**, Michigan State University.
- [7] Koul, H. L. (2002). Weighted empirical processes in dynamic nonlinear models. Second edition. *Lecture Notes in Statistics*, **166**, Springer, New York.
- [8] Lahiri, S. N. (1992a). On bootstrapping M-estimators. *Sankhyā, A*, **54**, 157–170.
- [9] Lahiri, S. N. (1992b). Edgeworth expansions for M-estimators of a linear regression parameter. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **43**, 125–132.
- [10] Lahiri, S. N. (1992c). Bootstrapping M-estimators of a multiple linear regression parameter. *Annals of Statistics*, **20**, 1548–1570.
- [11] Lahiri, S. N. (2003). *Resampling Methods for Dependent Data*. Springer, New York.
- [12] Withers, C. S. (1983). Expansions for the distribution and quantiles of a regular functional of the empirical distribution with applications to nonparametric confidence intervals. *Annals of Statistics*, **11**, 577–587.
- [13] Withers, C. S. (1988). Nonparametric confidence intervals for functions of several distributions. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, **40**, 727–746.
- [14] Withers, C. S. (1989). The distribution and cumulants of a Studentised statistic. *Communications in Statistics—Theory and Methods*, **18**, 295–318.
- [15] Withers, C. S. and Nadarajah, S. (2008). Edgeworth expansions for functions of weighted empirical distributions with applications to nonparametric confidence intervals. *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, **20**, 751–768.
- [16] von Mises, R. (1947). On the asymptotic distribution of differentiable statistical functionals. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **18**, 309–348.

Appendix A: Edgeworth-Cornish-Fisher Expansions

Since the cumulants of $T(\widehat{F})$ satisfy the expansion (1.7), it follows by Withers (1984) that its standardized version, $Y_n = n^{1/2}a_{21}^{-1/2}\{T(\widehat{F}) - T(F)\}$, has the Edgeworth-Cornish-Fisher expansions

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(x) &= P(Y_n \leq x) \approx \Phi(x) - \phi(x) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} h_r(x) n^{-r/2}, \\ P(|Y_n| \leq x) &\approx 2\Phi(x) - 1 - 2\phi(x) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} h_{2r}(x) n^{-r}, \\ \Phi^{-1}(P_n(x)) &\approx x - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} f_r(x) n^{-r/2}, \\ P_n^{-1}(\Phi(x)) &\approx x + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} g_r(x) n^{-r/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where Φ and ϕ are the distribution and density of a unit normal random variable and $\{h_r, f_r, g_r\}$ are certain polynomials given by (4.1) of Withers (1984) in terms of the standardized cumulant coefficients $A_{ri} = a_{21}^{-r/2} a_{ri}$. In terms of the Hermite polynomial $He_i(x) = \phi(x)^{-1}(-d/dx)^i \phi(x)$, the first few are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= f_1 = g_1 = A_{11} + A_{32}He_2/6, \\ h_2 &= (A_{11}^2 + A_{22})He_1/2 + (4A_{11}A_{32} + A_{43})He_3/24 + A_{32}^2He_5/72, \\ h_3 &= A_{12} + (A_{11}^3 + 3A_{11}A_{22} + A_{33})He_2/6 \\ &\quad + (10A_{11}^2A_{32} + 5A_{11}A_{43} + 10A_{22}A_{32} + A_{54})He_1/120 \\ &\quad + (2A_{11}A_{32}^2 + A_{32}A_{43})He_6/144 + A_{32}He_8/1296, \\ f_2(x) &= (\ell_2/2 - \ell_1\ell_3/3)x + \ell_4(x^3 - 3x)/24 - \ell_3^2(4x^3 - 7x)/36, \\ f_3(x) &= -\ell_2\ell_2/2 + \ell_1^2\ell_3/6 - \ell_2\ell_3(5x^2 - 3)/12 - \ell_1\ell_4(x^2 - 1)/8 \\ &\quad + \ell_5(x^4 - 6x^2 + 3)/120 + \ell_1\ell_3^2(12x^2 - 7)/36 - \ell_3\ell_4(11x^4 - 42x^2 + 15)/144 \\ &\quad + \ell_3^3(69x^4 - 187x^2 + 52)/648 + A_{12} + A_{33}(x^2 - 1)/6, \\ g_2(x) &= \ell_2x/2 + \ell_4(x^3 - 3x)/24 - \ell_3^2(2x^3 - 5x)/36, \\ g_3(x) &= -\ell_2\ell_3(x^2 - 1)/6 + \ell_5(x^4 - 6x^2 + 3)/120 - \ell_3\ell_4(x^4 - 5x^2 + 2)/24 \\ &\quad + \ell_3^3(12x^4 - 53x^2 + 17)/324 + A_{12} + A_{33}(x^2 - 1)/6, \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell_1 = A_{11}$, $\ell_2 = A_{22}$, $\ell_3 = A_{32}$, $\ell_4 = A_{43}$ and $\ell_5 = A_{54}$. The coefficients given in §2 give $\{h_r, f_r, g_r, 1 \leq r \leq 2\}$ and so give the distribution and quantiles of Y_n to $O(n^{-3/2})$. The same is true of its density, since we can write

$$h_r(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{h_{ri}He_i(x) : r+i \text{ odd}\},$$

so

$$P_n(x) \approx \Phi(x) - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} n^{-r/2} \sum_{i=1}^{3r-1} h_{ri}(-\partial/\partial x)^i \phi(x),$$

giving density

$$p_n(x) \approx \phi(x) \left\{ 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} n^{-r/2} \bar{h}_r(x) \right\},$$

where

$$\bar{h}_r(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3r-1} \{h_{ri} H e_{i+1}(x) : r+i \text{ odd}\}.$$

So, $\bar{h}_1 = A_{11} H e_1 + A_{32} H e_3/6$, $\bar{h}_2 = (A_{11}^2 + A_{22}) H e_2/2 + (4A_{11}A_{32} + A_{43}) H e_4/24 + A_{32}^2 H e_6/72$, and so on.

Suppose $T(F)$ is q -variate and the weights p -variate. Then the distribution and density of $Y_n = n^{1/2}\{T(\hat{F}) - T(F)\}$ have the multivariate Edgeworth expansions for x in R^q

$$P_n(x) = P(Y_n \leq x) \approx \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} n^{-r/2} \tilde{P}_r(-\partial/\partial x) \Phi_V(x)$$

and

$$p_n(x) = (\partial/\partial x_1) \dots (\partial/\partial x_q) P(Y_n \leq x) \approx \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} n^{-r/2} \tilde{P}_r(-\partial/\partial x) \phi_V(x),$$

where $\Phi_V(x)$ and $\phi_V(x)$ are the distribution and density of $\mathcal{N}(0, V)$, $V = (a_1^{\alpha\beta})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_0(t) &= 1, \\ \tilde{P}_1(t) &= a_1^\alpha t_\alpha + a_1^{\alpha\beta\gamma} t_\alpha t_\beta t_\gamma / 6, \\ \tilde{P}_2(t) &= a_2^{\alpha\beta} t_\alpha t_\beta / 2 + a_3^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} t_\alpha t_\beta t_\gamma t_\delta / 24 + \tilde{P}_1(t)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and so on, again using implicit summation over repeated pairs of indices.

Appendix B: Proofs for Section 2

Here we derive the results of Section 2. By the von Mises expansion (1.3) and (1.4),

$$T(\widehat{F}) - T(F) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} T_{(r)}/r!,$$

where $T_{(r)} = T_r(\widehat{F}, F) = O_p(n^{-r/2})$ for $T(F)$ regular. We may express the moments of $T(\widehat{F}) - T(F)$ in terms of $\alpha_{rs\dots} = E T_{(r)}T_{(s)}\dots = O(n^{-\nu})$, where $\nu = R/2$ for R even, $\nu = (R+1)/2$ for R odd and $R = r + s + \dots$. Since

$$T_{(r)} = n^{-r} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r=1}^n w_{i_1} \dots w_{i_r} \int \dots \int T_{x_1 \dots x_r} dI_{i_1 1} \dots dI_{i_r r},$$

where $I_{ir} = I(X_i \leq x_r) - F_i(x_r)$, to simplify $\alpha_{rs\dots}$ we need expressions for $D_{i_1 \dots i_r} = E I_{i_1} \dots I_{i_r}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{ij\dots} &= I(i = j = \dots), \\ \delta_{ij\dots, k\ell\dots} &= I(i = j = \dots \neq k = \ell = \dots), \\ \delta_{ij, k\ell, mn} &= I(i = j, k = \ell, m = n \text{ all three distinct}), \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E I_{i_1} I_{j_2} &= \delta_{ij} D_{i_1 i_2}, \\ E I_{i_1} I_{j_2} I_{k_3} &= \delta_{ijk} D_{i_1 i_2 i_3}, \\ E I_{i_1} I_{j_2} I_{k_3} I_{\ell_4} &= \delta_{i\dots\ell} D_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} + \sum_{\ell}^3 \delta_{ij, k\ell} D_{i_1 i_2} D_{k_3 i_4} \end{aligned}$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell}^3 \delta_{ij, k\ell} D_{i_1 i_2} D_{k_3 i_4} &= \delta_{ij, k\ell} D_{i_1 i_2} D_{k_3 i_4} + \delta_{ik, j\ell} D_{i_1 i_3} D_{j_2 i_4} + \delta_{il, jk} D_{i_1 i_4} D_{j_2 i_3}, \\ E I_{i_1} \dots I_{m_5} &= \delta_{i\dots m} D_{i_1 \dots i_5} + \sum_{\ell}^{10} \delta_{ij, k\ell m} D_{i_1 i_2} D_{k_3 i_4 i_5}, \\ E I_{i_1} \dots I_{n_6} &= \delta_{i\dots n} D_{i_1 \dots i_6} + \sum_{\ell}^{15} \delta_{ij, k\dots n} D_{i_1 i_2} D_{k_3 i_4 i_5 i_6} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell}^{10} \delta_{ijk, \ell mn} D_{i_1 i_2 i_3} D_{\ell_4 i_5 i_6} + \sum_{\ell}^{15} \delta_{ij, k\ell, mn} D_{i_1 i_2} D_{k_3 i_4} D_{m_5 i_6} \end{aligned}$$

and \sum^{10} and \sum^{15} defined similarly. Setting $F_{ir} = F_i(x_r)$ and $F_{i_1 \wedge i_2 \wedge \dots} = F_i(\min(x_1, x_2, \dots))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{i_1} &= 0, \\ D_{i_1 i_2} &= F_{i_1 \wedge i_2} - F_{i_1} F_{i_2}, \\ D_{i_1 i_2 i_3} &= F_{i_1 \wedge i_2 \wedge i_3} - \sum_{123}^3 F_{i_1} F_{i_2 \wedge i_3} + 2F_{i_1} F_{i_2} F_{i_3}, \\ D_{i_1 \dots i_4} &= F_{i_1 \wedge \dots \wedge i_4} - \sum_{1234}^4 F_{i_1} F_{i_2 \wedge i_3 \wedge i_4} + \sum_{1234}^6 F_{i_1} F_{i_2} F_{i_3 \wedge i_4} - 3F_{i_1} \dots F_{i_4}, \end{aligned}$$

and so on, where $\sum_{1\dots r}^m g_{1\dots r} = \sum g_\pi$ summed over all m permutations of $1\dots r$ giving distinct terms. So, writing $T_{1\dots r} = T_{x_1\dots x_r}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= E T_{(1)} = n^{-1} \sum_i w_i \int T_1 dD_{i1} = 0, \\
\alpha_2 &= E T_{(2)} = n^{-2} \sum_{ij} w_i w_j \int \int T_{12} dE I_{i1} I_{j2} \\
&= n^{-2} \sum_i w_i^2 \int \int T_{12} dD_{i12} \\
&= n^{-2} \sum_i w_i^2 \{[11]_i - [12]_{ii}\} = n^{-1} \{11\}, \\
\alpha_3 &= E T_{(3)} = n^{-3} \sum_{ijk} w_i w_j w_k \int \int \int T_{123} dE I_{i1} I_{j2} I_{k3} \\
&= n^{-3} \sum_i w_i^3 \int \int \int T_{123} dD_{i123} = n^{-2} \{111\}, \\
\alpha_4 &= E T_{(4)} = n^{-4} \sum_{i\dots\ell} w_i \dots w_\ell \int \dots \int dE I_{i1} \dots I_{\ell 4} \\
&= n^{-3} \alpha_{4.1} + 3n^{-2} \alpha_{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

for

$$\alpha_{4.1} = n^{-1} \sum_i w_i^4 \int \dots \int T_{1\dots 4} dD_{i1\dots 4} = O(1)$$

and

$$\alpha_{4.2} = n^{-2} \sum_{ik} w_i^2 w_k^2 \int \dots \int T_{1\dots 4} dD_{i12} dD_{k34},$$

where $\sum'_{ij\dots}$ sums over distinct i, j, \dots in $1, \dots, n$. So, $\alpha_{4.2} = \alpha'_{4.2} + O(n^{-1})$, where $\alpha'_{4.2}$ replaces \sum'_{ik} by \sum_{ik} . So, $\alpha'_{4.2} = \{1122\}$. The expressions for a_{10}, a_{11}, a_{12} follow since $a'_{1i} = a_{1i}$. Also

$$E \{T(\widehat{F}) - T(F)\}^2 = \alpha_{11} + \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13}/3 + \alpha_{22}/4 + O(n^{-3}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{11} &= n^{-2} \sum_{ij} w_i w_j \int \int T_1 T_2 dE I_{i1} I_{j2} = n^{-2} \sum_i w_i^2 \int \int T_1 T_2 dD_{i12} \\
&= n^{-1} \{1^2\}, \\
\alpha_{12} &= n^{-3} \sum_{ijk} w_i w_j w_k \int \int \int T_1 T_2 T_3 dE I_{i1} I_{j2} I_{k3} \\
&= n^{-3} \sum_i w_i^3 \int \int \int T_1 T_2 T_3 dD_{i123} = n^{-2} \{1, 11\}, \\
\alpha_{13} &= n^{-4} \sum_{i\dots\ell} w_i \dots w_\ell \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 dE I_{i1} \dots I_{\ell 4} = \alpha_{13.1} + \alpha_{13.2}, \\
\alpha_{22} &= \alpha_{22.1} + \alpha_{22.2}
\end{aligned}$$

for

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{13.1} &= n^{-4} \sum_i w_i^4 \int \dots \int T_1 T_{234} dD_{i1234} = O(n^{-3}), \\
\alpha_{13.2} &= n^{-4} \sum_{ik} w_i^2 w_k^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_{234} d(D_{i12} D_{k34}) = \alpha'_{13.2} + O(n^{-3}), \\
\alpha_{22.1} &= n^{-4} \sum_i w_i^4 \int \dots \int T_{12} T_{34} dD_{i1\dots 4} = O(n^{-3}), \\
\alpha_{22.2} &= \alpha_{22.21} + 2\alpha_{22.22} + O(n^{-3}), \\
\alpha_{22.21} &= n^{-4} \sum_{ij} w_i^2 w_j^2 \int \int T_{12} T_{34} d(D_{i12} D_{j34}) = n^{-2} \{11\}^2, \\
\alpha_{22.22} &= n^{-4} \sum_{ij} w_i^2 w_j^2 \int \int T_{12} T_{34} d(D_{i13} D_{j24}) = n^{-2} \{12^2\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha'_{13.2}$ replaces \sum'_{ik} by \sum_{ik} , so $\alpha'_{13.2} = n^{-2} \{1, 122\}$. So, $a'_{21} = \{1^2\}$ and $a'_{22} = \{1, 11\} + \{1, 122\} + \{11\}^2/4 + \{12^2\}/2$. Now use $a_{21} = a'_{21}$ and $a_{22} = a'_{22} - a_{11}^2$. Also

$$E \{T(\widehat{F}) - T(F)\}^3 = \alpha_{111} + 3\alpha_{112}/2 + O(n^{-3}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{111} &= n^{-3} \sum_{ijk} w_i w_j w_k \int \int \int T_1 T_2 T_3 dE I_{i1} I_{j2} I_{k3} \\
&= n^{-3} \sum_i w_i^3 \int \int \int T_1 T_2 T_3 dD_{i123} = n^{-2} \{1^3\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{112} &= n^{-4} \sum_{i\dots\ell} w_i \dots w_\ell \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 dE I_{i1} \dots I_{\ell 4} \\
&= \alpha_{112.1} + \alpha_{112.2} + 2\alpha_{112.3}
\end{aligned}$$

for

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{112.1} &= n^{-4} \sum_i w_i^4 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 dD_{i1\dots 4} = O(n^{-3}), \\
\alpha_{112.2} &= n^{-4} \sum_{ij} w_i^2 w_j^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 d(D_{i12} D_{j34}) \\
&= n^{-2} \{1^2\} \{11\} + O(n^{-3}), \\
\alpha_{112.3} &= n^{-4} \sum_{ij} w_i^2 w_j^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 d(D_{i13} D_{j24}) \\
&= n^{-2} \{1, 2, 12\} + O(n^{-3}).
\end{aligned}$$

So, $a'_{32} = \{1^3\} + 3\{1^2\}\{11\}/2 + 3\{1, 2, 12\}$. Now use $a_{32} = a'_{32} - 3a_{21}a_{11}$ to obtain a_{32} above. Also

$$\begin{aligned} E \{T(\widehat{F}) - T(F)\}^4 &= \alpha_{1111} + 2\alpha_{1112} + 2\alpha_{1113}/3 + 3\alpha_{1122}/2 + O(n^{-4}), \\ \alpha_{1111} &= \alpha_{1111.1} + 3\alpha_{1111.2}, \\ \alpha_{1112} &= \alpha_{1112.1} + 3\alpha_{1112.2} + 6\alpha_{1112.3} + \alpha_{1112.4}, \\ \alpha_{1113} &= \alpha_{1113.1} + O(n^{-4}), \\ \alpha_{1122} &= \alpha_{1122.1} + O(n^{-4}) \end{aligned}$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1111.1} &= n^{-4} \sum_i w_i^4 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 dD_{1234} = n^{-3}\{1^4\}, \\ \alpha_{1111.2} &= n^{-4} \sum'_{ij} w_i^2 w_j^2 \int \dots \int T_1 \dots T_4 d(D_{i12} D_{j34}) \\ &= n^{-2}\{1^2\}^2 - n^{-3}\{1^2, 1^2\}, \\ \alpha_{1112.1} &= n^{-5} \sum_i w_i^5 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 dD_{i1\dots 5} = O(n^{-4}), \\ \alpha_{1112.2} &= n^{-5} \sum'_{ij} w_i^2 w_j^3 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 d(D_{i12} D_{j345}) \\ &= n^{-3}\{1^2\}\{1, 11\} + O(n^{-4}), \\ \alpha_{1112.3} &= n^{-5} \sum'_{ij} w_i^2 w_j^3 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 d(D_{i14} D_{j235}) \\ &= n^{-3}\{1, 12, 2^2\} + O(n^{-4}), \\ \alpha_{1112.4} &= n^{-5} \sum'_{ij} w_i^2 w_j^3 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 d(D_{i45} D_{j123}) \\ &= n^{-3}\{1^3\}\{11\} + O(n^{-4}), \\ \alpha_{1113.1} &= n^{-6} \sum'_{ijk} w_i^2 w_j^2 w_k^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 d \sum_{k=1}^{15} D_{i12} D_{j34} D_{k56} \\ &= 9\alpha_{1113.2} + 6\alpha_{1113.3}, \\ \alpha_{1113.2} &= n^{-6} \sum'_{ijk} w_i^2 w_j^2 w_k^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 d(D_{i12} D_{j34} D_{k56}) \\ &= n^{-3}\{1^2\}\{1, 122\}, \\ \alpha_{1113.3} &= n^{-6} \sum'_{ijk} w_i^2 w_j^2 w_k^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 d(D_{i14} D_{j25} D_{k36}) \\ &= n^{-3}\{1, 2, 3, 123\}, \\ \alpha_{1122.1} &= n^{-6} \sum'_{ijk} w_i^2 w_j^2 w_k^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 d \sum_{k=1}^{15} D_{i12} D_{j34} D_{k56} \\ &= n^{-3}(\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + 8\gamma_3 + 2\gamma_4), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1 &= n^{-3} \sum_{ijk} w_i^2 w_j^2 w_k^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_{34} T_{56} d(D_{i12} D_{j34} D_{k56}) \\
&= \{1^2\} \{11\}^2, \\
\gamma_2 &= n^{-3} \sum_{ijk} w_i^2 w_j^2 w_k^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_{34} T_{56} d(D_{i13} D_{j24} D_{k56}) \\
&= \{11\} \{1, 2, 12\}, \\
\gamma_3 &= n^{-3} \sum_{ijk} w_i^2 w_j^2 w_k^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_{34} T_{56} d(D_{i13} D_{j45} D_{k26}) \\
&= \{1, 13, 32, 2\}, \\
\gamma_4 &= n^{-3} \sum_{ijk} w_i^2 w_j^2 w_k^2 \int \dots \int T_1 T_2 T_{34} T_{56} d(D_{i12} D_{j35} D_{k46}) \\
&= \{1^2\} \{12^2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, $a'_{42} = 3\{1^2\}^2$ and $a'_{43} = a_{43} + 4a_{11}a_{32} + 6a_{21}a'_{22}$ for a_{43} as above. Now use $a_{43} = a'_{43} - 4a_{11}a_{32} - 6a_{21}a'_{22}$.

Note B.1 *An alternative method better for obtaining these expressions for the cumulant coefficients a_{ri} , is to use the parametric approach of Withers (1988). This is possible since*

$$\kappa(\widehat{F}(x_1), \dots, \widehat{F}(x_r)) = n^{1-r} k(x_1 \dots x_r),$$

where

$$k(x_1 \dots x_r) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^r w_i^r k(x_1 \dots x_r F_i)$$

and $k(x_1 \dots x_r F_i) = \kappa(I(X_i \leq x_1), \dots, I(X_i \leq x_r))$.