

A short remark on negative energy densities and quantum inequalities.

Dan Solomon
Rauland-Borg Corporation
3450 W. Oakton
Skokie, IL 60077 USA

Email: dan.solomon@rauland.com

(Jan. 19, 2009)

Abstract

In quantum field theory it is generally known that the energy density may be negative at a given point in spacetime. A number of papers have shown that there is a restriction on this energy density which is called a quantum inequality (QI). A QI is the lower bound to the “weighted average” of the energy density at a given point integrated over a time dependent sampling function. In this paper we give an example of a sampling function for which there is no QI.

1. Introduction

In quantum theory it is widely known that that energy density may be unboundedly negative at a given point in space-time. However it has been shown that there are restrictions on the negative energy density which are referred to as the quantum inequalities (QI) [1]. The QI's have the following form: Let $\langle \Omega | \hat{T}_{00}(\mathbf{x}, t) | \Omega \rangle$ be the energy density of the normalized state vector $|\Omega\rangle$ where $\hat{T}_{00}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the Heisenberg picture energy density operator. Let $s(t)$ be a peaked non-negative sampling function whose time integral is unity. The QI takes the form,

$$T_{00, Ave} \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle \Omega | \hat{T}_{00}(\mathbf{x}, t) | \Omega \rangle s(t) dt \geq F(s(t)) \quad (1.1)$$

That is, there is a lower bound to the quantity $T_{00, Ave}$ which is defined by the above equation. This lower bound is given by the quantity $F(s(t))$ which is dependent on the sampling function $s(t)$. Ford and Roman [1][2] have considered the specific case where the sampling function is given by $s(t) = t_0 / [\pi(t^2 + t_0^2)]$. Now what happens if we pick a different sampling function? Should we assume that a QI exists for all sampling functions or is there something unique about the sampling function specified in the last sentence?

This problem was originally addressed for a zero mass scalar field theory in 1-1D spacetime by E.E. Flanagan [3]. Fewster and Eveson [4] extended this work to 4 dimensions and non-zero mass field. It will be shown in the following discussion that for a zero mass scalar field in four dimensional space-time we can find a sampling function for which there is no lower bound to $T_{00, Ave}$.

2. Calculating the energy-density.

We will consider scalar field theory with zero mass in four dimensional spacetime. We will start by examining the quantity $\langle \Omega | \hat{T}_{00}(0, t) | \Omega \rangle$ which is the expectation value of the energy density at the origin $\mathbf{x} = 0$. Referring to Eq. 7 of Ref [1] it can be shown that for a zero mass scalar field,

$$\langle \Omega | \hat{T}_{00}(0, t) | \Omega \rangle = \frac{\text{Re}}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}} \left\{ \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{q}} + k\mathbf{q}}{\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{q}}}} \left[\langle \Omega | \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{q}} | \Omega \rangle e^{-i(\omega_{\mathbf{q}} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}})t} + \langle \Omega | \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{q}} | \Omega \rangle e^{-i(\omega_{\mathbf{q}} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}})t} \right] \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

where V is the integration volume and where $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = |\mathbf{k}|$. Also $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$ are the usual destruction and creation operators, respectively. They satisfy the commutation relationships

$$[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}] = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}}; \quad [\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q}}] = [\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}] = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

The vacuum state is defined by $|0\rangle$ and is destroyed by the destruction operators, i.e., $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle = 0$.

Let $|\Omega\rangle$ be given by $|\Omega\rangle = \hat{U}|0\rangle$ where \hat{U} is defined by,

$$\hat{U} = e^{\hat{C}} \quad (2.3)$$

and where \hat{C} is,

$$\hat{C} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{f_{\mathbf{k}}}{2} (\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} - \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}) \quad (2.4)$$

In the above expression $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a real valued constant which will be specified later.

Let the sampling function be given by,

$$s(t) = \begin{cases} Ae^{\lambda_1 t} & \text{for } t < 0 \\ Ae^{-\lambda_2 t} & \text{for } t \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where,

$$A = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \quad (2.6)$$

The sampling function obeys the relationship $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(t) dt = 1$. Given the above we want to determine if there is a lower bound to $T_{00, Ave}$ for this sampling function. To determine this proceed as follows.

It is evident that $\hat{C}^{\dagger} = -\hat{C}$ therefore $\hat{U}^{\dagger} = \hat{U}^{-1} = e^{-\hat{C}}$. This means that \hat{U} is a unitary operator and satisfies $\hat{U}\hat{U}^{\dagger} = \hat{U}^{\dagger}\hat{U} = 1$. Define the quantity,

$$\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{U}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{U} = e^{-\hat{C}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{\hat{C}} \quad (2.7)$$

From this we obtain,

$$\hat{b}_k^\dagger = \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{U} = e^{-\hat{C}} \hat{a}_k^\dagger e^{\hat{C}} \quad (2.8)$$

Using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff relationships to expand (2.7) we obtain,

$$\hat{b}_k = \hat{a}_k + [\hat{a}_k, \hat{C}] + \frac{1}{2!} [[\hat{a}_k, \hat{C}], \hat{C}] + \frac{1}{3!} [[[\hat{a}_k, \hat{C}], \hat{C}], \hat{C}] + \dots \quad (2.9)$$

Use (2.2) and (2.4) in the above to obtain,

$$[\hat{a}_k, \hat{C}] = f_k \hat{a}_k^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{a}_k^\dagger, \hat{C}] = f_k \hat{a}_k \quad (2.10)$$

Use this in (2.9) to yield,

$$\hat{b}_k = \hat{a}_k + f_k \hat{a}_k^\dagger + \frac{f_k^2}{2!} \hat{a}_k + \frac{f_k^3}{3!} \hat{a}_k^\dagger + \dots = \hat{a}_k \cosh f_k + \hat{a}_k^\dagger \sinh f_k \quad (2.11)$$

Also we can show that,

$$\hat{b}_k^\dagger = \hat{a}_k^\dagger \cosh f_k + \hat{a}_k \sinh f_k \quad (2.12)$$

Use the above results to obtain,

$$\langle \Omega | \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_q | \Omega \rangle = \langle 0 | \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_q \hat{U} | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{U} \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{a}_q \hat{U} | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{b}_q | 0 \rangle \quad (2.13)$$

Similarly we can also show that,

$$\langle \Omega | \hat{a}_k \hat{a}_q | \Omega \rangle = \langle 0 | \hat{b}_k \hat{b}_q | 0 \rangle \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.11) and (2.12) we obtain,

$$\langle 0 | \hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{b}_q | 0 \rangle = \delta_{kq} (\sinh f_k)^2 \quad (2.15)$$

and,

$$\langle 0 | \hat{b}_k \hat{b}_q | 0 \rangle = \delta_{kq} (\cosh f_k)(\sinh f_k) \quad (2.16)$$

Next, use the above relationships in (2.1) to obtain,

$$\langle \Omega | \hat{T}_{00}(0, t) | \Omega \rangle = \frac{\text{Re}}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{ 2|\mathbf{k}| \left[(\sinh f_k)^2 + (\cosh f_k)(\sinh f_k) e^{-2i|\mathbf{k}|t} \right] \right\} \quad (2.17)$$

Use,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s(t) e^{-2i|\mathbf{k}|t} dt = A \left[\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - 2i|\mathbf{k}|)} + \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + 2i|\mathbf{k}|)} \right] = A \left[\frac{\lambda_1 + 2i|\mathbf{k}|}{(\lambda_1^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} + \frac{\lambda_2 - 2i|\mathbf{k}|}{(\lambda_2^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} \right] \quad (2.18)$$

and (2.17) in (1.1) to obtain,

$$T_{00,Ave} = \frac{\text{Re}}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{ 2|\mathbf{k}| (\cosh f_{\mathbf{k}}) (\sinh f_{\mathbf{k}}) \left[\frac{(\sinh f_{\mathbf{k}})}{(\cosh f_{\mathbf{k}})} + A \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + 2i|\mathbf{k}|}{(\lambda_1^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} + \frac{\lambda_2 - 2i|\mathbf{k}|}{(\lambda_2^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} \right) \right] \right\} \quad (2.19)$$

This yields,

$$T_{00,Ave} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{ |\mathbf{k}| (\cosh f_{\mathbf{k}}) (\sinh f_{\mathbf{k}}) \left[\frac{(\sinh f_{\mathbf{k}})}{(\cosh f_{\mathbf{k}})} + A \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{(\lambda_2^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} \right) \right] \right\} \quad (2.20)$$

Next assume that $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a function of $|\mathbf{k}|$ and let $V \rightarrow \infty$ and make the substitution

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} G(|\mathbf{k}|) \rightarrow \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{+\infty} 4\pi |\mathbf{k}|^2 G(|\mathbf{k}|) d|\mathbf{k}| \text{ to obtain,}$$

$$T_{00,Ave} = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d|\mathbf{k}|}{2\pi^2} \left\{ |\mathbf{k}|^3 (\cosh f_{\mathbf{k}}) (\sinh f_{\mathbf{k}}) \left[\frac{(\sinh f_{\mathbf{k}})}{(\cosh f_{\mathbf{k}})} + A \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{(\lambda_2^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} \right) \right] \right\} \quad (2.21)$$

Let $f_{\mathbf{k}} = -g_{\mathbf{k}}$ where $g_{\mathbf{k}} \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$T_{00,Ave} = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d|\mathbf{k}|}{2\pi^2} \left\{ |\mathbf{k}|^3 (\cosh g_{\mathbf{k}}) (\sinh g_{\mathbf{k}}) \left[\frac{(\sinh g_{\mathbf{k}})}{(\cosh g_{\mathbf{k}})} - A \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{(\lambda_2^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} \right) \right] \right\} \quad (2.22)$$

Next define the constants W and Λ where $\Lambda \gg W$ and $W \gg \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ and $W \gg 1$. Define

$g_{\mathbf{k}}$ by,

$$g_{\mathbf{k}} = 0 \text{ for } |\mathbf{k}| > \Lambda \text{ and } W > |\mathbf{k}| \quad (2.23)$$

and,

$$\frac{\sinh g_{\mathbf{k}}}{\cosh g_{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{A}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{(\lambda_2^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} \right) \text{ for } \Lambda > |\mathbf{k}| > W \quad (2.24)$$

Use the above relationships in (2.22) to obtain,

$$T_{00,Ave} = - \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d|\mathbf{k}|}{2\pi^2} \left\{ |\mathbf{k}|^3 (\cosh g_{\mathbf{k}})^2 \left[\left(\frac{A}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{(\lambda_2^2 + 4|\mathbf{k}|^2)} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \quad (2.25)$$

Next, use the fact that $W \gg \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ and $W \gg 1$ to show that, in the above integral, we can substitute $(\cosh g_{\mathbf{k}}) \cong 1$ and $(\lambda_1^2 + 4k^2) \cong 4k^2$ and $(\lambda_2^2 + 4k^2) \cong 4k^2$. Use these approximations in the above integral to yield,

$$T_{00,Ave} \cong - \frac{A^2}{8\pi^2} \int_W^\Lambda d|\mathbf{k}| \left\{ |\mathbf{k}|^3 \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2}{(16|\mathbf{k}|^4)} \right\} = - \frac{A^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2}{128\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{W} \right) \quad (2.26)$$

Use (2.6) in the above to obtain,

$$T_{00,Ave} \cong - \frac{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^2}{128\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{W} \right) \quad (2.27)$$

By making Λ arbitrarily large it is evident that there is no lower bound on $T_{00,Ave}$.

3. Conclusion

We have examined the energy density for a zero mass scalar field. We have shown that there is no lower bound to the “weighted average” of the energy density for the sampling function given by (2.5). Therefore a QI does not exist for this particular sampling function.

References.

1. L.H. Ford and T.A. Roman, “Restrictions on Negative Energy Density in Flat Space-Time. Phys. Rev. D53 (1996) 1988-2000. arXiv:gr-qc/9607003v2.
2. L.H. Ford and T.A. Roman, “Averaged Energy Conditions and Quantum Inequalities.” Phys. Rev. D51 (1995) 4277-4286. arXiv:gr-qc/9410043.
3. E.E. Flanagan, “Quantum inequalities in two dimensional Minkowski spacetime”. Phys. Rev. D56 (1997) 4922-4926. arXiv:gr-qc/9706006v2.
4. C.J. Fewster and S.P. Eveson, “Bounds on negative energy densities in flat spacetime”, Phys. Rev. D58: 084010, 1998. arXiv:gr-qc/9805024v2.