

Full Length Research Paper

Evaluation of ethanol plant extract for protection of *Cola nitida* against kola weevils (*Balanogastris kolae* and *Sophrorhinus* spp) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in storage

E. U. Asogwa¹, T. C. N. Ndubuaku¹, I. U. Mokwunye¹, O. O. Awe² and J. A. Ugwu³

¹Entomology Section, Cocoa Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan, Nigeria.

²Biology Department, Adeyemi College of Education, Ondo. Ondo State, Nigeria.

³Department of Basic Sciences and General Studies, Federal College of Forestry, Idishin – Ibadan, Nigeria.

Accepted 7 May, 2009

The kola weevils *Balanogastris kolae* and *Sophrorhinus* spp (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) are the most destructive of all kola pests. This study was designed to evaluate the protective properties of ethanol extracts of 5 plant materials each at 1×10^3 , 2.5×10^3 , 5×10^3 and 1×10^4 ppm, against the kola weevils on stored kolanuts. The development and emergence of adult weevils were assessed by counting newly emerged adult weevils at fortnightly intervals for 112 Days. The number of weevil exit holes on the kolanuts and the number of kolanuts with colour change in each treatment were also determined. The mean number of adult *B. kolae* emergence from the various extract treatments did not differ significantly from each other. However, none of the extract treatments compared effectively with the standard treatment (1.38 ± 0.25). A similar trend was observed for *Sophrorhinus* spp, but emergence of adult weevils was extremely low (0.03 ± 0.13 to 0.34 ± 0.10), even for the control treatment (0.78 ± 0.14). There was no significance difference in the mean number of weevil exit holes recorded for all the extracts at 2.5×10^3 , 5×10^3 and 1×10^4 ppm treatment levels. However, they all differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) from their control treatment (101.16 ± 11.26), but did not compare effectively with the standard treatment (8.16 ± 0.75). The mean number of kolanuts with colour changes recorded for the standard treatment (2.28 ± 0.18) differed only completely from the various treatment means of *Cederela odorata* (6.16 ± 0.39 ; 5.81 ± 0.38 ; 5.28 ± 0.30 ; 4.97 ± 0.16). Generally, there was no significant difference amongst the various extract treatments means, so none could be claimed to be superior to the other. The various extracts therefore could be proffered as alternatives to kola farmers, so as to reduce their total dependence on synthetic insecticides for kolanut storage. Storage of kolanuts at 2.5×10^3 ppm was found adequate and recommended taking into consideration their general protective effectiveness of the kolanuts and for economic reasons.

Key words: Kolanuts, weevils, plant extracts, treatments, emergence, exit holes, colour change.

INTRODUCTION

Cola acuminata and *Cola nitida* (Schott and Endl) are the only edible species of kolanuts grown in commercial scale in Nigeria (Jacob, 1973). The cotyledons of the kolanuts are red, pink or white and nuts extracted from the same pod often have a particular colour. The colour, size, flavour and storage quality of the kolanuts often determine the price of the kolanuts in the market.

The kola weevils *Balanogastris kolae* and *Sophrorhinus* spp are the most destructive of all kola pests (Ivbijaro, 1976a; Daramola, 1981, 1983). The weevils feed, oviposits and completes their life cycles entirely within the kolanuts thereby exposing the kolanuts to secondary invasion by micro organisms, especially fungi (Ivbijaro, 1976a; Daramola, 1981, 1983; Odebode, 1990). The female weevils lay eggs about 1 cm deep into the kolanuts or accesses other parts of the fruit through wounds and holes made by other insects such as *Ceratitidis colae*. It

*Corresponding author. E-mail: ucheasogwa1@yahoo.com.

may also get into the kolanuts through cracks on the husk created when the follicles dehiscence before harvest. Incubation lasts for about 4-6 days. The larval stage takes 17-20 days within which time the larva feeds extensively on the kolanuts and reduces them to brown powdery mass. Pupation lasts for about 5-6 days. The average period from oviposition to the emergence of the adults of *B. kolae* is 29 days while it is 31 days for *Sophrorhinus* spp. There was no specific season for the reproduction of the weevils, which were noted to continue throughout the year on left-over kolanuts and kolanuts produced between the main harvest seasons (Alibert and Mallamaire, 1955; Daramola, 1974; NRI, 1996).

The use of physical and synthetic chemical means was suggested in the past as reliable method of controlling the kola weevils (Ivbijaro, 1976b). However, the non availability, high cost of synthetic pesticides and irradiation equipment, emergence of pesticide-resistant weevils, and the potential hazards posed by pesticides to the environment, have all necessitated the search for alternative control measures against the kola weevils (Anikwe and Ojelade, 2005). Moreover, kolanuts do not require further processing after skinning and curing before consumption and for that reason the use of synthetic insecticides no matter how minimal should be discouraged.

In Nigeria, many local plant products such as peppers, ashes, vegetable oils, citrus peels, pawpaw leaves, cedar, neem tree products etc have been used successfully for the control of insect pests of stored products. These alternative bio-pesticides have been adjudged safe, biodegradable and environmental/user friendly (Ivbijaro, 1983; Sowunmi and Akinnusi, 1983; Ogunwolu and Idowu, 1994; Okorie et al., 1990; Don-Pedro, 1985; Pereira, 1982; Su, 1977; Asogwa and Osisanya, 2000; 2003; Ofuya, 1986; Jackai, 1993).

The present study was designed to evaluate the protective properties of ethanol extracts of five plant materials against the kola weevils *B. kolae* (Desbr) and *Sophrorhinus* spp (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) on stored kolanuts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research work was carried out at the Entomology Laboratory of the Cocoa Research Institute of Nigeria (CRIN), Ibadan, at a temperature of $28 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$ and $75 \pm 5\%$ relative humidity.

Collection of the plant materials for extraction

The following plant materials: *Azadirachta indica* (stem bark); *Cedrela odorata* (stem bark); *Chrysophyllum albidum* (stem bark), *Khaya* spp (stem bark), and *Chromolaena odorata* (leaf) were collected from the Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Jericho-Ibadan.

The samples were chopped into bits and air-dried on side benches in the laboratory for one week and thereafter, oven-dried at 60°C for 6 h. The oven-dried materials were pulverized with a high-speed mill for subsequent use for the extraction.

Crude plant extraction procedures

The pulverized plant materials were weighed out and Soxhlet-extracted using absolute ethanol for minimum of 8 hours according to methods by Ofuya et al., (1992).

Collection of kola samples

Two baskets of fresh unskinned kolanuts were bought from Ogun State market in Ogun State, Nigeria. The kolanuts were skinned, washed and cured for 72 hours in the Entomology laboratory before use.

Application of treatments

Twenty-five cured kolanuts were randomly sorted out into transparent plastic 1 litre bowls each containing four concentrations (1×10^3 , 2.5×10^3 , 5×10^3 and, 1×10^4 ppm) of each plant extract and left to soak for 12 hours. The control kolanuts were soaked in distilled water (0 ppm) for the same period of time. The standard treatment kolanuts for comparison were soaked in Cypermethrin 10 EC for 1 hour. Each of the treatments was replicated 4 times in a completely randomized design. The kolanuts were removed after the soaking period and placed in small flat baskets for excess water to drain off. The nuts were thereafter cured for 72 hours in order to reduce the moisture content to a minimal level.

Storage of the nuts

The 25 cured kolanuts were each placed in black light gauge polythene bag of dimension 42.5×21.0 cm and tied up and placed on the laboratory bench. All kolanuts were stored at the Entomology laboratory for 112 days.

Post storage assessment

The various treatments in separate polythene bags were sieved every 2 weeks in order to monitor the development and emergence of adult *Balanogastriis kolae* and *Sophrorhinus* spp by direct counting of newly emerged adult weevils at fortnightly intervals for 112 Days Post Experimental Period (DPEP). The efficacy and suitability of the various treatments were also considered by determining the number of weevil exit holes on the kolanuts and the number of kolanuts with colour change in each treatment.

Statistics

The total number of newly emerged weevil, their exit holes and kolanuts with colour change in each of the 4 replicates were recorded at fortnightly intervals at 14, 28, 42, 56, 70, 84, 98, 112 DPEP. The total mean \pm S.E. (standard error of the mean) of all the 32 observations were computed out and data obtained were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) at $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

The rate of development and emergence of the adult weevils (*B. kolae* and *Sophrorhinus* spp) generally decreased with increased concentrations of the various extracts (Tables 1 and 2). The development and emergence of *B. kolae* from the stored kolanuts treated with the various extracts were significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) than that re-

Table 1. Effect of various ethanol plant extract treatments on the progress of *Balanogastriis kolae* development and emergence from stored kolanuts

| Conc. of plant extract (ppm). | Exposure periods (Days Post Experimental Period (DPEP)) | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------------------------------|
| | 14 | 28 | 42 | 56 | 70 | 84 | 98 | 112 | Mean \pm SE* |
| Total number of adult <i>B. kolae</i> emergence** | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cederela odorata</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 8 | 104 | 117 | 232 | 269 | 143 | 9 | 7 | 27.78 ^b \pm 4.31 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 7 | 89 | 106 | 198 | 218 | 134 | 11 | 2 | 23.91 ^{bc} \pm 3.60 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 58 | 101 | 164 | 189 | 120 | 7 | 0 | 19.94 ^{bc} \pm 3.19 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 46 | 67 | 157 | 176 | 112 | 5 | 0 | 17.5 ^{bc} \pm 3.01 |
| <i>Khaya</i> spp. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 0 | 118 | 125 | 231 | 217 | 130 | 13 | 7 | 26.28 ^b \pm 3.88 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 0 | 97 | 98 | 224 | 162 | 119 | 11 | 5 | 22.38 ^{bc} \pm 3.47 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 71 | 89 | 215 | 143 | 109 | 3 | 1 | 19.72 ^{bc} \pm 3.29 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 48 | 64 | 139 | 94 | 85 | 2 | 0 | 13.5 ^{bc} \pm 2.19 |
| <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 5 | 69 | 117 | 266 | 134 | 79 | 13 | 4 | 21.47 ^{bc} \pm 3.73 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 2 | 56 | 94 | 167 | 119 | 70 | 1 | 3 | 16.0 ^{bc} \pm 2.61 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 46 | 81 | 147 | 109 | 53 | 1 | 2 | 13.72 ^{bc} \pm 2.34 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 29 | 74 | 109 | 102 | 47 | 1 | 1 | 11.34 ^{bc} \pm 1.95 |
| <i>Chromolena odorata</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 3 | 93 | 105 | 240 | 250 | 58 | 11 | 4 | 23.88 ^{bc} \pm 4.23 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 1 | 64 | 88 | 229 | 185 | 53 | 7 | 3 | 19.69 ^{bc} \pm 3.64 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 51 | 77 | 214 | 151 | 47 | 2 | 1 | 16.97 ^{bc} \pm 3.32 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 37 | 58 | 201 | 130 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 14.63 ^{bc} \pm 3.08 |
| <i>Chrysophyllum albidum</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 4 | 87 | 113 | 286 | 229 | 86 | 4 | 9 | 25.56 ^b \pm 4.48 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 1 | 66 | 103 | 279 | 166 | 65 | 3 | 9 | 21.63 ^{bc} \pm 4.11 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 53 | 85 | 249 | 150 | 54 | 1 | 3 | 18.59 ^{bc} \pm 3.70 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 27 | 74 | 213 | 105 | 41 | 1 | 1 | 14.44 ^{bc} \pm 3.11 |
| Control (0) | 9 | 140 | 295 | 545 | 628 | 385 | 185 | 94 | 71.28 ^a \pm 9.29 |
| Standard (1x10 ²) | 0 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1.38 ^c \pm 0.25 |

corded in the control treatment with a mean adult emergence of 71.28 \pm 9.29. The mean number of adult *B. kolae* emergence from the various extract treatments (1x10³, 2.5x10³, 5x10³ and 1x10⁴ ppm) did not differ significantly from each other (Table 1). However, none of the various extract treatments compared effectively with the standard treatment with a mean record of 1.38 \pm 0.25. A similar trend was observed for *Sophrorhinus* spp but emergence of adult weevils was extremely low (ranging from 0.03 \pm 0.13 to 0.34 \pm 0.10), even for the control treatment with a mean of 0.78 \pm 0.14 (Table 2).

The plant extract effectively suppressed the development and emergence of *B. kolae* within the first 42 days, which coincided with the second generation emergence of the weevils. This was followed by an unprecedented high population and increased number of exit holes created by the emergence of the subsequent generation of weevils from the 56th day (Tables 1 and 3). There was no significance difference in the mean number of weevil exit holes recorded for all the extracts at 2.5x10³ ppm, 5x10³

ppm, 1x10⁴ ppm treatment levels. However, they all differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) from their control with a mean weevil exit holes of 101.16 \pm 11.26, but did not compare effectively with the standard treatment (8.16 \pm 0.75) (Table 3). At 1x10³ ppm treatment level, only *C. odorata* with mean exit hole of 59.03 \pm 7.60 differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) from their control, but values for all the extracts at that level did not differ from each other (Table 3).

The few colour changes noted on the stored kolanuts progressed steadily to the 42nd day after which there were no further changes (Table 4). The colour changes on the nuts did not increase progressively with the increased concentration of the extracts applied. There was no significant difference between the various extract treatments and the control treatments with a mean colour change of 4.97 \pm 0.41. The mean number of colour changes recorded for the standard treatment (2.28 \pm 0.18) differed only completely from the various treatment means of *Cederela odorata* (6.16 \pm 0.39; 5.81 \pm 0.38;

Table 2. Effect of various ethanol plant extract treatments on the progress of *Sophorhinus* spp. development and emergence from stored kolanuts.

| Conc. of plant extract (ppm) | Exposure periods (Days Post Experimental Period (DPEP)) | | | | | | | | Mean± SE |
|-------------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| | 14 | 28 | 42 | 56 | 70 | 84 | 98 | 112 | |
| | Total number of adult <i>Sophorhinus</i> spp. emergence** | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cederela odorata</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.34 ^b ± 0.10 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.28 ^b ± 0.19 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 ^b ± 0.19 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 ^b ± 0.13 |
| <i>Khaya</i> spp. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 ^b ± 0.07 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 ^b ± 0.06 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 ^b ± 0.06 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 ^b ± 0.06 |
| <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 ^b ± 0.11 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 ^b ± 0.08 |
| 5x10 ³ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 ^b ± 0.06 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 ^b ± 0.04 |
| <i>Chromolena odorata</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 ^b ± 0.10 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 ^b ± 0.87 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 ^b ± 0.07 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.09 ^b ± 0.05 |
| <i>Chrysophyllum albidum</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 ^b ± 0.09 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 ^b ± 0.07 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 ^b ± 0.04 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 ^b ± 0.04 |
| Control (0) | 2 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.78 ^a ± 0.14 |
| Standard (1x10 ²) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 ^b ± 0 |

*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) by Tukey's test

**Each value represents a total of four replicates

5.28 ± 0.30; 4.97 ± 0.16) (Table 4).

The plant extracts at 2.5x10³ ppm treatment level generally conferred good protective ability on the kolanuts against the weevil effects. It reduced tremendously the progress of weevil development and emergence, which were not significantly different from the effects of the extracts at higher treatment levels (5x10³ and 1x10⁴ ppm) (Tables 1, 2 and 3).

DISCUSSION

The kola weevils are said to be "field to store pests" as their infestation is initiated in the field and persists in storage (Daramola and Ivbijaro, 1975). All the kola trees in Africa are believed to be infested with a significant infestation of 30-70% which can be as high as 100% in some cases of late harvest in Cote D'Ivoire, Guinea and Nigeria (Alibert and Mallamaire, 1955; Goormans and Pujol, 1955; Daramola, 1973; Daramola and Ivbijaro, 1975).

According to Daramola and Taylor (1975), the havoc caused by these insect pests approximately claims 60% of the total kolanut production in Nigeria.

The plant extracts generally suppressed the weevil oviposition and progeny emergence from the stored kolanuts in the first 42 DPEP. However, there was an increased number of exit holes and high population of the weevil emergence recorded from 56 DPEP due to the breakdown of the protective properties of the extracts.

The few colour changes observed on the kolanuts could have been as a result of physiological factors associated with storing fresh kolanuts with polythene materials and not from the plant extracts or standard insecticide used.

Plant extracts are slow acting and degrade easily in the environment. Earlier research findings therefore recommended their application at high rates and at an increased frequency to achieve effective pest control (Golob et al., 1982; Sharaby, 1988; Ofuya et al., 1992; Ewete et al.,

Table 3. Effect of various ethanol plant extracts on the number of weevil exit holes on stored kolanuts

| Conc. of plant extract (ppm). | Exposure periods (days post experimental period (DPEP)) | | | | | | | | Mean \pm SE* |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------------------------|
| | 14 | 28 | 42 | 56 | 70 | 84 | 98 | 112 | |
| Total number of weevil exit holes on the kolanut** | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cederela odorata</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 8 | 77 | 108 | 230 | 512 | 512 | 512 | 512 | 77.22 ^{ab} \pm 9.75 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 7 | 65 | 82 | 190 | 387 | 387 | 387 | 387 | 59.13 ^{bc} \pm 7.29 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 49 | 78 | 183 | 364 | 364 | 364 | 364 | 55.19 ^{bc} \pm 6.89 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 39 | 73 | 173 | 283 | 283 | 283 | 283 | 44.28 ^{bcd} \pm 5.33 |
| <i>Khaya spp</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 0 | 101 | 121 | 242 | 469 | 469 | 469 | 469 | 73.13 ^{abc} \pm 8.51 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 0 | 80 | 113 | 220 | 349 | 349 | 349 | 349 | 56.53 ^{bc} \pm 6.13 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 57 | 82 | 208 | 316 | 316 | 316 | 316 | 50.34 ^{bc} \pm 5.74 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 40 | 63 | 158 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 265 | 41.28 ^{bc} \pm 4.90 |
| <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 4 | 72 | 97 | 285 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 460 | 71.81 ^{abc} \pm 8.54 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 2 | 58 | 86 | 173 | 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 | 49.34 ^{bc} \pm 5.84 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 30 | 46 | 165 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 42.53 ^{bcd} \pm 5.43 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 20 | 39 | 130 | 239 | 239 | 239 | 239 | 35.78 ^{cd} \pm 4.69 |
| <i>Chromolena odorata</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 2 | 35 | 70 | 226 | 389 | 389 | 389 | 389 | 59.03 ^{bc} \pm 7.60 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 1 | 29 | 69 | 201 | 294 | 294 | 294 | 294 | 46.13 ^{bc} \pm 5.55 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 21 | 65 | 180 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 270 | 42.06 ^{bcd} \pm 5.16 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 18 | 61 | 175 | 260 | 260 | 260 | 260 | 40.44 ^{bcd} \pm 5.04 |
| <i>Chrysophyllum albidum</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 5 | 29 | 86 | 304 | 428 | 428 | 428 | 428 | 66.75 ^{abc} \pm 8.23 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 2 | 28 | 54 | 294 | 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 | 64.94 ^{abc} \pm 8.42 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 18 | 33 | 267 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 375 | 56.81 ^{bc} \pm 7.56 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 21 | 31 | 226 | 309 | 309 | 309 | 309 | 47.31 ^{bc} \pm 6.20 |
| Control (0) | 10 | 72 | 254 | 385 | 629 | 629 | 629 | 629 | 101.16 ^a \pm 11.26 |
| Standard (1x10 ²) | 2 | 23 | 23 | 38 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 8.16 ^d \pm 0.75 |

*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) by Tukey's test

**Each value represents a total of four replicates

1996).

There are vast types of secondary metabolites in higher plants: acids, alcohols, aldehydes, alkaloids, esters, fatty acids, flavones, glycosides, hydrocarbons, lactones, nitrogen-containing compounds, sterols, phenols, and terpenoids, which confer pesticidal activity on them (Dales, 1996). Although this aspect was not investigated in the present study, it is logical to suggest that the reduction in population of kola weevils with these plant extracts may have been imparted by their bioactive chemical components. For example, Neem products contain, aza-dirachtin, salanin and nimbin, all known to have insecticidal properties, which could have been responsible for the reduced weevil progeny emergence observed and better kolanuts protection as compared to the no treatment control. Research should therefore be intensified on the extraction, isolation and identification of the various active ingredients in the various plant extracts with the aim of formulating them into insecticides. This

will provide a sustainable alternative control measure in low-input agriculture.

Conclusion

The application trials on stored kolanuts with the various plant extracts showed significant effects ($P < 0.05$) on the weevils as against their control. Generally, there was no significant difference amongst the various extract treatments means, so none could be claimed to be superior to the other. It is therefore recommended that the various extracts could be used by kola farmers for routine storage of kolanuts.

Storage of kolanuts at 2.5x10³ ppm was found adequate and recommended taking into consideration their general protective effectiveness of the kolanuts against the weevils in storage and for economic reasons. The extracts were not too effective compared to the standard insecticide. However, due to their significant effects ($P <$

Table 4. Effect of various ethanol plant extracts on the number of stored kolanuts with colour change

| Conc. of plant extract (ppm) | Exposure periods (Days Post Experimental Period (DPEP)) | | | | | | | | Mean± SE* |
|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------------------------------|
| | 14 | 28 | 42 | 56 | 70 | 84 | 98 | 112 | |
| Total number of kolanut with colour change* | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cederela odorata</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 10 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 6.16 ^a ± 0.39 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 10 | 20 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 5.81 ^{ab} ± 0.38 |
| 5x10 ³ | 11 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 5.28 ^{abcd} ± 0.30 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 12 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 4.97 ^{abcde} ± 0.16 |
| <i>Khaya spp</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 2 | 8 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 3.69 ^{def} ± 0.42 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 0 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 3.72 ^{def} ± 0.37 |
| 5x10 ³ | 0 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 3.72 ^{def} ± 0.29 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 3.22 ^{ef} ± 0.29 |
| <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 2 | 15 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 4.84 ^{abcde} ± 0.48 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 0 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 3.72 ^{def} ± 0.26 |
| 5x10 ³ | 2 | 8 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 3.50 ^{de f} ± 0.37 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 3 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 3.16 ^{ef} ± 0.22 |
| <i>Chromolena odorata</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 1 | 22 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 5.59 ^{abc} ± 0.44 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 1 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 5.0 ^{abcde} ± 0.41 |
| 5x10 ³ | 1 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 3.97 ^{bcdef} ± 0.27 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 0 | 11 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 4.09 ^{bcdef} ± 0.49 |
| <i>Chrysophyllum albidum</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1x10 ³ | 7 | 11 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 5.25 ^{abcd} ± 0.44 |
| 2.5x10 ³ | 4 | 11 | 16 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 4.38 ^{abcde} ± 0.46 |
| 5x10 ³ | 2 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 4.13 ^{bcdef} ± 0.28 |
| 1x10 ⁴ | 2 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 3.78 ^{cdef} ± 0.36 |
| Control (0) | 5 | 11 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 4.97 ^{abcde} ± 0.41 |
| Standard (1x10 ²) | 2 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 2.28 ^f ± 0.18 |

*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) by Tukey's test.

**Each value represents a total of four replicates.

0.05) over their controls, they can be proffered as a temporary alternative to kola farmers, so as to reduce their total dependence on synthetic insecticides for kolanut storage.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank the staff of Entomology Group, Cocoa Research Institute of Nigeria for their support.

REFERENCES

- Alibert H, Mallamaire A (1955). Les Characons de le noix de Cola on Afrique Moyens de les Combattre. Bull Proc Vouv Gen Afr Occ Franc Dir Gen Sugv Econ Insp Gen Agric. 92: 29–88.
- Anikwe JC, Ojelade KTM (2005). Evaluation of *Tetrapleura tetraptera* (Schum & Thonn) fruit for the control of *Balanogastriis kolae* (Desbr) infesting stored kolanuts. Ife J. of Sc. 7(1): 27–30.
- Asogwa EU, Osisanya EO (2000). Insecticidal activity of crude Leaf and wood extracts of *Cedrela odorata* to the maize weevil *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motsch) in South West Nigeria. Bull. Sci. Assoc. Nigeria. 23: 39 -50.
- Asogwa EU, Osisanya EO (2003). The control of *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motsch) in stored maize using crude bark and wood extracts of *Cedrela odorata* (L. Kennedy) and pirimiphos- methyl. Biosci. Res. Comm. 15(2): 134 -139.
- Dales MJ (1996). A review of plant materials used for controlling insect pest of stored products. Natural Resource Institute, Chatham, Kent (UK). NRI Bull. 65: 84pp.
- Daramola AM (1973). The bionomics of kola weevils, *Sophrorhinus* spp. Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Ph. D. Thesis. University of Ibadan, Nigeria. p. 325.
- Daramola AM (1974). Studies on the survival of the kola weevils between seasons of kola production in Southern Nigeria. *Turialba* 20(3): 309- 310.
- Daramola AM (1981). The biology of kola weevils *Balanogastriis kolae* (Desbr) on *Cola acuminata* and *Cola verticillata*. Insect Sc. and its Application. 4: 201 – 204.
- Daramola AM (1983). Studies on the control of kolanut weevil *Balanogastriis kolae* (Desbr) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) during storage in South Western Nigeria. Trop. Stored Prod. Inform. 46: 11-16.
- Daramola AM, Ivbijaro MF (1975). The distribution and ecology of kola

- weevils in Nigeria. Nig. J. Pl. Prot. 1 (1): 5-9.
- Don-Pedro KN (1985). Toxicity of some citrus peel to *Dermestes maculatus* and *Callosobruchus maculatus*. J. Stored Prod. Res. 21(1): 31 – 34
- Ewete FK, Arnason JT, Larson J, Philogene BJR (1996). Biological activities of extracts from traditionally used Nigerian plants against the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis*. Entomol. Exp. et Appl. 80: 531-537.
- Golob PJM, Mlanango V, Ngulube F (1982). The use of locally available materials as protectants of maize grains against insect infestation during storage in Malawi. J. Stored Prod. Res. 18: 67 – 74.
- Groommanns C, Pujol R (1955). Rescherches sur la Charancon de kola *Balanogastriis kolae* Desbr. J. Agric Trop Appl. 2: 263-280.
- Ivbijaro MF (1983). Toxicity of neem (*Azadirachta indica* Juss) to *Sitophilus oryzae* in stored rice. Prot Ecol. 5(2): 353 – 357.
- Ivbijaro M. (1976a). The feeding and oviposition behaviour of the kola weevils *Balanogastriis kolae* (Desbr) (Coleoptera: Curculionideae) on stored kolanuts. Ghana J. Agric Sc. 9: 155-159.
- Ivbijaro MF (1976b). The susceptibility of the immature and adult stages of the kolanut weevils *Balanogastriis kolae* (Desbr) (Coleoptera: Curculionideae) to phosphine. Nig J. Entomol. 3: 53-56
- Jackai LEN (1993). The use of neem in controlling cowpea pests. IITA Res. No. 7. 5-11.
- Jacob VJ (1973). Yield characteristics; incompatibility and sterility studies in *Cola nitida* (vent) Schott and Endlicher. Ph.D thesis University of Ibadan p. 159.
- Natural Resources Institute (NRI) (1996). A Guide to Insect Pests of Nigerian crops, identification, biology and control. Fed. Min. of Agric. & Nat. Res. Nig. & the Overseas Devlpt. Admin. UK. 253pp.
- Odebode AC (1990). Post-harvest rot of kola nuts caused by *Botryodiplodia theobroma* and *Fusarium pallidoroseum*. PhD. Thesis. University of Ibadan p. 180.
- Ofuya TI (1986). Use of woodash, dry Chilli peper and Onions scale leaves for reducing *Callosobruchus maculatus* F. damage in cowpea seed during storage. J. Agric. Sci. (Cambridal). 107: 467-468.
- Ofuya TI, Okoye BC, Olola AS (1992). Efficacy of crude extract from seed of the *Monodora myristica* (Gaertn) as a surface protectant against *C. maculatus* attacking legume seeds in storage. J Plant Dis. Prot. 99 (5): 582-532.
- Ogunwolu O, Idowu O (1994). Potential of powdered *Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides* (Rutaceae) seed for control of the cowpea bruchid *Callosobruchus maculatus* in Nigeria. J. Afr. Zool. 108: 521 – 528.
- Okorie TG, Siyanbola OO, Ebochuo VO (1990). Neem seed as protectant for dried Tilapia fish against *Dermestes maculatus* infestation. Insect Sci. and its Applic. 11: 153– 157.
- Pereira J (1982). The effectiveness of six vegetable oil as protectants of cowpea and bambara groundnuts against infestation by *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F). J. Stored Prod. Res. 19: 57 – 62.
- Sharaby A (1988). Evaluation of some myrtaceae plant leaves as protectants against the infestation by *Sitophilus oryzae* L. and *S. granarius* L. Insect Sci. and its Application. 9(4): 465-468.
- Sowunmi OA, Akinnusi OA (1983). Preliminary studies on the use of neem (*Azadirachta indica* Juss) kernel in the control of stored product pests. Nig. J. Plt. Prot. 7: 10 – 12.
- Su CFH (1977). Insecticidal properties of black pepper to rice weevils and cowpea weevils. J. Econ. Entomol. 70: 18 – 21.