

## FIBONACCI SEQUENCES IN FINITE NILPOTENT GROUPS

*Ramazan Dikici & Geoff C Smith*

### Abstract

We have proved that, for the 3-step Fibonacci recurrence and any finite  $p$ -group of exponent  $p$  and nilpotency class 3, the length of a fundamental period of any loop satisfying the recurrence must divide the period of the ordinary 3-step Fibonacci sequence in the field  $GF(p)$ .

### 1. Introduction

We shall be interested in the shortest period of the 3-step Fibonacci sequence the entries of which are taken in any finite  $p$ -group of exponent  $p$  and nilpotency class 3. This problem has already been the subject of investigation. It seems to have first been addressed by Wall [9] and then Vinson [8] for cyclic groups. This theory has been generalized in [4] to cover the 3-step Fibonacci case. Campbell, Doostie and Robertson [2] have attacked the problem of recurrences in the case of non-abelian finite simple groups. Pinch [6] has studied the relationship between the period of a general linear recurrence modulo a rational prime  $p$  and the period modulo a power of that prime. He does this via examining the algebraic number theory of certain finite extensions of the  $p$ -adic numbers.

Wall distinguishes the special loop  $s = (s_i)$  defined by the recurrence  $s_{i+2} = s_i + s_{i+1}$  and the initial data  $s_0 = 0$  and  $s_1 = 1$  in  $\mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $k(s, p^n)$  denote the fundamental period of  $s$ .

**Theorem 1.1:** *(D.D. Wall [9]) The number  $k(s, p^n)$  divides  $k(s, p)p^{n-1}$ , and the two quantities are equal provided  $k(s, p) \neq k(s, p^2)$ .*

Wall goes on to conjecture that for all primes  $p$ , we always have  $k(s, p) \neq k(s, p^2)$ . He announced that he had verified this result for all primes  $p < 10^4$ . We know by [1] that this is indeed the case for all primes  $p < 10^8$ . This work has also been a recent one in this area and proves that short loops must be geometric for the 3-step Fibonacci recurrences in  $H$ , the additive group of the finite field  $GF(p^n)$ .

Let  $s = (s_i)$  denote the ordinary 3-step Fibonacci sequence in  $GF(p)$  defined by the recurrence  $s_{i+3} = s_i + s_{i+1} + s_{i+2}$  and the initial data  $s_0 = 0, s_1 = 0$  and  $s_2 = 1$ . This is a bi-infinite periodic sequence or loop indexed by the integers. The shortest period of this sequence is called the fundamental period and it will be denoted by  $k$ . We sometimes refer to this quantity as Wall's number [9].

## 2. The Main Theorem

We consider a 3-step Fibonacci sequence  $r = (r_i)$  in a finite  $p$ -group  $G$ , given some initial data  $r_0, r_1$  and  $r_2$ . Such a sequence or loop must be periodic and we denote the shortest period of this sequence sometimes called the fundamental period by  $k(r, G)$ . From now on  $k$  denotes the fundamental period of the standard 3- step Fibonacci sequence  $0, 0, 1, 1, 2, \dots$  taken modulo a distinguished prime  $p$ .

**Theorem 2.1:** *Let  $p > 3$  be a prime number, then if  $G$  is a non-trivial finite  $p$ -group of exponent  $p$  and nilpotency class 3 then  $k(r, G) = k$ . Of course if  $G$  is the trivial group then  $k(r, G) = 1$ .*

## 3. Some Lemmas Concerning 3-Step Fibonacci Sequence

Although the proofs of all the following lemmas are not intricate they are omitted here and can be found in [4]. The notation  $\sum_{i < j}$  indicates that we are dealing with a double sum, taken over all  $i$  and  $j$  subject to the constraint that  $0 \leq i < j \leq k - 1$ .

**Lemma 3.1:** *For all integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  we have*

$$\sum_{i < j} s_{j+\alpha} s_{i+\beta} = 0.$$

**Lemma 3.2:** *For all integers  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $c$  we have*

$$\sum_{i < j} s_{j-i+\beta} s_{i+c} s_{i+\beta} = 0.$$

**Lemma 3.3:** *For all integers  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  we have*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{j+\alpha} s_{j+\beta} s_{-j+\gamma} s_j = 0.$$

**Lemma 3.4:** *For all integers  $\alpha, \beta, c, d$ , and  $e$  we have*

$$\sum_{i < j} s_{-j+\alpha} s_{j+\beta} s_{j-i-d} s_{i+e} s_{i+c} = 0.$$

**4. The Proof**

We do our preliminary investigations, not with the relatively free group on three generators, but with a carefully selected group  $H$  which we now describe.  $H$  has two generators  $x$  and  $y$ . A presentation of  $H$  is

$$H = \langle h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 : (h_2, h_1) = h_3, (h_3, h_1) = h_4, \text{explaw} = p \rangle$$

where pairs of generators with unspecified commutator are implicitly deemed to commute. Thus  $H$  is a copy of  $C_p^3$  extended by a cyclic group of order  $p$ .

Let  $G$  be the 3-generator relatively free exponent  $p$  class 3 group on  $g_1, g_2$  and  $g_3$ . Thus  $G$  has order  $p^{14}$  and a power commutator presentation of  $G$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (g_2, g_1) &= g_4 \\ (g_3, g_1) &= g_5 \\ (g_3, g_2) &= g_6 \\ (g_4, g_1) &= g_7 \\ (g_4, g_2) &= g_8 \\ (g_4, g_3) &= g_9 \\ (g_5, g_1) &= g_{10} \\ (g_5, g_2) &= g_{11} \\ (g_5, g_3) &= g_{12} \\ (g_6, g_1) &= g_9^{-1} g_{11} \\ (g_6, g_2) &= g_{13} \\ (g_6, g_3) &= g_{14} \end{aligned}$$

Once again we have the convention that pairs of generators with unspecified commutator are implicitly deemed to commute.

In  $GF(p)$ -vector notation, we put  $g_i = (\delta_{ij}) \in G$ , where  $\delta_{ij}$  in the Krönecker symbol and  $j$  ranges from 1 to 14.

The group  $G$  is relatively free and so admits an automorphism  $\phi$ , which we call the 3-step *Fibonacci automorphism*, defined by  $g_1\phi = g_2, g_2\phi = g_3$  and  $g_3\phi = g_1g_2g_3$ .

We define two maps  $\pi_i : G \rightarrow H$  via

$$g_1\pi_1 = 1, g_2\pi_1 = h_1 \text{ and } g_3\pi_1 = h_2$$

and

$$g_1\pi_2 = h_1, g_2\pi_2 = h_2 \text{ and } g_3\pi_2 = 1.$$

Let  $g_i = (\delta_{ij}) \in G$ , where  $\delta_{ij}$  in the Krönecker symbol and  $j$  ranges from 1 to 14.

$$\text{Ker}\pi_1 = K_1 = (*, 0, 0, *, *, 0, *, *, *, *, *, *, 0, *);$$

$$\text{Ker}\pi_2 = K_2 = (0, 0, *, 0, *, *, 0, *, *, *, *, *, *, *).$$

Let  $M = Ker\pi_1 \cap Ker\pi_2$ , so that

$$M = (0, 0, 0, 0, *, 0, 0, *, *, *, *, *, 0, *),$$

in the sense that each  $*$  can independently be any element of  $GF(p)$ . Now  $M$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $p^7$ , and is therefore a  $GF(p)$ - space of dimension 7. A basis of  $M$  is  $(g_5, g_8, g_9, g_{10}, g_{11}, g_{12}, g_{14})$ .

Computer aided calculations [3] yield that

$$M \cap M\phi = (g_8g_{11}, g_9, g_{10}g_{11}g_{12}, g_{14}),$$

$$M \cap M\phi \cap M\phi^2 = (g_9g_{14}^{-2}, g_{10}g_{11}, g_{12})$$

and

$$M \cap M\phi \cap M\phi^2 \cap M\phi^3 = 1.$$

Thus we have a monomorphism

$$\pi : G \longrightarrow G/K_1 \times G/K_2 \times G/K_1\phi \times G/K_2\phi \times G/K_1\phi^2 \times G/K_2\phi^2 \times G/K_1\phi^3 \times G/K_2\phi^3,$$

where the codomain is isomorphic to  $\times_{i=1}^8 H$ . The automorphism  $\phi^{-1}$  and its powers induce isomorphisms  $G/K_i\phi^j \longrightarrow G/K_i$  which can be composed co-ordinatewise with  $\pi$  to form a group monomorphism

$$\bar{\pi} : G \longrightarrow x_{j=1}^4 (G/K_1 \times G/K_2)$$

defined by

$$x \longrightarrow (K_1x, K_2x, K_1(x\phi^{-1}), K_2(x\phi^{-1}), K_1(x\phi^{-2}), K_2(x\phi^{-2})K_1(x\phi^{-3}), K_2(x\phi^{-3})).$$

Now let us examine the image of the loop  $r = (r_i)$  beginning  $r_0 = g_1, r_1 = g_2, r_2 = g_3$  under  $\bar{\pi}$ . We have

$$\bar{\pi} : r_i \longrightarrow (r_i\pi_1, r_i\pi_2, r_{i-1}\pi_1, r_{i-1}\pi_2, r_{i-2}\pi_1, r_{i-2}\pi_2, r_{i-3}\pi_1, r_{i-3}\pi_2).$$

The sequences in the odd positions are just rotations of  $(r_i\pi_1)$  and the sequences in the even positions are rotations of  $(r_i\pi_2)$ . Thus, if we can show that  $(r_i\pi_1)$  and  $(r_i\pi_2)$  both have Wall Number  $k$ , it will follow that  $r$  has Wall Number  $k$  and will be done.

In  $H$  the elements can be regarded as vectors and triple multiplication is determined by the following rules;

$$(a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0).(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1).(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2) = (a_3, b_3, c_3, d_3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= a_0 + a_1 + a_2, \\ b_3 &= b_0 + b_1 + b_2, \\ c_3 &= c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + a_1b_0 + a_2(b_0 + b_1), \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$d_3 = d_0 + d_1 + d_2 + a_1c_0 + a_2(c_0 + c_1 + a_1b_0) + \binom{a_2}{2}(b_0 + b_1) + \binom{a_1}{2} + b_0.$$

We must consider two types of initial data for loops in  $H$ . We have a loop  $v$  of type I with initial data

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= (0, 0, 0, 0) \\ v_1 &= (1, 0, 0, 0) \\ v_2 &= (0, 1, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

and another  $w$  of type II with initial data

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= (1, 0, 0, 0) \\ w_1 &= (0, 1, 0, 0) \\ w_2 &= (0, 0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The analysis of the type II loop is entirely similar to that of type I. Thus the type I loop begins

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= (t_0, s_0, 0, 0) \\ v_1 &= (t_1, s_1, 0, 0) \\ v_2 &= (t_2, s_2, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

We focus on the type I loop  $(v_i) = (t_i, s_i, c_i, d_i)$ , where

$$(s_0, s_1, s_2) = (0, 0, 1)$$

and

$$(t_0, t_1, t_2) = (0, 1, 0).$$

It can be easily seen that the sequence  $t_i$  can be written in terms of  $s_i$  as  $t_i = s_{i+1} - s_i$ . Now, it follows from [5] that  $c_k = c_{k+1} = c_{k+2} = 0$  which correspondes to prove the similar theorem where the nilpotency class of the group reduces to 2. To conclude, we must demonstrate  $d_k = d_{k+1} = d_{k+2} = 0$  and begin with  $d_k = 0$ .

We shall need a formula for  $c_\alpha$  in order to work out the formula for  $d_\alpha$ . By induction it is

$$c_\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} s_{\alpha-i-1}(s_i t_{i+1} + t_{i+2}(s_i + s_{i+1}))$$

for  $\alpha \geq 0$ . This enables us, via a similar process, to describe  $d_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \geq 0$  as

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha = & \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} s_{\alpha-i-1} t_{i+1} c_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} s_{\alpha-i-1} \binom{t_{i+1}}{2} s_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} s_{\alpha-i-1} t_{i+2} (c_i + c_{i+1} + t_{i+1} s_i) \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} s_{\alpha-i-1} \binom{t_{i+1}}{2} (s_i + s_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

We can break up the expression for  $d_k$  as  $d_k = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 + \Delta_4$ , where

$$\Delta_1 = \sum s_{k-i-1} t_{i+1} c_i,$$

$$\Delta_2 = \sum s_{k-i-1} \binom{2^{t_{i+1}}}{2} s_i,$$

$$\Delta_3 = \sum s_{k-i-1} t_{i+2} (c_i + c_{i+1} + t_{i+1} s_i)$$

and

$$\Delta_4 = \sum s_{k-i-1} \binom{2^{t_{i+1}}}{2} (s_i + s_{i+1}),$$

and we shall attempt to show that each of these four expressions  $\Delta_i$  actually vanishes. To this end, we break these expressions up still further.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \sum s_{k-i-1} t_{i+1} c_i = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+1} c_j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{j-i-1} s_i t_{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{j-i-1} t_{i+2} (s_i + s_{i+1}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\Delta = \Delta_{11} \Delta_{12} \Delta_{13}$ , where

$$\Delta_{11} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+1} s_{j-i-1} s_i t_{i+1},$$

$$\Delta_{12} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+1} s_{j-i-1} s_{i+1} t_{i+2}$$

and

$$\Delta_{13} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+1} s_{j-i-1} s_i t_{i+2}.$$

Moving to  $\Delta_2$ , we find that

$$\Delta_2 = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} \binom{t_{i+1}}{2} s_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+1} (t_{j+1} - 1) s_j,$$

so that

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+1}^2 s_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+1} s_j.$$

Next we tackle  $\Delta_3$ . We have

$$\Delta_3 = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} (c_j + c_{j+1} + t_{j+1} s_j),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3 &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{j-i-1} (s_i t_{i+1} + t_{i+2} (s_i + s_{i+1})) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{j-i} (s_i t_{i+1} + t_{i+2} (s_i + s_{i+1})) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} t_{j+1} s_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\Delta_3 = \Delta_{31} + \Delta_{32} + \Delta_{33} + \Delta_{34} + \Delta_{35} + \Delta_{36} + \Delta_{37}$ , where

$$\Delta_{31} = \sum_{i < j} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} s_{j-i-1} s_i t_{i+1},$$

$$\Delta_{32} = \sum_{i < j} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} s_{j-i-1} s_i t_{i+2},$$

$$\Delta_{33} = \sum_{i < j} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} s_{j-i-1} s_{i+1} t_{i+2},$$

$$\Delta_{34} = \sum_{i < j} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} s_{j-i} s_i t_{i+1},$$

$$\Delta_{35} = \sum_{i < j} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} s_{j-i} s_i t_{i+2},$$

$$\Delta_{36} = \sum_{i < j} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} s_{j-i} s_{i+1} t_{i+2},$$

and

$$\Delta_{37} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} t_{j+1} s_j.$$

Also we see that

$$\Delta_4 = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} \binom{t_{i+2}}{2} (s_j + s_{j+1}),$$

so that

$$\Delta_4 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} (t_{j+2} - 1) (s_j + s_{j+1});$$

but  $\Delta_4 = \Delta_{41} - \Delta_{42}$ , where

$$\Delta_{41} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2}^2 (s_j + s_{j+1})$$

and

$$\Delta_{42} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j-1} t_{j+2} (s_j + s_{j+1}).$$

We want to show all sums of type  $\Delta$  actually vanish. In fact, this is simply the upshot of lemmas given in section 2. Thus we have shown  $d_k = 0$  for the type I sequence. It is



a matter of algebraic manipulation to show that  $d_{k+1} = d_{k+2} = 0$ . The analysis of the type II sequence is extremely similar to that of the type I sequence.

We acknowledge the computer algebra systems CAYLEY [3] and AXIOM [7], using which many of the results in this area were originally discovered as experimental truths.

### References

- [1] Aydın, H., Dikici, R. and Smith, G.C.: "Wall and Vinson Revisited", Applications of Fibonacci Numbers, Volume 5. Edited by G.E. Bergum et al. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 61-68(1993).
- [2] Campbell, C.M., Doostie, H. and Robertson, E.F.: "Fibonacci Length of Generating Pairs in Groups", Applications of Fibonacci Numbers, Volume 3. Edited by G.E. Bergum et al. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 27-35 (1990).
- [3] Cannon, J.J.: "An Introduction to the Group Theory Language Cayley ", Proc. Durham Symposium on Computational Group Theory, Academic Press, London, 145-183 (1984).
- [4] Dikici, R.: "Applications of Computer Algebra to the Study of Recurrence in  $p$ -Groups", Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bath (1992)
- [5] Dikici, R. and Smith, G.C.: "Recurrences in Finite Groups", Tr.J. of Math 19, 321-329 (1995).
- [6] Pinch, R.G.E.: "Linear Recurrent Sequences Modulo Prime Powers", Proc. 3<sup>rd</sup> IMA Conference on Coding and Cryptography, Cirencester, England (1991) (*to appear*).
- [7] Sutor, R.S.: "AXIOM User Guide", NAG Ltd, (1991).
- [8] Vinson, J.: "The Relations of the Period Modulo  $m$  to the Rank of Apparition of  $m$  in the Fibonacci Sequence", The Fibonacci Quarterly. 1, 37-45 (1963).
- [9] Wall, D.D.: "Fibonacci Series Modulo  $m$ ", Amer. Math. Monthly. 67, 525-532 (1960).

DİKİCİ & C SMITH

## Sonlu Nilpotent Gruplarda Fibonacci Dizileri

### Özet

Gözönüne alınan 3-basamak Fibonacci dizisi ve nilpotent sınıfı 3, exponenti  $p$  olan herhangi bir sonlu  $p$ -grup için, bu grubun elemanlarıyla oluşturulan herhangi bir döngünün esas periyodunun uzunluğunun  $GF(p)$  cisminde adi 3- basamak Fibonacci dizisinin periyodunu böldüğü ispatlandı.

Ramazan DİKİCİ & Geoff C SMITH  
Atatürk Üniversitesi  
Kazım Karabekir Eğitim Fakültesi  
Matematik Eğitimi Bölümü  
25240 Erzurum-TURKEY

Received 18.10.1994