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#### Abstract

Based on the Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke (ASvM) formula, the Virasoro constraints and W-constraints for the $p$-reduced $q$-deformed Kadomtsev-Petviashvili ( $q$-KP) hierarchy are established.
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## INTRODUCTION

The origin of $q$-calculus (quantum calculus) [1, 2] traces back to the early 20th century. Many mathematicians have important works in the area of $q$-calculus and $q$ hypergeometric series. The $q$-deformation of classical nonlinear integrable system (also called $q$-deformed integrable system) started in 1990's by means of $q$-derivative $\partial_{q}$ instead of usual derivative $\partial$ with respect to $x$ in the classical system. As we know, the $q$-deformed integrable system reduces to a classical integrable system as $q$ goes to 1 .

Several $q$-deformed integrable systems have been presented, for example, $q$ deformation of the KdV hierarchy [3, 4, 5], $q$-Toda equation [6], $q$-Calogero-Moser equation [7]. Obviously, the $q$-deformed Kadomtsev-Petviashvili ( $q$-KP) hierarchy is also a subject of intensive study in the literature from [8] to [13].

The additional symmetries, string equations and Virasoro constraints [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19] of the classical KP hierarchy are important since they are involved in the matrix models of the string theory [20]. For example, there are several new works [21, 22, 23, 24, 25] on this topic. It is quite interesting to study the analogous properties of $q$ deformed KP hierarchy by this expanding method. In [11], the additional symmetries of the $q$-KP hierarchy were provided. Recently, additional symmetries and the string equations associated with the $q$-KP hierarchy have already been reported in [11, 13]. The negative Virasoro constraint generators $\left\{L_{-n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ of the 2 -reduced $q-K P$ hierarchy are also obtained in [13] by the similar method of [18].

Our main purpose of this article is to give the complete Virasoro constraint generators $\left\{L_{n}, n \geq-1\right\}$ and W-constraints $\left\{w_{m}, m \geq-2\right\}$ for the $p$-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy by the different process with negative part of Virasoro constraints given in [13]. The method of this paper is based on Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke (ASvM) formula.

This paper is organized as follows. We give a brief description of $q$-calculus and $q$-KP hierarchy in Section 2 for reader's convenience. The main results are stated and proved
in Section 3, which are the Virasoro constraints and W-constraints on the $\tau$ function for the $p$-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy. Section 4 is devoted to conclusions and discussions.

## $q$-CALCULUS AND $q$-KP HIERARCHY

At the beginning of the this section, Let us recall some useful facts of $q$-calculus [2] in the following to make this paper be self-contained.

The Euler-Jackson $q$-difference $\partial_{q}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{q}(f(x))=\frac{f(q x)-f(x)}{x(q-1)}, \quad q \neq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $q$-shift operator is $\theta(f(x))=f(q x)$. It is worth pointing out that $\theta$ does not commute with $\partial_{q}$, indeed, the relation $\left(\partial_{q} \theta^{k}(f)\right)=q^{k} \theta^{k}\left(\partial_{q} f\right), k \in \mathbb{Z}$ holds. The limit of $\partial_{q}(f(x))$ as $q$ approaches 1 is the ordinary differentiation $\partial_{x}(f(x))$. We denote the formal inverse of $\partial_{q}$ as $\partial_{q}^{-1}$. The following $q$-deformed Leibnitz rule holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{q}^{n} \circ f=\sum_{k \geq 0}\binom{n}{k}_{q} \theta^{n-k}\left(\partial_{q}^{k} f\right) \partial_{q}^{n-k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $q$-number $(n)_{q}=\frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}$ and the $q$-binomial is introduced as

$$
\binom{n}{0}_{q}=1, \quad\binom{n}{k}_{q}=\frac{(n)_{q}(n-1)_{q} \cdots(n-k+1)_{q}}{(1)_{q}(2)_{q} \cdots(k)_{q}}
$$

Let $(n)_{q}!=(n)_{q}(n-1)_{q}(n-2)_{q} \cdots(1)_{q}$, the $q$-exponent $e_{q}(x)$ is defined by

$$
e_{q}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{(n)_{q}!}=\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q)^{k}}{k\left(1-q^{k}\right)} x^{k}\right) .
$$

Similar to the general way of describing the classical KP hierarchy [14, 19], we will give a brief introduction of $q-K P$ hierarchy and its additional symmetries based on [10, 11].

The Lax operator $L$ of $q$-KP hierarchy is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\partial_{q}+u_{0}+u_{-1} \partial_{q}^{-1}+u_{-2} \partial_{q}^{-2}+\cdots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{i}=u_{i}\left(x, t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \cdots,\right), i=0,-1,-2,-3, \cdots$. The corresponding Lax equation of the $q$-KP hierarchy is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{n}}=\left[B_{n}, L\right], \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

here the differential part $B_{n}=\left(L^{n}\right)_{+}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_{i} \partial_{q}^{i}$ and the integral part $L_{-}^{n}=L^{n}-L_{+}^{n} . L$ in eq.(3) can be generated by dressing operator $S=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_{k} \partial_{q}^{-k}$ in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=S \partial_{q} S^{-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dressing operator $S$ satisfies Sato equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t_{n}}=-\left(L^{n}\right)_{-} S, \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-wave function $w_{q}(x, t ; z)$ and the $q$-adjoint function $w_{q}^{*}(x, t ; z)$ of $q$-KP hierarchy are given by

$$
w_{q}(x, t ; z)=S e_{q}(x z) \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{i} z^{i}\right), \quad w_{q}^{*}(x, t ; z)=\left.\left(S^{*}\right)^{-1}\right|_{x / q} e_{1 / q}(-x z) \exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{i} z^{i}\right),
$$

which satisfies following linear $q$-differential equations

$$
L w_{q}=z w_{q},\left.\quad L^{*}\right|_{x / q} w_{q}^{*}=z w_{q}^{*},
$$

here the notation $\left.P\right|_{x / t}=\sum_{i} P_{i}(x / t) t^{i} \partial_{q}^{i}$ is used for a $q$-pseudo-differential operator of the form $P=\sum_{i} p_{i}(x) \partial_{q}^{i}$, and the conjugate operation " $*$ " for $P$ is defined by $P^{*}=$ $\sum_{i}\left(\partial_{q}^{*}\right)^{i} p_{i}(x)$ with $\partial_{q}^{*}=-\partial_{q} \theta^{-1}=-\frac{1}{q} \partial_{\frac{1}{q}},\left(\partial_{q}^{-1}\right)^{*}=\left(\partial_{q}^{*}\right)^{-1}=-\theta \partial_{q}^{-1},(P Q)^{*}=Q^{*} P^{*}$ for any two $q$-PDOs.

Furthermore, $w_{q}(x, t ; z)$ and $w_{q}^{*}(x, t ; z)$ of $q$-KP hierarchy can be expressed by sole function $\tau_{q}(x ; t)$ [10] as

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{q}=\frac{\tau_{q}\left(x ; t-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\tau_{q}(x ; t)} e_{q}(x z) e^{\xi(t, z)}=\frac{e_{q}(x z) e^{\xi(t, z)} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{-i}}{i} \partial_{i}} \tau_{q}}{\tau_{q}},  \tag{7}\\
w_{q}^{*}=\frac{\tau_{q}\left(x ; t+\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\tau_{q}(x ; t)} e_{1 / q}(-x z) e^{-\xi(t, z)}=\frac{e_{1 / q}(-x z) e^{-\xi(t, z)} e^{+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{-i}}{i} \partial_{i}} \tau_{q}}{\tau_{q}},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\xi(t, z)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{i} z^{i}$ and $[z]=\left(z, \frac{z^{2}}{2}, \frac{z^{3}}{3}, \ldots\right)$. The operator $G(z)$ is introduced as $G(z) f(t)=f\left(t-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{q}=\frac{G(z) \tau_{q}}{\tau_{q}} e_{q}(x z) e^{\xi(t, z)} \equiv \hat{w}_{q} e_{q}(x z) e^{\xi(t, z)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following Lemma shows there exist an essential correspondence between $q$-KP hierarchy and KP hierarchy.
Lemma 1. 10] Let $L_{1}=\partial+u_{-1} \partial^{-1}+u_{-2} \partial^{-2}+\cdots$, where $\partial=\partial / \partial x$, be a solution of the classical KP hierarchy and $\tau$ be its tau function. Then $\tau_{q}(x, t)=\tau\left(t+[x]_{q}\right)$ is a tau function of the $q-\mathrm{KP}$ hierarchy associated with Lax operator $L$ in eq.(3), where

$$
[x]_{q}=\left(x, \frac{(1-q)^{2}}{2\left(1-q^{2}\right)} x^{2}, \frac{(1-q)^{3}}{3\left(1-q^{3}\right)} x^{3}, \cdots, \frac{(1-q)^{i}}{i\left(1-q^{i}\right)} x^{i}, \cdots\right) .
$$

Define $\Gamma_{q}$ and Orlov-Shulman's $M$ operator [11] for $q$-KP hierarchy as $M=S \Gamma_{q} S^{-1}$ and $\Gamma_{q}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(i t_{i}+\frac{(1-q)^{i}}{\left(1-q^{i}\right)} x^{i}\right) \partial_{q}^{i-1}$. The the additional flows for each pair $\{m, n\}$ are
difined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t_{m, n}^{*}}=-\left(M^{m} L^{n}\right)_{-} S \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{m, n}^{*}}=-\left[\left(M^{m} L^{n}\right)_{-}, L\right], \quad \frac{\partial M}{\partial t_{m, n}^{*}}=-\left[\left(M^{m} L^{n}\right)_{-}, M\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The additional flows $\partial_{m n}^{*}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{m, n}^{*}}$ commute with the hierarchy $\partial_{k}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k}}$, i.e. $\left[\partial_{m n}^{*}, \partial_{k}\right]=0$ but do not commute with each other, so they are additional symmetries [12]. $\left(M^{m} L^{n}\right)_{-}$ serves as the generator of the additional symmetries along the trajectory parametrized by $t_{m, n}^{*}$.
Theorem 1. 13] If an operator $L$ does not depend on the parameters $t_{n}$ and the additional variables $t_{1,-n+1}^{*}$, then $L^{n}$ is a purely differential operator, and the string equations of the $q$-KP hierarchy are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L^{n}, \frac{1}{n}\left(M L^{-n+1}\right)_{+}\right]=1, n=2,3,4, \cdots \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## VIRASORO AND W-CONSTRAINTS FOR THE $q$-KP HIERARCHY

In this section, we mainly study the Virasoro constraints and W-constraints on $\tau$-function of the $p$-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy. To this end, two useful vertex operators $X_{q}(\mu, \lambda)$ and $Y_{q}(\mu, \lambda)$ would be introduced.

The vertex operator $X_{q}(\mu, \lambda)$ is defined in [11] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{q}(\mu, \lambda)=e_{q}(x \mu) e_{q}^{-1}(x \lambda) \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{i}\left(\mu^{i}-\lambda^{i}\right)\right) \exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{-i}-\lambda^{-i}}{i} \partial_{i}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also denote the vertex operator $X_{q}(\mu, \lambda)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{q}(\mu, \lambda)=: \exp (\alpha(\lambda)-\alpha(\mu)): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol :: means that we keep $t_{i}$ be always left side of $\partial_{j}$, and $\alpha(\lambda)=$ $\sum \alpha_{n} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{n}$, here $\alpha_{0}=0, \alpha_{n}=\partial_{n}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{n}}$ for $n>o, \alpha_{n}=|n| t_{|n|}+\frac{(1-q)^{|n|}}{1-q^{|n|}} x^{|n|}$ for $n<o$.

The following lemma is given without proof.
Lemma 2. Taylor expansion of the $X_{q}(\mu, \lambda)$ on $\mu$ at the point of $\lambda$ is

$$
X_{q}(\mu, \lambda)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu-\lambda)^{m}}{m!} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{-m-n} W_{n}^{(m)},
$$

here $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{-m-n} W_{n}^{(m)}=\left.\partial_{\mu}^{m} X_{q}(\mu, \lambda)\right|_{\mu=\lambda}$.

The first items of $W_{n}^{(m)}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n}^{(o)}=\delta_{n, 0} \\
& W_{n}^{(1)}=\alpha_{n}, \\
& W_{n}^{(2)}=(-n-1) \alpha_{n}+\sum_{i+j=n}: \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}: \\
& W_{n}^{(3)}=(n+1)(n+1) \alpha_{n}+\sum_{i+j+k=n}: \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}:-\frac{3}{2}(n+2) \sum_{i+j=n}: \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}:
\end{aligned}
$$

There is Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke (ASvM) formula [11] for $q$-KP hierarchy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{q}(\mu, \lambda) w_{q}(x, t ; z)=(\lambda-\mu) Y_{q}(\mu, \lambda) w_{q}(x, t ; z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $Y_{q}(\mu, \lambda)$ is the generators of additional symmetry of $q$-KP hierarchy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{q}(\mu, \lambda)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu-\lambda)^{m}}{m!} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{-m-n-1}\left(M^{m} L^{m+n}\right)_{-} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

ASvM formula is equivalent to the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{m, n+m}^{*} \tau_{q}=\frac{W_{n}^{(m+1)}\left(\tau_{q}\right)}{m+1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem holds by virtue of the ASvM formula.

## Theorem 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{W_{n}^{(m+1)}}{m+1}-c\right) \tau_{q}=0, m=0,1,2,3 \cdots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the condition $\partial_{m, n+m}^{*} \hat{w}_{q}=0$, from eq.(8), and denote $\tilde{\tau}_{q}=G(z) \tau_{q}$,

$$
\partial_{m, n+m}^{*} \hat{w}_{q}=\partial_{m, n+m}^{*} \frac{\tilde{\tau}_{q}}{\tau_{q}}=\frac{\tilde{\tau}_{q}}{\tau_{q}}\left(\frac{\partial_{m, n+m}^{*} \tilde{\tau}_{q}}{\tilde{\tau}_{q}}-\frac{\partial_{m, n+m}^{*} \tau_{q}}{\tau_{q}}\right)=\hat{w}_{q}(G(z)-1) \frac{\partial_{m, n+m}^{*} \tau_{q}}{\tau_{q}}=0
$$

The operator $G(z)$ has the property, which is $(G(z)-1) f(t)=0$ implies $f(t)$ is a constant, from this we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial_{m, n+m}^{*} \tau_{q}}{\tau_{q}}=c \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c is constant. Combining eq.(16) with eq.(18) finishes the proof.
Now we consider the $p$-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy, by setting $\left(L^{p}\right)_{-}=0$, i.e. $L^{p}=$ $\left(L^{p}\right)_{+}$. From Lax equation of $q$-KP hierarchy, the $p$-reduced condition means that $L$ is independent on $t_{j p}$ as $\partial_{j p} L=0, j=1,2,3, \cdots$ and $\tau_{q}$ is independent on $t_{j p}$ as $\partial_{j p} \tau_{q}=0, j=1,2,3, \cdots$.

Based on theorem 2, the Virasoro constraints and W-constraints for the p-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy will be obtained. Let $n=k p$ in theorem 2 and denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{t}_{i}=t_{i}+\frac{(1-q)^{i}}{i\left(1-q^{i}\right)} x^{i}, i=1,2,3, \cdots . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all, for $m=0$, eq.(17) in theorem 2 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W_{k p}^{(1)}-c\right) \tau_{q}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c=0$, we have that $\alpha_{k p} \tau_{q}=\frac{\partial \tau_{q}}{\partial t_{k p}}=0$, it is just the condition $L^{p}=\left(L^{p}\right)_{+}$for $p$-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy.

For $m=1$, it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{W_{k p}^{(2)}}{2}-c\right) \tau_{q}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3. The Virasoro constraints imposed on the tau function $\tau_{q}$ of the $p$-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy are

$$
L_{k} \tau_{q}=0, \quad k=-1,0,1,2,3, \cdots,
$$

here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{-1}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{n=p+1 \\
n \neq 0(\bmod p)}}^{\infty} n \tilde{t}_{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_{n-p}}+\frac{1}{2 p} \sum_{i+j=p} i j \tilde{f}_{i} \tilde{t}_{j}, \\
& L_{0}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\
n \neq 0(\bmod p)}}^{\infty} n \tilde{t}_{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_{n}}+\left(\frac{p}{24}-\frac{1}{24 p}\right), \\
& L_{k}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\
n \neq 0(\bmod p)}}^{\infty} n \tilde{t}_{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_{n+k p}}+\frac{1}{2 p} \sum_{\substack{i+j=k p \\
i, j \neq 0(\bmod p)}} i j \tilde{t}_{i} \tilde{t}_{j}, \quad k \geq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $L_{n}$ satisfy Virasoro algebra commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{n}, L_{m}\right]=(n-m) L_{(n+m)}, m, n=-1,0,1,2,3, \cdots \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Following the results in eq.(20) and eq.(21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{W_{k p}^{(2)}}{2}-c\right) \tau_{q}=\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k p}: \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}:-c\right) \tau_{q}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $L_{k}=\frac{W_{k p}^{(2)}}{p}$, let $c=\frac{p}{24}-\frac{1}{24 p}$ in $L_{0}$, otherwise $c=0$. The $p$-reduced condition $n \neq 0(\bmod p)$ can be naturally added without destroying the algebra structure, because of $\tilde{t}_{m p}$ is presented together with $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_{m p+k p}}$.

By a straightforward and tedious calculation, the Virasoro commutation relations

$$
\left[L_{n}, L_{m}\right]=(n-m) L_{(n+m)}, m, n=-1,0,1,2,3, \cdots
$$

can be verified.
For $m=2$, it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{W_{k p}^{(3)}}{3}-c\right) \tau_{q}=\left(\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i+j+h=k p}: \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \alpha_{h}:-c\right) \tau_{q}=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4. Let

$$
w_{m}=\sum_{\substack{i+j+h=m p \\ i, j, h \neq 0(\bmod p)}}: \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \alpha_{h}:, m \geq-2,
$$

the W-constraints on the tau function $\tau_{q}$ of the $p$-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy are

$$
w_{m} \tau_{q}=0, m \geq-2
$$

and they satisfy following algebra commutation relations

$$
\left[L_{n}, w_{m}\right]=(2 n-m) w_{n+m}, n \geq-1, m \geq-2
$$

For $m \geq 3$, using the similar technique in theorem 3 and 4, we can deduce the higher order algebraic constrains on the tau function $\tau_{q}$ of the $p$-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy.
Remark 1. As we know, the $q$-deformed KP hierarchy reduces to the classical KP hierarchy when $q \rightarrow 1$ and $u_{0}=0$. The parameters $\left(\tilde{t}_{1}, \tilde{t}_{2}, \cdots, \tilde{t}_{i}, \cdots\right)$ tend to $\left(t_{1}+\right.$ $\left.x, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{i}, \cdots\right)$ as $q \rightarrow 1$. One can further identify $t_{1}+x$ with $x$ in the classical KP hierarchy, i.e. $t_{1}+x \rightarrow x$. The deformation as $q$ goes to 1 of Virasoro constraints and W-constraints for the $p$-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy are identical with the results of the classical KP hierarchy given by L.A.Dickey [16] and S.Panda, S.Roy [18].

## CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

To summarize, we have derived the Virasoro constraints and W-constraints of the $p$ reduced $q$-KP hierarchy in theorem 3 and 4 respectively. The results of this paper show obviously that the Virasoro constraint generators $\left\{L_{n}, n \geq-1\right\}$ and W-constraints $\left\{w_{m}, m \geq-2\right\}$ for the $p$-reduced $q$-KP hierarchy are different with the form of the KP hierarchy. Furthermore, we also would like to point out the following interesting relation between the $q$-KP hierarchy and the KP hierarchy

$$
L_{n}=\left.\hat{L}_{n}\right|_{t_{i} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_{i}=t_{i}+\frac{(1-q)^{i}}{i\left(1-q^{i}\right)^{i}}}
$$

and it seems to demonstrate that $q$-deformation is a non-uniform transformation for coordinates $t_{i} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_{i}$, which is consistent with results on $\tau$ function [10] and the $q$-soliton [12] of the $q$-KP hierarchy. Here $\hat{L}_{n}$ [16, 18] are Virasoro generators of the KP hierarchy.
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