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#### Abstract

Product operator formalism is widely used for analytical description of multiplepulse NMR experiments for weakly coupled spin systems. 3D J-resolved NMR spectroscopy is widely used in order to resolve the chemical shift along one axis and spin-spin coupling parameters along the two other axis. In this study, product operator theory is used for analytical description of heteronuclear 3D J-resolved NMR spectroscopy for the $I S_{n} K_{m}(I=1 / 2, S=1 / 2, K=1 / 2 ; n=1,2, m=1,2,3)$ spin system.


Key Words: Product operator, 3D J-Resolved NMR spectroscopy.

## 1. Introduction

There exists a large number of homo and heteronuclear multi-pulse 1D, 2D and 3D NMR experiments. For the analytical description of these multi-pulse NMR experiments product operator formalism is widely used [1-7]. In this formalism, the spin operators themselves and their direct products, called product operators, are used. In one dimensional NMR, as the multiplets from different chemically shifted nuclei overlap, spectral assignments become too difficult. In order to resolve the chemical shift and spin-spin coupling parameters along the different axis, 2D and 3D J-resolved NMR spectroscopies are widely used [8,9]. In 3D J-resolved NMR spectroscopy, the chemical shift is resolved along the one axis and spin-spin coupling parameters along the two different axis. The product operator description of heteronuclear 2D J-resolved NMR and 2D DEPT J-resolved NMR spectroscopies for the weakly coupled $I S_{n}(I=1 / 2, S=1 / 2$ and 1$)$ spin systems has been reported elsewhere [10-13]. In this study, by using the product operator theory, an ana-
lytical description of heteronuclear 3D J-resolved NMR spectroscopy for a weakly coupled $I S_{n} K_{m}(I=1 / 2, S=1 / 2, K=1 / 2 ; n=1,2, m=1,2,3)$ spin system is presented. This will probably be the first application of product operator theory to 3D J-resolved NMR spectroscopy for this system.

## 2. Theory

The density operator is expressed as a linear combination of base operators $\left(B_{s}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(t)=\sum_{s} b_{s}(t) B_{s} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a spin system a set of base operators can be obtained by [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{s}=2^{(q-1)} \prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(I_{k v}\right)^{a_{s k}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $N$ is the total number of spins in the spin system under consideration, $k$ is an index for the spin, $\nu$ represents $x, y$ or $z$ axis and $q(0 \leq q \leq N)$ is the number of single spin operators in the product. For $q$ nuclei $a_{s k}=1$ and for the $N-q$ remaining nuclei $a_{s k}=0$. The complete base set, for a system with $N$ spins $1 / 2$, consists of $4^{N}$ product operators. For $\operatorname{ISK}(I=1 / 2, S=1 / 2, K=1 / 2)$ spin system there exists 64 product operators such as $\frac{1}{2} E, I_{x}, S_{y}, K_{z}, 2 I_{x} S_{y}, 4 I_{x} S_{y} K_{z}$.

Time dependency of the density matrix is given by $[6,7]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(t)=\exp (-i H t) \sigma(0) \exp (i H t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the total Hamiltonian which consists of a radio frequency (r.f.) pulse, chemical shift and spin-spin coupling Hamiltonians and $\sigma(0)$ is the density matrix at $t=0$. After employing the Hausdorff formula $[6,7]$

$$
\begin{align*}
\exp (-i H t)) A \exp (i H t)= & A-(i t)[H, A]+\frac{(i t)^{2}}{2!}[H,[H, A]] \\
& -\frac{(i t)^{3}}{3!}[H,[H,[H, A]]]+\cdots, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

the r.f pulse, chemical shift and spin-spin coupling evolution of product operators can easily be obtained $[1,6,7]$. The details on the evolution of product operators under these Hamiltonians can be found elsewhere [1,6,7,10]. At any time during the experiment, the ensemble averaged expectation value of the spin angular momentum, e.g. for $I_{y}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
<I_{y}>=\operatorname{Tr}\left(I_{y}(t)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(t)$ is the density matrix operator calculated from Eq.(1) at any time. As $<I_{y}>$ is proportional to the magnitude of the $y$ magnetization, it represents the signal detected
on $y$ axis. So, in order to estimate the FID signal of a multiple-pulse NMR experiment, a density matrix operator should be obtained at the end of the experiment.

## 3. Results and Discussion

In this study, for the analytical description of 3D J-resolved NMR spectroscopy of $I S_{n} K_{m}(I=1 / 2, S=1 / 2, K=1 / 2 ; n=1,2, m=1,2,3)$ spin system by using product operator theory the pulse sequence illustrated in Figure 1 is used. As seen in Figure 1, the density matrix operator at each stage of the experiment is labeled with numbers.


Figure 1. The gated decoupler pulse sequence for heteronuclear 3D J-Resolved NMR spectroscopy. There exist spin-spin couplings between $I$ and $S$ spins during the first half of $t_{1}$ and between $I$ and $K$ spins during the first half of $t_{2}$. BB: Broadband decoupling.

For $\boldsymbol{I S K}$ spin system $\sigma_{0}=I_{z}$ is the density matrix operator at thermal equilibrium and the pulse sequence in Figure 1 obviously leads to the following density matrices for each labeled point:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{1}=-I_{y}, \sigma_{2}=-I_{y} C_{J}  \tag{6}\\
\sigma_{3}=\sigma_{4}=I_{y} C_{J} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{5}=\sigma_{6}=\sigma_{7}=I_{y} C_{J} C_{J}^{\prime} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the pulse sequence, it is assumed that during $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, relaxation and evolution under chemical shift do not take place. Spin-spin couplings obviously exist during the first half of $t_{1}$ and also during the first half of $t_{2}$. Under the chemical shift evolution during $t_{3}$, the density matrix becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{8}=I_{y} C_{J} C_{J}^{\prime} C_{I} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in the following equations $C_{n J}=\cos n \pi J \frac{t_{1}}{2}, C_{n J}^{\prime}=\cos n \pi J^{\prime} \frac{t_{2}}{2}$ and $C_{I}=$ $\cos \Omega_{I} t_{3}$, where $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ are the spin-spin coupling parameters between $I$ and $S$ spins;
and $I$ and $K$ spins, respectively. In density matrix operators only observable $I_{y}$ product operator terms are kept, as they are the only ones that contribute to the signal on $y$ axis detection. Then, magnetization along $y$ axis is proportional to $\left\langle I_{y}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{y}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right) \alpha\left\langle I_{y}\right\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}\left(I_{y} \sigma_{8}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it is necessary to obtain the $\operatorname{Tr}\left(I_{y} O\right)$ values of observable product operators indicated by $O$. For $I S_{n} K_{m}$ spin system $(I=1 / 2, S=1 / 2, K=1 / 2 ; n=1,2, m=1,2,3)$, $\operatorname{Tr}\left(I_{y} O\right)$ values were calculated by a computer program and the results are given in Table 1.

Table 1. The results of the $\operatorname{Tr}\left(I_{y} O\right)$ calculations for some of the observable product operators in $I S_{n} K_{m}$ spin system ( $I=1 / 2, S=1 / 2, K=1 / 2 ; n=1,2, m=1,2,3$ ).

| Spin System | Product opertor $(O)$ | $\operatorname{Tr}\left(I_{y} O\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I S K$ | $I_{y}$ | 1 |
| $I S K_{2}$ | $I_{y}$ | 2 |
| $I S K_{3}$ | $I_{y}$ | 4 |
| $I S_{2} K_{2}$ | $I_{y}$ | 4 |

By using the Table 1 for the $\operatorname{Tr}\left(I_{y} I_{y}\right)$ value of $I S K$ spin system,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{y}\right\rangle= & \operatorname{Tr}\left(I_{y} I_{y}\right) C_{J} C_{J}^{\prime} C_{I} \\
= & \frac{1}{4}\left[\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\frac{\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}+\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\frac{\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}-\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)\right.  \tag{11}\\
& \left.+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\frac{\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}+\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\frac{\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}-\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

is obtained. This equation represents the FID signals of 3D J-resolved NMR spectroscopy for $I S K$ spin system. These are the four signals at $\left(\frac{J}{4}, \frac{J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(-\frac{J}{4}, \frac{J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(\frac{J}{4},-\frac{J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right)$, $\left(-\frac{J}{4},-\frac{J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right)$ with an intensity distribution of $(1,1,1,1)$. In these signal representations, the first, second and the third terms are the values along the $F_{1}, F_{2}$ and $F_{3}$ axis, respectively. Since the gated decoupler pulse sequence is used, spin-spin couplings in $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ dimensions are scaled by a factor of 0.5 .

By using the same pulse sequence for $\boldsymbol{I S} \boldsymbol{K}_{2}$ spin system, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{1}=-I_{y}  \tag{12}\\
\sigma_{4}=I_{y} C_{J}  \tag{13}\\
\sigma_{7}=I_{y} C_{J} C_{J}^{\prime 2} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{8}=\frac{1}{2} I_{y} C_{J} C_{I}+\frac{1}{2} I_{y} C_{J} C_{2 J}^{\prime} C_{I} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by using Table 1,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle I_{y}\right\rangle=C_{J} C_{I}+C_{J} C_{2 J}^{\prime} C_{I} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{4}\left[\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}+\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)\right.  \tag{16}\\
& \left.+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}-\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}+\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}-\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

This equation represents the signals at $\left(\frac{J}{4}, \frac{J^{\prime}}{2}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(-\frac{J}{4}, \frac{J^{\prime}}{2}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(\frac{J}{4}, 0, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(-\frac{J}{4}, 0, \Omega_{I}\right)$, $\left(\frac{J}{4},-\frac{J^{\prime}}{2}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(-\frac{J}{4},-\frac{J^{\prime}}{2}, \Omega_{I}\right)$ with an intensity distribution of $(1,1,2,2,1,1)$

For $\boldsymbol{I S K} K_{3}$ spin system, by applying the same procedure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{8}=I_{y} C_{J} C_{J}^{\prime 3} C_{I} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle I_{y}\right\rangle= & 3 C_{J} C_{J}^{\prime} C_{I}+C_{J} C_{3 J}^{\prime} C_{I} \\
= & \frac{3}{4}\left[\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\frac{\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}+\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\frac{\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}-\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\frac{\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}+\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\frac{\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}-\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)\right]  \tag{18}\\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left[\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\frac{3 \pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}+\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\frac{3 \pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}-\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\frac{3 \pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}+\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\frac{3 \pi J^{\prime} t_{2}}{2}-\frac{\pi J t_{1}}{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

is found. This equation represents the signals at $\left(\frac{J}{4}, \frac{3 J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(-\frac{J}{4}, \frac{3 J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(\frac{J}{4}, \frac{J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right)$, $\left(-\frac{J}{4}, \frac{J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(\frac{J}{4},-\frac{J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(-\frac{J}{4},-\frac{J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(\frac{J}{4},-\frac{3 J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(-\frac{J}{4},-\frac{3 J^{\prime}}{4}, \Omega_{I}\right)$ with intensities of ( $1,1,3,3,3,3,1,1$ ).

For $\boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{S}_{2} \boldsymbol{K}_{2}$ spin system, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{8}=\frac{I_{y}}{4}\left(1+C_{2 J}+C_{2 J}^{\prime}+C_{2 J} C_{2 J}^{\prime}\right) C_{I} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\left\langle I_{y}\right\rangle=\left(1+C_{2 J}+C_{2 J}^{\prime}+C_{2 J} C_{2 J}^{\prime}\right) C_{I}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\cos \Omega_{I} t_{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\pi J t_{1}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\pi J t_{1}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{4}\left[\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}+\pi J t_{1}\right)\right.  \tag{20}\\
& \left.+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}+\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}-\pi J t_{1}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}+\pi J t_{1}\right)+\cos \left(\Omega_{I} t_{3}-\pi J^{\prime} t_{2}-\pi J t_{1}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

is found. As seen in this equation, there exist the signals at $\left(\frac{J}{2}, \frac{J^{\prime}}{2}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(-\frac{J}{2}, \frac{J^{\prime}}{2}, \Omega_{I}\right)$, $\left(\frac{J}{2}, 0, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(-\frac{J}{2}, 0, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(0,0, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(0, \frac{J^{\prime}}{2}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(0,-\frac{J^{\prime}}{2}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(\frac{J}{2},-\frac{J^{\prime}}{2}, \Omega_{I}\right),\left(-\frac{J}{2},-\frac{J^{\prime}}{2}, \Omega_{I}\right)$ with intensities of (1,1, 2,2,4,2,2, 1,1).

As a conclusion, in this study an application of product operator description of 3D J-resolved NMR spectroscopy for $I S_{n} K_{m}(I=1 / 2, S=1 / 2, K=1 / 2)$ spin system is presented. Obtained analytical results are consistent with those of classical formalisms.
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