# On a Coupled Linear System with Homogeneous Damping

M. R. Clark

Universidade Federal do Piauí, DM, PI, Brasil mclark@ufpi.br

H. R. Clark<sup>1</sup>

Universidade Federal Fluminense, IM, RJ, Brasil hclark@ufpi.br

#### A. O. Marinho

Supported Partially by CNPq - Brasil alexandromarinhooliveira@hotmail.com

#### Abstract

We investigate the global existence of both strong and weak solutions for a linear coupled system with homogeneous feedback boundary conditions in bounded-open domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We also prove the exponential decay of total energy associated with weak solutions.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35605, 35N10, 35S15

**Keywords:** Mixed problem, strong and weak solutions, exponential stability

### 1 Introduction

The linear coupled system studied in this paper is motivated by both the wave and the heat linear equations. We will study the existence, uniqueness and exponential decay of solutions for the mixed problem

$$u''(x,t) + \mathcal{A}u(x,t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i}(x,t) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times ]0, \infty[, \tag{1.1}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

$$\theta'(x,t) + \mathcal{A}\theta(x,t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_i}(x,t) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times ]0, \infty[,$$
 (1.2)

$$u(x,t) = 0, \quad \theta(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0 \times ]0, \infty[, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_A}(x,t) + \alpha(x)u'(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \Gamma_1 \times ]0, \infty[, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu_A}(x,t) + \beta \theta(x,t) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \times ]0, \infty[, \tag{1.5}$$

$$u(x,0) = u^{0}(x), \ u'(x,0) = u^{1}(x), \ \theta(x,0) = \theta^{0}(x) \text{ in } \Omega,$$
 (1.6)

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded-open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The boundary  $\Gamma$  of  $\Omega$  is a  $C^2$ set, and there exists a partition  $\{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  of  $\Gamma$  where  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  have positive
measures and  $\overline{\Gamma}_0 \cap \overline{\Gamma}_1$  is an empty set. The operator  $\mathcal{A}$  and the co-normal
derivative  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_A}$  are given by

$$\mathcal{A}v(x,t) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial x_j} \nu_i.$$

We assume the following hypotheses on the functions of the system (1.1)-(1.6):

$$\alpha \in W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma_1), \ \alpha(x) \ge \alpha_0 > 0 \ \text{and} \ \alpha_0 \beta \ge \frac{n}{4} \ \text{for} \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (1.7)

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,t)\xi_{i}\xi_{j} \ge \gamma \left( |\xi_{1}|^{2} + \dots + |\xi_{n}|^{2} \right) \text{ for all } \xi = (\xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{n}) \in \Omega \text{ with } \gamma \ge 0.$$
 (1.8)

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} \text{ with } a_{ij} \in W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(0,\infty;C^1(\overline{\Omega})) \cap W^{2,\infty}_{loc}(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega)).$$
 (1.9)

The subset V of  $H^1(\Omega)$  is defined by  $V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega); v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\}$  which is a Hilbert space with inner product and norm of  $H^1(\Omega)$ . In  $V \times V$  we define the bilinear form

$$a(t, v, w) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} dx.$$

From (1.8) and (1.9) follows a(t, v, w) is defined positive, symmetrical and continuous over  $V \times V$ . To get global solutions of the system (1.1)-(1.6) is also considered the additional hypotheses

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} a_{jj}(x,t) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 \le 0 \text{ for all } v \in V.$$
 (1.10)

The constant  $\gamma$  defined in the hypothesis (1.8) satisfies

$$\gamma > \frac{nk_2}{2}$$
 where  $k_2 = \text{ess sup } |a'_{ij}(x,t)|$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (1.11)  $\Omega \times ]0, \infty[$ 

We will use the Galerkin's method to show the existence of solutions for the system

(1.1)-(1.6). However, due to homogeneous boundary condition of feedback type (1.4) and (1.5), it is necessary the construction of a special basis to apply the Galerkin's method. In Medeiros-Milla Miranda [6] was constructed a special basis which allows authors to study some properties associated with wave equation with homogeneous boundary conditions via Galerkin method. To prove the global existence solutions for (1.1)-(1.6) we will make use of the ideas of Medeiros-Milla Miranda's paper. The asymptotic behavior of energy associated with weak solution of the system (1.1)-(1.6) will be determined assuming that  $a_{ij}(x,t)$  is the real function a(x,t) satisfying the properties fixed in (4.1). To obtain the exponential decay we construct a Liapunov operator and utilize the technics introduced by Haraux-Zuazua [1].

The one-dimensional thermoelastic system associated with (1.1)-(1.2), i.e., when n=1 and  $a_{ij}(x,t)\equiv 1$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions, has been studied for several authors. Questions about existence, uniqueness, stabilization asymptotic, and exact controllability of solutions has been answered. See, for instance, Hansen [7], Henry at al [2], Kim [3] among them.

# 2 Strong Solutions

The aim here is to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution for (1.1)-(1.6) when  $u^0$ ,  $u^1$  and  $\theta^0$  are smooth. Thus, we have the strong solution result

**Theorem 2.1** Assuming the hypotheses (1.7)-(1.11) and the initial data and boundary conditions satisfying

$$u^{0} \in V \cap H^{2}(\Omega), \quad u^{1} \in V, \quad \theta^{0} \in V \cap H^{2}(\Omega),$$
$$\frac{\partial u^{0}}{\partial \nu_{A}} + \alpha(x)u^{1} = 0 \quad on \quad \Gamma_{1} \quad and \quad \frac{\partial \theta^{0}}{\partial \nu_{A}} + \beta \theta^{0} = 0 \quad on \quad \Gamma_{1},$$

then there exists a unique pair of real functions  $\{u, \theta\}$  solution of (1.1)-(1.6) such that

$$u\in L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,\infty;V\cap H^{2}(\Omega)),\ u'\in L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,\infty;V),\ u''\in L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,\infty;L^{2}(\Omega)),\ (2.1$$

$$\theta \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,\infty; V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)) \cap L^{2}_{loc}(0,\infty; V), \quad \theta' \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,\infty; V), \tag{2.2}$$

$$u'' - \sum_{i,i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad in \quad L_{loc}^{\infty}(0,\infty; L^2(\Omega)), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\theta' - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad in \quad L_{loc}^{\infty}(0,\infty; L^2(\Omega)), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_A} + \alpha u' = 0 \quad in \quad L_{loc}^{\infty}(0, \infty; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu_A} + \beta \theta = 0 \quad in \quad L_{loc}^{\infty}(0, \infty; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)) \cap L_{loc}^{2}(0, \infty; H^{3/2}(\Gamma_1)), \tag{2.6}$$

$$u(0) = u^0, \ u'(0) = u^1, \ \theta(0) = \theta^0.$$
 (2.7)

**Proof.** In  $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$  we define a special basis given by the sequences  $(u_\ell^0)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(u_\ell^1)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\theta_\ell^0)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$u_{\ell}^{0} \longrightarrow u^{0} \text{ in } V \cap H^{2}(\Omega), \quad u_{\ell}^{1} \longrightarrow u^{1} \text{ in } V, \quad \theta_{\ell}^{0} \longrightarrow \theta_{0} \text{ in } V \cap H^{2}(\Omega) \text{ strongly,}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} + \alpha u_{\ell}^{1} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{1} \text{ and } \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} + \beta \theta_{\ell}^{0} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{1}.$$
(2.8)

For each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, and  $u_{\ell}^0$ ,  $u_{\ell}^1$  and  $\theta_{\ell}^0$  linearly independent we define the vectors

 $\varpi_1^\ell = u_\ell^0, \ \varpi_2^\ell = u_\ell^1 \text{ and } \varpi_3^\ell = \theta_\ell^0.$  Hence by orthornormalization process we construct a basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{\varpi_1^\ell, \varpi_2^\ell, ..., \varpi_j^\ell, ...\}$  in  $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$  satisfing (2.8). In these conditions, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we consider the subspace  $W_m^\ell = [\varpi_1^\ell, \varpi_2^\ell, ..., \varpi_m^\ell]$  generated by the m-first vectors of the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Thus, it is well known that the system of ordinary differential equations

$$(u_{\ell m}''(t), v) + a(t, u_{\ell m}(t), v) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \alpha(x) u_{\ell m}'(t) v d\Gamma + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell m}}{\partial x_i}(t), v \right) = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$(\theta'_{\ell m}(t), w) + a(t, \theta_{\ell m}(t), w) + \beta \int_{\Gamma_1} \theta_{\ell m}(t) w \, d\Gamma + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial u'_{\ell m}}{\partial x_i}(t), w \right) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$u_{\ell m}(0) = u_{\ell}^{0}, \quad u_{\ell m}'(0) = u_{\ell}^{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{\ell m}(0) = \theta^{0},$$
 (2.11)

has a local solution  $\{u_{\ell m}(t), \theta_{\ell m}(t)\}$  in  $W_m^{\ell} \times W_m^{\ell}$  defined over  $[0, t_m[$  for all  $v, w \in W_m^{\ell}$ . This pair of solutions can be extended over [0, T] for any real number T > 0 thanks to estimates to proceed.

Substituting v by  $u'_{\ell m}(t)$  into (2.9), w by  $\theta_{\ell m}(t)$  into (2.10) and using the identities

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial u'_{\ell m}}{\partial x_{i}}(t), \theta_{\ell m}(t) \right) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( u'_{\ell m}(t), \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell m}}{\partial x_{i}}(t) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u'_{\ell m}(t) \theta_{\ell m}(t) \nu_{i} d\Gamma,$$

$$a(t, u_{\ell m}(t), u'_{\ell m}(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ a(t, u_{\ell m}(t), u_{\ell m}(t)) \right] - \frac{1}{2} a'(t, u_{\ell m}(t), u_{\ell m}(t)),$$

it follows from hypothesis (1.10) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_1(t) + \gamma \|\theta_{\ell m}(t)\|^2 + \left(\alpha_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left[u'_{\ell m}(t)\right]^2 d\Gamma + \left(\beta - \frac{n}{2\epsilon}\right) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left[\theta_{\ell m}(t)\right]^2 d\Gamma \le 0,$$
(2.12)

where

$$E_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ |u'_{\ell m}(t)|^2 + a(t, u_{\ell m}(t), u_{\ell m}(t)) + |\theta_{\ell m}(t)|^2 \Big\}.$$

Now, differentiating the approximate equations (2.9) and (2.10) with respect to t, taking  $v=u''_{\ell m}(t)$  and  $w=\theta'_{\ell m}(t)$  it follows

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u_{\ell m}''|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\theta'|^2 + a'(t, u_{\ell m}, u_{\ell m}'') + a(t, u_{\ell m}', u_{\ell m}'') + a'(t, \theta_{\ell m}, \theta_{\ell m}') + a(t, \theta_{\ell m}', \theta_{\ell m}') + \int_{\Gamma_1} \alpha(x) [u_{\ell m}'']^2 d\Gamma + \beta \int_{\Gamma_1} [\theta_{\ell m}']^2 d\Gamma + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell m}'}{\partial x_i}, u_{\ell m}'' \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial u_{\ell m}''}{\partial x_i}, \theta_{\ell m}' \right) = 0.$$
(2.13)

Next, we will analyze some terms in (2.13). Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial u_{\ell m}''}{\partial x_i}, \theta_{\ell m}' \right) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( u_{\ell m}'', \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell m}'}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma_1} u_{\ell m}'' \theta_{\ell m}' \nu_i d\Gamma, \quad \text{then for} \quad \epsilon > 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial u_{\ell m}''}{\partial x_i}, \theta_{\ell m}' \right) \le -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( u_{\ell m}'', \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell m}'}{\partial x_i} \right) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} [u_{\ell m}'']^2 d\Gamma + \frac{n}{2\epsilon} \int_{\Gamma_1} [\theta_{\ell m}']^2 d\Gamma.$$
(2.14)

Thanks to hypotheses (1.8) and (1.10) yields

$$a'(t, u_{\ell m}, u''_{\ell m}) \ge \frac{d}{dt} [a'(t, u_{\ell m}, u'_{\ell m})] - a''(t, u_{\ell m}, u'_{\ell m}),$$

$$a(t, u'_{\ell m}, u''_{\ell m}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [a(t, u'_{\ell m}, u'_{\ell m})] - \frac{1}{2} a'(t, u'_{\ell m}, u'_{\ell m}) \ge \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [a(t, u'_{\ell m}, u'_{\ell m})],$$

$$a(t, \theta'_{\ell m}, \theta'_{\ell m}) \ge \gamma ||\theta'_{\ell m}(t)||^{2}.$$

$$(2.15)$$

Taking into account (2.14) and (2.15) into (2.13) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{2}(t) + \frac{d}{dt}[a'(t, u_{\ell m}, u'_{\ell m})] + \gamma ||\theta'_{\ell m}||^{2} + \left(\alpha_{0} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} [u''_{\ell m}]^{2} d\Gamma + \left(\beta - \frac{n}{2\epsilon}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} [\theta'_{\ell m}]^{2} d\Gamma \le |a''(t, u_{\ell m}, u'_{\ell m})| + |a'(t, \theta_{\ell m}, \theta'_{\ell m})|, \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$E_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ |u_{\ell m}''(t)|^2 + a(t, u_{\ell m}'(t), u_{\ell m}'(t)) + |\theta_{\ell m}'(t)|^2 \Big\}.$$

Using the hypothesis (1.8) and usual inequalities into two terms of the right-hand side of (2.16) we can write

(a) 
$$|a''(t, u_{\ell m}, u'_{\ell m})| \le \frac{nk_1}{2} ||u_{\ell m}||^2 + \frac{nk_1}{2} ||u'_{\ell m}||^2 \le c_0 E_1(t) + c_1 E_2(t),$$

(b) 
$$|a'(t, \theta_{\ell m}, \theta'_{\ell m})| \leq \frac{nk_2}{2} ||\theta_{\ell m}||^2 + \frac{nk_2}{2} ||\theta'_{\ell m}||^2,$$

where  $k_1 = \text{ess sup } |a_{ij}''(x,t)|$  and  $' = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ . Taking into account **(a)** and **(b)**  $\Omega \times ]0, \infty[$  into (2.12) and (2.16) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) + \frac{d}{dt}[a'(t, u_{\ell m}, u'_{\ell m})] + \left(\gamma - \frac{nk_2}{2}\right) \left\{ \|\theta_{\ell m}(t)\|^2 + \|\theta'_{\ell m}(t)\|^2 \right\} + \left(\alpha_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\{ [u'_{\ell m}(t)]^2 + [u''_{\ell m}(t)]^2 \right\} d\Gamma + \left(\beta - \frac{n}{2\epsilon}\right) \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\{ [\theta_{\ell m}(t)]^2 + [\theta'_{\ell m}(t)]^2 \right\} d\Gamma \le cE(t), \tag{2.17}$$

where  $c = \max\{c_0, c_1\}$  and  $E(t) = E_1(t) + E_2(t)$ . Integrating (2.17) from 0 to t, with  $0 \le t \le T$ , and using the hypotheses (1.9), (1.10), and the hypotheses on initial conditions we have

$$E(t) + \left(\gamma - \frac{nk_2}{2}\right) \int_0^t \left\{ \|\theta_{\ell m}(s)\|^2 + \|\theta'_{\ell m}(t)\|^2 \right\} ds + \left(\alpha_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\{ [u'_{\ell m}(s)]^2 + [u''_{\ell m}(s)]^2 \right\} d\Gamma ds + \left(\beta - \frac{n}{2\epsilon}\right) \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\{ [\theta_{\ell m}(s)]^2 + [\theta'_{\ell m}(s)]^2 \right\} d\Gamma ds \le c_2 + E(0) + c \int_0^t E(s) ds,$$
(2.18)

where  $c_2$  depends on only of  $u^0$  and  $u^1$ . To prove that E(0) is bounded in V it is sufficient to show that  $E_2(0)$  is bounded in V. It is equivalent to prove that  $u''_{\ell m}(0)$  and  $\theta'_{\ell m}(0)$  are bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . In this point it will be clear the importance of the special base constructed previously. In fact, taking t=0,  $v=u''_{\ell m}(0)$  and  $w=\theta'_{\ell m}(0)$  in (2.9) and (2.10) yields

$$(u_{\ell m}''(0), u_{\ell m}''(0)) + a(0, u_{\ell}^{0}, u_{\ell m}''(0)) + \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \alpha(x) u_{\ell}^{1} u_{\ell m}''(0) d\Gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial x_{i}}, u_{\ell m}''(0) \right) = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

$$(\theta'_{\ell m}(0), \theta'_{\ell m}(0)) + a(0, \theta^0_{\ell}, \theta'_{\ell m}(0)) + \beta \int_{\Gamma_1} \theta^0_{\ell} \theta'_{\ell m}(0) d\Gamma + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial u^1_{\ell}}{\partial x_i}, \theta'_{\ell m}(0) \right) = 0.$$
 (2.20)

From Green's formula it implies

$$a(0, u_{\ell}^{0}, u_{\ell m}^{"}(0)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x,0) \frac{\partial u_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{\ell m}^{"}(0)}{\partial x_{i}} dx =$$

$$- \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left[ a_{ij}(x,0) \frac{\partial u_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial x_{j}} \right] u_{\ell m}^{"}(0) dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} a_{ij}(x,0) \frac{\partial u_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{i} u_{\ell m}^{"}(0) dx.$$

From (2.9) we have 
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,0) \frac{\partial u_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{i} = -\alpha u_{\ell}^{1} \quad on \quad \Gamma_{1}, \text{ thus}$$

$$a(0,u_{\ell}^{0},u_{\ell m}^{"}(0)) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left[ a_{ij}(x,0) \frac{\partial u_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial x_{j}} \right] u_{\ell m}^{"}(0) dx - \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \alpha(x) u^{1} u_{\ell m}^{"}(0) d\Gamma. (2.21)$$

$$a(0,\theta_{\ell}^{0},\theta_{\ell m}^{'}(0)) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left[ a_{ij}(x,0) \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial x_{j}} \right] \theta_{\ell m}^{'}(0) dx - \beta \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \theta^{0} \theta_{\ell m}^{'}(0) d\Gamma. (2.22)$$

Taking into account (2.22) and (2.22) into (2.19) and (2.20) respectively, yields

$$|u_{\ell m}^{"}(0)|^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ a_{ij}(x,0) \frac{\partial u_{\ell}^0}{\partial x_j} \right] u_{\ell m}^{"}(0) dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}^0}{\partial x_i} u_{\ell m}^{"}(0) dx = 0,$$

$$|\theta_{\ell m}^{"}(0)|^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ a_{ij}(x,0) \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}^0}{\partial x_j} \right] \theta_{\ell m}^{'}(0) dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\ell}^1}{\partial x_i} \theta_{\ell m}^{'}(0) dx = 0.$$

Hence, from convergence (2.8) and hypothesis (1.9) we get  $u''_{\ell m}(0)$  and  $\theta''_{\ell m}(0)$  are bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Therefore,

$$E_2(0) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |u_{\ell m}''(0)|^2 + a \left(0, u_{\ell}^1, u_{\ell}^1\right) + |\theta_{\ell m}''(0)|^2 \right\} \le C,$$

independent of  $\ell$  and m. Consequently, from (2.18) and Gronwall's inequality

$$E(t) + \left(\gamma - \frac{nk_2}{2}\right) \int_0^t \left\{ \|\theta_{\ell m}(s)\|^2 + \|\theta'_{\ell m}(t)\|^2 \right\} ds + \left(\alpha_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\{ [u'_{\ell m}(s)]^2 + [u''_{\ell m}(s)]^2 \right\} d\Gamma ds + \left(\beta - \frac{n}{2\epsilon}\right) \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\{ [\theta_{\ell m}(s)]^2 + [\theta'_{\ell m}(s)]^2 \right\} d\Gamma ds \le C,$$
(2.23)

for all t in [0,T] and the constant C depends only the hypothesis (2.8). Note that all the constants on the left-hand side of (2.23) are positive, thanks to hypotheses (1.7) and (1.11). For  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, the estimate (2.23) permit us by induction and diagonal process to obtain subsequences  $(u_{\ell m_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(u_{\ell m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\theta_{\ell m_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(\theta_{\ell m_n})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  which also will be denoted by  $(u_{\ell m_n})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\theta_{\ell m_n})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  as a consequence of the convergence of those subsequences. In these conditions, we multiply both sides of the approximate equations (2.9) and (2.10) by  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$  and integrate in relation to t for all  $v,w \in W_m^{\ell}$  which imply

$$\int_0^\infty (u_\ell''(t), v)\psi(t) dt + \int_0^\infty \mu(t)((u_\ell(t), v))\psi(t) dt + \int_0^\infty \int_{\Gamma_1} \alpha(x)u_\ell'(t) v \psi(t) d\Gamma dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\partial \theta_\ell}{\partial x_i}(t), v\right)\psi(t) dt = 0,$$
(2.24)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (\theta'_{\ell}, w) \psi(t) dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} ((\theta_{\ell}(t), w)) \psi(t) dt +$$

$$\beta \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \theta_{\ell}(t) w \psi(t) d\Gamma + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial u'_{\ell}}{\partial x_{i}}(t), w \right) \psi(t) dt = 0.$$
(2.25)

As  $\mathcal{B} = \{ \varpi_1^{\ell}, \varpi_2^{\ell}, \varpi_3^{\ell}, \ldots \}$  is a Hilbertian basis of  $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$ , then by density we have (2.24) and (2.25) are still valid for all  $v, w \in V \cap H^2(\Omega)$ .

On the other hand, the estimate (2.23) also hold for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, by the same process used in (2.24) and (2.25) we get two diagonal sequences  $(u_{\ell_n})$  and  $(\theta_{\ell_n})$  which will still be denoted by  $(u_{\ell})$  and  $(\theta_{\ell})$  and functions  $u: \Omega \times ]0, \infty[ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta: \Omega \times ]0, \infty[ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (u''(t), v)\psi(t)dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} a(t, u(t), v)\psi(t)dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \alpha(x)u'(t)v\psi(t)d\Gamma dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}(t), v\right)\psi(t) dt = 0,$$
(2.26)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (\theta'(t), w)\psi(t)dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} a(t, \theta(t), w)\psi(t)dt +$$

$$\beta \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \theta(t)w\psi(t)d\Gamma dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_{i}}(t), w\right)\psi(t) dt = 0,$$
(2.27)

for all  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$  and for all  $v,w \in V$ . As  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is dense in V then (2.26) and (2.27) yield

$$u'' + \mathcal{A}u + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i} = 0 \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)), \tag{2.28}$$

$$\theta' + \mathcal{A}\theta + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_i} = 0 \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)).$$
 (2.29)

Thus, the proof of (2.3) and (2.4) are completed

From (2.23) and considering (2.26) and (2.27) we have u, u' and  $\theta$  belong to  $L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(0,\infty;V)$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i} \text{ and } \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \right) \text{ belong to } L^2_{\text{loc}}(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega)), \quad (2.30)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_i} \text{ and } \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \text{ belong to } L^2_{\text{loc}}(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega)). \tag{2.31}$$

From (2.30), (2.31) and cf. [5] it follows  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_A}$  and  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu_A}$  belong to  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(0,\infty;H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1))$ . Multiplying (2.28) by  $v\psi$  with  $v\in V$  and  $\psi\in\mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ 

and (2.29) by  $w\psi$  with  $w \in V$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$  and using Green's formula it implies

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (u'', v)\psi dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} a(t, u, v)\psi dt - \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\langle \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{i}, v \right\rangle \psi dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}, v \right) \psi dt = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty) \text{ and for all } v \in V,$$

$$(2.32)$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (\theta', w)\psi dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} a(t, \theta, w)\psi dt - \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\langle \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{i}, w \right\rangle \psi dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_{i}}, w \right) \psi dt = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty) \text{ and for all } v \in V,$$

$$(2.33)$$

where <, > is the duality paring of  $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$ . Comparing (2.26) and (2.27) with (2.32) and (2.33) respectively we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \left\langle \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_i + \alpha(x) u', \ v \right\rangle \psi dt = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty) \text{ and for all } v \in V,$$

$$\int_0^\infty \left\langle \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \nu_i + \beta \theta, \ w \right\rangle \psi dt = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty) \text{ and for all } v \in V.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_i + \alpha(x) u' = 0 \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, \infty; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)),$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \nu_i + \beta \theta = 0 \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, \infty; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)).$$
(2.34)

Hence, we conclude (2.5) and (2.6)

Now, we shall prove that  $u \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(0, \infty; H^2(\Omega))$  and  $\theta \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; H^2(\Omega))$ . In fact, being  $\{u, \theta\}$  solution of the boundary value problem

$$-\mathcal{A}u = u'' + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times [0, \infty[,$$

$$-\mathcal{A}\theta = \theta' + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_{i}} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times [0, \infty[,$$

$$u = \theta = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{0} \times [0, \infty[,$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{i} + \alpha(x) u' = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{1} \times [0, \infty[,$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{i} + \beta \theta = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{1} \times [0, \infty[,$$

we have from convergence of subsequence above, that

$$u'' + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i}$$
 belongs to  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$ ,

$$\theta' + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_i}$$
 belongs to  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$ .

Besides, (2.34) it implies that  $\Upsilon_0(\alpha(x)u')$  and  $\beta\Upsilon_0\theta$  belong to  $L^{\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}(0,\infty;H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1))$ , where  $\Upsilon_0$  is the trace operator of order zero. Thus, from results on elliptic regularity, it follows that u and  $\theta$  belong to  $L^{\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}(0,\infty;H^2(\Omega))$ 

Uniqueness of the solution is showed by standard energy method

Other conclusions of Theorem 2.1 are verified in a standard way. Thus, the proof has been completed  $\blacksquare$ 

Global solution of the system (1.1)-(1.6) is guaranteed by:

Corollary 2.1 If in the hypotheses (1.9) we take  $a_{ij} \in W^{1,\infty}(0,\infty;C^1(\overline{\Omega})) \cap W^{2,\infty}(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega))$ , then there exists a unique pair of functions  $\{u,\theta\}$  which satisfy the Theorem 2.1 for all  $t \in [0,\infty[$ 

#### 3 Weak Solutions

Our goal in this section is to obtain solutions for problem (1.1)–(1.6) with initial data

$$u^0 \in V$$
,  $u^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\theta^0 \in V$ .

The corresponding solutions shall be called WEAK SOLUTION. To obtain that solution we approach  $u^0$ ,  $\theta^0$  and  $u^1$  by sequences of vectors in  $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$  and V respectively, and apply the result of Theorem 2.1. The weak solution is guaranteed by the following theorem

**Theorem 3.1** Assuming the hypotheses (1.7)-(1.11) and  $u^0 \in V$ ,  $u^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\theta^0 \in V$ . Then there exists a unique pair of real functions  $\{u, \theta\}$  solution of (1.1)-(1.6) such that

$$u \in C_b^0([0, \infty[; V) \cap C_b^1([0, \infty[; L^2(\Omega)),$$
 (3.1)

$$\theta \in C_b^0([0, \infty[; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L_{loc}^2(0, \infty; V), \tag{3.2})$$

$$u'' - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i} = 0 \ in \ L^2_{loc}(0,\infty;V'), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\theta' - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_i} = 0, \text{ in } L^2_{loc}(0,\infty;V') \quad (3.4)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_A} + \alpha u' = 0 \quad in \quad L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; L^2(\Gamma_1)), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu_A} + \beta \theta = 0 \quad in \quad L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; L^2(\Gamma_1)), \tag{3.6}$$

$$u(0) = u^0, \ u'(0) = u^1, \ and \ \theta(0) = \theta^0.$$
 (3.7)

**Proof.** Let  $(u_\ell^0)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\theta_\ell^0)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of vectors in  $V \cap H^2(\Omega)$  and  $(u_\ell^1)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  sequences of vectors in V such that

$$u_{\ell}^{0} \longrightarrow u^{0} \text{ in } V, \quad u_{\ell}^{1} \longrightarrow u^{1} \text{ in } L^{2}(\Omega), \quad \theta_{\ell}^{0} \longrightarrow \theta^{0} \text{ in } V,$$

$$\frac{\partial u_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} + \alpha(x)u_{\ell}^{1} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{1} \text{ and } \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}^{0}}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} + \beta \theta_{\ell}^{0} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{1}.$$

In these conditions, there exists a sequence of strong solutions  $\{u_{\ell}, \theta_{\ell}\}_{{\ell}\in\mathbb{N}}$  of system (1.1)-(1.6) defined by the precedent initial data, which satisfy the estimate (2.23). Thus, it implies in the existence of subsequence of  $(u_{\ell})_{{\ell}\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\theta_{\ell})_{{\ell}\in\mathbb{N}}$  which will still be denoted by  $(u_{\ell})_{{\ell}\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\theta_{\ell})_{{\ell}\in\mathbb{N}}$ , and functions  $u, \theta: \Omega \times [0, \infty[\to \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 : \Gamma_1 \times [0, \infty[\to \mathbb{R} \text{ such that}])$ 

$$u_{\ell} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weak star in} \quad L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(0, \infty; V),$$
 (3.8)

$$u'_{\ell} \rightharpoonup u'$$
 weak star in  $L^{\infty}_{loc}(0, \infty; L^{2}(\Omega)),$  (3.9)

$$\theta_{\ell} \rightharpoonup \theta \quad \text{weak in} \quad L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; V),$$
 (3.10)

$$u'_{\ell} \rightharpoonup \varphi_1 \quad \text{weak in} \quad L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^2(\Gamma_1)),$$
 (3.11)

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u_{\ell}}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{i} \rightharpoonup \varphi_{2} \quad \text{weak in} \quad L_{\text{loc}}^{2}(0, \infty; L^{2}(\Gamma_{1})), \tag{3.12}$$

$$\theta_{\ell} \rightharpoonup \psi_1 \quad \text{weak in} \quad L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^2(\Gamma_1)),$$
 (3.13)

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}}{\partial x_{i}} \nu_{i} \rightharpoonup \psi_{2} \quad \text{weak in} \quad L_{\text{loc}}^{2}(0, \infty; L^{2}(\Gamma_{1})). \tag{3.14}$$

It also has from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$u_{\ell}'' - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u_{\ell}}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}}{\partial x_i} = 0, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\theta'_{\ell} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u'_{\ell}}{\partial x_i} = 0, \tag{3.16}$$

where both identities are in the sense of  $L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega))$ . Now, multiplying both side of (3.15) and (3.16) by  $v\psi$  and  $w\psi$  respectively, where  $v,w\in V$  and  $\psi\in\mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ , and integrating on  $[0,\infty[$ . After these, taking limit  $\ell\to\infty$  and

observing the convergence (3.8)-(3.14) it follows

$$-\int_{0}^{\infty} (u', v) \psi' dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} a(t, u, v) \psi dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \alpha(x) \varphi_{1} v \psi d\Gamma dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}, v\right) \psi dt = 0,$$

$$(3.17)$$

$$-\int_{0}^{\infty} (\theta, v) \psi' dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} a(t, \theta, w) \psi dt + \beta \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \theta w \psi_{1} d\Gamma dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_{i}}, w\right) \psi dt = 0.$$

$$(3.18)$$

The equations in (3.17) and (3.18) are still also valid with  $v, w \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . Thus, it follows

$$u'' - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij} \left( x, t \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i} = 0, \tag{3.19}$$

$$\theta' - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij} \left( x, t \right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_i} = 0, \tag{3.20}$$

where both identities are in the sense of  $H_{loc}^{-1}(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega))$ . Now, we will prove the identities

$$\varphi_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \nu_i, \quad \psi_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \right) \nu_i, \quad \varphi_1 = u' \text{ and } \psi_1 = \theta.$$

From (2.5), (2.6) and (3.11)-(3.14) it follows

$$\varphi_2 + \alpha(x)\varphi_1 = 0$$
 on  $\Gamma_1 \times [0, \infty[$  and  $\psi_2 + \beta\psi_1 = 0$  on  $\Gamma_1 \times [0, \infty[$ . (3.21)

Then it follows from (3.8)-(3.10) that

$$u_{\ell} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weak in} \quad L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; V) \,, \tag{3.22}$$

$$u'_{\ell} \rightharpoonup u' \text{ weak in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)),$$
 (3.23)

$$\theta_{\ell} \rightharpoonup \theta \quad \text{weak in} \quad L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; V).$$
 (3.24)

From (3.23) and (3.24) yields

$$u_{\ell}^{"} \rightharpoonup u^{"} \text{ weak in } H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}\left(0, \infty; L^{2}\left(\Omega\right)\right),$$
 (3.25)

$$\frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}}{\partial x_{i}} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}$$
 weak in  $L_{\text{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty; L^{2}\left(\Omega\right)\right)$ . (3.26)

From (3.21), (3.25) and (3.26) we get the weak convergence in  $H^{-1}_{\rm loc}(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega))$  of the term

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u_{\ell}}{\partial x_j} \right) \rightharpoonup \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right). \tag{3.27}$$

Denoting by  $\Upsilon_{\iota}$ ,  $\iota = 0, 1$ , the Trace operator and using (3.22) and (3.27) it implies (cf. Milla Miranda [5]) that  $\Upsilon_1 u_{\ell} \rightharpoonup \Upsilon_1 u$  weak in  $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(0, \infty; H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_1))$ . It also, from (3.12) yields  $\Upsilon_1 u_{\ell} \rightharpoonup \varphi_2$  weak in  $L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Gamma_1))$ . Therefore,

$$\varphi_2 = \Upsilon_1 u = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_i \quad \blacksquare \tag{3.28}$$

On the other hand, from (3.8) it results  $u'_{\ell} \rightharpoonup u'$  weak in  $H^{-1}_{loc}(0, \infty; H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1))$ . Hence and from (3.11)

$$\varphi_1 = \Upsilon_0 u' \quad \blacksquare \tag{3.29}$$

From (3.22) and (3.24) it follows

$$\frac{\partial u'_{\ell}}{\partial x_i} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_i}$$
 weak in  $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)),$  (3.30)

$$\theta'_{\ell} \rightharpoonup \theta' \text{ weak in } H^{-1}_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; V).$$
 (3.31)

Thus, from (3.20), (3.30) and (3.31) yields the weak convergence in  $H^{-1}_{\rm loc}(0,\infty;L^2(\Omega))$  of

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial \theta_{\ell}}{\partial x_j} \right) \rightharpoonup \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \right). \tag{3.32}$$

The convergence (3.24) and (3.32) imply  $\Upsilon_1\theta_\ell \rightharpoonup \Upsilon_1\theta$  weak in  $H^{-1}_{loc}(0,\infty;H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1))$ . It also follows from (3.14) that  $\Upsilon_1\theta_\ell \rightharpoonup \psi_2$  weak in  $L^2_{loc}(0,\infty;L^2(\Gamma_1))$ . Thus, we conclude

$$\psi_2 = \Upsilon_1 \theta = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \nu_i \quad \blacksquare \tag{3.33}$$

On the other hand, from (3.26) it implies  $\Upsilon_0\theta_\ell \rightharpoonup \Upsilon_0\theta$  weak in  $L^2_{loc}(0,\infty;H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1))$ . Hence and from (3.13) it follows

$$\psi_1 = \Upsilon_0 \theta \quad \blacksquare \tag{3.34}$$

From (3.21), (3.28) and (3.29) yields

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_i + \alpha(x) u' = 0 \text{ in } L^2_{loc}(0, \infty; L^2(\Gamma_1)).$$
 (3.35)

Analogously, from (3.15), (3.21), (3.33) and (3.34) yields

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \nu_i + \beta \theta = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^2(\Gamma_1)). \tag{3.36}$$

Our next task is to prove the identities in (3.3) and (3.4) are satisfied in the sense of  $L^2_{loc}(0,\infty;V')$ . In fact, for all  $v, w \in V$  it follows

$$\left| \left\langle -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \right), v \right\rangle \right| \leq |a(t, u, v)| + |\langle \Upsilon_{1} u, v \rangle|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_{1}) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma_{1})},$$

where  $\Upsilon_1 u = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_i$ . Thus,

$$\left| \left\langle -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \right), v \right\rangle \right| \leq C\left( u \right) \left\| v \right\|_{V} \quad \text{for all } v \in V. \tag{3.37}$$

$$\left| \left\langle -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{j}} \right), w \right\rangle \right| \leq C(\theta) \|w\|_{V} \text{ for all } w \in V, \qquad (3.38)$$

where  $C\left(u\right) = C\left\|u\right\|_{V} + C_{0}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}}\right|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_{1})}$  and  $C\left(\theta\right) = C\left\|\theta\right\|_{V} + C_{0}\left|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}}\right|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_{1})}$ . From (3.37) and (3.38) we get for all T>0

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{j}} \right) \quad \text{belong to} \quad L^{2}(0,T;V').$$
(3.39)

From (3.17), (3.18), (3.39), and Green's formula yields

$$-\int_{0}^{T} (u', v) \psi' dt + \int_{0}^{T} \left\langle -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \right), v \right\rangle \psi dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{T} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}, v \right) \psi dt = 0, \quad \forall \ \psi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \ v \in V,$$

$$(3.40)$$

$$-\int_{0}^{T} (\theta, w) \psi' dt + \int_{0}^{T} \left\langle -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{j}} \right), w \right\rangle \psi dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{T} \left( \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x_{i}}, w \right) \psi dt = 0, \quad \forall \ \psi \in \mathcal{D} (0, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \ w \in V.$$

$$(3.41)$$

Thus, from (3.39), (3.40), (3.41), and as T > 0 is arbitrary we conclude (3.3) and (3.4)  $\blacksquare$ 

The regularities (3.1) and (3.2) are obtained in an usual way proving that  $(u_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\theta_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  are Cauchy sequences

The initial conditions  $u(0) = u^0$ ,  $u'(0) = u^1$ , and  $\theta(0) = \theta^0$  in  $\Omega$  are obtained from regularities (3.1) and (3.2)

Finally, the uniqueness of solutions is obtained using the method of regularization cf. Lions-Magenes [4] - pp 221, and also, Visik-Ladyzhenskaya [8]

Thus, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed  $\blacksquare$ 

# 4 Asymptotic Behavior

The aim of this section is to prove that the total energy associated with weak solutions of (1.1)-(1.6) has exponential decay as  $t \longrightarrow +\infty$ . To make this, we consider  $\alpha(x) = m(x) \cdot \nu(x)$  and the following representation for  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$ 

$$\Gamma_0 = \{ x \in \Gamma; \ m(x) \cdot \nu(x) \le 0 \} \ \text{and} \ \Gamma_1 = \{ x \in \Gamma; \ m(x) \cdot \nu(x) > 0 \},$$

where  $m(x) = x - x^0$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  fixed, and "·" denotes the usual scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Herein, the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  and the co-normal derivative of  $\mathcal{A}$  are defined by

$$\mathcal{A}v(x,t) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left( a(x,t) \frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial x_{j}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{\mathcal{A}}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a(x,t) \frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{j},$$

where the real function a(x,t) satisfies

$$a(x,t) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}(0,\infty; C^{1}(\overline{\Omega})) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{2,\infty}(0,\infty; L^{2}(\Omega)),$$

$$a(x,t) \ge a_{0} > 0, \quad ||a||_{\infty} \le \frac{\kappa \gamma}{R(x^{0})},$$

$$(4.1)$$

where  $||a||_{\infty} = \underset{\Omega \times ]0, \infty[}{\mathrm{ess \; sup}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} a(x,t) \right|, \quad R(x^0) = \underset{x \in \overline{\Omega}}{\mathrm{max}} |m(x)| \text{ and } \kappa \text{ is a real positive number.}$ 

The total energy E(t) of the system (1.1)-(1.6) is given by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{ |u'(t)|^2 + a(t, u(t), u(t)) + |\theta(t)|^2 \}$$

where

$$a(t, v, w) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a(x, t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} dx.$$

It is easy to see from (2.12) and (1.7) that the energy E(t) is non increasing in view of

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\alpha_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) [u']^2 d\Gamma \le -\gamma \|\theta\|^2. \tag{4.2}$$

In these conditions we have the following stability's result

**Theorem 4.1** If the real function a(x,t) satisfies the hypothesis (4.1) then the energy E(t) associated with weak solution of (1.1)-(1.6) guaranteed by Theorem 3.1 satisfies

$$E(t) \le \Lambda E(0) \exp(-\zeta t)$$
 for all  $t \ge 0$ , (4.3)

where  $\Lambda$  and  $\zeta$  are real positive constants.

**Proof.** First we will get (4.3) to energy E(t) given by strong solutions of (1.1)-(1.6). The stability's result for the energy associated with weak solution will be guaranteed by density properties. Therefore, let  $\rho(t)$  be defined by

$$\rho(t) = 2(u'(t), m \cdot \nabla u(t)) + (n-1)(u'(t), u(t)). \tag{4.4}$$

Hence

$$\rho'(t) = 2(u''(t), m \cdot \nabla u(t)) + 2(u'(t), m \cdot \nabla u'(t)) + (n-1)(u''(t), u(t)) + (n-1)|u'(t)|^{2}.$$

$$(4.5)$$

Substituting 
$$u'' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left( a(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}$$
 into (4.5) yields

$$\rho'(t) = 2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(a(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}(t)\right), m \cdot \nabla u(t)\right) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}(t), m \cdot \nabla u(t)\right) + 2\left(u'(t), m \cdot \nabla u'(t)\right) + (n-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(a(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right), u(t)\right) - (n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}(t), u(t)\right) + (n-1)|u'(t)|^{2}.$$

$$(4.6)$$

Now, our goal is to bound each term of the right-hand side of (4.6). As  $m \cdot \nabla u = \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k}$  for a moment we will use in the first term of (4.6) the following notation:

$$2\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \Big(a(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}(t)\Big), m \cdot \nabla u(t)\Big) = 2\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \Big(a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\Big), m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\Big).$$

In the following steps we will use the Green's formula several time and the boundary conditions (1.3)-(1.5).

### **Step 1** The first term of (4.6):

$$2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right), m_{k}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right) = -2\int_{\Omega} a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\frac{\partial m_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}dx - 2\int_{\Omega} a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}m_{k}\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{j}\partial x_{k}}dx + 2\int_{\Gamma} a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\nu_{j}m_{k}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}d\Gamma.$$

$$(4.7)$$

Modifying some terms of (4.7) yields

$$-2\int_{\Omega} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} m_{k} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}} dx = 2\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left( a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} m_{k} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} dx - 2\int_{\Gamma} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{k} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma = 2\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} dx + 2\int_{\Omega} a \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} dx + 2\int_{\Omega} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} dx - 2\int_{\Gamma} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{k} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma.$$

Using the hypothesis (4.1) yields

$$-2\int_{\Omega} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} m_k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} dx \le \kappa \gamma \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial m_k}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} dx - \int_{\Gamma} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_k m_k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} dx.$$

Substituting the last inequality into (4.7) yields

$$2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right), m_{k}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}\right) \leq -2\int_{\Omega} a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}dx + \kappa\gamma\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right)^{2}dx + n\int_{\Omega} a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}dx - \int_{\Gamma} a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\nu_{k}m_{k}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}dx + 2\int_{\Gamma} a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\nu_{j}m_{k}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}d\Gamma.$$

Adding the last inequality from j, k = 1, ..., n we have

$$2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right), m \cdot \nabla u\right) \leq -(2 - n - \kappa)a(t, u, u) - \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{k} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma + 2\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} a\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{j} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma.$$

$$(4.8)$$

**Step 2** The second term of (4.6):

$$\left| -2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}, m \cdot \nabla u \right) \right| \leq 2\sum_{i,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}} \right| |m_{k}| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} \right| dx \leq$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{[R(x^{0})]^{2}}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{2} dx + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma}{4} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} \right|^{2} dx = \frac{[R(x^{0})]^{2}}{\gamma} ||\theta||_{V}^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4} ||u||_{V}^{2}.$$

$$(4.9)$$

Step 3 The third term of (4.6):

$$2(u', m \cdot \nabla u') = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} m_k \frac{\partial [u']^2}{\partial x_k} dx = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial m_k}{\partial x_k} [u']^2 dx + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} m_k \nu_k [u']^2 d\Gamma = -n|u'|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_1} m \cdot \nu [u']^2 d\Gamma.$$

$$(4.10)$$

#### **Step 4** The fourth term of (4.6):

$$(n-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right), u\right) = -(n-1)\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} dx + (n-1)\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{j} u d\Gamma = -(n-1)a(t, u, u) + (n-1)\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{A}} u d\Gamma = -(n-1)a(t, u, u) - (n-1)\int_{\Gamma_{1}} m \cdot \nu u' u d\Gamma.$$

Let  $c_1 > 0$  be a real number such that  $\int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) v^2 d\Gamma \leq c_1 ||v||_V^2$  then

$$-(n-1)\int_{\Gamma_{1}} (m \cdot \nu)u'ud\Gamma \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} (n-1)(m \cdot \nu) \frac{2c_{1}}{\gamma} [u']^{2} d\Gamma + \frac{n-1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \frac{\gamma}{n-1} \frac{(m \cdot \nu)}{2c_{1}} [u]^{2} d\Gamma \leq \frac{c_{1}(n-1)^{2}}{\gamma} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} m \cdot \nu [u']^{2} d\Gamma + \frac{\gamma}{4} ||u||^{2} \leq \frac{c_{1}(n-1)^{2}}{\gamma} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} m \cdot \nu [u']^{2} d\Gamma + \frac{1}{4} a(t, u, u).$$

Thus,

$$(n-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(a(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}\right), u\right) \leq -(n-\frac{5}{4})a(t,u,u) + \frac{c_{1}(n-1)^{2}}{\gamma} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} m \cdot \nu[u']^{2} d\Gamma.$$

$$(4.11)$$

Step 5 The fifth term of (4.6): Let  $\delta_0 > 0$  be a real number such that  $|v|^2 \le \delta_0 ||v||^2$  for all  $v \in V$ . Thus, hence,

$$-(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}, u\right) \leq (n-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{2\delta_{0}n(n-1)}{\gamma}\right)^{1/2} \left|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}}\right| \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\delta_{0}n(n-1)}\right)^{1/2} |u| dx \leq \frac{\delta_{0}n(n-1)^{2}}{\gamma} ||\theta||^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4\delta_{0}} |u|^{2} \leq \frac{\delta_{0}n(n-1)^{2}}{\gamma} ||\theta||^{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4} ||u||^{2}.$$

$$(4.12)$$

Taking into account (4.8)-(4.12) into (4.6) yields

$$\rho'(t) \leq -\left(\frac{1}{4} - \kappa\right) a(t, u, u) + \left(\frac{[R(x^0)]^2}{\gamma} + \frac{\delta_0 n(n-1)^2}{\gamma}\right) ||\theta||^2 - |u'|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{c_1(n-1)^2}{\gamma}\right) \int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) [u']^2 d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_1} a(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_k m_k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} d\Gamma + 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_{\Gamma} a(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_j m_k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} d\Gamma.$$

$$(4.13)$$

Our next task is to analyze the two last boundary terms in (4.13). Note that on  $\Gamma_0$  we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\nu_j = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \quad \text{which implies} \quad m \cdot \nabla u = (m \cdot \nu) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla u|^2 = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^2.$$

Thus, we can write

$$-\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{k} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma = -\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{k} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma - \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma_{0}} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{k} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma = -\int_{\Gamma_{1}} a m \cdot \nu |\nabla u|^{2} d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_{1}} a m \cdot \nu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} d\Gamma,$$

and

$$2\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{j} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma = 2\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{j} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma + 2\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma_{0}} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{j} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma = 2\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{A}} (m \cdot \nabla u) d\Gamma + 2\int_{\Gamma_{0}} a m \cdot \nu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} d\Gamma = -2\int_{\Gamma_{0}} (m \cdot \nu) u'(m \cdot \nabla u) d\Gamma + 2\int_{\Gamma_{0}} a m \cdot \nu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} d\Gamma.$$

From definition of  $\Gamma_0$  it follows  $\int_{\Gamma_0} a(x,t)(m\cdot\nu) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^2 \leq 0$ . Thus,

$$-\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} a(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{k} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma + 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} a(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{j} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma =$$

$$-2 \int_{\Gamma_{1}} (m \cdot \nu) u'(m \cdot \nabla u) d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_{1}} a(x,t) (m \cdot \nu) |\nabla u|^{2} d\Gamma.$$

$$(4.14)$$

On the other hand,

$$-2\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m\cdot\nu)u'(m\cdot\nabla u)d\Gamma \leq 2R(x^{0})\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(a_{0})^{1/2}(m\cdot\nu)^{1/2}|u'|(a_{0})^{1/2}(m\cdot\nu)^{1/2}|\nabla u|d\Gamma \leq \frac{R(x^{0})}{a_{0}}\int_{\Gamma_{1}}(m\cdot\nu)|u'|^{2}d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{1}}a(x,t)(m\cdot\nu)|\nabla u|^{2}d\Gamma.$$

$$(4.15)$$

From (4.14) and (4.15) yields

$$-\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} a(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{k} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma + 2\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} a(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} \nu_{j} m_{k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}} d\Gamma \le \frac{R(x^{0})}{a_{0}} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} (m \cdot \nu) [u']^{2} d\Gamma.$$

$$(4.16)$$

Substituting (4.15) into (4.13) yields

$$\rho'(t) \le -\left(\frac{1}{4} - \kappa\right) a(t, u, u) + \left(\frac{[R(x^0)]^2}{\gamma} + \frac{n(n-1)^2}{2\gamma\delta_0}\right) ||\theta||^2 - |u'|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{c_1(n-1)^2}{\gamma} + \frac{R(x^0)}{a_0}\right) \int_{\Gamma_1} (m \cdot \nu) [u']^2 d\Gamma.$$
(4.17)

Multiplying  $\rho'(t)$  by  $\varepsilon > 0$  it follows from (4.2) and (4.17) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\{ \alpha_0 - \left[ \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \varepsilon \left( 1 + \frac{c_1(n-1)^2}{\gamma} + \frac{R(x^0)}{a_0} \right) \right] \right\} [u']^2 d\Gamma \le \\
-\varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{4} - \kappa \right) a(t, u, u) - \left[ \gamma - \varepsilon \left( \frac{[R(x^0)]^2}{\gamma} + \frac{n(n-1)^2}{2\gamma \delta_0} \right) \right] ||\theta||^2 \\
-\varepsilon |u'|^2 \le -\varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{4} - \kappa \right) a(t, u, u) - \frac{1}{\delta_0} \left[ \gamma - \varepsilon \left( \frac{[R(x^0)]^2}{\gamma} + \frac{n(n-1)^2}{2\gamma \delta_0} \right) \right] |\theta|^2 - \varepsilon |u'|^2.$$
(4.18)

Let  $\tau$  be a real positive number defined by

$$\tau = \min \Big\{ \varepsilon \Big( \frac{1}{4} - \kappa \Big), \ \frac{1}{\delta_0} \Big[ \gamma - \varepsilon \Big( \frac{R^2}{\gamma} + \frac{n(n-1)^2}{2\gamma \delta_0} \Big) \Big], \ \varepsilon \Big\}.$$

Hence, (4.17) and (4.18) it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{\varepsilon}(t) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\{ \alpha_0 - \left[ \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \varepsilon \left( 1 + \frac{c_1(n-1)^2}{\gamma} + \frac{R(x^0)}{a_0} \right) \right] \right\} [u']^2 d\Gamma \le -\tau E(t), \tag{4.19}$$

where  $E_{\varepsilon}(t) = E(t) + \varepsilon \rho(t)$ .

It is easy to prove that there are positive constants  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  such that

$$\eta_1 E(t) \le E_{\varepsilon}(t) \le \eta_2 E(t)$$
(4.20)

for all  $t \geq 0$  and for suitable  $\varepsilon$ . From (4.19) and (4.20) we obtain (4.3). Therefore, the theorem 4.1 is established

## References

- [1] Haraux, A., Zuazua, E., Decay estimates for some semilinear damped hyperbolic problems, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal, (1988), pp. 191-206.
- |2| Henry, LOPES, Ο., PERISINOTTO, Α., Linearthermoe*lasticity:* stability essentialspectrum, Nonasymptotic andlinear Analysis, Theory &Applications, vol. 21, 1(1993),pp. 65-75.
- [3] KIN, J. U., On the energy decay of a linear thermoelastic bar and plate, Siam J. Math. Anal., vol. 23, No. 4, (1992), pp. 889-899.
- [4] LIONS, J. L., MAGENES, E., Problèmes aux limites non homogènes, applications, vol. 1, (1968), Dunod, Paris.
- [5] MILLA MIRANDA, M., Traço para o dual dos espaços de Sobolev, Bol. Soc. Paran. Matemática (2ª série), vol. 11, 2(1990), pp. 131-157.

- [6] MEDEIROS, L. A., MILLA MIRANDA, M., On a boundary value problem for wave equations: Existence, uniqueness-asymptotic behavior, Revista de Matematicas Aplicadas, Univerdidade de Chile, vol. 17, (1996), pp. 47-73.
- [7] SCOTT HANSEN, W., Exponential energy decay in linear thermoelastic rod, Journal of Math. Analysis and Applications, vol. 167, (1992), pp. 429-442.
- [8] LADYZHENSKAIA, O. A., VISIK, M. I., Boundary value problem for partial differential equations and certain classes of Operator equations, A.M.S. Translations Serie 210, (1958), pp. 223-281

Received: August 23, 2007