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#### Abstract

We analyze a generalization of the sine-Gordon equation in laboratory coordinates on the half-line. Using the Fokas transform method for the analysis of initialboundary value problems for integrable PDEs, we show that the solution $u(x, t)$ can be constructed from the initial and boundary values via the solution of a $2 \times 2$-matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem.
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## 1 Introduction

We consider the following integrable generalization of the sine-Gordon equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}-u_{t t}=\left(1+\nu\left(\partial_{x}+\partial_{t}\right)^{2}\right) \sin u(x, t), \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x, t)$ is a real-valued function and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter-note that (1.1) reduces to the sine-Gordon equation in laboratory coordinates when $\nu=0$. In terms of the 'light-cone' coordinates $(\xi, \eta)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\xi+\eta, \quad t=\xi-\eta, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (1.1) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\xi \eta}=\left(1+\nu \partial_{\xi}^{2}\right) \sin (u), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.3) was derived using bi-Hamiltonian methods in Fokas (1995). It is related to the sine-Gordon equation in the same way that the Camassa-Holm equation is related to the KdV equation.

We will in this paper assume that $\nu<0$ and for simplicity set $\nu=-1$. For this value of $\nu$, equation (1.3) appeared in Sakovich and Sakovich (2007), where it was shown to be related, via certain transformations, to an integrable equation which describes pseudospherical surfaces introduced in Rabelo $(1989)$. The inverse scattering transform (IST) formalism on the line for equation (1.3) with $\nu=-1$ was implemented in Lenells and Fokas (2009).

A method for the analysis of initial-boundary value (IBV) problems for nonlinear integrable PDEs was announced in Fokas (1997) and subsequently developed further by


Figure 1 The half-line domain $\Omega$ with respect to the laboratory and light-cone coordinates.
several authors, see Fokas (2008). Here, we use this method to study equation (1.1) in the half-line domain

$$
\Omega=\{(x, t) \mid 0 \leq x<\infty, 0 \leq t \leq T\}
$$

where $T \leq \infty$ is a given final time, see Figure 1. Given initial values at $x=0$ and boundary values at $t=0$ such that the corresponding IBV problem for 1.1 in the domain $\Omega$ has a solution $u(x, t)$, we show that $u(x, t)$ can be constructed via the solution of a $2 \times 2$-matrix Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problem. The main notable features as compared with other similar applications of the methodology of Fokas (1997) are: (1) The formulation of the RH problem suggested by Fokas 1997) depends, in addition to the variables $(x, t)$, on a function $p(x, t)$ which is unknown from the point of view of the inverse problem. In order to formulate a RH problem whose jump matrix involves only known quantities, we have to reparametrize the $x$ and $t$ variables. A similar situation occurs in the analysis of the half-line problem for the Camassa-Holm equation, where however only the $x$-variable has to be reparametrized, see Boutet de Monvel and Shepelsky (2008). (2) Only certain combinations of $u$ and its derivatives can be recovered from the RH problem. Therefore, in addition to solving the RH problem, the reconstruction of $u(x, t)$ involves finding the solution of a nonlinear ODE. Following the ideas of Lenells and Fokas (2009) we show that this ODE can be reduced to a Ricatti equation. (3) The adopted Lax pair for equation (1.1) has singularities at $\lambda=\infty$ and $\lambda=0$, where $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ denotes the spectral parameter. In order to define eigenfunctions which are bounded on the whole Riemann $\lambda$-sphere, we will use two different representations of the Lax pair. These representations are suitable for the definition of eigenfunctions which are bounded near $\lambda=\infty$ and $\lambda=0$, respectively.

The analogous problem for the sine-Gordon equation on the half-line (i.e. for the equation obtained from (1.1) by letting $\nu=0$ ) was investigated in Fokas (2004, 2008); Fokas and Its (1992). We emphasize that although there exists (when $\nu<0$ ) a Liouville type transformation relating equation $\sqrt{1.1}$ ) to the sine-Gordon equation (see Sakovich and Sakovich (2007); Lenells and Fokas (2009)), the half-line problems for these two equations are not equivalent, since the Liouville transformation transformation distorts the shape of the domain $\Omega$.

In section 2 we introduce a Lax pair for equation 1.1) and define bounded and analytic eigenfunctions which are suitable for the formulation of a RH problem. The jump matrix of this RH problem can be expressed in terms of certain spectral functions, which are introduced in section 3 . Finally, the main result is stated in section 4.

## 2 Bounded and analytic eigenfunctions

The Riemann-Hilbert formalism for integrating a nonlinear evolution equation is based on the construction of eigenfunctions of the associated Lax pair. These eigenfunctions are joined together to a bounded and sectionally analytic function on the Riemann sphere of the spectral parameter $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$. A Lax pair suitable for the construction of eigenfunctions which are bounded near $\lambda=\infty$ was derived in Lenells and Fokas (2009). For the problem on the line, this Lax pair representation alone was sufficient for the formulation of a RH problem, since the eigenfunctions can be constructed using only the $x$-part of the Lax pair. For the problem on the half-line, the construction of eigenfunctions involves also the $t$-part of the Lax pair, which has a singularity at $\lambda=0$. We will therefore introduce another representation of the Lax pair suitable for the construction of eigenfunctions which are bounded near $\lambda=0$. Then, according to the methodology of Fokas (1997), we will define solutions of these Lax pair representations by integration from three different corners of the spatial domain $\Omega$. The eigenfunctions which are bounded near $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=\infty$ will be denoted by $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{3}$ and $\left\{\Phi_{j}\right\}_{1}^{3}$, respectively. Together the $\mu_{j}$ 's and the $\Phi_{j}$ 's can be used to formulate a $2 \times 2$-matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem.

### 2.1 Lax pair representations

Let

$$
\sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and define $m(x, t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(x, t)=1+\left(u_{x}(x, t)+u_{t}(x, t)\right)^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the change of variables (1.2) to the Lax pair of equation (1.3) derived in Lenells and Fokas (2009), we find the following Lax pair for equation 1.1):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x}+i\left(\lambda p_{x}-\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) \sigma_{3} \phi=W_{+} \phi,  \tag{2.2}\\
\phi_{t}+i\left(\lambda p_{t}+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) \sigma_{3} \phi=W_{-} \phi
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\phi(x, t, \lambda)$ is a $2 \times 2$-matrix valued eigenfunction, $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ is a spectral parameter, the functions $W_{ \pm}(x, t, \lambda)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{ \pm}= & \mp \frac{i}{8 \lambda} \sigma_{3} \pm i \frac{\cos (u)-\left(u_{t}+u_{x}\right) \sin (u)}{8 \sqrt{m} \lambda} \sigma_{3} \pm i \frac{\left(u_{t}+u_{x}\right) \cos (u)+\sin (u)}{8 \sqrt{m} \lambda} \sigma_{2}  \tag{2.3}\\
& +\frac{i(1+\cos u)\left(m\left(u_{t}+u_{x}\right)(1-\cos u)-m \sin (u)+2 u_{x x}+2 u_{x t}\right)}{4(1 \pm \cos u) m} \sigma_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and $p(x, t)$ is a real-valued function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{x}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\cos u) \sqrt{m}, \quad p_{t}=\frac{1}{2}(1+\cos u) \sqrt{m} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations in 2.4 are compatible since equation 1.1 admits the conservation law

$$
\begin{equation*}
((1-\cos u) \sqrt{m})_{t}=((1+\cos u) \sqrt{m})_{x} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $p(x, t)$ so that $p(0,0)=0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{(0,0)}^{(x, t)}\left[(1-\cos u) \sqrt{m} d x^{\prime}+(1+\cos u) \sqrt{m} d t^{\prime}\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the function $W_{-}$does not have singularities at points where $\cos u=1$ vanishes despite the form of the denominator of $\sqrt[2.3)]{ }$. Indeed, using equation 1.1$)$, the last term on the right-hand of 2.3 can be rewritten as

$$
i(1 \mp \cos u) \frac{m\left(u_{t}+u_{x}\right)+u_{t t}+2 u_{t x}+u_{x x}}{4 m} \sigma_{1}
$$

and this expression is manifestly nonsingular.
The functions $W_{ \pm}$have the following properties:

- $W_{ \pm}(x, t, \lambda) \rightarrow 0, \quad x \rightarrow \infty$,
- $W_{ \pm}(x, t, \lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}O(1 / \lambda) & O(1) \\ O(1) & O(1 / \lambda)\end{array}\right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty$,
- $\operatorname{tr}\left(W_{ \pm}(x, t, \lambda)\right)=0$,
- $W_{ \pm}^{\dagger}(x, t, \bar{\lambda})=-W_{ \pm}(x, t, \lambda)$,
where $A^{\dagger}$ denotes the complex-conjugate transpose of a matrix $A$. The last two of these properties ensure that the eigenfunction $\phi(x, t, \lambda)$ can be normalized so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\phi(x, t, \lambda))=1, \quad \phi^{\dagger}(x, t, \bar{\lambda})=\phi^{-1}(x, t, \lambda) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lax pair 2.2 is convenient for the definition of eigenfunctions which are bounded near $\lambda=\infty$. In order to define eigenfunctions which are bounded near $\lambda=0$, we transform the Lax pair as follows. The gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, t, \lambda)=g(x, t) \psi(x, t, \lambda) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
g(x, t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\left(u_{x}+u_{t}\right)} & \sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}}\left[\left((\sqrt{m}-1) \cos (u / 2)+\left(u_{x}+u_{t}\right) \sin (u / 2)\right) I\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
& \left.+i\left(-\left(u_{x}+u_{t}\right) \cos (u / 2)+(\sqrt{m}-1) \sin (u / 2)\right) \sigma_{1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

transforms 2.2 into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi_{x}+\frac{i}{8 \lambda} \sigma_{3} \psi=V_{1} \psi  \tag{2.10}\\
\psi_{t}-i\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) \sigma_{3} \psi=V_{2} \psi
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $V_{j}=V_{j}(x, t, \lambda), j=1,2$, are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{1}= & \sin ^{2}(u / 2)\left(\cos (u)-\left(u_{t}+u_{x}\right) \sin u\right) \lambda \sigma_{3} \\
& -i \sin ^{2}(u / 2)\left(\left(u_{t}+u_{x}\right) \cos (u)+\sin u\right) \lambda \sigma_{2} \\
& -\frac{i}{4}\left(\sin (u)-u_{t}(1-\cos u)+u_{x}(1+\cos u)\right) \sigma_{1}  \tag{2.11}\\
V_{2}= & \frac{i}{4}\left(-3+2 \cos (u)+\cos (2 u)-\left(u_{t}+u_{x}\right)(2 \sin (u)+\sin (2 u))\right) \lambda \sigma_{3} \\
& -i \cos ^{2}(u / 2)\left(\left(u_{t}+u_{x}\right) \cos (u)+\sin u\right) \lambda \sigma_{2} \\
& +\frac{i}{4}\left(\sin (u)-u_{t}(1-\cos u)+u_{x}(1+\cos u)\right) \sigma_{1}
\end{align*}
$$
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Figure 2 The contours of integration for the solutions $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$, and $\mu_{3}$ of (2.15).

The form 2.9 of $g$ is motivated by the fact that it diagonalizes the terms of highest order as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ of the Lax pair (2.2) and that it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(g(x, t))=1, \quad g^{\dagger}(x, t)=g^{-1}(x, t) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relations 2.12 ensure that the gauge transformation 2.8 preserves the properties in 2.7), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\psi(x, t, \lambda))=1, \quad \psi^{\dagger}(x, t, \bar{\lambda})=\psi^{-1}(x, t, \lambda) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $g(x, t)$ is nonsingular as $u_{x}+u_{t} \rightarrow 0$ despite the form of the right-hand side of 2.9. In fact,

$$
g(x, t) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sin u / 2 & -i \cos u / 2 \\
-i \cos u / 2 & \sin u / 2
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad u_{x}+u_{t} \rightarrow 0
$$

The functions $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ have the following properties:

- $V_{j}(x, t, \lambda) \rightarrow 0, \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \quad j=1,2$,
- $V_{j}(x, t, \lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}O(\lambda) & O(1) \\ O(1) & O(\lambda)\end{array}\right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0, \quad j=1,2$,
- $\operatorname{tr}\left(V_{j}(x, t, \lambda)\right)=0, \quad j=1,2$,
- $V_{j}^{\dagger}(x, t, \bar{\lambda})=-V_{j}(x, t, \lambda), \quad j=1,2$.


### 2.2 Eigenfunctions bounded near $\lambda=0$

In this subsection we define solutions of 2.10 which are well-behaved near $\lambda=0$. Introducing an eigenfunction $\mu$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\mu e^{-i\left(\frac{x}{8 \lambda}-\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) t\right) \sigma_{3}}, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that the Lax pair 2.10 becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu_{x}+\frac{i}{8 \lambda}\left[\sigma_{3}, \mu\right]=V_{1} \mu,  \tag{2.15}\\
\mu_{t}-i\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right)\left[\sigma_{3}, \mu\right]=V_{2} \mu .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This can be written in differential form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(e^{i\left(\frac{x}{8 \lambda}-\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) t\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} \mu\right)=W \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{3}$ acts on a $2 \times 2$ matrix $A$ by $\hat{\sigma}_{3} A=\left[\sigma_{3}, A\right]$, and the closed one-form $W(x, t, \lambda)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=e^{i\left(\frac{x}{8 \lambda}-\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) t\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}}\left(V_{1} d x+V_{2} d t\right) \mu \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3 The sets $\left\{D_{j}\right\}_{1}^{4}$ in the complex $\lambda$-plane.

We define three eigenfunctions $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{3}$ of 2.16 by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}(x, t, \lambda)=I+\int_{\left(x_{j}, t_{j}\right)}^{(x, t)} e^{-i\left(\frac{x}{8 \lambda}-\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) t\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} W\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, \lambda\right), \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)=(0, T),\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)=(0,0)$, and $\left(x_{3}, t_{3}\right)=(\infty, t)$. Since the one-form $W$ is exact, the integral on the right-hand side of 2.18 is independent of the path of integration. We choose the particular contours shown in Figure 2. This choice implies the following relations on the contours:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right) \rightarrow(x, t): x^{\prime}-x \leq 0, & t^{\prime}-t \geq 0, \\
\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right) \rightarrow(x, t): x^{\prime}-x \leq 0, & t^{\prime}-t \leq 0,  \tag{2.19}\\
\left(x_{3}, t_{3}\right) \rightarrow(x, t): x^{\prime}-x \geq 0, & t^{\prime}-t=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Letting

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1}=\left\{\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{8 \lambda}>0\right. \text { and } \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right)<0\right\}, \\
& D_{2}=\left\{\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{8 \lambda}>0\right. \text { and } \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right)>0\right\} \text {, }  \tag{2.20}\\
& D_{3}=\left\{\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{8 \lambda}<0\right. \text { and } \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right)<0\right\} \text {, } \\
& D_{4}=\left\{\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{8 \lambda}<0\right. \text { and } \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right)>0\right\} \text {, }
\end{align*}
$$

the second column vectors of $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}$ are analytic for $\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\lambda$ belongs to $D_{3}$, $D_{4}$, and $D_{1} \cup D_{2}$, respectively, see Figure 3. Moreover, away from $\lambda=\infty$ where the Lax pair is singular, they have continuous and bounded extensions to the closures of these sets. We will denote these vectors with the superscripts (3), (4), and (12) to indicate these boundedness properties. Similar conditions are valid for the first column vectors. We obtain

$$
\mu_{1}=\left(\mu_{1}^{(2)}, \mu_{1}^{(3)}\right), \quad \mu_{2}=\left(\mu_{2}^{(1)}, \mu_{2}^{(4)}\right), \quad \mu_{3}=\left(\mu_{3}^{(34)}, \mu_{3}^{(12)}\right)
$$

The $\mu_{j}$ 's satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\mu_{j}(x, t, \lambda)\right)=1, \quad \mu_{j}(x, t, \bar{\lambda})^{\dagger}=\mu_{j}(x, t, \lambda)^{-1}, \quad j=1,2,3 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mu_{1}^{(2)}(x, t, \lambda), \mu_{3}^{(12)}(x, t, \lambda)\right)=I+O(\lambda), & \lambda \rightarrow 0, & \lambda \in D_{2}  \tag{2.22}\\
\left(\mu_{3}^{(34)}(x, t, \lambda), \mu_{1}^{(3)}(x, t, \lambda)\right)=I+O(\lambda), & \lambda \rightarrow 0, & \lambda \in D_{3}
\end{array}
$$

The $\mu_{j}$ 's are suitable for the formulation of a RH problem except that they have singularities at $\lambda=\infty$. Our strategy is therefore to cut out a neighborhood of $\lambda=\infty$ and use the Lax pair 2.2 to define eigenfunctions which are bounded in this neighborhood.

### 2.3 Eigenfunctions bounded near $\lambda=\infty$

The form of the Lax pair $(2.2)$ is convenient for the definition of eigenfunctions which are well-behaved near $\lambda=\infty$. Introducing an eigenfunction $\Phi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\Phi e^{-i\left(\lambda p+\frac{t-x}{8 \lambda}\right) \sigma_{3}} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that the Lax pair 2.2 becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Phi_{x}+i\left(\lambda p_{x}-\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right)\left[\sigma_{3}, \Phi\right]=W_{+} \Phi  \tag{2.24}\\
\Phi_{t}+i\left(\lambda p_{t}+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right)\left[\sigma_{3}, \Phi\right]=W_{-} \Phi
\end{array}\right.
$$

This can be written in differential form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(e^{i\left(\lambda p+\frac{t-x}{8 \lambda}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} \Phi\right)=W_{\infty} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the closed one-form $W_{\infty}(x, t, \lambda)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\infty}=e^{i\left(\lambda p+\frac{t-x}{8 \lambda}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}}\left(W_{+} d x+W_{-} d t\right) \Phi \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define two eigenfunctions $\Phi_{2}$ and $\Phi_{3}$ of 2.25 by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{j}(x, t, \lambda)=I+\int_{\left(x_{j}, t_{j}\right)}^{(x, t)} e^{-i\left(\lambda p(x, t)+\frac{t-x}{8 \lambda}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} W_{\infty}\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, \lambda\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)=(0,0)$ and $\left(x_{3}, t_{3}\right)=(\infty, t)$. The functions $\Phi_{2}$ and $\Phi_{3}$ are the analogs of $\mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{3}$ defined in 2.18; the analog of $\mu_{1}$ is not needed since we only consider a neighborhood of $\lambda=\infty$. Choosing the integration contours in Figure 2, the integral equations 2.27 defining $\Phi_{2}$ and $\Phi_{3}$ become

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{2}(x, t, \lambda)= & I+\int_{0}^{t} e^{i\left(\lambda\left(p\left(0, t^{\prime}\right)-p(0, t)\right)+\frac{t^{\prime}-t}{8 \lambda}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}}\left(V_{2} \Phi_{2}\right)\left(0, t^{\prime}, \lambda\right) d t^{\prime} \\
& +\int_{0}^{x} e^{i\left(\lambda\left(p\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)-p(x, t)\right)-\frac{x^{\prime}-x}{8 \lambda}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}}\left(V_{1} \Phi_{2}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, t, \lambda\right) d x^{\prime} \\
\Phi_{3}(x, t, \lambda)= & I-\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{i\left(\lambda\left(p\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)-p(x, t)\right)-\frac{x^{\prime}-x}{8 \lambda}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}}\left(V_{1} \Phi_{3}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, t, \lambda\right) d x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second column of the integral equation for $\Phi_{2}$ involves the exponentials

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 i\left(\lambda\left(p\left(0, t^{\prime}\right)-p(0, t)\right)+\frac{t^{\prime}-t}{8 \lambda}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad e^{2 i\left(\lambda\left(p\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)-p(x, t)\right)-\frac{x^{\prime}-x}{8 \lambda}\right)} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $p(\cdot, t)$ and $p(x, \cdot)$ are nondecreasing. Define $R>0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}=\sup _{0 \leq t, t^{\prime} \leq T} \frac{t^{\prime}-t}{4 \int_{t}^{t^{\prime}}(1+\cos (u(0, \tau)) \sqrt{m(0, \tau)} d \tau} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sets $D_{5}$ and $D_{6}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{5} & =\{\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \mid \operatorname{Im} \lambda>0 \text { and }|\lambda|>R\},  \tag{2.30}\\
D_{6} & =\{\lambda \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \mid \operatorname{Im} \lambda<0 \text { and }|\lambda|>R\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We will henceforth assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos (u(0, t)) \neq-1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $R$ is finite. The relations (2.19) together with the definition of $R$ implies the following inequalities on the contour $\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right) \rightarrow(x, t)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda\left(p\left(0, t^{\prime}\right)-p(0, t)\right)+\frac{t^{\prime}-t}{8 \lambda}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { if } \quad|\lambda|>R, \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda \leq 0 \\
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda\left(p\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)-p(x, t)\right)-\frac{x^{\prime}-x}{8 \lambda}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { if } \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\left[\Phi_{2}\right]_{2}$ is bounded and analytic for $\lambda \in D_{6}$. Similar considerations apply to the other column vector and show that $\Phi_{2}$ and $\Phi_{3}$ have the boundedness properties

$$
\Phi_{2}=\left(\Phi_{2}^{(5)}, \Phi_{2}^{(6)}\right), \quad \Phi_{3}=\left(\Phi_{3}^{(6)}, \Phi_{3}^{(5)}\right)
$$

The functions $\Phi_{2}$ and $\Phi_{3}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{j}(x, t, \lambda)\right)=1, \quad \Phi_{j}(x, t, \bar{\lambda})^{\dagger}=\Phi_{j}(x, t, \lambda)^{-1}, \quad j=2,3 \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\Phi_{2}^{(5)}(x, t, \lambda), \Phi_{3}^{(5)}(x, t, \lambda)\right)=I+O(1 / \lambda), & \lambda \rightarrow \infty, & \lambda \in D_{5}  \tag{2.33}\\
\left(\Phi_{3}^{(6)}(x, t, \lambda), \Phi_{2}^{(6)}(x, t, \lambda)\right)=I+O(1 / \lambda), & \lambda \rightarrow \infty, & \lambda \in D_{6}
\end{array}
$$

## 3 Spectral functions

We define two $2 \times 2$-matrix valued spectral functions $s(\lambda)$ and $S(\lambda)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{3}(x, t, \lambda)=\mu_{2}(x, t, \lambda) e^{-i\left(\frac{x}{8 \lambda}-\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) t\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} s(\lambda)  \tag{3.1a}\\
& \mu_{1}(x, t, \lambda)=\mu_{2}(x, t, \lambda) e^{-i\left(\frac{x}{8 \lambda}-\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) t\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} S(\lambda) \tag{3.1b}
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluation of (3.1) at $(x, t)=(0,0)$ and $(x, t)=(0, T)$ gives the following expressions for $s(\lambda)$ and $S(\lambda)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(\lambda)=\mu_{3}(0,0, \lambda), \quad S(\lambda)=\mu_{1}(0,0, \lambda)=\left(e^{-i\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) T \hat{\sigma}_{3}} \mu_{2}(0, T, \lambda)\right)^{-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\mu_{3}(x, 0, \lambda)$ is defined by the integral equation obtained by setting $t=0$ in (2.18). This integral equation involves the function $V_{1}(x, 0, \lambda)$ defined in 2.11). Thus $s(\lambda)$ is defined in terms of the initial data $u(x, 0)$ and $u_{t}(x, 0)$ alone. Similarly, $\mu_{1}(0, t, \lambda)$ is defined by the integral equation obtained by setting $x=0$ in 2.18 which involves $V_{2}(0, t, \lambda)$. Thus $S(\lambda)$ is defined in terms of the boundary data $u(0, t)$ and $u_{x}(0, t)$ alone.

We infer from (2.21) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} s(\lambda)=1, \quad \operatorname{det} S(\lambda)=1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that there exist functions $a(\lambda), b(\lambda), A(\lambda)$, and $B(\lambda)$ such that

$$
s(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\overline{a(\bar{\lambda})} & b(\lambda) \\
-\overline{b(\bar{\lambda})} & a(\lambda)
\end{array}\right), \quad S(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\overline{A(\bar{\lambda})} & B(\lambda) \\
-\overline{B(\bar{\lambda})} & A(\lambda)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Defining the sets $D_{1}^{\prime}$ and $D_{4}^{\prime}$ by (see Figure 5 )

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}^{\prime}=D_{1} \backslash \bar{D}_{6}, \quad D_{4}^{\prime}=D_{4} \backslash \bar{D}_{5} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $D_{1}^{\prime}$ and $D_{4}^{\prime}$ denote the sets $D_{1}$ and $D_{4}$ with a neighborhood of $\lambda=\infty$ removed, we can state the following result.

Proposition 3.1 The spectral functions $a(\lambda)$ and $b(\lambda)$ have the following properties:
(i) $a(\lambda)$ and $b(\lambda)$ are analytic in $D_{1} \cup D_{2}$ with continuous and bounded extensions to $\lambda \in \bar{D}_{1}^{\prime} \cup \bar{D}_{2}^{\prime}$.
(ii) $a(\lambda)=1+O(\lambda), \quad b(\lambda)=O(\lambda), \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0, \quad \lambda \in D_{1} \cup D_{2}$.
(iii) $|a(\lambda)|^{2}+|b(\lambda)|^{2}=1, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

The spectral functions $A(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ have the following properties:
(i) $A(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ are analytic in $D_{1} \cup D_{3}$ with continuous and bounded extensions to $\lambda \in \bar{D}_{1}^{\prime} \cup \bar{D}_{3}$. If $T<\infty$, then $A(\lambda)$ and $B(\lambda)$ are defined and analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{\infty\}$.
(ii) $A(\lambda)=1+O(\lambda), \quad B(\lambda)=O(\lambda), \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0, \quad \lambda \in D_{1} \cup D_{3}$.
(iii) $A(\lambda) \overline{A(\bar{\lambda})}+B(\lambda) \overline{B(\bar{\lambda})}=1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cup\left\{|\lambda|^{2}=1 / 8\right\}$ (for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{\infty\}$ if $T<\infty$ ).

Proof. The properties denoted by (i) and (ii) follow from the discussion in subsection 2.2 and the observation that the definition of $\mu_{1}(0, t, \lambda)$ implies that this function has the following enlarged domain of boundedness:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}(0, t, \lambda)=\left(\mu_{1}^{(24)}(0, t, \lambda), \mu_{1}^{(13)}(0, t, \lambda)\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The properties denoted by (iii) follow from (3.3).
We will also need the spectral function $s_{\infty}(\lambda)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{3}(x, t, \lambda)=\Phi_{2}(x, t, \lambda) e^{-i\left(\lambda p+\frac{t-x}{8 \lambda}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} s_{\infty}(\lambda) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluation of 3.6 at $(x, t)=(0,0)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\infty}(\lambda)=\Phi_{3}(0,0, \lambda) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just like $s(\lambda)$, the function $s_{\infty}(\lambda)$ is defined in terms of the initial data $u(x, 0)$ and $u_{t}(x, 0)$. Moreover, $s_{\infty}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} s_{\infty}(\lambda)=1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be written as

$$
s_{\infty}(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\overline{a_{\infty}(\bar{\lambda})} & b_{\infty}(\lambda) \\
-\overline{b_{\infty}(\bar{\lambda})} & a_{\infty}(\lambda)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

where $a_{\infty}(\lambda)$ and $b_{\infty}(\lambda)$ are scalar-valued functions.

Proposition 3.2 The spectral functions $a_{\infty}(\lambda)$ and $b_{\infty}(\lambda)$ have the following properties:
(i) $a_{\infty}(\lambda)$ and $b_{\infty}(\lambda)$ are analytic in $D_{5}$ with continuous and bounded extensions to $\lambda \in \bar{D}_{5}$.
(ii) $a_{\infty}(\lambda)=1+O(1 / \lambda), \quad b_{\infty}(\lambda)=O(1 / \lambda), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \quad \lambda \in D_{5}$.
(iii) $\left|a_{\infty}(\lambda)\right|^{2}+\left|b_{\infty}(\lambda)\right|^{2}=1, \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda=0, \quad \lambda \in \bar{D}_{5}$.

Proof. Properties (i) and (ii) follow from the discussion in subsection 2.3. Property (iii) follows from 3.8).

## 4 The Riemann-Hilbert problem

In this section we use the eigenfunctions $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{3}$ and $\left\{\Phi_{j}\right\}_{2}^{3}$ to formulate a RH problem for a $2 \times 2$-matrix valued function with jump contour shown in Figure 5 . We will first formulate a RH problem for a $2 \times 2$-matrix valued function $\tilde{M}(x, t, \lambda)$, whose form is suggested by the methodology of Fokas (1997). However, it turns out that the jump matrix for this RH problem depends on the function $p(x, t)$ which occurs in the Lax pair (2.24). The function $p(x, t)$ is unknown from the point of view of the inverse problem, and thus the solution is not yet effective. We will overcome this problem by introducing new variables $(y, \eta)$ and formulating a modified RH problem for a $2 \times 2$-matrix valued function $M(y, \eta, \lambda)$, whose jump condition is given explicitly in terms of $y, \eta$, and $\lambda$. The solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.1) can be recovered in parametric form as a function of $(y, \eta)$ from the asymptotics of $M(y, \eta, \lambda)$. A similar reparametrization of the RH problem occurs also in the analysis of other equations such as the Camassa-Holm equation and equation (1.3), although in those cases only one of the variables $(x, t)$ has to be reparametrized, cf. Boutet de Monvel and Shepelsky (2008); Lenells and Fokas (2009).

### 4.1 RH problem for $\tilde{M}(x, t, \lambda)$

We seek a bounded and sectionally analytic $2 \times 2$-matrix valued function $\tilde{M}(x, t, \lambda)$, which satisfies a jump condition of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{M}_{-}(x, t, \lambda)=\tilde{M}_{+}(x, t, \lambda) \tilde{J}(x, t, \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \bar{D}_{+} \cap \bar{D}_{-},  \tag{4.1}\\
& \tilde{M}= \begin{cases}\tilde{M}_{+}, & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{+} \\
\tilde{M}_{-}, & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{-}\end{cases} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{J}(x, t, \lambda)$ is a $2 \times 2$-matrix valued 'jump matrix' and

$$
D_{+}=D_{1}^{\prime} \cup D_{3} \cup D_{5}, \quad D_{-}=D_{2} \cup D_{4}^{\prime} \cup D_{6}
$$

Since the $\mu_{j}$ 's and the $\Phi_{j}$ 's are well-behaved near $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=\infty$, respectively, we define $\tilde{M}$ in terms of the $\mu_{j}$ 's in the regions $D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2}, D_{3}$, and $D_{4}^{\prime}$, and in terms of $\Phi_{2}$ and $\Phi_{3}$ in the regions $D_{5}$ and $D_{6}$. The methodology of Fokas (1997) suggests making the
following ansatz for $\tilde{M}$ :

$$
\tilde{M}(x, t, \lambda)= \begin{cases}g\left(\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{a(\lambda)}, \mu_{3}^{(12)}\right), & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{1}^{\prime},  \tag{4.3}\\ g\left(\frac{\mu_{1}^{(2)}}{d(\lambda)}, \mu_{3}^{(12)}\right), & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{2}, \\ g\left(\mu_{3}^{(34)}, \frac{\mu_{1}^{(3)}}{d(\bar{\lambda})}\right), & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{3}, \\ g\left(\mu_{3}^{(34)}, \frac{\mu_{2}^{(4)}}{a(\lambda)}\right), & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{4}^{\prime}, \\ \left(\frac{\Phi_{2}^{(5)}}{a_{\infty}(\lambda)}, \Phi_{3}^{(5)}\right), & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{5} \\ \left(\Phi_{3}^{(6)}, \frac{\Phi_{2}^{(6)}}{a_{\infty}(\bar{\lambda})}\right), & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{6},\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\lambda)=a(\lambda) \overline{A(\bar{\lambda})}+b(\lambda) \overline{B(\bar{\lambda})}, \quad \Gamma(\lambda)=-\frac{\overline{B(\bar{\lambda})}}{a(\lambda) d(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in \bar{D}_{2} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The definition of $\tilde{M}$ in $D_{1}^{\prime} \cup D_{2} \cup D_{3} \cup D_{4}^{\prime}$, which involves the $\mu_{j}$ 's, includes the prefactor $g(x, t)$. This prefactor is suggested by the relationship (2.8) between eigenfunctions of the Lax pairs 2.15) and (2.24), and its inclusion implies that there exists a jump matrix $\tilde{J}$ such that $\tilde{M}_{+}$and $\tilde{M}_{-}$are related as in 4.1). We introduce the following notation:

$$
\tilde{J}(x, t, \lambda)= \begin{cases}\tilde{J}_{1} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \bar{D}_{2},  \tag{4.5}\\ \tilde{J}_{2}=\tilde{J}_{3} \tilde{J}_{4}^{-1} \tilde{J}_{1} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{2} \cap \bar{D}_{3}, \\ \tilde{J}_{3} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{3} \cap \bar{D}_{4}^{\prime}, \\ \tilde{J}_{4} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{4}^{\prime} \cap \bar{D}_{1}^{\prime}, \\ \tilde{J}_{5} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{4}^{\prime} \cap \bar{D}_{5}, \\ \tilde{J}_{6} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{5} \cap \bar{D}_{6}, \\ \tilde{J}_{7}=\tilde{J}_{4} \tilde{J}_{5}^{-1} \tilde{J}_{6} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \bar{D}_{6} .\end{cases}
$$

The jump matrices $\left\{\tilde{J}_{n}\right\}_{1}^{7}$ can be determined from the various relations between the eigenfunctions. Indeed, algebraic manipulation of the equations (3.1) leads to expressions for the jump matrices $\left\{\tilde{J}_{n}\right\}_{1}^{4}$ in terms of the spectral functions $s(\lambda)$ and $S(\lambda)$. Similarly, algebraic manipulation of equation (3.6) leads to an expression for the jump matrix $\tilde{J}_{6}$ in terms of the spectral function $s_{\infty}(\lambda)$. To find an expression for the jump matrix $\tilde{J}_{5}$, we note that the relations (2.8), 2.14), and (2.23) imply that two solutions $\mu$ and $\Phi$ of (2.15) and 2.24, respectively, satisfy a relation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, t) \mu(x, t, \lambda)=\Phi(x, t, \lambda) e^{-i \theta_{\infty}(x, t, \lambda) \sigma_{3}} C(\lambda) e^{i \theta(x, t, \lambda) \sigma_{3}}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(\lambda)$ is a $2 \times 2$-matrix independent of $x, t$ and the functions $\theta(x, t, \lambda)$ and $\theta_{\infty}(x, t, \lambda)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(x, t, \lambda)=\frac{x}{8 \lambda}-\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{8 \lambda}\right) t ; \quad \theta_{\infty}(x, t, \lambda)=\lambda p(x, t)+\frac{t-x}{8 \lambda} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the particular case of $\mu=\mu_{3}$ and $\Phi=\Phi_{2}$, equation 4.6) holds with $C(\lambda)=g(0,0) s(\lambda)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, t) \mu_{3}(x, t, \lambda)=\Phi_{2}(x, t, \lambda) e^{-i \theta_{\infty}(x, t, \lambda) \sigma_{3}} g(0,0) s(\lambda) e^{i \theta(x, t, \lambda) \sigma_{3}} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.8) together with (3.1a) and (3.6) provide the required relations between the $\mu_{j}$ 's and the $\Phi_{j}$ 's needed for determining $J_{5}$. In summary, we arrive at the following


Figure 4 The image in the $(y, \eta)$-plane of the half-line domain $\Omega=\{0 \leq x<\infty, 0 \leq$ $t \leq T\}$ under the map (4.12).
expressions for the $\tilde{J}_{n}$ 's:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{J}_{1}=e^{-i \theta \hat{\sigma}_{3}} J_{1}^{0}, \quad \tilde{J}_{3}=e^{-i \theta \hat{\sigma}_{3}} J_{3}^{0}, \quad \tilde{J}_{4}=e^{-i \theta \hat{\sigma}_{3}} J_{4}^{0},  \tag{4.9}\\
& \tilde{J}_{5}=e^{-i \theta_{\infty} \sigma_{3}} J_{5}^{0} e^{i \theta \sigma_{3}}, \quad \tilde{J}_{6}=e^{-i \theta_{\infty} \hat{\sigma}_{3}} J_{6}^{0} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{1}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\Gamma(\lambda) & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad J_{4}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\frac{b(\lambda)}{a(\bar{\lambda})} \\
-\frac{\overline{b(\bar{\lambda})}}{a(\lambda)} & \frac{1}{a(\lambda) \overline{a(\bar{\lambda})}}
\end{array}\right), \quad J_{3}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \overline{\Gamma(\bar{\lambda})} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),  \tag{4.11}\\
& J_{5}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(s_{\infty}^{-1}(\lambda) g(0,0) s(\lambda)\right)_{11} & \frac{\left(s_{\infty}^{-1}(\lambda) g(0,0)\right)_{12}}{\overline{a(\bar{\lambda})}} \\
\frac{g(0,0) s(\lambda))_{21}}{a_{\infty}(\lambda)} & \frac{g(0,0) 22}{a_{\infty}(\lambda) \overline{a(\bar{\lambda})}}
\end{array}\right), \quad J_{6}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\frac{b_{\infty}(\lambda)}{a_{\infty}(\bar{\lambda})} \\
-\frac{b_{\infty}(\bar{\lambda})}{a_{\infty}(\lambda)} & \frac{1}{a_{\infty}(\lambda) \overline{a_{\infty}(\bar{\lambda})}}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.2 RH problem for $M(y, \eta, \lambda)$

In the previous subsection we formulated a RH problem for $\tilde{M}(x, t, \lambda)$ in the Riemann sphere of the spectral parameter $\lambda$. However, as noted above this RH problem does not provide the solution of our initial-boundary value problem. Indeed, the jump matrices $\left\{\tilde{J}_{n}\right\}_{5}^{7}$ involve $\theta_{\infty}$. The occurence of the function $p(x, t)$ in $\theta_{\infty}$ implies that the RH problem cannot be formulated in terms of the initial and boundary data alone. To overcome this problem we make two important changes in the formulation of the RH problem: (1) We modify the jump matrix by adding appropriate exponential factors to the definition 4.3). (2) We introduce new variables $(y, \eta)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, t) \mapsto(y, \eta), \quad y=p(x, t), \quad \eta=\frac{1}{2}(x-t) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(x, t)$ was defined in 2.6. The jump matrix of the modified RH problem is explicitly given in terms of $(y, \eta, \lambda)$ and can thus be formulated in terms of the initial and boundary data alone.

Define the $2 \times 2$-matrix valued function $M(y, \eta, \lambda)$ by

$$
M(y, \eta, \lambda)= \begin{cases}g\left(\frac{\mu_{2}^{(1)}}{a(\lambda)}, \mu_{3}^{(12)}\right) e^{i \lambda(y+t) \sigma_{3}}, & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{1}^{\prime},  \tag{4.13}\\ g\left(\frac{\mu_{2}^{(2)}}{d(\lambda)}, \mu_{3}^{(12)}\right) e^{i \lambda(y+t) \sigma_{3}}, & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{2}, \\ g\left(\mu_{3}^{(34)}, \frac{\mu_{1}^{(3)}}{d(\lambda)}\right) e^{i \lambda(y+t) \sigma_{3}}, & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{3}, \\ g\left(\mu_{3}^{(34)}, \frac{\mu_{2}^{(4)}}{a(\lambda)}\right) e^{i \lambda(y+t) \sigma_{3}}, & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{4}^{\prime}, \\ \left(\frac{\Phi_{2}^{(5)}}{a_{\infty}(\lambda)}, \Phi_{3}^{(5)}\right) e^{i \frac{\eta}{2 \lambda} \sigma_{3}}, & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{5}, \\ \left(\Phi_{3}^{(6)}, \frac{\Phi_{2}^{(6)}}{a_{\infty}(\bar{\lambda})}\right) e^{i \frac{\eta}{2 \lambda} \sigma_{3}}, & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{6},\end{cases}
$$

The map 4.12 is a bijection from $\Omega=\{0 \leq x<\infty, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ to a subset of the $(y, \eta)$-plane delimited by the image of $\partial \Omega$ under 4.12, see Figure 4. The image of $\partial \Omega$ consists of three pieces given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\left.(y, \eta)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{x}\left(1-\cos \left(u\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)\right)\right) \sqrt{m\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)} d x^{\prime}, x\right) \right\rvert\, x \geq 0\right\}  \tag{4.14}\\
& \left\{\left.(y, \eta)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\cos \left(u\left(0, t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \sqrt{m\left(0, t^{\prime}\right)} d t^{\prime},-t\right) \right\rvert\, 0 \leq t \leq T\right\},  \tag{4.15}\\
& \left\{\left.(y, \eta)=\frac{1}{2}\left(p(0, T)+\int_{0}^{x}\left(1-\cos \left(u\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)\right)\right) \sqrt{m\left(x^{\prime}, T\right)} d x^{\prime}, x\right) \right\rvert\, x \geq 0\right\} . \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, the map 4.12 is everywhere nonsingular:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2}(1-\cos u) \sqrt{m} & \frac{1}{2}(1+\cos u) \sqrt{m} \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right|=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{m}<0
$$

The expressions on the right-hand side of 4.13 should be understood as being evaluated at the point $(x, t)$ corresponding to $(y, \eta)$ under 4.12).

The form of the exponential factors $e^{i \lambda(y+t) \sigma_{3}}$ and $e^{i \frac{\eta}{2 \lambda} \sigma_{3}}$ on the right-hand side of (4.13) is motivated by the fact that these exponential factors are analytic near $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=\infty$, respectively, and by the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \lambda(y+t) \sigma_{3}} e^{i \theta \sigma_{3}}=e^{i\left(\lambda y+\frac{\eta}{4 \lambda}\right) \sigma_{3}}, \quad e^{i \frac{\eta}{2 \lambda} \sigma_{3}} e^{i \theta_{\infty} \sigma_{3}}=e^{i\left(\lambda y+\frac{\eta}{4 \lambda}\right) \sigma_{3}} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relations 4.17 imply that $M$ satisfies the jump conditon

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{-}(y, \eta, \lambda)=M_{+}(x, t, \lambda) J(y, \eta, \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \bar{D}_{+} \cap \bar{D}_{-}  \tag{4.18}\\
& M= \begin{cases}M_{+}, & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{+} \\
M_{-}, & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{-}\end{cases} \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where the jump matrix $J(y, \eta, \lambda)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{n}(y, \eta, \lambda)=e^{-i\left(\lambda y+\frac{\eta}{4 \lambda}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} J_{n}^{0}(\lambda), \quad n=1, \ldots, 7 ;  \tag{4.20}\\
& J= \begin{cases}J_{1} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \bar{D}_{2}, \\
J_{2}=J_{3} J_{4}^{-1} J_{1} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{2} \cap \bar{D}_{3}, \\
J_{3} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{3} \cap \bar{D}_{4}^{\prime}, \\
J_{4} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{4}^{\prime} \cap \bar{D}_{1}^{\prime}, \\
J_{5} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{4}^{\prime} \cap \bar{D}_{5}, \\
J_{6} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{5} \cap \bar{D}_{6}, \\
J_{7}=J_{4} J_{5}^{-1} J_{6} & \lambda \in \bar{D}_{1}^{\prime} \cap \bar{D}_{6} .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5 The contour for the Riemann-Hilbert problem in the complex $\lambda$-plane.

We can now prove the following theorem.
Theorem 4.1 Let $T \leq \infty$ and let $u_{0}(x), u_{1}(x), 0 \leq x \leq \infty$, and $g_{0}(t), g_{1}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$, be given functions. Suppose that there exists a solution $u(x, t)$ of equation (1.1) in the domain $\{0 \leq x<\infty, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, which satisfies the initial conditions

$$
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad 0 \leq x<\infty
$$

and the boundary conditions

$$
u(0, t)=g_{0}(t), \quad u_{x}(0, t)=g_{1}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
$$

Moreover, suppose that $\cos (u(x, t))-1$ has sufficient smoothness and decay as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\cos \left(g_{0}(t)\right) \neq-1$ for $0 \leq t \leq T{ }^{1}$ Then $u(x, t)$ can be reconstructed from the initial and boundary values $\left\{u_{0}(x), u_{1}(x), g_{0}(t), g_{1}(t)\right\}$ as follows.

Define the functions $m(x, 0)$ and $m(0, t)$ by

$$
m(x, 0)=1+\left(u_{0 x}(x)+u_{1}(x)\right)^{2}, \quad m(0, t)=1+\left(g_{1}(t)+g_{0 t}(t)\right)^{2}
$$

Define the functions $p(x, 0)$ and $p(0, t)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x, 0)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x}\left(1-\cos \left(u_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \sqrt{m\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)} d x^{\prime}, \quad 0 \leq x<\infty \\
& p(0, t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\cos \left(g_{0}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \sqrt{m\left(0, t^{\prime}\right)} d t^{\prime}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $\mu_{3}(x, 0, \lambda)$ and $\Phi_{3}(x, 0, \lambda)$ in terms of $u_{0}(x), u_{1}(x)$, and $p(x, 0)$ via the Volterra linear integral equations (2.18) and (2.27) evaluated at $t=0$. Define the spectral functions $a(\lambda), b(\lambda), a_{\infty}(\lambda), b_{\infty}(\lambda)$ by equations (3.2) and (3.7). Similarly, define $\mu_{1}(x, 0, \lambda)$ in terms of $g_{0}(t)$ and $g_{1}(t)$ via the Volterra linear integral equation (2.18) evaluated at $x=0$. Define the spectral functions $A(\lambda), B(\lambda)$ by equation (3.2).

Let $R>0$ be such that

$$
R>\sup _{0 \leq t, t^{\prime} \leq T}\left|\frac{t^{\prime}-t}{4 \int_{t}^{t^{\prime}}\left(1+\cos \left(g_{0}(\tau)\right) \sqrt{m(0, \tau)} d \tau\right.}\right|^{1 / 2},
$$

[^0]and define the sets $\left\{D_{n}\right\}_{1}^{6}$ by (2.20) and (2.30). Define $D_{1}^{\prime}$ and $D_{4}^{\prime}$ by (3.4). Let
$$
D_{+}=D_{1}^{\prime} \cup D_{3} \cup D_{5}, \quad D_{-}=D_{2} \cup D_{4}^{\prime} \cup D_{6}
$$

Define $d(\lambda)$ and $\Gamma(\lambda)$ by (4.4). Assume that the possible zeros $\left\{k_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N}$ of a $(\lambda)$ and $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{1}^{\Lambda}$ of $d(\lambda)$ satisfy

- a(k) has $N$ simple zeros $\left\{k_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ such that $k_{j} \in D_{1}^{\prime}, j=1, \ldots, n_{1}$, and $k_{j} \in D_{2}$, $j=n_{1}+1, \ldots, N$.
- $d(k)$ has $\Lambda$ simple zeros $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{1}^{\Lambda}$, such that $\lambda_{j} \in D_{2}, j=1, \ldots, \Lambda$.
- None of the zeros of $a(k)$ coincides with a zero of $d(k)$.

Then the solution $u(x, t)$ of equation 1.1) is given in parametric form in terms of two real parameters $y, \eta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \geq-\frac{T}{2} ; \quad y \geq p(2 \eta, 0) \quad \text { for } \quad \eta \geq 0 ; \quad y \geq p(0,2|\eta|) \quad \text { for } \quad-\frac{T}{2} \leq \eta \leq 0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

by
$u(\xi(y, \eta)+\eta, \xi(y, \eta)-\eta)= \begin{cases}2 \operatorname{Im}\left(\int_{p(2 \eta, 0)}^{y} \alpha\left(y^{\prime}, \eta\right) d y^{\prime}\right)+u_{0}(2 \eta), & \eta \geq 0, \\ 2 \operatorname{Im}\left(\int_{p(0,2|\eta|)}^{y} \alpha\left(y^{\prime}, \eta\right) d y^{\prime}\right)+g_{0}(2|\eta|), & -\frac{T}{2} \leq \eta \leq 0,\end{cases}$
where $\xi(y, \eta)$ is defined by

$$
\xi(y, \eta)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\int_{p(2 \eta, 0)}^{y} \sqrt{1-4 \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha\left(y^{\prime}, \eta\right)\right)^{2}} d y^{\prime}+\eta, & \eta \geq 0  \tag{4.22b}\\
\int_{p(0,2|\eta|)}^{y} \sqrt{1-4 \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha\left(y^{\prime}, \eta\right)\right)^{2}} d y^{\prime}+|\eta|, & -\frac{T}{2} \leq \eta \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

the function $\alpha(y, \eta)$ is the unique solution of the Ricatti equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{y}=\alpha^{2}-4 i\left(\lim _{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ \operatorname{Im} \lambda>0}} \lambda M_{12}(y, \eta, \lambda)\right) \alpha-\frac{1}{4} \tag{4.22c}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha(p(2 \eta, 0), \eta)=-\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \arcsin \left(\frac{u_{0 x}(2 \eta)+u_{1}(2 \eta)}{\sqrt{1+\left(u_{0 x}(2 \eta)+u_{1}(2 \eta)\right)^{2}}}\right)}, \quad \eta \geq 0  \tag{4.22d}\\
\alpha(p(0,2|\eta|), \eta)=-\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \arcsin \left(\frac{g_{1}(2|\eta|)+g_{0 t}(2|\eta|)}{\sqrt{1+\left(g_{1}(2|\eta|)+g_{0 t}(2|\eta|)\right)^{2}}}\right)}, \quad-\frac{T}{2} \leq \eta \leq 0,
\end{array}
$$

and $M(y, \eta, \lambda)$ is the unique solution of the following $2 \times 2$-matrix $R$ H problem:

- $M$ is meromorphic away from the contour $\bar{D}_{+} \cap \bar{D}_{-}$.
- The possible poles of the first column of $M$ occur at $\lambda=k_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n_{1}$, and $\lambda=\lambda_{j}, j=1, \ldots, \Lambda$. The possible poles of the second column of $M$ occur at $\lambda=\bar{k}_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n_{1}$, and $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}_{j}, j=1, \ldots, \Lambda$. The associated residues satisfy the
following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{k_{j}}[M(y, \eta, \lambda)]_{1}=\frac{1}{\dot{a}\left(k_{j}\right) b\left(k_{j}\right)} e^{2 i\left(k_{j} y+\frac{\eta}{4 k_{j}}\right) \sigma_{3}}\left[M\left(y, \eta, k_{j}\right)\right]_{2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{1},  \tag{4.23a}\\
& \underset{\bar{k}_{j}}{\operatorname{Res}}[M(y, \eta, \lambda)]_{2}=\frac{-1}{\dot{\dot{a}\left(k_{j}\right) b\left(k_{j}\right)}} e^{-2 i\left(\bar{k}_{j} y+\frac{\eta}{4 k_{j}}\right) \sigma_{3}}\left[M\left(y, \eta, \bar{k}_{j}\right)\right]_{1}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{1},  \tag{4.23b}\\
& \underset{\lambda_{j}}{\operatorname{Res}}[M(y, \eta, \lambda)]_{1}=\underset{\lambda_{j}}{\operatorname{Res}} \Gamma(k) e^{2 i\left(\lambda_{j} y+\frac{\eta}{4 \lambda_{j}}\right) \sigma_{3}}\left[M\left(y, \eta, \lambda_{j}\right)\right]_{2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, \Lambda,  \tag{4.23c}\\
& \underset{\bar{\lambda}_{j}}{\operatorname{Res}}[M(y, \eta, \lambda)]_{2}=-\underset{\bar{\lambda}_{j}}{\operatorname{Res}} \overline{\Gamma(\bar{k})} e^{-2 i\left(\bar{\lambda}_{j} y+\frac{\eta}{4 \lambda_{j}}\right) \sigma_{3}}\left[M\left(y, \eta, \bar{\lambda}_{j}\right)\right]_{1}, \quad j=1, \ldots, \Lambda . \tag{4.23~d}
\end{align*}
$$

- M satisfies the jump condition

$$
M_{-}(y, \eta, \lambda)=M_{+}(y, \eta, \lambda) J(y, \eta, \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \bar{D}_{+} \cap \bar{D}_{-}
$$

where $M$ is $M_{-}$for $\lambda \in D_{-}, M$ is $M_{+}$for $\lambda \in D_{+}$, and $J$ is defined by equations (4.11) and 4.20.

- $M(y, \eta, \lambda)=I+O(\lambda), \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0$.
- $M(y, \eta, \lambda)=I+O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. In the case when $a(\lambda)$ and $d(\lambda)$ have no zeros, the unique solvability is a consequence of the existence of a vanishing lemma. If $a(\lambda)$ and $d(\lambda)$ have zeros, the singular RH problem can be mapped to a regular one coupled with a system of algebraic equations, see Fokas and Its (1996).

The residue conditions (4.23) can be proved as follows. The general approach of Fokas (2008) implies that $\tilde{M}$ as defined in 4.3) satisfies the residue condition

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{k_{j}}[\tilde{M}(x, t, \lambda)]_{1}=\frac{1}{\dot{a}\left(k_{j}\right) b\left(k_{j}\right)} e^{2 i \theta\left(x, t, k_{j}\right)}\left[\tilde{M}\left(x, t, k_{j}\right)\right]_{2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n_{1}
$$

where $\theta(x, t, \lambda)$ is given by (4.7). The definition 4.13) of $M$ and the relations 4.17) imply that $M$ satisfies 4.23a). The other residue conditions follow similarly from the corresponding residue conditions for $\tilde{M}$.

In order to prove 4.22 we note that the change of variables 4.12 implies that

$$
\partial_{x}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\cos u) \sqrt{m} \partial_{y}+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\eta}, \quad \partial_{t}=\frac{1}{2}(1+\cos u) \sqrt{m} \partial_{y}-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\eta}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}+\partial_{t}=\sqrt{m} \partial_{y} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, because

$$
m=1+\left(u_{x}+u_{t}\right)^{2}=1+m u_{y}^{2}
$$

we find that

$$
m=\frac{1}{1-u_{y}^{2}}
$$

Thus, addition of the two equations in 2.24 together with 4.12 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{y}+i \lambda\left[\sigma_{3}, \Phi\right]=\frac{i}{2}\left(u_{y}+\frac{u_{y y}}{\sqrt{1-u_{y}^{2}}}\right) \sigma_{1} \Phi \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the particular solution of this equation given by

$$
\Phi=\left(\frac{\Phi_{2}^{(5)}}{a_{\infty}(\lambda)}, \Phi_{3}^{(5)}\right)
$$

This $\Phi$ admits an expansion of the form

$$
\Phi(x, t, \lambda)=I+\frac{\Phi^{(1)}(x, t)}{\lambda}+\frac{\Phi^{(2)}(x, t)}{\lambda^{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{3}}\right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty, \quad \lambda \in D_{5}
$$

where $\Phi^{(1)}(x, t)$ and $\Phi^{(2)}(x, t)$ are independent of $\lambda$. Substituting this expansion into 4.25 we find by considering the terms of $O(1)$ that

$$
4 \Phi_{12}^{(1)}(x, t)=u_{y}+\frac{u_{y y}}{\sqrt{1-u_{y}^{2}}}
$$

Thus, by construction of the RH problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \lim _{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ \operatorname{Im} \lambda>0}} \lambda M_{12}(y, \eta, \lambda)=u_{y}+\frac{u_{y y}}{\sqrt{1-u_{y}^{2}}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the inquality

$$
-1 \leq u_{y}=\frac{u_{x}+u_{t}}{\sqrt{1+\left(u_{x}+u_{t}\right)^{2}}} \leq 1
$$

we may define two functions $Q(y, \eta)$ and $\alpha(y, \eta)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=-u-\arcsin \left(u_{y}\right), \quad \alpha=-\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \arcsin \left(u_{y}\right)} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

These definitions imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{y}=2 \operatorname{Im}(\alpha), \quad Q_{y}=-\left(u_{y}+\frac{u_{y y}}{\sqrt{1-u_{y}^{2}}}\right) \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\alpha$ satisfies the Ricatti equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{y}=\alpha^{2}+i Q_{y} \alpha-\frac{1}{4} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 4.22c follows from 4.26, 4.28) and 4.29.
In order to prove that $\alpha$ satisfies the initial conditions 4.22 d we note that the initial half-line $\{(x, t)=(2 s, 0) \mid s>0\}$ is mapped by 4.12) to the set $\{(y, \eta)=(p(2 s, 0), s) \mid s>$ $0\}$. Together with the definition 4.27) of $\alpha$ this leads to

$$
\alpha(p(2 \eta, 0), \eta)=-\left.\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \arcsin \left(u_{y}\right)}\right|_{\substack{x=2 \eta \\ t=0}}, \quad \eta \geq 0
$$

which yields 4.22 d for $\eta \geq 0$. The proof when $-T / 2 \leq \eta \leq 0$ is similar.
Finally, equations 4.22 a and 4.22 b can be derived by considering the map 4.12 as the composition of the change of variables 1.2 with the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\xi, \eta) \mapsto(y, \eta)=\left(\int_{|\eta|}^{\xi} \sqrt{m} d \xi^{\prime}+p(|\eta|+\eta,|\eta|-\eta), \eta\right) \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the variables $(y, \eta) \in \operatorname{Im}(\Omega)$ satisfy 4.21. Using that the map 4.30) admits the inverse

$$
(y, \eta) \mapsto(\xi, \eta)=\left(\int_{p(|\eta|+\eta,|\eta|-\eta)}^{y} m^{-1 / 2} d y^{\prime}+|\eta|, \eta\right)
$$

together with the expression 4.28 for $u_{y}$ in terms of $\operatorname{Im} \alpha$, we find 4.22 a and 4.22 b .
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ If $T=\infty$, we also assume that $\cos (u(0, t))-1$ has decay as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

