

## ALTERNATIVE POLYNOMIAL AND HOLOMORPHIC DUNFORD-PETTIS PROPERTIES\*

*Walden Freedman*

### Abstract

Alternatives to the Polynomial Dunford-Pettis property and the Holomorphic Dunford-Pettis property, called the PDP1 and HDP1 properties, respectively, are introduced. These are shown to be equivalent to the DP1 property, an alternative Dunford-Pettis property previously introduced by the author, thus mirroring the equivalence of the three original properties.

### Introduction

In [4], R. Ryan proved that the Dunford-Pettis property, the Polynomial Dunford-Pettis property, and the Holomorphic Dunford-Pettis property are all equivalent. In [1], a property closely related to the Dunford-Pettis property, called the DP1 property, is introduced and defined as follows:

A Banach space  $X$  has the DP1 property if for any Banach space  $Y$  and any weakly compact linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ , if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly in  $X$  with  $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$  for all  $n$ , then  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  in norm in  $Y$ .

We will consider two alternative properties, the PDP1 property and the HDP1 property, in the same spirit as [4], and show that like the original properties, DP1, PDP1 and HDP1 are all equivalent. Some applications to Banach algebras are also given.

### Notation and Background

Throughout the paper,  $X$  and  $Y$  will denote Banach spaces over the field of complex numbers. We identify  $X$  with its image in  $X^{**}$  under its canonical embedding in  $X^{**}$ . The Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  to  $Y$  will be denoted  $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ . Given  $x_0 \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , the open and closed balls centered at  $x_0$  with radius  $r$  will be denoted  $\Delta(x_0, r)$  and  $\overline{\Delta(x_0, r)}$ , respectively. By the term ‘operator’, we will always

\*This paper is a part of the author’s doctoral dissertation at the University of California, Santa Barbara.

mean a bounded linear operator. Given  $f \in X$  and  $a \in X^*$  we often write  $f(a)$  or  $\langle f, a \rangle$  for the evaluation of  $a$  on  $f$ . The Banach space of all sequences in  $Y$  that are norm convergent to 0 will be denoted by  $c_0(Y)$ , and  $c(Y)$  will denote the Banach space of all convergent sequences in  $Y$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\pi_n : c(Y) \rightarrow Y$  be the  $n$ th coordinate map, i.e.,  $\pi_n(y_j) = y_n$ . If  $T : X \rightarrow c(Y)$ , the composition  $\pi_n T$  will be denoted by  $T_n$ . Let  $\pi : c(Y) \rightarrow Y$  be the operator defined by  $\pi(y_n) = \lim_n y_n$ , and let  $\iota : Y \rightarrow c(Y)$  be the operator defined by  $\iota(y) = (y, y, \dots)$ .

Recall that a Banach space  $X$  is said to have the Dunford-Pettis Property (DP) if for any Banach space  $Y$ , every weakly compact operator from  $X$  to  $Y$  maps weakly convergent sequences to norm convergent sequences.

**The PDP1 property**

Recall the following standard result regarding weakly compact operators: An operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is weakly compact if and only if  $T^{**}(X^{**}) \subseteq Y$ . The following lemma gives a useful characterization of weakly compact operators  $T : X \rightarrow c_0(Y)$ .

**Lemma ([4, Lemma 1.2])**  $T : X \rightarrow c_0(Y)$  is weakly compact if and only if

- (a) For all  $n$ ,  $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$  is weakly compact, and
- (b) For all  $g \in X^{**}$ , one has  $\lim_n \|T_n^{**}g\| = 0$ .

We use this result to prove the following lemma which characterizes weakly compact operators  $T : X \rightarrow c(Y)$ .

**Lemma 1.1** Let  $T : X \rightarrow c(Y)$ . Then  $T$  is weakly compact if and only if

- (a) For all  $n$ ,  $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$  is weakly compact, and
- (b) For all  $g \in X^{**}$ , one has  $\lim_n \|(T_n^{**} - \pi^{**}T^{**})g\| = 0$ .

**Proof.** If  $T$  is weakly compact, then clearly  $T_n$  and  $T - \iota\pi T : X \rightarrow c_0(Y)$  are weakly compact. Since  $\pi_n(T - \iota\pi T) = T_n - \pi T$ , the lemma above implies that

$$\|(T_n^{**} - \pi^{**}T^{**})g\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } g \in X^{**}.$$

For the converse, suppose that (a) and (b) hold. It follows from (a) that for all  $g \in X^{**}$ , we have  $T_n^{**}g \in Y$ , and so by (b) we have that  $\pi^{**}T^{**}g \in Y$  as well. It follows that  $\pi T$  is weakly compact and hence  $T_n - \pi T$  is weakly compact for all  $n$ . The previous

lemma then implies that  $T - \iota\pi T$  is weakly compact, and hence  $T$  is weakly compact as well.  $\square$

We now state and prove the main result.

**Theorem 1.2** Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$  are Banach spaces with the DP1 property. Let  $(x_n^i)_{n=1}^\infty$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  be weakly convergent norm-one sequences in  $X_i$  with norm-one limits  $x_i \in X_i$ , and set  $X = X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2 \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} X_k$ . For any Banach space  $Y$ , if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  is weakly compact then the sequence

$$(T(x_n^1 \otimes x_n^2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n^k))_{n=1}^\infty$$

is norm convergent to  $T(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k)$  in  $Y$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $X_i$  has DP1 for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . We use a technique similar to that used in [3] and [4], proceeding by induction on  $k$ , the case of  $k = 1$  holding by definition of DP1. Assume the statement is true for  $k$ ; suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k+1}$  are Banach spaces having DP1; let  $X = X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2 \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} X_{k+1}$ , and  $W = X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2 \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} X_k$ ; suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$  is weakly compact, and that for all  $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$ ,  $(x_n^i)$  is a weakly convergent norm-one sequence in  $X_i$  with norm-one limit  $x_i \in X_i$ .

It is easy to see that for fixed  $z_i \in X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , the operator

$$x \mapsto T(z_1 \otimes z_2 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x)$$

which maps  $X_{k+1}$  to  $Y$  is weakly compact since  $T$  is weakly compact, and so since  $X_{k+1}$  has DP1, the sequence

$$(T(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x_n^{k+1}))_n$$

is norm convergent in  $Y$  to  $T(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x_{k+1})$ . We can thus define an operator  $t : W \rightarrow c(Y)$  by setting

$$t(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k) = (T(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x_n^{k+1}))_n,$$

and extending linearly. Assuming  $t$  is weakly compact, it then follows from the induction hypothesis that the sequence

$$(t(x_i^1 \otimes x_i^2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i^k))_{i=1}^\infty = ((T(x_i^1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i^k \otimes x_n^{k+1}))_n)_{i=1}^\infty$$

is norm convergent to  $(T(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k \otimes x_n^{k+1}))_{n=1}^\infty \in c(Y)$ . It follows easily that the sequence of “diagonal” elements

$$(T(x_n^1 \otimes x_n^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^{k+1}))_{n=1}^\infty$$

of the above sequence is norm convergent to  $T(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{k+1})$  in  $Y$ , which then completes the proof.

Now, since  $t_n(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k) = T(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k \otimes x_n^{k+1})$ , and  $T$  is weakly compact, it is easy to see that  $t_n$  is weakly compact.

Given  $S \in X^*$ , and  $x \in X_{k+1}$ , define  $S' : X_{k+1} \rightarrow W^*$  by

$$S'(x)(z_1 \otimes z_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k) = S(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k \otimes x).$$

For any  $\phi \in W^{**}$ , define  $\tilde{\phi} : X_{k+1} \rightarrow X^{**}$  by

$$\langle S, \tilde{\phi}(x) \rangle = \langle S'(x), \phi \rangle, \text{ for all } x \in X_{k+1}, S \in W^*.$$

For all  $z_i \in X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $\psi \in Y^*$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(\psi)'(x_n^{k+1})(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k) &= T^*(\psi)(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k \otimes x_n^{k+1}) \\ &= \psi(T(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k \otimes x_n^{k+1})) \\ &= \psi(t_n(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k)) \\ &= t_n^*(\psi)(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k) \end{aligned}$$

so that  $T^*(\psi)'(x_n^{k+1}) = t_n^*(\psi)$ .

It follows that for all  $\phi \in W^{**}$ , and  $\psi \in Y^*$ , we have

$$\langle \psi, t_n^{**}(\phi) \rangle = \langle t_n^*(\psi), \phi \rangle = \langle T^*(\psi), \tilde{\phi}(x_n^{k+1}) \rangle = \langle \psi, T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_n^{k+1})) \rangle,$$

and hence for all  $n$  we have

$$t_n^{**}(\phi) = T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_n^{k+1})) \in Y^{**}.$$

As  $T$  is weakly compact, the map  $T^{**}\tilde{\phi} : X_{k+1} \rightarrow Y^{**}$  is weakly compact. Hence, since  $X_{k+1}$  has DP1,

$$\|T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_n^{k+1})) - T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1}))\| = \|t_n^{**}(\phi) - T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1}))\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus to complete the proof, we need only show that

$$T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1})) = \pi^{**}t^{**}(\phi),$$

and apply Lemma 1.1.

For any  $\psi \in Y^*$ , we have

$$\langle \psi, T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1})) \rangle = \langle T^*(\psi), \tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1}) \rangle = \langle (T^*(\psi))'(x_{k+1}), \phi \rangle,$$

but for all  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T^*(\psi))'(x_{k+1})(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k) &= (T^*(\psi))(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x_{k+1}) \\ &= \psi(T(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x_{k+1})) \\ &= \psi(\pi(t(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k))) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\langle \psi, T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1})) \rangle = \langle (T^*(\psi))'(x_{k+1}), \phi \rangle = \langle \psi \pi t, \phi \rangle = \langle \psi, \pi^{**}t^{**}(\phi) \rangle.$$

Thus  $T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1})) = \pi^{**}t^{**}(\phi)$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

We now review some concepts from the references. Given Banach spaces  $X_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , and  $Y$ , a  $k$ -linear operator  $T : X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_k \rightarrow Y$  is a  $k$ -linear mapping which is continuous in all variables  $x_i$  simultaneously. The set of all  $k$ -linear operators forms a Banach space with the usual vector space operations and the norm

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)\| : \sup_i \|x_i\| \leq 1\}.$$

We will denote this Banach space by  $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k; Y)$ . If all  $X_i = X$ , for some Banach space  $X$ , we write  $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X^k; Y)$  for  $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X, \dots, X; Y)$ . Letting  $W = X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2 \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} X_k$ , where  $\widehat{\otimes}$  denotes the projective tensor product, we make careful note that there is a canonical isometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k; Y)$  with  $\mathcal{L}(W; Y)$  associating the  $k$ -linear operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k; Y)$  with the operator  $\widehat{T} \in \mathcal{L}(W; Y)$  where  $\widehat{T}(z_1 \otimes z_2 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k) = T(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)$  for  $z_i \in X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

A  $k$ -linear operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k; Y)$  is called weakly compact if for any  $k$  bounded sequences  $(z_n^i)$  in  $X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , the sequence

$$(T(z_n^1, z_n^2, \dots, z_n^k))_{n=1}^\infty$$

has a weakly convergent subsequence. As shown in [4, p. 374], a  $k$ -linear operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k; Y)$  is weakly compact if and only if the associated operator  $\widehat{T}$  is weakly compact. Applying these facts to the previous result, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.3**  $X$  has DP1 if and only if for every Banach space  $Y$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X^k; Y)$  is weakly compact, and  $(x_n^i)_{n=1}^\infty$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  are weakly convergent norm-one sequences with norm-one limits  $x_i$ , then the sequence  $(T(x_n^1, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^k))_{n=1}^\infty$  is norm convergent to  $T(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  in  $Y$ .

Continuing with the review, let  $X$  be a Banach space. A map  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a  $k$ -homogeneous polynomial, ( $k \geq 1$ ), if there exists a  $k$ -linear operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X^k; Y)$ , called a generator of  $p$ , such that for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$p(x) = T(x, \dots, x).$$

The space of all  $k$ -homogeneous polynomials from  $X$  into  $Y$  becomes a Banach space with the norm

$$\|p\| = \sup\{\|p(x)\| : \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

A map  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a polynomial if there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k$ -homogeneous polynomials  $p_k$ , with  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , such that  $p = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n$ . (By definition, a 0-homogeneous polynomial is any constant map from  $X$  to  $Y$ .) A polynomial  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be weakly compact if for every bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$ , the sequence  $(p(x_n))$  has a weakly convergent subsequence in  $Y$ .

A Banach space  $X$  is said to have the Polynomial Dunford-Pettis property (PDP) if for any Banach space  $Y$ , every weakly compact polynomial from  $X$  into  $Y$  maps weakly Cauchy sequences onto norm convergent sequences. We will say that  $X$  has PDP1 if for any  $Y$ , and for every weakly compact polynomial  $p : X \rightarrow Y$ , if  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly and  $\|x\| = 1 = \|x_n\|$  for all  $n$ , then the sequence  $(p(x_n))$  is norm convergent in  $Y$ . In [4], a question posed by Pełczyński is answered, namely it is shown that  $X$  has DP if and only if  $X$  has PDP. The next result mirrors the equivalence of DP and PDP.

**Corollary 1.4** Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then  $X$  has DP1 if and only if  $X$  has PDP1.

**Proof.** For the forward implication, let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be a weakly compact polynomial, where  $p = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n$ , for  $k$ -homogeneous polynomials  $p_k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , and let

$x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly with  $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$ . As  $p$  is weakly compact,  $p_k$  is weakly compact and it follows that  $p_k$  has a weakly compact generator [3, Proposition 3]. Hence by Corollary 1.3, for each  $k$ , the sequence  $(p_k(x_n))$  is norm convergent in  $Y$ , and hence  $(p(x_n))$  is norm convergent in  $Y$ , whence  $X$  has PDP1.

The backward implication follows immediately from the fact that every operator is a 1-homogeneous polynomial. □

From the theorem, we also obtain an alternative proof of (one direction of) [1, Theorem 3.1].

**Corollary 1.5** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $A$  has DP1 if and only if whenever  $a_n \rightarrow a$  weakly in  $A$ , with  $\|a_n\| = \|a\| = 1$  for all  $n$ , then  $a_n \rightarrow a$   $\sigma$ -strong\* in  $A^{**}$ .

**Proof.** We prove only the necessity of the condition. Suppose  $A$  has DP1 and let  $a_n \rightarrow a$  weakly in  $A$  with  $\|a_n\| = \|a\| = 1$  for all  $n$ . Let  $f \in A^*$ . The map  $\Phi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\Phi(x, y) = f(xy)$  is obviously bounded, 2-linear, and weakly compact. Hence, the sequences  $(\Phi(a_n, a_n^*))$  and  $(\Phi(a_n^*, a_n))$  are both convergent to  $\Phi(a, a^*)$  and  $\Phi(a^*, a)$ , respectively, so that  $f(a_n^*a_n + a_n a_n^*) \rightarrow f(a^*a + aa^*)$ , as desired. □

### The HDP1 property

A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called holomorphic if for every  $\xi \in X$  there exists a sequence  $p_k$  of  $k$ -homogeneous polynomials,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , (depending on  $\xi$ ), and a constant  $r > 0$  such that the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(x - \xi)$$

converges uniformly to  $f(x)$  for all  $x \in \Delta(\xi, r)$ . The sequence  $(p_k)$  is then unique at each  $\xi$  by [2, Proposition 4.2], and this series is called the Taylor series of  $f$  at  $\xi$ .

As defined in [4], a holomorphic map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be weakly compact if for each  $\xi \in X$  there exists  $s > 0$  such that  $f$  maps  $\Delta(\xi, s)$  into a relatively weakly compact subset of  $Y$ . When  $f$  is holomorphic and weakly compact,  $r(f; \xi)$  will denote the supremum of all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the Taylor series of  $f$  at  $\xi$  converges uniformly for  $z \in \overline{\Delta(\xi, s)}$  for all  $0 < s < r$ , and such that  $f$  maps  $\Delta(\xi, r)$  into a relatively weakly compact subset of  $Y$ .

A Banach space  $X$  is said to have the Holomorphic Dunford-Pettis Property (HDP),

as defined in [4], if for every Banach space  $Y$  and every weakly compact holomorphic map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , if  $(x_n)$  is a weakly Cauchy sequence in  $X$  and there exists  $x \in X$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\|x_n - x\| \leq \rho < r(f; x)$  for all  $n$ , then the sequence  $(f(x_n))$  is norm convergent in  $Y$ . We will say that  $X$  has HDP1 if for any  $Y$ , and any weakly compact holomorphic map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly such that there exists  $\xi \in X$  and a constant  $s > 0$  with  $\|x_n - \xi\| = \|x - \xi\| = s < r(f; \xi)$  for all  $n$ , then the sequence  $(f(x_n))$  is norm convergent in  $Y$ .

As shown in [4], DP and HDP are equivalent. The next result mirrors that equivalence.

**Theorem 1.6**  $X$  has DP1 if and only if  $X$  has HDP1.

**Proof.** Suppose  $X$  has DP1. Let  $Y$  be a Banach space, let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a weakly compact holomorphic map, and let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly such that there exists  $\xi \in X$  and a constant  $s > 0$  with  $\|x_n - \xi\| = \|x - \xi\| = s < r(f; \xi)$  for all  $n$ . Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(z - \xi)$$

be the Taylor series of  $f$  at  $\xi$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and choose  $N > 0$  such that for all  $z \in \overline{\Delta(\xi, s)}$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} p_k(z - \xi) \right\| < \epsilon.$$

Since  $X$  has DP1,  $X$  has PDP1 by Corollary 1.4, so it follows that for all  $0 \leq k \leq N$ , the sequence  $(p_k(x_n - \xi))$  is norm convergent. Hence there exists  $M > 0$  such that for all  $0 \leq k \leq N$ , and  $n, m \geq M$ , we have

$$\|p_k(x_n - \xi) - p_k(x_m - \xi)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{N}.$$

It follows easily that  $\|f(x_n) - f(x_m)\| < 3\epsilon$ , so that the sequence  $(f(x_n))$  is norm convergent in  $Y$ , whence  $X$  has HDP1.

The converse follows immediately from the fact that operators are holomorphic maps [2, Proposition 5.1]. □

Now, let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra. It is easily seen that the exponential map

$$x \mapsto e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad x \in A$$



is holomorphic. In particular, if  $A$  is reflexive then this mapping is also weakly compact, while if  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , the operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ , then for any  $\xi \in H$ , the map  $x \mapsto e^x \xi$  is holomorphic and weakly compact. Using these facts, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary .7** Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra with DP1 and let  $a_n \rightarrow a$  weakly in  $A$  with  $\|a_n\| = \|a\| = 1$ .

- (a) If  $A$  is reflexive, then  $e^{a_n} \rightarrow e^a$  in norm.
- (b) If  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a subalgebra of the operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ , then  $e^{a_n} \rightarrow e^a$  in the strong operator topology of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

### References

- [1] Freedman, W.: An alternative Dunford-Pettis property. *Studia Math.* 125, 143–159 (1997)
- [2] Nachbin, L.: *Topology on spaces of holomorphic mappings.* *Ergebnisse der Mathematik* 47, Springer-Verlag New York 1969.
- [3] Pelczyński, A.: On weakly compact polynomial operators on B-spaces with Dunford-Pettis property. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math. astr. et phys.* 11, 371–378 (1963)
- [4] Ryan, R. A., Dunford-Pettis properties. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math. astr. et phys.* 27, 373–379 (1979)

Walden FREEDMAN  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 U.C. Santa Barbara,  
 Santa Barbara, California 93101 USA  
 Koç University,  
 İstinye - 80860,  
 İstanbul, Turkey  
 wfreedman@ku.edu.tr

Received 02.02.1998